

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2008 with funding from Microsoft Corporation









CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARD

A HISTORY

OF

ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

VOLUME IV

PHYSIOLOGUS STUDIES

By LEO WIENER

PROFESSOR OF SLAVIC LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES AT HARVARD UNIVERSITY; AUTHOR OF "A COMMENTARY TO THE GERMANIC LAWS AND MEDIAEVAL DOCUMENTS." "CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARD A HISTORY OF ARBICOGOTHIC CULTURE. "HISTORY OF YIDDISH LITERATURE." "HISTORY OF THE CONTEMPORARY RUSSIAN DRAMA." "ANTHOLOGY OF RUSSIAN LITERATURE." "INTERPRETATION OF THE RUSSIAN PEOPLE;" TRANSLATOR OF THE WORKS OF TOLSTOY; CONTRIBUTOR TO GERMAN, RUSSIAN FRENCH, ENGLISH, AND AMERICAN PHILOLOGICAL PERIODICALS, ETC., ETC.



INNES & SONS 129-135 N. TWELFTH ST., PHILADELPHIA, PA. MCMXXI Copyright, 1921, by Innes & Sons

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	SOURCES QUOTED	IX-XXII
	INTECTION	
	1. TO MY CRITICS	. XXIII-XXIX
	9 GERMAN LOAN-WORDS AND THE S	ECOND
	SOUND SHIFTING	. XXIX-XXXIX
	3. ECONOMIC HISTORY AND PHIL-	
	SOUND SHIFTING	XXXIX-LXXVII
	4. CONCLUSION	LXXVII-LXXXI
I.	THE BUBALUS IN THE BIBLE	1-10
II.	THE BULL OF PAEONIA	11-18
III.	BUFFALO HIDES	19-39
IV.	THE TRAGELAPHUS	40-48
V.	THE TARANDUS	49-58
VI.	THE ALCE	59-67
VII.	THE ANTHOLOPS	68-74
VIII.	THE URUS	75-84
IX.	THE MONOPS	85-91
X.	BUFFALO HIDES THE TRAGELAPHUS THE TARANDUS THE ALCE THE ANTHOLOPS THE URUS THE MONOPS THE GAMMUS	92-96
XI.	THE DISON	
XII.	THE PHILOLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE	
XIII.	THE PEARL IN GREEK LITERATURE	121-128
XIV.	THE PEARL IN ARABIC LITERATURE	E . 129-142
XV.	THE PEARL IN THE PHYSIOLOGUS THE PEARL IN PLINY, ETC	143-175
XVI.	THE PEARL IN PLINY, ETC	176-199
XVII.	UNIONES IN MARTIAL	200-209
XVIII.	UNIONES IN MARTIAL THE PEARL IN THE GERMANIC LAV	VS 210-216
XIX.	THE WHALE THE UNICORN THE LION	. 217-239
XX.	THE UNICORN	240-252
XXI.	THE LION	253-286
XXII.	THE SAW	287-288
XXIII.	THE SAW	289-290
XXIV.	THE CHARADRIUS	291-293
XXV.	THE PELICAN	294-299
XXVI.	THE LIZARD THE WEASEL	300-310
XXVII.	THE WEASEL	311-321
XXVIII.	GLAESUM	322-341
XXIX.	GLAESUM	342-356
	WORD INDEX	358-377
	SUBJECT INDEX	378-388



SOURCES QUOTED

Ad-Damiri.

Ḥayāt al-ḥayawān (A Zoological Lexicon), translated from the Arabic by Lt.-Colonel A. S. G. Jayakar, vols. I and II1, London, Bombay 1906-1908.

Aelian.

Aeneas Sylvius.

Aethicus.

Opera quae extant omnia, Basileae 1571.

Éthicus et les ouvrages cosmographiques intitulés de ce nom, ed. M. D'Avezac, Paris 1852. Die Kosmographie des Istrier Aithikos, ed. H.

Das Buch der Naturgegenstände, Kiel 1892.

Wuttke, Leipzig 1853. Wogulisches Wörterverzeichnis, Helsingissä 1891.

Ahlquist, A. Ahrens, K. Albertus Magnus.

Alcuin. Ambrose.

Amélineau, E.

See Migne, P. L., vol. C. See CSEL., vol. XXXII2. Histoire des monastères de la Basse-Égypte, Paris 1894, in Annales du Musée Guimet, vol. XXV.

Ammianus Marcellinus. Amyot, J. M.

Dictionnaire tartare-mantchou françois, vol. II. Paris 1789.

Annius, J. Antiquitatum variarum volumina XVII, 1512. Ansileubus. See Mai.

Anthologia Palatina.

Antigonus of Carystia.

Historiarum mirabilium collectanea, ed. J. Beckmann, Lipsiae 1791.

Apicius, Caelius.

De re coquinaria libri decem, ed. C. T. Schuch Heidelbergae 1874.

Apollonius Rhodius. Archivio glottologico italiano, vol. II.

Aristophanes.

Aristotle.

De animalibus historia, ed. L. Dittmeyer, Lipsiae 1907.

The Works of Aristotle, trans. and ed. J. A. Smith and W. D. Ross, vol. IV, Oxford 1910. Opera, ed. I. Bekker, vol. II, Berolini 1831. See Stange.

Arnoldus Saxo. Arrian.

History of Alexander's Expedition, trans. by Rooke, vol. II, London 1729.

Ashburner, W. The Rhodian Sea-Law, Oxford 1909.

Athenaeus.

The Deipnosophists or Banquet of the Learned of Athenaeus, trans. by C. D. Yonge, vols. I and II, London 1854.

Atti della Società Ligure de Storia patria, vol. XXXVI, Roma 1906.

Augustine.

See Migne, P. L., vols. XXXIV and XXXV.
See CSEL., vols. XXV, XXVIII, XXIX, XL².

Balari y Jovany, J. Balch, E. G. Basil.

Basset, R.

See Migne, P. G., vol. XXX. Étude sur la Zenatia de l'Ouarsenis et du Maghreb Central, Paris 1895. Étude sur la Zenatia de Mzab, de Ouargla et de

l'Oued-Rir', Paris 1892.

Les noms des métaux et des couleurs en berbère,

Orígenes históricos de Cataluña, Barcelona 1899.

Our Slavic Fellow Citizens, New York 1910.

Paris 1895.

Die Geschichte des Eisens, vol. I, Braunschweig 1884.

Geoponica, Lipsiae 1895.

See Migne, P. L., vols. XCI and XCII.

Isidori Etymologiae, Codex Toletanus (nunc Matritensis), 15, 8, Lugduni Batavorum 1909.

Lex salica, Weimar 1897.

Anecdota graeca, vol. I, Berolini 1814. Collection des anciens alchimistes grecs, vol. I, Paris 1887.

La chimie au moyen âge, vol. I, Paris 1893. Assises de Jérusalem, vol. II, Paris 1843.

Documents inédits sur le commerce de Marseille au moyen-âge, vol. I, Marseille 1884.

Hymns of the Atharva-veda, Oxford 1897, in The Sacred Books of the East, vol. XLII.

Der Maximaltarif des Diocletian, Berlin 1893. Hierozoicon, sive bipertitum opus de animalibus s. Scripturae, revised by D. Clodius, vols. I and II, Francofurti ad Moenum 1675.

Die Staatshaushaltung der Athener, ed. M. Fränkel, vol. II, Berlin 1886.

Statuti inediti della città di Pisa dal XII al XIV secolo, vols. I and III, Firenze 1854-1857.

Remarks on the Corpus Glossary, in the Classical

Quarterly, April, 1919.

On the Knowledge Possessed by the Ancient Chinese of the Arabs and Arabian Colonies, and other Western Countries Mentioned in Chinese Books, London 1871.

Glossaire du patois de la suisse romande, Lausanne Opera minora varii argumenti, Lugduni Batavorum

Hieroglyphisch-Demotisches Wörterbuch, vol. VII,

Leipzig 1882. Osiris and the Egyptian Resurrection, vol. II,

London 1911. Syrian Anatomy, Pathology and Therapeutics, or

"The Book of Medicines," vol. II, London, etc., 1913.

Oppian und sein Lehrgedicht vom Fischfang, Bamberg 1912.

Commentaire anonyme sur Prudence, Paris 1910. See Palladius.

Beck, L.

Beckh, H. Bede. Beer, R.

Behrend, R. Bekker, I. Berthelot, M.

Beugnot, A. A. Blancard, L.

Bloomfield, M.

Blümner, H. Bochart, S.

Böckh, A.

Bonaini, F.

Bradley, H.

Bretschneider, E.

Bridel, D.

Brisson, B.

Brugsch, H.

Budge, E. A. W.

Bürner, G.

Burnam, J. M. Butler, C.

Caesar. Cahier, C. and Martin, A.

Mélanges d'archéologie, d'histoire et de littérature, vols. II and IV, Paris 1851-1856.

Camera, M.

Calendar of State Papers and Manuscripts, Relating to English Affairs, Existing in the Archives and Collections of Venice, vol. I, London 1864. Memorie storico-diplomatiche dell' antica città e ducato di Amalfi, vol. I, Salerno 1876.

Candolle, A. de

Origine des plantes cultivées, Paris 1883.

Capmany y de Montpalau, A. de

Memorias historicas sobre la marina, comercio y artes de la antigua ciudad de Barcelona, Madrid 1779.

Carra de Vaux, B.

L'Abrégé des Merveilles, Paris 1898, in Actes de la Société philologique, vol. XXVI.

Cartulaire de Saint-Jean d'Angély, in Archives historiques de la Saintonge

et de l'Aunis, vols. XXX and XXXIII.

Cassiodorus.

See MGH., Auct. ant., vol. XII.

Cerda, J. L. de la

P. Virgilii Maronis Bucolica et Georgica, Coloniae Agrippinae 1647.

Chatelain, E.

Les palimpsestes latins, in Annuaire 1904, École pratique des Hautes Études.

See Palladius.

Clarke, W. K. L. Claudianus.

Clemens Alexan-

See Migne, P. G., vol. VIII.

drinus. Clément-Mullet.

Codex Florentinus.

Essai sur la minéralogie arabe, in Journal asiatique, sixième série, vol. XI. Digestorum seu Pandectarum Codex Florentinus olim Pisanus phototypice expressus, Roma 1902.

Codices e vaticanis selecti, phototypice expressi iussu Leonis PP. XIII, vol. II, Romae 1902.

Corpus scriptorum historiae Byzantinae, vol. XXXVI.

Codinus.

Coleccion de documentos inéditos del archivo general de la corona de Aragon, vol. VIII, Barcelona 1851. Colección de documentos para el estudio de la historia de Aragon, vol. I,

Zaragoza 1904.

Conington and See Vergil.

Nettleship. Constantinus Por-

Corpus scriptorum historiae Byzantinae, vol. VIII.

phyrogenitus. Cook A. S.

The Old English Elene, Phoenix, and Physiologus, New Haven, London 1919.

Corpus inscriptionum latinarum, vols. II and XIII.

Cortes de los antiguos reinos de Aragón y de Valencia y Principado de Cataluña, vol. I, Madrid 1896.

Cruel, R.

Die Sprachen und Völker Europas vor der arischen

Crum, W. E.

Einwanderung, Detmold 1883. Catalogue of the Coptic Manuscripts in the Collection of the John Rylands Library, Manchester, London 1909.

CSEL.

Corpus scriptorum ecclesiasticorum latinorum, vols. XXII, XXV, XXVIII, XXIX, XXXII², XXXV, XL².

HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE xii

The Growth of English Industry and Commerce Cunningham, W. during the Early and Middle Ages, vol. I, Cambridge 1905.

See Migne, P. L., vol. IV. See Migne, P. G., vol. LXIX. Cyprian. Cyril.

Forschungen zur Geschichte von Florenz, III-Davidsohn, R.

Theil, Berlin 1901.

Garbowolle und Garbotuche, in Historische Vier-

teljahrschrift, vol. VII.
Geschichte von Florenz, vol. I, Berlin 1896.
Le cabinet des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque nationale, vol. IV, Paris 1881. Delisle, L.

Delpit, J. Collection générale des documents français qui se trouvent en Angleterre, vol. I, Paris 1847.

Diodorus Siculus. Dionysius of Halicarnassus.

Ducange.

De materia medica libri quinque, ed. M. Wellmann, Dioscorides.

3 vols., Berolini 1907-1914.

See Aristotle. Dittmeyer.

Doren, A. Die Florentiner Wollentuchindustrie vom vierzehnten bis zum sechzehnten Jahrhundert, in Studien aus der Florentiner Wirtschaftsgeschichte, vol. I, Stuttgart 1901.

Cartulaire de l'Abbaye de Saint-Sernin de Toul-Douais, C. ouse, Paris, Toulouse 1887.

Magie et religion dans l'Afrique du Nord, Alger Doutté, E. 1909.

Dictionnaire détaillé des noms des vêtements chez Dozy, R. les Arabes, Amsterdam 1845.

Supplément aux dictionnaires arabes, Leyde 1881. Glossaire des mots espagnols et portugais dérivés Dozy, R. and Engelde l'arabe, Leyde, Paris 1869. mann, W. H.

Glossarium mediae et infimae latinitatis, ed. Léopold Favre, Niort 1883-1887.

Działowski, G. von Isidor und Ildefons als Litterarhistoriker, Münster

i. W. 1898.

Ebers, G. Papyros Ebers, vol. II, Leipzig 1875.

Ebert, A. Allgemeine Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelalters in Abendlande, vol. I, Leipzig 1889.

Opera omnia quae exstant, Graece et latine, vol. Ephraem Syrus. II, Romae 1743, Syriace et latine, vols. I and II, Romae 1737-1740.

Epiphanius.

Select Works of S. Ephrem the Syrian, ed. and trans. by J. B. Morris, Oxford 1847.

See Migne, P. G., vols. XLII and XLIII.

See CSEL., vol. XXXV.

Das Metall hsmn, in Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Alterthumskunde, vol. XXX. Erman, A.

See Migne, P. L., vol. L. See CSEL., vol. XXXI. Eucherius.

Eustathius of Antioch. Eustathius.

See Migne, P. G., vol. XVIII. Commentarii ad Homeri Iliadem, vol. I, Lipsiae 1827.

Facsimiles of Parisinus Latin 11530, Harvard Library.

Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der Indogermanischen Fick, A.

Sprachen, vol. III. Flodoardus. Florio, J. Fraehn, Ch. M.

Historia Remensis ecclesiae, vol. I, Reims 1854. Queen Anna's New World of Words, London 1611. Beleuchtung der merkwürdigen Notiz eines Arabers Jahrhundert über die Stadt aus dem XI. Maynz, in Mémoires de l'académie impériale des sciences de Saint-Pétersbourg, VI. série, Sciences politiques, histoire et philologie, vol. II. Die aramäischen Fremdwörter im Arabischen,

Leiden 1886.

Francisque-Michel. Recherches sur le commerce, la fabrication et l'usage des étoffes de soie, d'or et d'argent, et autres tissus précieux, vol. II, Paris 1854.

Beziehungen der Inder zum Westen, in Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XLVII. Frati, L.

Statuti di Bologna dall' anno 1245 all' anno 1267, vols. I and III, Bologna 1869-1877.

See MGH., Scrip. rer. merov., vol. II. Die Chadhirlegende und der Alexanderroman, Friedlaender, L. Leipzig, Berlin 1913.

Galenus, Claudius. Opera omnia, in Medicorum graecorum opera quae exstant, vol. XI, Lipsiae 1826.

Chartes de communes et d'affranchissements en Bourgogne, vol. II, Dijon 1868.

Statuti delle società del popolo di Bologna, vol. II, Roma 1896.

Liber de viris inlustribus, ed. E. C. Richardson, in Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur, vol. XIV, Leipzig 1896. Paris sous Philippe-le-Bel, Paris 1837.

Histoire du commerce de Montpellier, vol. I,

Montpellier 1861.

Liber instrumentorum memorialium, Montpellier 1884-1886.

Historiae animalium Lib. I. de quadrupedibus uiuiparis, vol. I, Tiguri 1551.

Cartulaire de l'ancienne estaple de Bruges, vol. I, Bruges 1904.

Histoire de la ville de Saint-Omer et de ses institutions jusqu' au XIVe siècle, Paris 1877.

Les établissements de Rouen, vol. II, Paris 1885. Die Hyperboreer und die alten Schinesen, Leipzig

Corpus glossariorum latinorum.

Ein Tosco-Venezianischer Bestiarius, Halle a. S. 1892.

Fraenkel, S.

Franke, O.

Fredegar.

Garnier, J. Gaudenzi, A.

Gennadius.

Géraud, H. Germain, A.

Gesner, C.

Gilliodts-van Severen, L. Giry, A.

Gladisch, A.

Goetz. Goldstaub, M. and Wendriner, R.

xiv HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

Mongol'sko-russki slovar', vol. III, S. Peterburg Golstunski, K. Th. Gradenwitz, O. Interpole Gragas, vol. I, Copenhagen 1852. Interpolationen in den Pandekten, Berlin 1887. Greek Anthology, The, with an English translation by W. R. Paton, vol. III, London, New York 1917. See Migne, P. L., vol. LXXVI. Gregory. Gregory of Tours. Grenfell, B. P., Hunt, See MGH., Scrip. rer. merov., vol. I. The Tebtunis Papyri, Part I, London 1902. A. S., Smyly, J. G. Grial, J. Divi Isidori Hispalensis Episcopi Opera, Madriti 1599.Catalogue of the Demotic Papyri in the John Rylands Library, vol. II, Manchester 1909. The Gild Merchant, vol. I, Oxford 1890. Griffith, F. Ll. Gross, C. Les noms arabes dans Sérapion, "Liber de simplici Guigues, P. medicina," Paris 1905. Note sur les poids du moyen âge, in Bibliothèque Guilhiermoz, P. de l'école des chartes, vol. LXVII. Albanesische Studien, vol. III, Jena 1854. Hahn, J. G. von Harvard Oriental Series, vol. IV, Cambridge 1901. Denkmahle des Mittelalters; St. Gallen's altteut-Hattemer, H. sche Sprachschaetze, vol. I, St. Gallen 1844-1849. Physiologus, Wien 1851. Basilicorum libri LX, vol. I, Lipsiae 1833. Die kulturgeschichtlichen Beziehungen der alten Heider, G. Heimbach, C. G. Hepke. Chinesen und der Hellenen, in Verhandlungen der Gesellschaft für Erdkunde zu Berlin, vol. VI. Herodianus. Musae, ed. F. Creuzer and J. C. F. Baehr, 4 vols., Herodotus. Lipsiae 1856-1861. An Eighth-Century Latin-Anglo-Saxon Glossary, Hessels, J. H. Cambridge 1890. A Late Eighth-Century Latin-Anglo-Saxon Glossary, Cambridge 1906. Hesychius. See Migne, P. L., vol. X. Hilary. See CSEL., vol. XXII. Studien zu mittelalterlichen Massen und Ge-Hilliger, B. wichten, in Historische Vierteljahrschrift, vol. Hansisches Urkundenbuch, vols. I and III, Halle Höhlbaum, K. 1876-1886. Der Codex Mediceus, Pl. XXXIX, N. 1, des Vergilius, Berlin 1889. Hoffmann, M. Die Compilation der Digesten Justinians, Wien Hofman, F. Alt-celtischer Sprachschatz, Leipzig 1891-. Holder, A. Die aethiopische Uebersetzung des Physiologus, Hommel, F. Leipzig 1877.

Die Namen der Säugethiere bei den südsemitischen

Völkern, Leipzig 1879.

Horapollo. HPM.

Hieroglyphica, ed. C. Leemans, Amstelodami 1835. Historiae patriae monumenta.

Vols. II and XVI, Leges municipales, Augustae Taurinorum 1838, 1876.

Vol. VI, Chartae, 1853. Vol. VII, Liber iurium reipublicae genuensis, 1854. Vol. XVII. Codex diplomaticus ecclesiensis, 1877.

Idrisi.

Géographie d'Édrisi, ed. P. A. Jaubert, vol. I, in Recueil de voyages et de mémoires, publié par la Société de Géographie, vol. V, Paris 1836.

See Migne, P. L., vol. XCVI. Ildefonsus. Isidore.

Etymologiarum sive originum libri XX, ed. W. M. Lindsay, 2 vols., Oxonii 1911. See Migne, P. L., vol. LXXXII.

Jacob, G.

Mittelalters aus den nordisch-baltischen Ländern?, Berlin 1891. Jacob, K. G.

Neue Beiträge zum Studium des kaspisch-balti-schen Handels im Mittelalter, in Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XLIII.

Welche Handelsartikel bezogen die Araber des

Jal, A. Jaubert, H. F. Jerome.

Glossaire nautique, Paris 1848.

Glossaire du Centre de la France, Paris 1864. See Migne, P. L., vols. XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, XXVI, XXVII.

Jordan, H. and Eyssenhardt, F.

Oppian's Halieuticks of the Nature of Fishes and Fishing of the Ancients, Part II, Oxford 1722. Scriptores historiae Augustae, vols. I and II, Berolini 1864.

Jordanes. Josephus. Julian.

Jones, J.

See MGH., Auct. ant., vol. V.

Juvenal. Juynboll, Th. W. Iuliani Imperatoris quae supersunt . . omnia, ed. F. C. Hertlein, vol. I, Lipsiae 1875.

Handbuch des Islamischen Gesetzes, Leiden, Leipzig 1910.

Kalima, J.

Die russischen Lehnwörter im Syrjänischen, Helsingfors 1911.

Karnyeev, A. Katanov, N. F. Materialy i zamyetki po literaturnoy istorii Fiziologa, 1890.

Opyt izslyedovaniya uryankhayskago yazyka, Kazan' 1903.

Keutgen, F.

Der Grosshandel im Mittelalter, in Hansische Geschichtsblätter, Jahrgang 1901, Leipzig 1902.

Kilian, C.

Etymologicum teutonicae linguae, Traiecti Batavorum 1777.

King, L. W. Kingdon, J. A. Babylonian Magic and Sorcery, London 1896. Facsimile of First Volume of MS. Archives of the Worshipful Company of Grocers of the City of London, Part I, [London] 1886.

HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE xvi

Language, London 1891.

vol. II, Wien 1877.

An Etymological Dictionary of the German

Culturgeschichte des Orients unter den Chalifen,

Kluge, F.

Küchler, F.

Kremer, A. von

Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Assyrisch-Babylon-ischen Medizin, in Assyriologische Bibliothek, vol. XVIII, Leipzig 1904. Lagarde, P. de Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Leipzig 1866. Onomastica sacra, Gottingae 1887. Petri Hispani De lingua arabica libri duo, Gottingae 1883. Commentariorum de augustissima bibliotheca Lambecius, Petrus. Caesarea Vindobonensi liber secundus, Vindobonae 1769. Land, J. P. N. Anecdota syriaca, vol. IV, Lugduni Batavorum Lane. An Arabic-English Lexicon. Lanzone, R. V. Dizionario di mitologia egizia, vol. III, Torino 1883. Lauchert, F. Geschichte des Physiologus, Strassburg 1889. Laufer, B. Die Sage von den goldgrabenden Ameisen, in T'oung Pao, série II, vol. IX.
Layettes du Trésor des Chartes, vols. I and V, Paris 1863-1909. See Horapollo. Leemans. Leo Imperator. Tactica; sive De re militari liber, ed. Jo. Meursius, Lugduni Batavorum 1612. Le livre des métiers d'Étienne Boileau, in Les Lespinasse, R. de métiers et corporations de la ville de Paris, and Bonnardot, F. Paris 1879. Lespinasse, R. de Ordonnances générales; métiers de l'alimentation, in Les métiers et corporations de la ville de Paris, vol. I, Paris 1886. Lex salica, ed. J. H. Hessels, London 1880. Die Gesetze der Angelsachsen, vol. I, Halle a. S. Liebermann, F. 1898. Livy. Lucan. Macdonell, A. A. Vedic Mythology, Strassburg 1897, in Grundriss der Indo-Arischen Philologie, vol. III. 1. A. Macrobius, ed. F. Eyssenhardt, Lipsiae 1868. Madvig, J. N. Emendationes Livianae, Hauniae 1877. Mai, A. Classicorum auctorum e vaticanis codicibus editorum tomus VII, Romae 1835. Der Bestiaire divin des Guillaume le Clerc, Heilbronn 1888, in Französische Studien, Mann, M. F. vol. VI². See Migne, P. L., vol. CLXXI. Marbodus. Marca hispanica sive limes hispanicus, Paris 1688. Marca. P. de Marcellus. De medicamentis liber, ed. G. Helmreich, Lipsiae

1889.

Beiträge zur Geschichte und Sage von Eran, in Marquart, J. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XLIX. Martial. Les prairies d'or, ed. and trans. by C. B. de Meynard and P. de Courteille, vols. I and II, Mas'ūdī. Paris 1861-1863. Mela, Pomponius. Mémoires de la société de l'histoire de Paris et de l'Ile-de-France, vol. II, Paris 1876. Mentz, F. De Lucio Aelio Stilone, in Commentationes philologicae Ienenses, vol. IV. Menzel, K., Cor-Die Trierer Ada-handschrift, Leipzig 1889. ssen, P., etc. Méry, L. and Histoire analytique et chronologique des actes et des délibérations du corps et du conseil de la municipalité de Marseille, vol. I, Marseille 1841. Guindon, F. Etymologisches Wörterbuch der albanesischen Meyer, G. Sprache, Strassburg 1891. Monumenta Germaniae historica. MGH. Auctores antiquissimi, vols. IX and XII. Epistolae, vol. I. Formulae, in Leges, sec. V. Capitularia, in Leges, sec. II. Leges, sec. I, vol. II¹, vol. V¹. Leges (ed. Pertz), vols. III and IV. Scriptores rerum langobardicarum et italicarum. Scriptores rerum merovingicarum, vols. I and II. Patrologia graeca, vols. VIII, XIII, XVIII, XXVIII, XXX, XLII, XLIII, LXVII, LXIX, LXXX, LXXXVIII, CVIII, CXXIII. Migne, J. CLXXI. Miklosich, F. Vergleichende Grammatik der slavischen Sprachen, vol. I, Wien 1879. Acta et diplomata graeca medii aevi sacra et profana, vols. V and VI, Vindobonae 1887–1890.

Miklosich, F. and

Müller, J. Mommsen, Th.

Monlau, P. F.

Monticolo, G.

Müller, F.

Müller, W. Max

See Solinus. Diccionario etimológico de la lengua castellana,

Digesta Iustiniani Augusti, vols. I and II, Berolini

Madrid 1881. I Capitolari delle arti veneziane, vols. I and II1.

Roma 1896-1905.

1870.

Zur Frage über den Ursprung der uigurischmongolisch-mandžuischen Schrift, in Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, vol. V, Wien 1891.

Asien und Europa nach altägyptischen Denkmälern, Leipzig 1893.

xviii HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

Muñoz y Romero, T. Coleccion de fueros municipales, vol. I. Madrid Muratori, L. A. Antiquitates italicae medii aevi, vol. II, Mediolani 1739.Nicole, J. Le livre du préfet, Genève 1893, in Mémoires de l'Institut Genevois, vol. XVIII. Ueber den syrischen Roman von Kaiser Julian, in Nöldeke, Th. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XXVIII. Nolhac, P. de Le Virgile du Vatican et ses peintures, in Notices et extraits des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque Nationale et autres bibliothèques, vol. XXXV. De conpendiosa doctrina libri XX, vol. I, Lipsiae Nonius. 1903.

Oppian. 'Αλιευτικά and Κυνηγετικά. See Jones.

Ordonnances des Rois de France, vol. XV, Paris 1811.

Oeuvres d'Oribase, ed. Bussemaker and Daremberg, vols. V and VI, Paris 1873-1876. See Migne, P. G., vol XIII. Oribasius.

Origen. Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der

ersten drei Jahrhunderte, vols. III and V, Leipzig 1901-1913. See Robinson.

C. Julii Caesaris . . . Commentarii, Lugduni Oudendorp, F. Batavorum, Rotterodami 1737.

Oviedo v Valdés, F. de De la natural hystoria de las Indias, Toledo 1526. Historia general y natural de las Indias, vol. I, Madrid 1851.

> De incredibilibus, ed. J. F. Fischer, Lipsiae 1789. Die althochdeutschen Tiernamen, Darmstadt 1899. The Lausiac History of Palladius, II, ed. C. Butler, in Texts and Studies, Contributions to Biblical and Patristic Literature, vol. VI, no. 2, Cambridge 1904.

The Lausiac History of Palladius, trans. by W. K. L. Clarke, London, New York 1918.

Pallas, P. Zoographia Rosso-Asiatica, vol. I, Petropoli 1831. Paschasius. Paulinus of Milan.

See Migne, P. L., vol. CXX. See Migne, P. L., vol. XX.

Paulus Diaconus. Historia Langobardorum, in MGH., Scrip. rer. lang. et ital.

> See Migne, P. L., vol. CXLV. Opera quae supersunt, ed. L. Cohn and P. Wend-land, vols. II and V, Berolini 1897-1906. Magellan's Voyage Around the World, by Antonio Pigafetta, trans. and ed. J. A. Robertson, vol. I,

Cleveland 1906.

Paulus Festus. Pausanias. Petronius. Petrus Damianus. Philo Alexandrinus. Pigafetta, A.

Palaephatus.

Palander, H. Palladius.

Pitra, J. B.

Platzmann, J.

Pliny.

Pigafetta, F.

Polemius Silvius. Polidori, F.-L.

Pollux. Priscianus.

Procopius of Gaza. Pseudo-Athanasius.

Pseudo-Berosus. Pseudo-Turpin.

A Report of the Kingdom of Congo, and of the Surrounding Countries, trans. and ed. Margarite Hutchinson, London 1881.

Spicilegium solesmense, vol. III, Parisiis 1855.

Das anonyme Wörterbuch Tupi-Deutsch und Deutsch-Tupi, Leipzig 1901.

Naturalis historiae libri XXXVII, ed. C. Mayhoff.

The Natural History of Pliny, trans. by J. Bostock and H. Biley. 6 yels. London 1855, 1857.

and H. Riley, 6 vols., London 1855-1857.

See MGH., Auct. ant., vol. IX. Statuti senesi scritti in volgare ne' secoli XIII e XIV, vol. I, Bologna 1863.

See Migne, P. G., vol. LXXXVII¹. See Migne, P. G., vol. XXVIII.

See Annius.

See Migne, P. L., vols. CVII, CVIII, CXI. Rabanus Maurus.

Ramusio, G. B. Il viaggio di Giovan Leone, Venezia 1837.
Regii neapolitani archivi monumenta, vol. III, Neapoli 1849.
Reinaud, J. T. Relation des voyages faits par les Arabes et les
Persans dans l'Inde et à la Chine dans le IX.

siècle de l'ère chrétienne, vol. I, Paris 1845. Revue des langues romanes, vols. IV, V, VII, XVI. Dizionario del linguaggio italiano, Firenze 1881. Prolegomena critica ad P. Vergili Maronis opera Rezasco, G. Ribbeck, O. maiora, Lipsiae 1866.

Riese, A. Anthologia latina, vol. I1, Lipsiae 1894. Robinson, J. A. The Philocalia of Origen, Cambridge 1893. Faune populaire de la France, vols. I, VII, XI, Rolland, E. Paris 1877-1906.

Rolls of Parliament, vols. I and II.

Rose, V. Anecdota graeca et graecolatina, vol. II, Berlin

Rufinus. See Migne, P. L., vol. XXI.

Saumaise. Claudii Salmasii Plinianae exercitationes, Trajecti ad Rhenum 1689.

Schaube, A. Handelsgeschichte der romanischen Völker des Mittelmeergebiets bis zum Ende der Kreuzzüge, München, Berlin 1906.

Schiller, K. and Mittelniederdeutsches Wörterbuch, Bremen 1875-Lübben, A.

Schlutter, O. B. Das Epinaler und Erfurter Glossar, Hamburg 1912. Bayerisches Wörterbuch, München 1872–1877. Sprachvergleichung und Urgeschichte, Jena 1883. Schmeller, J. A. Schrader, O. Schulte, A. Garbo und Florenz, in Zeitschrift für die gesamte

Staatswissenschaft, vol. LVIII, Tübingen 1902. Die Waffen der alten Araber aus ihren Dichtern Schwarzlose, F. W. dargestellt, Leipzig 1886.

Seneca. Serapion. See Guigues.

HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$

Servius Grammaticus. Seybold, C. F. Sharpe, R. R.

See Thilo and Hagen. Glossarium latino-arabicum, Berolini 1900. Calendar of Letter-Books Preserved among the Archives of the Corporation of London at the Guildhall, Letter-Books B-F, London 1900-1904.

Silius Italicus. Simonet, F. J.

Historia de los Mozárabes de España, Madrid 1897-1903.

Smirke, E.

Ancient Consuctudinary of the City of Winchester, in The Archaeological Journal, vol. IX.

Smith, H. P.

Notes on the Red Heifer, in Journal of Biblical Literature, vol. XXVII.

Smith, R. Payne Solinus.

Thesaurus syriacus, vol. I, Oxonii 1879. Collectanea rerum memorabilium, ed. Th. Momm-

Sophocles, E. A.

sen, Berolini 1895. Greek Lexicon of the Roman and Byzantine

Sozomenus. Stade, B. and Schwally, F.

Periods, Boston 1870. See Migne, P. G., vol. LXVII.
The Books of Kings, in The Sacred Books of the Old Testament, part 9, Leipzig 1904.

Die Encyklopädie des Arnoldus Saxo, in Program des königlichen Gymnasium zu Erfurt, no. 278, Erfurt 1905.

Stange, E. Starčevski, A. V.

Siberski perevodčik, S.-Peterburg 1893. Statuta communis Parmae digesta anno 1255, Parmae 1856. Statuta communis Parmae ab anno 1266 ad annum circiter 1304, Parmae

Statuti del comune di Padova dal secolo XII all' anno 1285, Padova 1873. Stein, W.

Akten zur Geschichte der Verfassung und Verwaltung der Stadt Köln in 14. und 15. Jahr-

Steinmeyer, E. and

hundert, vol. II, Bonn 1895. Die althochdeutschen Glossen.

Sievers, E.

Thesaurus graecae linguae.

Stephanus. Stieda, L.

Ueber die Namen der Pelzthiere, in Altpreussische Monatsschrift, vol. XXIV.

Strabo. Syncellus.

See Migne, P. G., vol. CVIII.

Tacitus.

Tertullian. See Migne, P. L., vol I. Theodoretus.

See Migne, P. G., vol. LXXX.

Theodosian Code, ed. Th. Mommsen. Theophrastus.

Opera quae supersunt omnia, ed. F. Wimmer, vol.

Theophylactus. Thevet, A.

III, Lipsiae 1862. See Migne, P. G., vol. CXXIII.

Thierry, A. Thilo, G. and La cosmographie universelle, vol. II, Paris 1575. Recueil des monuments inédits de l'histoire du

Hagen, H. Timotheus of Gaza. Tiers État, première série, vol. II, Paris 1853. Servii Grammatici qui feruntur in Vergilii Carmina commentarii, Lipsiae 1881-1902.

Hermes, vol. III.

Constitutiones regni Siciliae, vol. I1, Palermo Todaro, A. [1887-1888]. Das Alter des Codex Romanus des Virgil, in Strena Traube, L. Helbigiana, Lipsiae 1900. Tregear, E. The Maori-Polynesian Comparative Dictionary, Wellington, N. Z., 1891. Vámbéry, H. Etymologisches Wörterbuch der Turko-Tatarischen Sprachen, Leipzig 1878. Van der Lith, P. A. Livre des merveilles de l'Inde, Leide 1883-1886. Cartulaire de l'abbaye de Saint-Vaast d'Arras, Van Drival. rédigé au XIIe siècle par Guimann, Arras 1875. Velleius Paterculus. Vergil. See Cerda. The Works of Virgil, trans. by J. Conington and H. Nettleship, vol. I, London 1898. Verwijs, E. and Middelnederlandsch Woordenboek, s'-Gravenhage Verdam, J. 1885-1912. Villanueva, J. Viage literario á las iglesias de España, vol. IX, Valencia 1821. Vincent of Beauvais. Vitruvius. De architectura libri decem, ed. F. Krohn, Lipsiae 1912. De architectura libri decem, ed. J. G. Schneider, vol. III, Lipsiae 1808. Walafrid Strabo. See Migne, P. L., vol. CXIV. Wartmann, H. Urkundenbuch der Abtei Sanct Gallen, vol. I. Zürich 1863. Ueber deutsche Dialectforschung, Wien 1853. Weinhold, K. Wiedemann, F. Ehstnisch-deutsches Wörterbuch, St. Petersburg Byzantinisches, I, in Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie, vol. XXXIV. Wiener, L. Contributions, vols. I, II, III. English Lexicography, in Modern Language Notes, vol. XI. Materialien zu einer Geschichte der Kleidung im Mittelalter, II, in Revue de linguistique et de philologie comparée, vol. XLIV.

Wilkinson, J. G.

Winteler, J.

Yonge.

Wright, T. and Wülcker, R. P.

See Athenaeus.

berg 1876.

London 1884.

Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians, vol. III, London 1837. Die Kerenzer Mundart des Kantons Glarus in

ihren Grundzügen dargestellt, Leipzig, Heidel-

Anglo-Saxon and Old English Vocabularies, vol. I.

HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE xxii

Zdekauer, L. Statutum potestatis comunis pistorii anni 1296,

Mediolani 1888.

Základové českého pravopisu a mluvnice, Chicago Zdrůbek, F. B.

1882.

Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, vol. IV.
Zeitschrift für deutsche Wortforschung, vol. II.
Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum, vol. I.
Zoega, G. Catalogus codicum copticorum manuscriptorum,

Leipzig 1903.

INTRODUCTION.

1.

It has been my habit, in my philological works, not to indulge in personalities and not to refer to the dissenting views of my predecessors in contemptuous or scurrilous terms. There is no reason why this science cannot be kept a clean, gentlemen's intellectual sport, in which all, no matter how diverse in opinion, are supposed honestly and strenuously to strive for the truth. If one has to succumb in his views, this must happen on the basis of better attested facts, not by strength of vilification and vulgarity. Similarly I have. in my Commentary—and in the first three volumes of my Contributions—totally abstained from controversies, and have treated my savage critics with silence. They, however, have taken my silence as a sign of weakness, and have continued vociferously to attack me, descending to scientific Billingsgate and low vulgarity in their tirades. One could expect more consideration in an Apache cabaret, than in the supposedly high-toned Odeon in which these gentlemen practice the gentle art of kicking off strangers' hats and make salti mortali on the tight rope of philology before admiring callow youths. I am, therefore, constrained to give a list of the critics of my Commentary and the first volume of my Contributions, in order that the reader may have them for easy reference and may convince himself of the low depths to which the old school philology has fallen in the choice company here represented.

xxiv HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

The following criticisms of my Commentary have come to my notice: L. Bloomfield, in The Journal of English and Germanic Philology, vol. XV (1916), pp. 299-304; Francis A. Wood, in Modern Philology, vol. XIV (1916-1917), p. 384; Henry Bradley, in English Historical Review, vol. XXXI (1916), p. 174 f.; A. Meillet, in Revue critique d'histoire et de littérature, vol. LXXXI (1916), p. 71 f.; M. G., in Revue des langues romanes, vol. LIX (1916-1917), p. 418 f.; The Nation, vol. CIV (1917), pp. 342-344; R. Priebsch, in The Modern Language Review, vol. XII (1917), pp. 113-119; W. P. Reeves, in Modern Language Notes, vol. XXXIII (1918), pp. 242-246.

The following criticisms of my first volume of Contributions are known to me: Cl. Huart, in Revue critique d'histoire et de littérature, vol. LXXXV (1918), p. 181 f.; Henry Bradley, in English Historical Review, vol. XXXIII (1918), pp. 252-255.

As one reads Meillet's attack on my personality and on Harvard University, one has the lurking suspicion that in his frenzy his only purpose was to scare weakkneed University Publication boards into refusing the printing of my books. If any birds of his feather have been affected by him, so much the worse for them. In any case. Harvard University does not withdraw from me the use of its motto Veritas, and in its name many a victory will be recorded in time. A youthful philologist of the Central West, Bloomfield, on whose lips the milk of graduate philology has not yet dried and who, in all likelihood, would not be able to read a page of Russian or Old Bulgarian without a dictionary, steps out of his way to lecture me on Russian and Slavic. The philologist of the University of Chicago, Wood, who enlightens the Cimmerian darkness of philology with starred forms in endless profusion, appeals to the scientists of the country to stop my

utterances. I reciprocate by a similar appeal to the scientists of the country and the world not to interfere with Wood's elucubrations, and beg the waste-paper periodicals to print them as fast as they are cackled, because nothing will bring greater discredit on the old school than Wood's writings, even though it is a pity that they lead to an inanity of doctors' theses unparalleled in University annals. In the years 1915, 1916 the German department of the University of Chicago, of which Mr. Wood is the guiding spirit, gave doctor's degrees on the basis of the following dissertations:

- 1. "The Semantic Development of Words for 'Eating and Drinking' in the Germanic Dialects."
- 2. "Parts of the Body in Older Germanic and Scandinavian."
- 3. "The Semantic Development of Words for Walk, Run in the Germanic Languages."

In the nearly 500 pages of these three inane dissertations, which look more like tasks in a penal institution, there is not one word of discussion, nothing but words. The cause for Mr. Wood's frenzy in the face of my work is obvious: he knows that my method will put a stop to philological work of the Packing-House variety, and hence his appeal to the scientists.

For the present I wish to confine myself to putting two of my critics in the pillory, Mr. Huart, of France, and Mr. Henry Bradley, of England. The first, in reviewing my Contributions, makes this statement: "On regrettera seulement qu'à un moment où les descendants des Goths ont mené la conduite que l'on sait, l'auteur ait cru devoir adapter à son ouvrage, en guise d'épigraphe, des vers d'Alcuin." What one wants to know is this: 1. What have my political views got to do with the subject matter under discussion? 2. How am I making pro-German propaganda in a book in which I am trying to destroy the

scientific creations of the Germans? 3. If Huart had shown the least amount of critical acumen, he would have inquired into my political past and would have discovered that I was one of the seven charter members of the Pro-Ally Society in 1916, increased a month later to fifty, who by word and action spurred America on to participation in the war on the side of the Allies. A critic who blunders so egregiously on matters of good taste, common sense, and primary facts, is, indeed, not qualified to be a critic of a book of which he understands neither the contents nor the purpose. It would seem that stupidity could not go any further, but it actually outdid itself in the case of Mr. Henry Bradley.

Mr. Bradley, the guardian angel of the Oxford Dictionary, is a gentleman of the blunderbussing type. In an article which I wrote in 1896, entitled English Lexicography, I directed attention to the reckless way in which the editors of the Oxford Dictionary had treated the old word books and dictionaries, and pointed out more than 600 blunders and omissions in the first three or four volumes, finishing up with the sentence: "It is to be sorely regretted that the Oxford Dictionary does not incorporate the results of a thorough study of the old dictionaries, cyclopedias, and word books."

As the work proceeded, the wretched etymologies contained in the Oxford Dictionary, which are of the "you-pay-your-money-and-you-take-your-choice" variety, convinced me that Mr. Bradley, who is more than anyone else responsible for them, was temperamentally unfit to handle so delicate a subject. In 1911, therefore, I spoke of the Oxford Dictionary as "that great repository of quotations and etymological blunders." A few years later I was obliged to characterize Mr. Bradley et al., not as philologists, that is, as lovers

² See p. xlvi.

¹ Modern Language Notes, vol. XI, col. 352 ff.

of the word, of truth, but as philanderers, that is, lovers of men, of authorities, who could not, if they tried, quote facts correctly. Indeed, I chose the word philanderer on the basis of the etymology given for philander by the Oxford Dictionary, where we read: "A name given to certain marsupial animals, from the name of Philander de Bruyn, who saw in 1711 in the garden of the Dutch governor of Batavia the species named after him, being the first member of the family known to Europeans (Morris Austral Eng.)." Even the most primitive caution, the inspection of a library catalogue, would have shown the writer or the editor that de Bruin's first name was Cornelis, and not Philander. A secondary caution, the inspection of the book itself, would have shown him that Filander is there mentioned as a native name. A tertiary caution, the inspection of a Malay dictionary and other works dealing with the Malays, would have shown him that the Malay name of the animal is pělandok or pělandu. But this is exactly what Mr. Bradley does not know how to do. He abhors facts, and prefers to traffic in theories and to depend on "authorities." Morris's Austral English is an authority, so that settles it. I am unable to find in the Oxford Dictionary the use of first sources. Everything is at second hand and very frequently of the philander type of precision.

It is clear that Mr. Bradley's frenzy is due to the panicky condition in which my discoveries leave him. If even a small part of them is correct, all his superb, second-rate philology goes to smash, and his life's work is a failure. From this there is an escape, by discrediting my work through an enormous and uncalled-for vulgarity. Mr. Bradley canonizes himself a saint in philology, and, to give himself a semblance of fairness, he patronizingly admits that my treatment of Vergil Maro the Grammarian is correct. Here is

the way he ends his review of my Contributions: "The volume is extremely amusing, not less by its cleverness than by its absurdities, and it contains some quotations and references that may be found useful. The chapter on 'Virgilius Maro' is, as we have gladly acknowledged, not destitute of value, and possibly there may be a few other instances in which Professor Wiener's unquestionable acuteness and industry have not been misapplied. But as a whole the work is a mass of wild extravagance, compared with which the writings of Mr. Ignatius Donnelly are models of sane and judicious reasoning. Happily for the credit of American scholarship this book is not, as was the author's former volume, published by the Harvard University Press."

Now Mr. Bradley's blunderbussing never abandons him. In the very reviews in which he takes me to task for typographical errors, he spells my adopted state "Massachussets," indeed a venial offence, except that gentlemen who live in glass houses, etc. most pathetic case of blunderbussing that he has committed of late appears in a number of the Classical Quarterly for April, 1919, where his article, Remarks on the Corpus Glossary, ends with the following postscript: "Since the above was in type, and too late for any extensive corrections to be made, I have become convinced by evidence kindly furnished to me by Professor W. M. Lindsay of the unsoundness of the argument on which I principally relied as proving that the archetypal glossary (as distinguished from the additions made by the redactor of Corpus) contained a large number of Aldhelm glosses," etc. According to the school of philology to which Mr. Bradley belongs, the same subject may be accepted or rejected, provided it is all done in the approved lingo of philology. The

truth counts for little, theories for everything. Again and again Mr. Bradley remains true to his temperamental blunderbussing.

In spite of his obvious incapacity I should have left Mr. Bradley to oblivion, for the sake of the little good he may have done in life, had he not been significantly devoid of the basic elements of an English gentleman, those of fair play. As it is, he has himself to blame for my record of his quarter of a century of blundering, which makes his acting as a critic of my works impossible. "Si tacuisses, philologus mansisses!"

2.

GERMAN LOAN-WORDS AND THE SECOND SOUND SHIFTING.1

It is well established that at different times, from the beginning of the OHGerman period up to the late Middle Ages, certain consonant changes have taken place in the Upper German dialects. These changes are collectively known as the Second Sound Shifting. although not all consonants have permutated simultaneously throughout the whole linguistic area. It is rightly assumed that barring peculiar irreducible consonant groups and crossing influences, this change took place uniformly within the whole language, and that Modern High German represents the group of the Second Sound Shifting.

German philologists are accustomed to subject loan-words to the test of native words and to judge of the approximate age of their introduction by the manner in which the permutations have taken place. They seem to forget that what is true of changes within the languages is not eo ipso true of changes in newcomers

¹ Modern Language Notes, vol. X, col. 10 ff.

whose foreign garb marks them as belonging to a special class. As far as I know, no one has as yet attempted to investigate German loan-words properly. for Kluge's etymologies cannot be regarded in this light. His methods of putting foreign words to the test of the sound mutation leads him to some strange

and amusing results.

Kluge¹ regards the affricata pf as the surest sign of an early borrowing, and to this pf we shall mainly devote our attention. Under Pfalz we find: "As the permutation of L. G. p to H. G. pf indicates, the word must have been naturalized in G. as early as the beginning of the eighth century." Hence he argues that Pfahl, Pfosten, Pflanze had been introduced before the OHGerman period. But it cannot be denied that the same OHGerman has the words Paar, Pacht, Palme, Pech, Petersilie and many other words with unmutated p, and there is no reason to think that these are of a younger date than the former. Under Treppe he gives a form Trepfe for the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Are we to suppose two distinct borrowings from the North for it, one before and one after these two centuries? And how did the sound all of a sudden shift so late? Under Pforte we find: "Borrowed in the O. H. G. period in the eighth century, from Latin porta, hence the absence of the permutation of t to z, which had been accomplished even in the seventh century." But we have learned above that the change to pf had been accomplished before the beginning of the eighth century, so that there is left only the uncomfortable narrow limit between the seventh and the beginning of the eighth century, in which to slip porta into the language.

¹ Kluge's Etymological Dictionary, fourth edition, London 1891. Since writing this I have consulted the fifth edition; there is no improvement there in the treatment of loan-words.

And now, since t has changed to z before the seventh century, Kluge places Ziegel in the fifth or sixth century. Tafel, says he, is borrowed in the OHGerman period; Zabel, of course, to suit his theory, is older than OHGerman. But what reason is there to suppose that Ziegel, like all other architectural terms, is older than Turm, OHG. turri, turra? And what are we to do with Ketzer from $xa\vartheta a o o o$ in the eleventh century (ϑ at that time could have come in only through the medium of Lat. th or t), which shows a shifting at such a late time?

Words introduced by the Church into Germany, Kluge says, show no mutation; yet Christianity was known and generally accepted in Upper Germany long before the eighth century, before p had gone over to pf, and he can adduce no good reason why Pfaffe should be older than Papst and Pfarrer than predigen.

These few examples illustrate the improper treatment of loan-words. The first mistake made by philologists in dealing with them arises from a misconception of the manner in which sound changes take place and perpetuate themselves. Winteler says by implication that Upper German consonantism differs from Northern consonantism in that it distinguishes quantity of explosive sounds and not quality: b and p, g and k, dand t differ only by a greater or lesser pressure of the respective organs, and are all voiceless. HG. p. k, t. when used in words which the Swiss hear for the first time, are reproduced by them in an aspirated or affricated form, namely, ph, kh or ky, th. The main features of the second sound shifting are greatly due to this UGerman aspiration. This, doubtless, has been a characteristic of UGerman speech upwards of ten centuries, and the OHGerman and MHGerman graphic signs ph, ch, th merely mark the first steps towards a

¹ Die Kerenzer Mundart des Kantons Glarus in ihren Grundzügen dargestellt, Leipzig and Heidelberg 1876.

stronger enunciation resulting in affricatae in two of the three sounds. The second sound shifting owes its origin primarily to a particular locality and a particular people, not to a particular time. At a later time, when the art of writing becomes general, this native change may be retarded and it may even retrograde, but of this I shall speak later. This affrication is going on to-day as much as in the time of the Carlovingians, and will go on as long as books and a closer intercourse with the learned do not exert a corrective influence.

Before entering upon a further discussion of the Germanic sound shifting. I shall illustrate the working and persistency of sound substitution in some Russian In Russian, as well as other Slavic loan-words. idioms, f exists only in foreign words. In native words the voiced dentolabial spirant frequently becomes voiceless before consonants and finally, so that in reality f is not an impossible sound to a Russian. Ever since the introduction of Christianity, Gr. 9 has been pronounced as f in Russian, hence Afiny, Korinf, Fomá (Thomas). And even today a Slav's first attempt to pronounce I think is sure to result in I fink. White Russian, f is preserved in all such foreign words as the White Russian continually hears pronounced by Germans and Poles living in his midst, but he invariably at first hearing will change all his f's of foreign words to yv or even y. So, while we find in WRussian fura, figura, fefer, fal's, other foreign words, for example, fonar, fest, fortuna, fartuk, oficér become xvonar, xvest, xvortuna, xvartuk, axvicer; and the Graeco-Russian names Oeodosij, Oeodor become Xvedós, Xvjódor or even Xadós, Xadór. Now xv has been for many centuries a distinctive Russian combination, and in two out of six OSlavonic words with initial yv

recorded by Miklosich, Russian influence is suspected.1 We see here a process of sound mutation in operation for many centuries and one not likely soon to cease.

In Silesian dialects the initial affricata pf has advanced to simple f, while medial and final pf or f have retrograded to $p,^2$ but owing to book influence pf is still felt as a legitimate correspondent to LGerman or foreign p:3 hence we find the forms Supfe, Trepfe, Klapfer, Klumpfen, and what is still stranger, Polish pieniadze has undergone sound shifting and has become Phinunse.4 Another example of aspiration is Tôbich for Tabak, which is certainly a modern word. More frequently, however, the reverse process of softening has taken place in consequence of the checking influence of books.6

In the Kerenz dialect initial pf corresponds to UG. pf. Loan-words introduced through Modern German change their initial p to ph, while those that came in through MHGerman (book-language) show unmutated p or even b. Now ph is the nearest approach to pf:

¹ Franz Miklosich, Vergleichende Grammatik der slavischen Sprachen, Wien

^{1879,} vol. I, p. 239.

² Karl Weinhold, *Ueber deutsche Dialectforschung*, Wien 1853, p. 73.

³ "Meines Wiszens wird nur (ausz religiöser Scheu) in Schepfer creator

das pf rein gesprochen; das Gefäsz zum Schepfen heiszt Schepper. Formen wie Supfe, Trepfe sind wol ausz missverstandener Sucht recht rein zu

wie Supie, Treple sind woi ausz missverstandener Sucht recht fem zu sprechen zu deuten, oder sind sie die streng hochdeutschen Formen?" ibid.

4 "Das polnische Wort pieniadze Geld (ausz dem deutschen Pfennig entlehnt) hat bei der Rückaufname in das deutschschlesische die Lautverschiebung ergriffen: Phinunse (Trebnitz)," ibid., p. 74.

⁵ Ibid., p. 85. 6 The softening of p to b, k to g, t to d, so common in MHGerman, and the reverse process of hardening, no doubt arise from the absence of a quantitative difference between the mediae and tenues in the Upper German dialects. While the sandhi rules of Notker's canon may have had sound foundations in actual differentiation, yet on the whole the interchange of mediae with tenues, or, to speak with Winteler, of fortes with lenes, is rather arbitrary in MHGerman. The Silesian dialects distinguish between mediae and tenues, but evidently owing to book influence foreign words appear in the MHGerman form. "Diese Neigung des deutschen, fremde Labialtenuis zu erweichen, wo sie nicht aspiriert wurde, erscheint bekantlich mhd. in auszgedenter Weise," Weinhold, op. cit., p. 72.

7 Winteler, op. cit., p. 44.

XXXIV HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

Phak Pack, phur pur, Phersu Person, Phauli Paul, "ein in Bauernfamilien noch fremder Name." So. too. Goth. k has become x, while MHG. k invariably sounds kx (k), and in other Swiss dialects kx corresponds to organic Goth. $k.^2$

When we say that in Upper German the permutation pf for p was accomplished in the seventh century, we merely mean that it was then universally accomplished for native words; but the change in newly introduced foreign words may take place for many centuries later and is not excluded even to-day in cases where

book influence is not possible.

Another error is to suppose that all foreign words adopted before the seventh century must have undergone sound change together with native words. If the origin of the word is not transparent and it offers no strange combinations, then naturally it is subjected to the same treatment as German words. The word, however, may have originated in Latin books and its origin may long be present in the mind of the speaker, or it may be a Romance word for some commodity or luxury accessible only to the upper classes, who are aware of its foreign origin or even are conversant with the foreign language. In this case a word may withstand the sound shifting for an indefinite time, or, at some later time, it may become the common property of the lower classes and it may undergo the sound change.

Words referring to Church and religion form a large group of book words whose Latin origin was continually before the eyes of priests and communicants, and it is

sound mutation is seen in Ger. Zins, Lat. census, which in OSaxon became

tins.

^{1 &}quot;In Uebereinstimmung mit dem mhd. erscheint b für welsches p, meist im Anlaut, z. B.: balme, etc. . . . doch haben andere die Fortis behalten, z. B. par . . . während noch andere, offenbar durch das Hochdeutsche vermittelte, die Aspirata aufweisen," ibid., p. 56.

2 Ibid., p. 50 and 52. A curious case of a loss of a supposed UGerman

not to be wondered at that Priester, Papst, Dom, Pein, Plage, predigen, Kreuz, Altar should show no sound shifting, and yet we find phîne, pflâge, pflôge (Lexer). Where, however, the corrective of the Latin booklanguage was absent and the word was diffused among the masses, the sound change could have taken place even at a later period. There is no reason to doubt the origin of Pfaffe from LLat. papa and Pfarre from parrochia. What Kluge gives under Pfaffe is no proof at all of Greek influence in the German Church. Gr. παπᾶς is first mentioned in the fourth Occumenical Council (A. D. 451); in the following centuries it occurs in the plural form papades in the Roman church and not before we reach the twelfth or the thirteenth century does papa become general in the sense of clericus.² As this word is not found in books of prayer or ritual, it is natural that it should become the full possession of the people in a true Germanic form. Precisely the same is to be said of parrochia,3 which being also a Greek word became Latinized at a relatively late time; not being found in the Bible and the prayerbook there was nothing in its way of becoming naturalized. Kluge objects to the loss of the last syllable, but such losses are not rare in German.

¹ E. A. Sophocles, Greek Lexicon of the Roman and Byzantine Periods,

1 E. A. Sophocles, Greek Lexicon of the Roman and Byzantine Periods, Boston 1870. He gives also πάππα; as an equivalent for παππα; and since a corresponding word for clericus does not occur in the Romance languages, the possibility of Pfaffe being merely a popular form of Papst is not excluded.

² To judge from Ducange, the word was first introduced into the Roman church by pope Zacharias who was by birth a Greek (eighth century). The nearest examples following this first quotation are all from bulls and chartularies of the time of Innocent III (end of twelfth century).

³ Ducange gives parofia as a variation for LLat. parochia, and we find this in the form parafia as the common word for parish in Polish, and paropi, paropia, parrofia, parrofi, parofi, perofia in the Provençal dialects (Mistral). No doubt parofia existed in MHGerman, and it is this form that must have given rise to MHG. pharthof (see Lexer. pharrehof) in which there is an given rise to MHG. pharrhof (see Lexer, pharrehof) in which there is an attempt at popular etymology and which means no more and no less than merely pfarre. Now pfarre must naturally result out of this combination. This becomes more probable when we consider the other popular etymology pharreherre for pharraere by the side of it: "ausdeutend entstellt aus pharraere, Wack," (Lexer).

So it is by no means strange that Teppich should show no sound changes, although it is found already in the OHGerman period. Yet it would have been but fair for Kluge to quote Lexer in toto and not to avoid forms which would work against his pet theory of precisely locating the borrowing of a word. Now we find the forms tepit, teppit, teppet, tept, tepich, teppich, tepech, teppech, tepch, deppich, tebich, tewich, töppich, teppît, tapît, tapet, tapeiz, and last, but not least, zeppet.1 So, after all, a partial sound change occurs, and Kluge would be compelled to place the origin of the word before the seventh instead of into the eighth century. But it is really immaterial what the form of the word is. for the different approximations found in MHGerman are precisely what we should expect without being driven to as many new derivations as there are forms.

The Germans are supposed to have borrowed a number of architectural terms from the Romans, and if there is any structural form with which the Germans were acquainted earlier than any other it is certainly the tower, Lat. turris. And yet this word has persisted as turri, turra in OHGerman, turm, turn in MHGerman and Mod. HGerman. What is Kluge to do with this obstinacy? He simply passes it over in silence. As a matter of course, the Latin word stayed with them as an ever present reminder of Roman power, and is no doubt as old as Tiegel, Pfahl and Pfosten.

The tendency of ascribing culture and cultivation of plants to Rome, I am afraid, has gone too far. De Candolle shows conclusively that certain kinds of

¹ Here are a few more examples from Lexer: panzier, panzer. . im 16. jh. bei Erasm. Alberus auch lautverschoben pfanzer; pâr, par . . phar; patêne, patêne, phatene, phaten; phahte, phaht, md. phacht, pacht, phât, packt; phlanzen, planzen(!); plâge, pflâge, pflâge; tambûr, tambûre, tanbûr, tabûr, tapûr, támbur, tamber, zambûr(!!); timît, dimît, zimît. We certainly could not regard zambûr, from Fr. tambour, as introduced before the seventh century.

plums¹ are indigenous to the central European plain. and that the cherry² and the pear³ had been cultivated in Germany from time immemorial. We should not assume a Roman origin except where it can be proved historically that the first importation came from Italy. A coincidence of sounds with the serviceable second sound shifting can at best be only adduced as a proof of common possession.4

Although Pfirsich exhibits the permutations completely, it is very doubtful whether it was known in Germany before the MHGerman period, and its absence from OHGerman is not at all so strange. The earliest example in Littré under pêche is of the thirteenth century and the Eng. peach shows that it is a late French importation. Rettig, according to Kluge, comes directly from Lat. radicem before the OHGerman period, on account of its final guttural. As a matter of fact, radix received the particular meaning of raphanus on French soil, as raditz, rais, etc., in Provençal and radis in French indicate, hence it is more likely that the radish became known as an edible root from France. Altogether, French importations have been placed by several centuries too late, and many of the southern fruits were more likely introduced from France, such as the fig and the peach.⁵

The Spanish boot of the second sound shifting has been rigorously applied by Kluge to the ending of words and with disastrous results. When a foreign

¹ Origine des plantes cultivées, Paris 1883, p. 170.

² Ibid., p. 165.

³ Ibid., p. 183. ⁴ Even if the word be taken from Latin there is not sufficient ground to assume an importation of the plant from Rome. The horseradish is known throughout Germany as *Meerrettig*, but in some parts of Austria the Slavic form *Kren* has survived. This in itself is not a proof that the plant has been imported from Russia.

b It will be noticed that Feige, Dattel, Zwiebel resemble much more the French words figue, datte (Ital. dattilo), ciboule than Lat. ficus, dactylus, caepulla. The latter would have given quite different results.

word is introduced into the native language with a different sound system, the tendency will be to so transform it as to give it a native appearance. sound mutation is a powerful agent in this direction. but it affects only the first part of the word, which in German corresponds to the accented root syllable of the word. In the following unaccented syllables the sound mutation according to the strict law does not always produce the desired effect, and more convenient transformations which follow the law of least resistance take place. Strange syllables receive the native garb. and dialectically patata becomes Patak, Appetit, A petīk³ and Tabak, Tôbich.⁴ The more a word becomes the possession of the people at large, the greater the change must be if it departs too much from the native form.

For the change of endings native syllabic combinations must be kept in mind. The MHG. and Mod. HG. -ig, -ich (ch after liquids) is a syllable of least resistance, and foreign -ic, -it, -ec, -et, -ac, -at, -j, etc., are liable to take this ending, hence such forms as Rettig, Pfirsich. Essig. Mönch, Kelch, Teppich, predigen, Käfig.5

Unusual combinations may be transformed. The change of turr to Turm (: Sturm, Wurm) is such an instance. Frequently all the changes combined are not sufficient to produce the desired result, and then popular etymology comes into play and still further transforms the combination. Such attempts are seen for example in MHG. pforzich, which we find as phorzeich, vörzich, forzaichen, furzog.

When we deal with loan-words in Modern German. all these facts must be considered. Besides, as is often

¹ Hence generally the accent is drawn back to the first syllable.
² Kluge, Elymological Dictionary, sub Kartoffel.
³ Winteler, op. cit., p. 56.
⁴ Weinhold, op. cit., p. 85.

Other MHGerman words are prisilig for prisilje "brasilienholz," bederich for pheteraere "petraria," phorzich, phorzeich "porticus."

the case, peculiar dialectic forms may survive, and it is not necessary to resort to the second sound shifting to locate the word. Phonetic studies are not the end of etymological investigation of these words, but merely an assistance in the chronological data of sources. Loan-words must mainly be studied historically, and the second sound shifting must not be juggled with.

3.

ECONOMIC HISTORY AND PHILOLOGY.1

In dealing with origins the writers of economic and historical subjects are wont to proceed from the data of the philologist, tacitly assuming that the science of words is based on immutable foundations and that they cannot take upon themselves the responsibility of an empiric investigation where etymology has once for all determined the facts by philosophic deductions and mechanical laws. But, by making light of the chronological element and by creating the somewhat arbitrary divisions of families of languages, philology is led to underrate the importance of the great trade routes, the geographic advance of civilization, the constant and endless interaction of custom, tale, and invention, which run counter to the families of languages and know not of individual tongues; and hence it has not furnished the proper material for the history of the economic development and cannot serve as a check on the historic method.

Philological activity has reduced itself to a number of specialized fields which, though useful from the standpoint of mere classification, are contrary to historic facts. We may speak of Germanic, Romance, Classical, Indo-Germanic languages, but these sub-

¹ The Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol. XXV, p. 239 ff.

divisions exclude conditions which find no place in the narrowed compass and, on the other hand, accentuate resemblances which are either accidental or have entered from without. There never was a Germanic, or Indo-Germanic, or Romance community or civilization. The historic evolution of Spain is quite different from that of Italy or Roumania, and there never was a time when the linguistic stock of these three was one and undivided. From the very start there were enormous differences, and if we proceed from the common Latin, we no longer have the substratum of Spain, Italy, or Roumania, but only a faint background on which the Iberian and Goth, Roman and Langebard. Dacian and Slav, have independently evolved themselves; and an entirely unrelated language, such as Hungarian, may as much represent the influence of the Roman civilization as does Latin Roumanian.

What has happened within historic times happened in prehistoric. Through the mixture of an original Indo-Germanic language at very different periods with very different linguistic stocks have arisen the many tongues which, by courtesy, we still denominate Indo-Germanic, even as, by discourtesy, an octaroon, who has but one-eighth of negro blood in him, is called a Hence it is absurd to predicate an Indo-Gernegro. manic or even a Germanic civilization, any more than one would think of establishing an "Urgeschichte" of Romance. It is only because the former are removed from documentary control that philologists have ventured on voluminous "Prehistoric Histories," while their statements in regard to historic times upon proper investigation as often prove wrong as right.

Philology cannot dissociate itself from the history of civilization in the treatment of the origin of words, for words are carried along roads of communication with the things which they represent, and it is idle to speculate on any prehistoric history until all the roads of communication have been traced and mapped out. These prehistoric histories base their conclusions on the universality of certain words in a linguistic group, but this is no more indicative of the presence of the things represented by these words in the original stock from which the group is derived than the universal use of the word "automobile" is indicative that the aborigines of Europe had invented this machine, just as the absence of a common word for "hand" cannot lead to the conclusion that the Indo-Germanic primitive man had not yet emerged from the quadruped stage.

I will illustrate the topsy-turviness of the philological method, as commonly practiced, by a few words of economic import which have, like all such words, emanated from great trade centers and have travelled along the customary trade routes, with little heed to linguistic affinities. The name of such words is legion, but the few treated here will suffice to indicate the path along which philology must walk, if it is to save itself from inanity, and to accentuate the close union which must subsist between philology and economic history. The two are inseparable wherever they overlap.

Relations with China.

Schrader² gives a list of names for "steel" related to Pers. pūlād; Syr. pld; Kurd. pila, pola, pulad; Pehl. pōlāwat; Armen. polovat; Turk. pala; Russ. bulat; Mizdzhegan polad, bolat; Mongol. bolot, bülàt, buriät. He is unable to suggest an origin for these words. Fr. Müller³ pointed out that the Pehlevi and Armenian

¹ The publication of a new periodical, Wörter und Sachen, by Meringer, Meyer-Lübke, and others, is a ray of light in a field of darkness.

² Sprachvergleichung und Urgeschichte, Jena 1883, p. 287.

³ Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, vol. V (1891), p. 186.

should be polarat and suggested Gr. πολύπαταξ "muchbeaten" as the original word. A number of mistakes were thus committed. In the first place, it was not right to limit the words to their Indo-Germanic form and, therefore, suggest a Greek root-word. Secondly, πολύπαταξ could not under any conditions be connected with steel, because steel is the result of the carbonization of iron, and the much-beating is later applied to it as much as to copper, iron, gold, etc.; and, chiefly, because there is not a particle of evidence that the Greeks ever used the word as a designation for steel. Thirdly, not all the countries of Asia had been exhausted in search for similar names, and so the possible center of issue was dislocated. For, by adding Tibetan p'olad, Sulu bālan, Tagalog patalim, Ilocano păslip, we at once see that the origin of the word may lie further to the east. Naturally one thinks of China as the possible point of issue, for there steel was known in the third millenium before our era and we have the positive reference to steel in a Chinese writer of the fifth century B. C. However, a perusal of the Chinese dictionary fails to furnish the word needed, for kang cannot lie at the foundation of $p\bar{u}l\bar{a}d$. The difficulty is at once removed by inquiring into the chief use of steel in China. We learn that the most important article made from it is the flintsteel, which "every Chinaman, as a true Mongol, always carries with him."2 Now flintsteel is in Chinese hwo-liem, in the Cantonese dialect fo-lim, literally "fire-sickle." The ancient pronunciation can only be guessed at. Though given as ho-liem, ha-liem, hwo-liem, the final consonant may have been less sonant and understood by hearers as a b or p; hence, while this fo-lim is rendered in Tagalog as patalim, in Sulu as bālan, Ilocano gives it as păslip.

¹ L. Beck, Die Geschichte des Eisens, Braunschweig 1884, vol. I, p. 294 ff. ² Ibid., p. 299.

The variant rendering of the first part is due to the wide, open pronunciation of fo.

I have no hesitancy in adding Gr. χάλυψ, χαλύβδιον "steel" to this group. The assumption, already expressed by the Greeks, that χάλυψ was so called from the Χάλυβες, the nation near the Pontus, who mined iron and from whom the iron for their steel was obtained, only indicates an attempt to explain the origin of the word, in the light of the fact that their iron was received from the East; or, what is also probable, the name of the Eastern nation from whom they received their iron was so changed as to bring it in harmony with the χάλυψ, which originally was derived from China, even as the Greeks named the Chinese Σῆρες, from σήρ "the silk-worm," which is from Chin. sze "silk." That the inhabitants of the Philippine Islands and the Mongols should have derived their flintsteel from China is natural enough, and, indeed, life in the Mongolian steppes would have been impossible without this manner of striking fire, which had been in practice in China since the most remote antiquity, because of the absence of firewood. But it does not follow that all the words adduced by Schrader are directly to be derived from the Chinese. The Armenian and Pehlevi polapat go back to the ninth century and are older than $p\bar{u}l\bar{a}d$, or rather $f\bar{u}l\bar{a}d$, the Persian form, to which most of the derivatives are related. The steel from Khorassan was famous in the Middle Ages, and it is, therefore, possible that the Mongolian and Tibetan words are formed from the Persian; but the Armenian and Pehlevi words, which may go back to an older folapt, bear such a striking resemblance to Gr. χαλύβδιον that it is difficult without more evidence to say whether the Greeks derived the word from the immediate East or vice versa. At the same time Gr. γαλυ6 - in pronunciation so much resembles OChin.

ha-liem that one feels inclined to assign to it a priority. Judgment must here be suspended until the investigation by sinologues may cast some new light on the early relations of China with the West.¹

Meanwhile I shall attempt to trace a few more commercial products to China. The steelyard and balance had been in use in China milleniums ago, but unfortunately I know of no treatment by modern writers which would explain the use of the various weighing machines mentioned in the Chinese dictionaries. Such a treatise, I am sure, would clear up many complex problems of mediaeval European trade. What I offer here is only tentative, a mere exposition of method, and not a final solution. The Chinese name for the balance is teen-ping, which appears in Annamese thien-binh, Japanese tempin, tembin, Malay and Sulu timbang, Tagalog timbang; that is, it is known to the whole extreme East. The Annamese has also the form can-thang-bang, generally applied to the steelyard, where can is identical with Chin. kin "a utensil for determining the weight of a thing," thang is Chin. tăng "small steelyard for weighing money," bang is the same as Chin. ping in teen-ping. An older shorter can-thang must be assumed by the side of Chin. le-tăng "a balance for weighing money," and this is unquestionably the origin of Hindustani kāntā "small goldsmith's scale." This Hind. kāntā cannot be derived from Arab. qantār, on account of the difference in spelling, and Arab., Turk. qantār (Gr. καντάοι, Albanian kandar "the large steelyard") has apparently arisen

¹ There is nothing new in the assumption of Greek relations with China. They have been pointed out by A. Gladisch (Die Hyperboreer und die alten Schinesen, Leipzig 1866) and Hepke (Die kulturgeschichtlichen Beziehungen der alten Chinesen und der Hellenen, in Verhandlungen der Gesellschaft für Erdkunde zu Berlin, vol. VI, pp. 171-186), and B. Laufer (Die Sage von den goldgrabenden Ameisen, in T'oung Pao, série II, vol. IX, pp. 429-452) has shown that the gold-digging ants of Herodotus are not a mere myth, but point to a commercial relation between Greece and the extreme East.

from a confusion of the Eastern term with LLat. centenarius, Gr. \varkappa εντανάριον "a hundredweight." There is in Chinese an older name for the steelyard, the classical keuen-hăng, from keuen "poise" and hăng "the beam placed transversely," and a later one, heng-ping, which in the older pronunciation sounded very nearly keng-pang. One of these forms is responsible for Pers. $kap\bar{a}n$, whence it was taken into Arab. $qabb\bar{a}n$ "steelyard," and Gr. $\varkappa a\mu\pi\alpha\nu\acute{o}\varsigma$ "steelyard," which is for the first time mentioned in the fourth century. It thus seems that the oldest dissemination of the word and thing was by the way of Persia, a somewhat later one by the way of India, and a more modern one in the extreme East.

That silk and silk wares were exported from Asia to Europe and that the Chinese traded with the West at least 1000 B. C. are well established facts, and it can be shown that at least one product of the European looms of the twelfth century originated—who knows how far back?—in Central Asia, whither it was at a still earlier date brought from China. In the Middle Ages there was known in Europe a cloth tiretaine, which with the burel and burnet belonged to the most popular products of West-European manufacture. Let us see what information one can gain on the matter

¹ There are other Arabic words which are ultimately derived from Chinese One of the most important Arabic words introduced into mediaeval trade is samsar "broker," generally known in the Italicized form sensal. It has been pointed out that this Arabic word is originally Persian, but it cannot be explained from any Persian root-word. Besides, we have no record of any advanced commercial enterprise originating in Persia, which only acted as an intermediary between the East and West. This Pers. samsar is nothing but Chin. chingchi "broker," from ching (king) "a person through whose hands an affair passes" and chi (ki) "to record." The Arabs began to trade with China in the beginning of the seventh century (A. von Kremer, Culturgeschichte des Orients unter den Chalifen, Wien 1877, vol. II, p. 280), hence it is not unlikely that many Arabic words of Chinese origin were directly derived from China. On the relations between the Arabs and the Chinese, see E. Bretschneider, On the Knowledge Possessed by the Ancient Chinese of the Arabs and Arabian Colonies, and other Western Countries Mentioned in Chinese Books, London 1871.

from the most approved and scientific dictionaries. Hatzfeld and Darmesteter, in their French Dictionary, inform us that it seems to be derived from Fr. tirer "to pull," and that it was a kind of cloth, half linen, half cotton. Monlau¹ thinks Span. tiritaña is older than Fr. tiretaine and that it is derived from the verb tiritar "to tremble with cold," on account of the rustling sound which it makes, or from Eng. tartan. These are the kind of etymologies that my janitor indulged in when he looked at the radiator and called it a "ready heater." We turn to the Oxford English Dictionary, the great repository of quotations and etymological blunders, and find under tartan: "It has been conjectured to a. F. tiretaine (1247 in Godef. Compl.) 'a kind of cloth, half wool, half linen or cotton,' for which a variant tertaine is quoted by Godefroy of date 1487. . . Another conjecture would identify the cloth with that called tartar or tartarin, of which the 16th c. forms tartarne, tarterne, somewhat approach tartane. But the quotations for tartar and tartarin point to a richer and more costly stuff." Under tartar we read: "OF. tartare, tartaire (c. 1300 in Godefroy), Med. L. tartarium, tartareus (pannus) 'cloth of Tartary,' a rich kind of cloth, probably silk, used in 15th and 16th centuries. . . tartariums, Colonel Yule believes, were so called 'not because they were made in Tartary, but because they were brought from China through the Tartar dominions.""

Absolutely no conception can be formed of what the mysterious cloth was, where it came from, or of what economic import it may have been. We seek for information in Francisque-Michel,² but with little more success. To judge from the quotations given by him, tartare or tartaine, tartara, tartariscus, etc., was

¹ Diccionario etimológico de la lengua castellana, Madrid 1881.

² Recherches sur le commerce, la fabrication et l'usage des étoffes de soie, d'or et d'argent, et autres tissus précieux, Paris 1854, vol.II.

some kind of striped material, of which silk was the main ingredient, and which was sometimes worked with gold, and he did not hesitate to announce that tartare may have been applied in the European factories to an inferior article, on account of the sensation produced by the silk product.1 Francisque-Michel almost guessed correctly, and had he proceeded to expand his investigations to the whole of Europe, he undoubtedly would have ascertained the true state of affairs. exclusive treatment of a word as French or Spanish cannot lead to the truth, and even the history of the thing can lead to no results so long as the historian is satisfied with the philologist's method of drawing his conclusions chiefly from literary references. The fact is reversed. Only after a word has had its run in the mercantile, industrial, and civic life does it enter literature, and the treatment of the same by poets and historians reflects only what it was thought to be at the period of such mention, not what it originally was or even continued to be in every-day use. To ascertain the origin and meaning of tiretaine and its possible relation to tartan, tarletan, tartarin, we must first of all discover from a vast number of references what the underlying chief connotation of tiretaine was. Only then shall we be entitled to philological and economic assumptions. For purpose of geographical convenience I shall proceed from the west to the east.

In Portugal tiritana or tricana is a coarse woolen overcoat worn by peasants of Coimbra, but some give it as a kind of petticoat, also a countrywoman; whereas in Spain tiritaña, tiritaina is a kind of silk, but tiritaina also means "a thing of little value." Cotgrave says of Fr. tiretaine "linsie-woolsie, or a kind thereof, worne ordinarily by the French peasants." In 1253 there is mention of a manufacturer of tiretaines as

¹ Ibid., p. 167 ff.

tiretier.1 It is generally mentioned together with galebrun,² of which it was some kind of variety. In the Vaudois country tredaina is a coarse cloth made of native wool,3 in Geneva it is tredaina, trépelanna, and at Lausanne tredon, tredan means "noise, tumult." In the Languedoc we find tirintin, throughout the Provence tirantèino, tirlantèino, tirlintèino, tirangèino, tinteino, tiratagno in the sense of tiretaine or cloth of a poor quality, tarlatano, tarlantano "tarlatan, cloth of poor quality," tridagno, tridaino, tridèino "cloth of poor quality, rags." In Italy, mezzalana "any woolsie, or linsie-woolsie stuffe, half wool and silke, or linnen,"5 seems exactly to correspond to our tiretaine, and the term seems to have taken the place, as a popular and correct rendering, of what was called tuttalana. This tuttalana. 6 sometimes called tuttalana bassetta, was no more of pure wool than some of our "all-wool" products, and is in all probability a corruption of some such word as turtuna, even as tredaina has in Geneva been corrupted into trépelanna, as tiritana has in Portugal been changed into tricana, and, as I suspect, Fr. tricot, for the first time mentioned by Cotgrave as a term at Orleans, is but a corruption of the same tiretaine. this aspect of the word I hope to return at some future time.

In Holland tiereteyn, dierteyn is given as an equivalent for burel.⁷ In High German it is recorded from the fifteenth century on as dirdenday, diradey, dirledey,

^{1 &}quot;Et se tiretier tissoit tiretaine ki ne fust boine et loials et ri n'eust deux aunes de largece en ros." (Bans des tiretaines, de 1253), in Jaubert, Glossaire du Centre de la France, Paris 1864, sub tiretier.

Les métiers et corporations de la ville de Paris, Paris 1879, p. 274.

³ D. Bridel, Glossaire du patois de la Suisse romande, Lausanne 1866.

Mistral, Dictionnaire provençal-français.

Mistral, Dictionnaire provençal-français.

Florio, Queen Anna's New World of Words, London 1611.

"15 brachia tuctalani Florent. coloris Persi," R. Davidsohn, Forschungen zur Geschichte von Florenz, III. Theil, Berlin 1901, p. 73.

^{7 &}quot;Vestis lino et lana confecta.. burellum," Kilian, Etymologicum teutonicae linguae, Traiecti Batavorum 1777.

dirmadey, dermentey, dirdumdey, dirtmedey, dilmedey "coarse cloth, half flax, half wool, a mixture of corn and barley, hodge-podge," but in Lower Germany it is recorded from the fourteenth century on as tirletei, derdendei, trittendei, tirumtei, and in Ulm durendei is the nickname for an awkward fellow.2 Amazing is the effect of this word upon the Russian language. It does not seem to be recorded as an appellation for cloth, but has become the foundation for the common designation of "fool" and "bad." The popular forms under which the word for "fool" occurs in Russia show that they owe their origin to the German traders in the north. These popular forms are: duraley, duranday, durandas, durynda, durašman, duren', and the last is also the common word for "fool" in Polish. Out of these variant forms have arisen the literary words durak "fool" and durnoy "bad." No other Slavic languages have any derivatives from this stem.

All the above-mentioned words obviously arise from one ground form which must be able to produce the following meanings: (1) striped cloth, (2) linsie-woolsie, and silk, (3) mixture, hodge-podge, racket, nonsense. As the tendency in the manufacture of the Middle Ages usually was towards the deterioration of goods, a striped mixture of silk with some other substance would be the material which would satisfy all the abovementioned conditions. This we find in Manchu turtun "étoffe crêpée," Mongol turtum "a stuff woven from silk and camel hair,"4 and these are from Chin. ch'e-tseu, literally "silk-gauze or silk-hemp."5

Schmeller, Bayerisches Wörterbuch.
 Schiller and Lübben, Mittelniederdeutsches Wörterbuch.

³ Amyot, Dictionnaire tartare-mantchou françois, Paris 1789, vol. II,

p. 325. 4 K. Th. Golstunski, Mongol'sko-russki slovar', S. Peterburg 1893, vol.

⁵ For a fuller treatment of burels see my article, Materialien zu einer Geschichte der Kleidung im Mittelalter, II, in Revue de linguistique, vol. XLIV (1911).

deterioration to a linsie-woolsie at once connects Port. tiritana with Scotch tartan, which is the same kind of striped goods, and it will be observed in the costumes of the Middle Ages that peasants are frequently represented in checkered garments. On the other hand, the mixture of silk and wool or silk and hemp accounts for the muslin of poor quality called tarlatan. capacity of striped silk goods of a better quality it became responsible for the silks striped with gold which are several times mentioned in Francisque-Michel's quotations.

We must still account for the presence of an originally Eastern manufacture in the European factories of the thirteenth century or even earlier. Tiretaine formed so important a part of Cologne manufacture in the fourteenth century that the manufacturers, called, as in the French of the thirteenth century, tyrteyer, maintained a guildhall of their own known as tirteyhuyss, and it was, in all probability, produced in Mayence in the twelfth century, to judge from its association with galebrun, which certainly was a product of Mavence looms at that time. It was, in the twelfth century, imported into Montpellier under the name of tiretum and taxed like cendatum.2 But Mavence must have been in close relations with Turkestan even earlier than the eleventh century, to judge from the large number of Samarkand silver coins of the early part of the tenth century and the many Eastern wares found there by an Arab traveller.3

¹ W. Stein, Akten zur Geschichte der Verfassung und Verwaltung der Stadt Köln im. 14. und 15. Jahrhundert, Bonn 1895, vol. II, in the Vocabulary.

² "De tireto et cendato, II den.," Liber instrumentorum memorialium, Montpellier 1884-86, pp. 408 and 438.

³ Ch. M. Fraehn, Beleuchtung der merkwürdigen Notiz eines Arabers aus dem XI. Jahrhundert über die Stadt Maynz, in Mémoires de l'académie impériale des sciences de Saint-Pétersbourg, VI. série, Sciences politiques, histoire et philologie, vol. II, p. 87 ff.

Garbo Wool.

"In antiquarian and topographic works on the history of Florence," says Doren, "and in general philological discussions the word garbo has played an important part, especially in the Florentine literature of the sixteenth century: a mass of sagacity and learning, but also much fancifulness and arbitrary commenting has been wasted on its explanation and on its vicissitudes. Like a red thread there passes the same error through all these expositions, and this error is closely connected with the history of our industry. . . . If one goes back far enough, garbo is the Italian designation for the Sultanate Algarve in the west of modern Portugal, from which, as we saw before, the finest of cloths, manufactured by the Arabs, was in early times imported to Italy: a small street even then received its name from the sale of this cloth, and a family was named del Garbo from this street or, perhaps, because it chiefly busied itself with the importation of these stuffs. Finally, the name Algarve clearly is derived from Arab. garbi 'western,' since that Sultanate designated the extreme west of all the Arabian realms of the Mediterranean."

That "as we saw before" is not based on any historic proof, but only on a reference to Davidsohn.² If we now turn to Davidsohn,³ we find the following: "How extensive the Florentine trade with Algarvia cloth was in the beginning of the thirteenth century is evidenced by the fact that the street where it was located was called the *Garbo* even then, it having preserved the name until recent times, and that among

¹ Die Florentiner Wollentuchindustrie vom vierzehnten bis zum sechzehnten Jahrhundert, in Studien aus der Florentiner Wirtschaftsgeschichte, Stuttgart 1901, vol. I, p. 65 f.

² Ibid., p. 22. ³ Geschichte von Florenz, Berlin 1896, vol. I, p. 793.

the customers of a banker, of whose ledgers of the year 1211 chance has saved for us a few pages, no branch of business is more frequently mentioned than that of the merchants of the Garbo." Thus we move in a vicious circle: "The cloth came from Algarve, consequently it was called de Garbo," and "the wool was called de Garbo, consequently it came from Algarve." The confusion is increased by Schulte, who identifies Garbo with barbaresca and has it come from northwest Africa. Thereupon Davidsohn took Doren's part² and tried to prove that Garb originally referred to southern Portugal, and Schaube³ thought he had settled the whole matter by pointing out the highly developed cloth industry of the Mussulmans in northern Africa. Thus philologists and historians have gyrated about the zero point without making the slightest advance in any direction. It is the old trick of excluding from consideration such matters as might widen their horizon, on the stereotyped plea that they are foreign to their specialized departments, whereas such specialization is generally suicidal and invariably increases the difficulty of a thorough investigation.

The amazing thing is that nowhere outside of Tuscany do we ever hear of *Garbo* wool and *Garbo* cloth, although *Garb*, which the Arabic scholars identify with western Algeria and eastern Morocco, was well known to the Latin peoples, and occasionally was visited by them for commercial purposes. In vain one would

² Garbowolle und Garbotuche, in Historische Vierteljahrschrift, vol. VII, p. 385 ff.

¹ Garbo und Florenz, in Zeitschrift für die gesamme Staatswissenschaft, vol. LVIII, p. 39 ff.

³ Handelsgeschichte der romanischen Völker des Mittelmeergebiets bis zum Ende der Kreuzzüge, München and Berlin 1906, p. 780.

⁴ Schulte, op. cit., p. 41 f.
⁶ "In itinere Cecilie, in bucio nave Sancti Nicholai, et inde ubicumque Deus ei ordinabit, causa negotiandi, in Garbum vel in Ispaniam," L. Blancard, Documents inédits sur le commerce de Marseille au moyen-âge, Marseille 1884, vol. I, p. 101.

look in Portuguese, Spanish, Catalan, Provençal documents for such a mention. Even in Tuscany there is an enormous difference between Garbo wool and wool imported from Garb. In Siena lana di garbo is apparently placed far above all other kinds of wool, whereas in Pisa, in the beginning of the fourteenth century, lana di garbo is considered of about the same quality as francesca or francigena. Doren has shown that under the latter name English wool is to be understood and that, furthermore, the lana francesca continued to rise in value, while garbo occupied a secondary position. But in the middle of the thirteenth century garbo was unquestionably the finest kind of wool or cloth, as is shown by the fact that at Bologna lana de garbo alone could be dved.2 whereas at a later time English wool shared this privilege to an even higher degree. Doren has pointed out the great confusion that later developed in connection with the term garbo, but with that we have no concern here. We wish only to ascertain the original meaning of the expression and to point out the reason for a possible later confusion. In 1315 lana de garbo is quoted in relation of 50 to 65, as compared with English wool,3 whereas in a tariff list of 1307 wool from Garbo is almost the lowest in the list.4 Whereas a salma of English, Scotch, and Burgundy wool is quoted at 15s., and a salma of wool from Catalonia and the Provence at 10s., lane sucide de Tunis, Bugea et Garbo is given at 2s. 6d., that is, the proportion is here 10 to 60, as against 50 to 65 before. There is here a con-

² "Item statuimus quod nulla lana debeat habere tinturam nisi fuerit lana de garbo vel etiam varia, et si lana aliqua vel pannum inveniretur que tinta esset et non nuaria vel de garbo auferratur ab eo et comburatur in curia comunis," L. Frati, Statuti di Bologna dall' anno 1245 all'anno 1267, Bologna 1869, vol. II, p. 72. What varia, nuaria is, is not clear.

³ "Entschädigung zu zahlen pro qualibet salma lane lavate de Garbo 50 fl. aur. et lane Fragigine 65 fl. auri," R. Davidsohn, Forschungen zur Geschichte von Florenz, III. Theil, p. 132.

⁴ Ibid., p. 102.

temporary confusion which cannot be explained on any theory of deterioration in the product, a confusion which, as we shall see, was universal along the Mediterranean.

In a tariff of Perpignan of 1284 and 1295 we have a reference to "teles de Garp:" "teles del garp" (de Garp), e vintenes, e canabas, e totes autres teles," while in the Leudaire de Saverdun (1327)2 "carbe filat o non filat" follows after lana and li. In Raynouard's Provencal Dictionary carbe = "canabe, hemp," and this exactly suits the sense in the Leudaire, for after wool and flax one can think only of a hemp product; consequently the del Garp of Perpignan cannot be identical with carbe of Saverdun, since after del Garp comes canabes which is the same as carbe. What vintenes is I do not know: vintenas and cannabas, however, occur already in a Marseille tariff of 1228,3 and in a list of 1190 at Genoa,4 but instead of being preceded by teles del Garp, they are preceded by telas primas. 5 Obviously del Garp corresponds to primas and to English A1, but tela can only mean cloth made from flax, hemp, or cotton; consequently del Garp was in the thirteenth century in the Provence, as in Tuscany, a commercial expression of excellence, referring, however, not to wool or cloth, but to a textile fabric of either hemp or cotton. Since tela de Garp and tela de Rems pay a duty of 1dr., whereas "totes autres teles, o de Campayna, o d'Alamayna, o d'autra terra" pay 2dr., it is reasonable to

² Ibid., vol. XVI, p. 108.

¹ Revue des langues romanes, vol. IV, p. 371, vol. V, p. 85. The editor, A. Alart, says: "Ce mot ce retrouve encore dans le tarif de 1295, et nous sommes porté à le faire venir de l'arabe el garb (le couchant). Il s'agirait donc, dans ce sens, des toiles de l'ouest de la France?"

³ L. Méry and F. Guindon, Histoire analytique et chronologique des actes et des délibérations du corps et du conseil de la municipalité de Marseille, Marseille 1841, vol. I, p. 346.

⁴ Historiae patriae monumenta, vol. VII, col. 361.

Méry and Guindon, op. cit., p. 345.

⁶ Revue des langues romanes, vol. IV, p. 372.

suppose that tela de Garp was a native product and so was favored as against Champagne, German, or other foreign goods.

In the Statutes of Bologna of the thirteenth century we have a prohibition against the notary's use of paper de garbo¹ or garbitta.2 That this is not a prohibition against the use of paper made of cotton fibre is evidenced by another statement of the tariff for paper in a Bologna MS. of the year 1289, where cotton paper is mentioned by the side of garbexa paper.3 Nor is there the slightest reason for the derivation of the word, with Frati, from Lat. carbasus "fine linen." Garbo, garbitta, garbexa, garbesa represent some North Italian dialectic words meaning "goat, kid," as can be shown by a number of regulations in regard to the use of wool in the manufacture of cloth. In Bologna no one was permitted to mix wool of the ox, goat, ass, or hare with that of the sheep, unless it was to be used in the manufacture of a coarse kind of cloth known as mezzalano.4 Venice was equally opposed to the use of goat's hair.

^{1 &}quot;Notarii qui presunt statutis pro illo officio habeant bonas cartas pecorinas et non de garbo a comuni pro v. statutis scribendis," Frati, op. cit., vol. III, p. 164.

² "Et si sum notarius massarii . . in bonis cartis scribam et non in garbittis," ibid., vol. I, p. 147.

³ "De salma cartarum de *Garbexe* et pecudum;—de salma cartarum de banbaxe," *ibid.*, vol. III, p. 663, and repeated in a Florentine tariff of the year 1320: "cartarum de *Garbese* et pecudum, pro salma 4s. Bon., cartarum de bambagia 4s.," Davidsohn, *Forschungen*, III. Theil, p. 146.

^{4 &}quot;Statuimus et ordinamus quod aliquis de dicta societate non debeat emere . . nec habere, nec tenere in domo pilum bovis vel capricii vel asini aut leporis, filatum vel non filatum, tinctum vel non tinctum. . et si filatus vel mistus cum alia lana fuerit, aut de ea laboraverit, vel laborari aut poni fecerit in panno bixello vel agnello, condempnetur, . . . item dicimus quod licitum sit omnibus de dicta societate facientibus pannos meçalanos habere et tenere de lanis prohibitis . . . causa ponendi et laborandi in pannis meçalanis," A. Gaudenzi, Statuti delle società del popolo di Bologna, Roma 1896, vol. II, p. 370 f.

which is here called garbeta1 and still clearer is the

prohibition at Brescia in 1248.2

The dialectic words garbo, garbexa, garbitta are in all likelihood adaptations of Provencal or Catalan words, for in Marseille and Barcelona there was a very active commerce in kid skins and fleeces in the beginning of the thirteenth century, and the Prov. cabritz, Catal. cabrits, cabrites at once explain the endings exa, itta in the Italian words. We read in the Marseille tariff for 1228: "agnel e cabritz doni lo pareils-1 obola," and at Barcelona we often hear of kid skins, of "centum de cabrites" (1221) and "bala grossa de cabrits." However, the forms capretto, 5 craueto 6 are also recorded for Italian cities. So, too, the form garbo goes back to a Catalan word, which is given in the Latinized form cabru, cabrum, "tota bestia de lana, o de cabru, tota carrega de pells aynines o cabrum," where the Leuda de Tortosa⁸ and the tariff of Marseilles⁹ have faixs daynines o de cabritz, agnel e cabritz. The fluffy hair of the goat was employed in the manufacture of rugs, and,

1 "Statuimus et ordinamus quod pelliparii artis de agnellinis non audeat miscere agnellinas cum garbetis, nec etiam audeat cum dictis agnelinis

miscere agnellinas cum garbetis, nec etiam audeat cum dictis agnelinis pelles edorum miscere, nisi tantummodo in listis" (1265), G. Monticolo, I capitolari delle arti veneziane, Roma 1905, vol. II¹, p. 108.

2 "Item statuunt corectores quod pilum bovis vel capre non conducatur in civitatem Brixie . . et nullus debeat in civitate vel extra in tota nostra virtute verberare nec texere neque filare neque tingere aut aliquo modo in panno ponere vel poni facere. . Item addunt correctores quod nequis audeat vel presumat ponere vel poni facere lanam grossam capre in panno," HPM., vol. XVI, col. 1584 (139).

3 Méry and Guindon, op. cit., vol. I, p. 348. Also in the Leuda de Tortosa (1249), cabritz, in Revue des langues romanes, vol. IV, p. 254, and at Perpignan (1284) ibid n. 371

(1284), *ibid.*, p. 371.

⁴ A. de Capmany y de Montpalau, Memorias historicas sobre la marina, comercio y artes de la antigua ciudad de Barcelona, Madrid 1779, vol. II,

pp. 6 and 20.

⁵ At Chiesa (1327), in *HPM*., vol. XVII, col. 130; in *Statuta Casalis* (14. cen.), *ibid.*, vol. II, col. 1013; in Bonaini, *Statuti inediti della città di Pisa dal XII al XIV secolo*, Firenze 1857, vol. III, p. 1004.

6 In Statuta Casalis, op. cit., col. 960.

⁷ Leudes de Puigcerda et de la Vall de Querol (1288), in Revue des langues romanes, vol. IV, p. 507.

8 Ibid., p. 254.

⁹ Méry and Guindon, op. cit., vol. I, p. 348.

since it was almost exclusively Catalonia and the Provence that raised goats, we read in the Pisan tariff of Catalan and Provençal carpitas. This carpita, literally goat's (cloth), is the origin of Eng. carpet.2

It is, therefore, obvious that in prohibiting the use of carta de Garbo, the prohibition was directed against the use of kid or goat parchment. It will now be easy to ascertain what was meant by lana di Garbo, panno di Garbo. Aeneas Sylvius3 tells us that in the island of Cyprus a woolen cloth, called zambelotto, our modern camlet, was made from the wool of goats, and Gesner⁴ quotes A. Alpagus, called Bellunensis, a translator of Avicenna's works, to the effect that camlet and other delicate stuffs were made from lana merhazi, which, in another exposition of Avicenna, is called mathahaze. This is Arab. mar'izā', mar'izza "fine goat-hair beneath the coarser one." Fraenkel⁵ thinks that this is from Aramaic 'amr 'iza, literally "lana capri" (which Fraenkel incorrectly translates by "Schaafwolle"), but in Spain lana de cabras was translated into Arabic by guabra, 6 which is from Arab. wabar "soft hair of camels, goats, hares," etc. This guabra, which by a strange coincidence sounds very much like the derivatives from Lat. capra "goat," like merhazi, which by another rare coincidence can hardly be distinguished from ma'azi "de capra," represented the finest wool used in the

¹ "De duabus carpitis provincialis, de una carpita Catalogne," Bonaini,

op. cit., p. 114.

² Prov. carp "fluffy" is, no doubt, derived from it. In the Regula Templariorum, cap. 70, we read, "carpitam habeat in lecto, qui sacco, culcitra vel coopertorio carebit," (Ducange, sub carpia), and in a list of articles for the year 1156 in Genoa, we read of a pillow made of "what is called" carpet-wool, "duos cosinos unus de corre, et alius de carpita dicitur lana," HPM., vol. VI, col. 310.

³ Anneae Sulvii Piccolominei Senensis . . . Opera, Basileae 1571, p. 377.

³ Aeneae Sylvii Piccolominei Senensis . . . Opera, Basileae 1571, p. 377.

⁴ Conradi Gesneri medici Tigurini, Historiae animalium Lib. I. de quadrupedibus uiuiparis, Tiguri 1551, vol. I, p. 280.

Die aramäischen Fremdwörter im Arabischen, Leiden 1886, p. 41 f. ⁶ P. de Lagarde, Petri Hispani De lingua arabica libri duo, Gottingae 1883, p. 289.

manufacture of camlets and similar delicate textures. Apparently the Provencals and Catalonians continued to manufacture camlets, and camelot or camellot de lana even in the fourteenth century was considered far superior to cloth from sheep wool. In a franchise of the year 1277 given by Philippe le Hardi to Italian merchants carrying goods from Montpellier to Nimes. camlets pay double the duty of other cloths. In a Catalan sumptuary law of 1306 camlet is denominated drap de lana,² and in another similar law for Barcelona, of the year 1330, permission is granted to women to wear garments of camellot de lana.3

Merhazi was the Arabic mercantile expression for A1 in the manufacture of cloth and in wool. Now, the goats were in the Middle Ages abhorred in the central and southern countries,4 though the Provence and Catalonia never stopped raising them. When Bologna, in 1222 or 1232, invited certain strangers to come to that city and establish cloth factories, they were granted immunities from all public duties, but they were requested under no condition to use other wool in the manufacture of cloth than that of the sheep or lamb.5 But, while the Tuscans prohibited the use of kid parch-

¹ A. Germain, *Histoire du commerce de Montpellier*, Montpellier 1861, vol. I, p. 279. There is probably some significance in the fact that at Saint Vaast d'Arras goats were listed in the same category with gold and Saint vaast d'Arras goats were listed in the same category with gold and slaves: "Omnis homo sive liber sive non, si emerit aut vendiderit aurum, vel servum vel ancillam vel capram, Theloneum debet," Van Drival, Cartulaire de l'abbaye de Saint-Vaast d'Arras, rédigé au XIIe siècle par Guimann, Arras 1875, p. 172, and similarly on p. 176.

2 Revue des langues romanes, vol. VII, p. 55.

3 Coleccion de documentos inéditos del archivo general de la corona de Aragon,

vol. VIII, p. 179.

⁴ See my article on Fr. boucher, in Byzantinisches, in Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie, vol. XXXIV, p. 664 ff.
⁵ "Statutum est a conscilio comunis bon. quod illi qui venerunt et nunc

sunt jn Civitate ista et nunc ad faciendum pannum lane sive pignolatum sint jimunes a publicis factionibus per XX annos a tempore quo venerunt jn bon. ex causa predicta, quod statutum cepit habere locum M. CC. xxij et factores panni lane teneantur et debeant facere fieri bonum pannum de bona lana et pura torta et proventa de pecudibus et agnis, et de non aliis animalibus," Frati, op. cit., vol. I, p. 494.

ment, they were unable to oust the expression de garbo. a translation of the Arab. mar'izā' and quabra from the commercial vocabulary; and, as francigena became the term even for English wool, so by a popular transformation de garbo was made de Garbo, "from a distant western land." Whether this de garbo represented the fine goat hair, from the Provence and Catalonia surreptitiously used, or a peculiar kind of sheep hair, I am not prepared to say. Di garbo became in Italian the equivalent for "especial refinement," hence uomo di garbo "a man of fine bearing." On the relation of Eng. garb and similar words in the Romance languages I now need no longer dwell—they have nothing whatsoever to do with the commonly accepted derivations. That the manufacture of camlets and hence the use of the fine goat wool in their production was due to Eastern influence is evidenced by the presence in Paris of Saracen carpet makers, that is, of makers of carpets in the Eastern fashion,1 and Smirke2 is probably right when he identifies the ustil turs of the Winchester Consuetudinary with a Turkish loom. When, however, Italy and other countries pressed the use of sheep wool in the manufacture of their cloths, the old Eastern industrial expressions became unintelligible and were often confused. This has happened with tiretaine, of whose vicissitudes from Central Asia to Europe I have dealt before, and to this, no doubt, is due the confusion of garbo "wool," that is, fine goat wool, with wool from Garbo, a coarse product, which played an insignificant part in the importation of wool.

¹ Le livre des métiers, p. 102 ff.

² Ancient Consuetudinary of the City of Winchester, in The Archaeological Journal, vol. IX, p. 85.

The English Grocer.

Gross¹ defines the grocer as a wholesale dealer whose dealings probably by the early part of the fifteenth century became limited to grocery as now understood. The Oxford English Dictionary assumes a similar development of the word, and relates the two senses by stating that "the company of Grocers, said to have been incorporated in 1344, consisted of wholesale dealers in spices and foreign produce; hence probably the later sense 2." Not less confusing is the history of the grocer as understood by Cunningham:2 "The pepperers had a leading share in nominating the officials who were admitted to the office of weighing aver-du-pois, and in 1316 they made ordinances for weighing. Some of the leading men among them appear to have been of Italian origin, and they certainly dealt in spices and other goods which reached England from the south of Europe; in 1315, they united with the spicerers in forming the Grocers' company—a body which exercised a predominating influence on London affairs in the latter part of the fifteenth century. They may have derived their name from the popular complaint against them as engrossers, but it seems possible that they assumed it from their wholesale transactions, en gros, or even from their dignified office of weighing by the peso grosso; they came to have charge both of the King's and the wool beam—the statera and the trone."

The business of the grocer as such is of a purely English origin, but as the word is primarily French, we must first become acquainted with its application in France. In Le livre des métiers a grossier is mentioned

¹ The Gild Merchant, Oxford 1890, vol. I, p. 128.

² The Growth of English Industry and Commerce during the Early and Middle Ages, Cambridge 1905, vol. I, p. 323 f.

among various workers in iron1 and once as some kind of carpenter.² It stands to reason that neither artisan produced anything at wholesale, which is precluded by the very enumeration of the workers, who are not classed as retail workers as against the grossier. The conception of what in the Middle Ages constituted retail and wholesale is so variable among economic historians3 that it becomes necessary first to establish the exact connotations and uses of these words. The earliest mention known to me of ad detallium is of the vear 1207,4 where the older chart, of the year 1199, reads, "eas pacifice vendant ad destallagium."5 about the same time we get ad tallium in the south of France and later in Lucca and Siena.⁶ The more common expression in Italy is ritaglio, which in England, where very many commercial terms owe their origin.

1 "Marischax, Greifiers, Hiaumiers, Veilliers, Grossiers," p. 38; "Fevre, Marischal, Grossier et Greifier et Hiaumiers pueent ovrer de nuiz s'il leur Haischa, Grossier, et Greiner et Hadmers pueent over de huiz s'il leur plaist," p. 39; "Fevres, Marissaus, Seruriers, Grayfiers de fier, Veilliers, Heaumiers, Grossiers, Couteliers," p. 254.

2 "Item, ne ne pevent ouvrer li Charpentier grossier ne Huchier ne Huissier, de nuiz," p. 87.

3 See F. Keutgen, Der Grosshandel im Mittelalter, in Hansische Geschichts-hlätter, Jahrgang 1901, Leipzig 1902, p. 67 ff

blätter, Jahrgang 1901, Leipzig 1902, p. 67 ff.

"Preterea, predicti cives cum mercaturis suis quecumque fuerint,

4 "Preterea, predicti cives cum mercaturis suis quecumque fuerint, venientes in domaniis nostris, poterunt eas licite vendere ad detallium vel alio modo," A. Giry, Les établissements de Rouen, Paris 1885, vol. II, p. 59.

6 Other early cases of à détail: "A cels qui vendent à détail, comme cil que achatent por revendre" (1229), J. Garnier, Chartes de communes et d'affranchissements en Bourgogne, Dijon 1868, vol. II, p. 29; "Ne puisse estre vendue au gros pour revendre a détail" (1307), Mémoires de la société de l'histoire de Paris et de l'Ile-de-France, Paris 1876, vol. II, p. 136 f.

6 "Nec quis extraneus pannos aliquos in hac villa vendere debet ad tallium, nisi eos quos ad collum portaverit per villam" (1204), Consuetudines villae Montispessulani. in Layettes du Tresor des chartes, Paris 1863, vol. I, p. 263; "Panni venduti a tallio" (1292), F-L. Polidori, Statuti senesi scritti in volgare ne' secoli XIII e XIV, Bologna 1863, vol. I, p. 289, p. 226; "Ne mercatorum utilitas ad extraneos dividatur, decernimus statuentes "Ne mercatorum utilitas ad extraneos dividatur, decernimus statuentes quod nullus forensis undecumque sit . . possit, audeat vel presumat vendere vel vendi facere per se vel aliam personam, directe vel per obliquum, aliquam mercadantiam videlicet setam, filugellum, sendada, aurum, orpellos vel arginpellos, ad tallium vel ad minutum vel minutatim, vel alias mercationes ad minutum vel minutatim, et maxime illas mercationes et merces quas emerit in Civitate Lucana, districtu vel fortia, directe vel per obliquum" (1308), G. Tommasi, Sommario della storia di Lucca, in Archivio storico italiano, serie prima, vol. X, Firenze 1847, Documenti, p. 62.

with the commerce in which they are used, to Italy, and not to France, produced the word retail. It is obvious that the expression ad retaglum arose in the cloth trade and referred to the sale of pieces cut off from the roll,2 and the laws quoted show that the foreign traders were jealously kept from the far more profitable and desirable "retailing" of goods, which was the special privilege of the native merchant; hence in Pistoja they opposed a retail cloth dealer to one from France.3 But in many places the tagliatori were identical with the German Gewandschneider, as, for example, in Bergamo⁴ and in Chiesa.⁵ We find an excellent illustration of the jealously guarded retail trade in a letter of Margaret of Flanders of the year 1268, in which she asserts the rights of the merchants of Hamburg to keep the Flemings out of the retail trade. In Parma foreigners could sell cloth at wholesale or retail at

2 "Et ut non vendant vel vendi faciant aut consentiant, per se vel per alium, ullo modo, aliquem, scampulum vel ritallium seu cantum alicuius panni," F. Bonaini, op. cit., vol. II, p. 40.

4 HPM., vol. XVI, col. 2002 ff. ⁵ Ibid., vol. XVII, col. 171 f.

^{1 &}quot;Nullus de padua vendat drapos novos ad retaium in platea comunis" (1239), Statuti del comune di Padova dal secolo XII all' anno 1285, Padova 1873, p. 272; "Statuimus quod mercatores teneantur non emere nec emi facere ab aliquo forense qui venderet vel vendi faceret pannos aliquos in Bononia ad retaglum. . non obstante quod forense in feris valeant retaglare" (1264-72), A. Gaudenzi, op. cit., vol. II, p. 121; "Fuit capta pars quod nullus de cetero audeat vendere pannos ad retaglum in aliqua parte in Veneciis, nisi in stacionibus comunis de subtus ubi venduntur panni ad retaglum," G. Monticolo, op. cit., vol. I, p. 187. This latter law was revoked in 1304 (ibid., p. 193.)

³ "Tende apotecarum mercatorum pannorum, tam de francia, quam de ritallio," L. Zdekauer, Statutum potestatis comunis pistorii anni 1296. Mediolani 1888, p 193.

^{6 &}quot;Preterea mercatores nostri Flandrenses apud Hamburg vina afforare non poterint nec ibidem ea vendere per amphoras seu mensuras, nec pannos scindendo eos per ulnas, neque bona alia vendere particulariter per numeratas denariatas, nisi hoc de civium et mercalorum Hamburgensium processerit voluntate," L. Gilliodts-van Severen, Cartulaire de l'ancienne estaple de Bruges, Bruges 1904, vol. I, p. 53 f.

certain fairs, and later this privilege was extended to all goods and for any time, in order to draw the foreign merchants to the city.2

One would think that the expression in grosso et minuto corresponded to our "wholesale and retail," but that would be far from the mark. Leaving out of consideration the meanings for grossus such as "big, coarse," minutus "small, fine," we shall confine ourselves to the definition of meanings that can throw a light upon the meaning of gross in "grocer." At Brescia, in 1251, long wool was considered a minute mercery, while fine wool and cotton were gross merceries.4 In a fourteenth century law of Palermo, cheese, meat, wool, flax, hemp, cotton are considered gross goods. In Venice they distinguished between specie grosse, which included ginger, cinnamon, pepper, cloves, nutmegs, cassia, sandal-wood, etc., and specie menude, such as scammony, rhubarb, manna, aloes, turbith,

1 "Et Potestas teneatur operam dare bona fide sine fraude quod Flamenghi et Francigenes veniant in civitatem Parmae, et drapos vendant in grosso et in minuto quomodo volueint in Parma" (1226), Statuta communis Parmae digesta anno 1255, Parmae 1856, p. 61.

² "Item omnes mercadanciae, cujuscumque conditionis fuerint, possint duci ad civitatem Parmae, et ibi vendi in grossum et in minutum per quamlibet personam volentem vendere, non obstantibus aliquibus capitulis vel Statutis, ut major ubertas et melior numata possit haberi in civitate Parmae," Statuta communis Parmae ab anno 1266 ad annum circiter 1304,

Parmae 1857, p. 68 f.

3 "Vendens animal grossum, dabit obolum Tolose pro leuda, de porco vel vendens animal grossum, dabit obolum Tolose pro leuda, de porco ver sue pictam, de animalibus minutis nihil solvet' (1241), Ordonnances des Rois de France, Paris 1811, vol. XV, p. 424; "Quod nullus magister vel filacanipus, de opera grossa vel de suptile, audeat conparare, canipum cum restibus occasione ipsum revendendi," Monticolo, op. cit., vol. I, p. 102; "denarios crossos vel minutos," Bonaini, op. cit., vol. I, p. 291; "moneta minuto... monetam vero crossam," ibid., p. 292; "monetam falsam, minutam vel crossam" ibid., p. 292; "monetam falsam, minutam vel crossam" tam vel grossam," ibid., p. 378.

4 "Quod mercathendia minuta intelligitur comuniter galetum, vel lana

grossa, et his similia. Mercathendia grossa intelligitur lana subtilis panni, et bambucium, et his similia," HPM., vol. XVI, col. 1584 (109). In the law of 1313 "cuminum" is added among the "minuta mercathendia,"

ibid., col. 1716.

6 "Licet omnibus, et singulis Civibus Panhormi ponderare, vendere, et emere caseum, carnes, lanam, linum, canapem, cuttonem, et quaecumque mercimonia grossa, ad pondus, quod dicitur quartaronus," A. Todaro, Constitutiones regni Siciliae, vol. I¹, Palermo [1887-88], p. 53 f. terebenthina, etc.; and early in the fourteenth century the two kinds could not be sold by the same person, and a similar provision was made at Florence.

In France, too, en gros and à détail have not the same meaning as "wholesale" and "retail." The chanevacier "canvas-seller" paid no customs for cloth sold in his stall or in the King's market at Paris at retail, except the usual stall duties, but had to pay an obole for every piece bought or sold, if it contained more than five ells. To protect the native dealers, the stranger merchants from Normandy could not cut the pieces at all, but had to sell them whole, that is, they were not allowed to sell à détail "cut-off pieces," but had to sell en gros "in the bulk."

The transition from the idea of bulkiness and detached pieces to that of wholesale and retail is a perfectly natural one, and this change has taken place, now in one trade, now in another, according to its very essence; but it will not be hard to show that even through the fourteenth century the old conception of relative bulk predominated. For this purpose we shall analyze the Ordinance of the Fishmongers at Amiens, which belongs to the second half of that century.⁵

¹ Calendar of State Papers and Manuscripts, Relating to English Affairs, Existing in the Archives and Collections of Venice, London 1864, vol. I, p. CXXXVII ff.

² "Salvo quod ille persone que habent bulletam vendendi ad minutum, possint vendere res contentas in sua bulleta solumodo et non alias res que pertineant ad speciariam," Monticolo, op. cit., vol. I, p. 168.

³ "Et quod nullus mercator crossus vel alia persona teneat in sua apotheca nec vendat vel vendi faciat piperem minutum," ibid., in note.

^{4 &}quot;Li home forein de Normandie et d'ailleurs, qui ameinent toilles a cheval a Paris pour vendre, il ne pueent ne ne doivent vendre ou marchié de par le Roy a detail; et se il le font, il perdent toute la toile qui est detailliée. Et ce ont ordené li preud'omme du mestier, pour ce que li Roys i perdoit sa coustume; quar li home forein doivent de chacune toile que il vendent en gros obole de coustume, et de tout ce que l'en vent a detail ou marchié le Roy l'en ne doit que obole de coustume de toute la journée: par coi li Rois seroit deceu de sa coustume, se li home forain detailloient," Le livre des métiers, p. 121.

⁶ A. Thierry, Recueil des monuments inédits de l'histoire du Tiers État, première série, vol. II, Paris 1853, p. 139 ff.

Strangers who brought fish to Amiens could sell it themselves, either en gros or à détail, by paying a certain tax for the hire of a stall (art. 1). Fish sent in by outsiders was consigned to the grossier, who sold it en gros for the stated fee of 2 sous per somme (2). Before anyone else could provide himself with fish, each grossier received 2 sommes, and if there was a greater abundance brought in, the surplus was divided out equally among all the grossiers (3, 4). There were similar provisions of mutual aid among grossiers, in case of scarcity of fish (5). The paniers of fish sold en gros were, as to full measure, bought at the risk of the detail merchants, or of those who otherwise bought them ("ou par autrez qui acheter les volront") (7). No fish could be kept over to the next day in summer or two days in winter (9-12). There were to be in Amiens 14 sellers of fish en gros and no more (18). Similarly there were to be but 24 venders à détail (19). The en gros price was by the hundred, but the merchant had to sell the fish at the same price by the demicent, the quarteron, or the demi-quarteron (22). There was still a third way of trading, which in the Ordinance is denominated à loyer. Apparently the grossiers or the importing fishmongers sent out men to sell for them for a stated wage or salary. There is a provision that a taverner, a cook, or any private person could neither buy nor sell à détail or á loyer (28, 30). A fishmonger, poissonier, could sell only 2 paniers a day, unless there was a great abundance in the market (31). Foreigners and grossiers could sell their fish en gros until the second bell, after which they had to give them à loyer to venders who sold them à détail (36).

From the above we see that the grossier was not a wholesale dealer, but more nearly a commission merchant, whose chief function was the equitable distribution of the fish among venders and others who

purchased directly from him. On the other hand, the foreign merchant who brought the fish to Amiens was privileged to sell either en gros or à détail. Wherever the outsider was restricted to sales en gros, he felt it as a distinct discrimination against him, as the advantage to every mediaeval merchant was entirely on the side of the retail trade. In the case of such perishable goods as fish, which at the end of the day had to be thrown away,1 it was more profitable for the importing fishmonger to dispose of it at once through the intermediary grossier. This arrangement proved insufficient. for beginning with art. 28 we have what apparently is a later addition. A second link was added between the importing fishmonger and the retailer, that of the vender selling for the fishmonger or grossier for a stated wage. The retailer sold in small quantities or even by the pound, chopping up the fish. At the end of the thirteenth century the fishvender à détail was distinctly one who cut up the fish.2 He merely reached the poorer people, and was more like our modern hawker. That all the fish did not reach the consumer through the retailer is evident from the abnormal relation of the number of retailers to grossiers. As each retailer could sell but two paniers a day, and the average amount handled by a grossier was two horseloads, three or four grossiers would have supplied all the twenty-four venders with fish. Consequently the vast amount of fish on hand with the remaining grossiers was either sold by means of the venders à loyer or directly to restaurant-keepers, taverners, and hostelries, and many a private person must have avail-

¹ Ibid., art. 49.
² "Chil ki vent porpois à détail doit taillier le cras avoec le maigre,"
Giry, Histoire de la ville de Saint-Omer et de ses institutions jusqu'au XIVe
siècle, Paris 1877, p. 507.
³ In Paris a panier held from 50-60 fresh mackerels, according to R. de
Lespinasse, Ordonnances générales; métiers de l'alimentation, in Les métiers
et corporations de la ville de Paris, Paris 1886, vol. I, p. 411.

ed himself of purchasing by the quarteron or demiquarteron, that is, by the smaller measures or quantities.

It can be shown from a variety of sources that the grossier was frequently dispensed with as an intermediary of trade. Thus Philippe-le-Bel in 1305 provided that the people should be able to purchase their victuals at the same price as offered to the grossiers.1 In Le rôle de la taille imposée sur les habitants de Paris en 1292,2 the grossiers are not mentioned at all, obviously because they did not yet form a distinct class, even as they are absent, but for the carpenter and smith grossiers, from the Livre des métiers. In 1320 we find for the first time grossier and detailleur fishmongers at Paris,3 and their exact meaning is ascertainable from an ordinance of the year 1324, where a grossier is held to be one who sells in the name of a foreign merchant, while those who sell on their own account, by the hundred, the demicent, the quarteron, or two, three, or four herrings at a time are held to be detailleurs.4 Here en gros means the undivided mass, as received in commission from the foreign merchant, and the privilege granted to the detailleur to sell by the hundredweight only accentuates the fact that the relation between the two is not the same as that between the wholesaler and the retailer, as we understand it today. When, therefore, a law of Edward II of the year 1311 permits no grosser of wine

^{1 &}quot;Item nous voulons et ordenons que de toutes denrrées venans a Paris, puis que elles seront afeurées, tout le commun en puisse avoir par un tel pris comme li grossier les acheteront," ibid., p. 198.

2 H. Géraud, Paris sous Philippe-le-Bel, Paris 1837.

^{3 &}quot;Item que nuls ne puist estre grossier et detailleur de la meisme marchandise dudit mestier, sus painne de l'amende." De Lespinasse, Ordonnances générales, p. 414.

^{4 &}quot;Item que tous ceus doudit mestier qui vendent ou nom des marcheans forains sont et seront tenus pour grossiers, tant seulement, et ceuls qui vendent par cens, par demi cens et par quarterons et par deux trois ou quatre harens, ou nom d'eulz et par euls, sont et seront tenus pour detailleurs," ibid., p. 416.

lxviii HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

to be taverner and vice versa, the grosser is not to be taken as a wholesale merchant, but only as a foreign trader who sold first to all "goodmen," and only later to any one who might wish to buy. We thus find in England the same conditions as regards the conception of what constituted the gross and retail as on the continent, and the law just quoted precludes the assumptions of the economic writers that the grosser was at the start a wholesale dealer, and the explanation given as to the origin of the *grocer* falls to the ground.

We shall now try constructively to establish the genesis of the English grocer. One of the most frequently recurring sets of laws in Italy in the thirteenth century is that which deals with the manner of weighing goods, and the investigation of this subject alone will demand much time and labor. I shall, therefore, confine myself here to the treatment of the same laws in the North. That they are derived from the Italian laws will appear from philological considerations alone.2 In 1280 Count Guido of Flanders gave the merchants of Spain and Germany who visited Aardenburg a franchise in which the first reference to precise weighing is found in the North.3 The demand that the hand

"nec pondera deprimant nullo examinis libramento servato, nec aequis ac

paribus suspenso statere momentis."

^{1 &}quot;Et avant ceo q'il soyent herbergiez, soit chescon tonel, merche al un bout et al autre, du merke du gauge, issint que l'achatour puisse apertement veer la defaute du tonel. Et apres ceo qe les vyns seront herbergez, demoergent en pees par trois jours, issint q'il ne soyent mustrez ne mys a vente dedens les troys jours, s'il ne soit as grantz seignurs et as autres bones gentz, pur lur estor ou pur lur user. Et apres les troys jours vendent as totes gentz qi achatier les vodront et deveront solonc ceo qe anncienement soloyent faire. Et que nul grossour de vyn ne soit taverner, ne nul taverner ne soit grossour," J. Delpit, Collection générale des documents français qui se trouvent en Angleterre, Paris 1847, vol. I, p. 45.

2 The first mention of just weighing, from which all the later ones are derived, is to be found in the Theodosian Code (Mommsen's ed., p. 722 f.):

³ "Ke li marchant aient pois de balanches et ke li peseres poise tout en fin et ke il oste ses mains dou pois et ke li marchans u autres fire les balanches de le main en la moienne de la balance, parquoi ele ne voise plus dune part ke dautre, et ke li marchans puet contredire le peseur sans riens mesfaire." K. Höhlbaum, Hansisches Urkundenbuch, Halle 1876, vol. I, p. 296.

be not placed on the weighing side of the scales was in 1303 repeated word for word in a franchise granted to German and other foreign merchants in England by Edward I.¹

This was so novel a departure for England that Edward I next year repeated the injunction literally in a letter to the mayor and aldermen of London, insisting that the privilege of equitable weighing be granted to the foreign merchants, or cause be shown why the City of London did not comply with his demand. To this the answer came that from time immemorial it had been the custom to weigh in favor of the purchaser and that the new law would discriminate against the citizens of London.² But the King was persistent and a month later repeated the law and called the mayor and aldermen of London to account.³

^{1 &}quot;Item volumus, ordinamus et statuimus, quod in qualibet villa mercatoria et feria regni nostri predicti et alibi infra potestatem nostram pondus nostrum in certo loco ponatur et ante ponderacionem statera in presencia emptoris et venditoris vacua videatur et quod brachia sint equalia, et extunc ponderator ponderet in equali, et cum stateram posuerit in equali, statim amoveat manus suas, ita quod remaneat in equali, quodque per totum regnum et potestatem nostram unum sit pondus et una mensura et signo standardi nostri signentur," ibid., vol. II, p. 16.

^{2 &}quot;Modus ponderandi averia ad civitatem Londoniensem venientia, a tempore quo non extat memoria talis extitit et adhuc existit, quod statera trahat versus meliorem, hoc est, versus rem emptam et eodem modo venduntur dicta averia archiepiscopis, episcopis, comitibus, baronibus et aliis quibuscumque in dicta civitate, hujusmodi averia ementibus, et ista consuetudine et modo ponderandi antecessores nostri usi fuerunt et nos hactenus usi sumus ac dominus rex noster libertates et liberas consuetudines nostras, quas ex concessione progenitorum suorum regum Anglie habemus et quibus huc usque usi sumus, nobis per cartam suam confirmaverit, per quod, consuetudines civitatis sue usitatas et approbatas per concessionem extraneis mercatoribus nunc factam in dampnum et prejudicium civium suorum et etiam magnatum nec non communitatis regni sui mutare non possimus nec debemus: presertim, cum in carta eis facta contineatur, quod ponderatio, in forma in dicta carta contenta, fiat ubi contra dominum loci aut libertatem per ipsum dominum regem vel antecessores suos concessam illud non fuerit, sive contra villarum et feriarum consuetudinem hactenus approbatam," Delpit, op. cit., p. 40. A translation of this is to be found in R. R. Sharpe, Calendar of Letter-Books, Letter-Book C, London 1901, p. 128.

^{*} Ibid

The dispute between the King and the City of London lasted until the year 1309, when an amicable arrangement was made: "Whereas frequently aforetime many and divers contentions used to arise between foreign merchants selling and free merchants (mercatores privatos) buying divers goods of weight (averia ponderis) and spices which used to be weighed as well by the great balance as by the small, inasmuch as there was uncertainty in the draft of weight (super tractu ponderacionis), for that the weigher gave to some more and to some less as was reported; for avoiding and removing which contentions in future it was agreed the day, etc., by Thomas Romayn, the Mayor and the Aldermen, and with the assent of Luke de Haverynge, William de Bydik, Ralph le Balauncer, Peter Adrian, William le Barber, John Godelmynge, Richard de Dorsete, Richard de Spain, citizens and merchants of London, and John le Lung, Hildebrand de Nova Curia, James Fisshe, John Pope, Richard Sware, Bertram de Coloigne, John de Sterneberwe, Henry de Colon', Lambekyn Heved, Roland de Colonia, Henekin atte Nwe, merchants of Almaine, and John de Pitleacre, Francis de Gene, Antonin de Gene, John de Cotesawe, Nicholas de la Spade, Bartholomew Lespicer, John de Perem, Ymbert de Luka, Peter le Rous, and Chonel de Luka, merchants of Lombardy and Provence, that all merchandise of weight (mercandise averii ponderis), as of wax, almonds, rices (riseis), copper, tin, and the like, which are weighed by the balance, shall for the future be weighed evenly; that the weigher remove his hands therefrom, so that the weigher when he weighs, in weighing place the balance even and remove his hands therefrom, so that neither to the seller not to the buyer he shall appear to give or take anything but what is fair in any way; and that each hundred of such grosses (grossis) of aver

de pois (averii ponderis) shall contain 112 pounds, and each hundred of small spices, viz., ginger, saffron, sugar, maces (mazis), and others of the kind which are sold by the pound (per libram), shall contain 104 pounds. And the weigher was enjoined not to weigh otherwise under penalty of imprisonment, etc. And further it was forbidden that any merchant, stranger or free (privatus), should sell or buy otherwise than by the balance, and not by retail (ad detall'), under penalty, etc. Saving always the estate of the lord the King and of his Wardrobe when they wish to weigh that they weigh as before has been accustomed, if they please, until it be ordained otherwise by the King himself and his Council, etc. And this ordinance was made on Monday the eve of St. Martin [11 Nov.], the third year of the reign of King Edward, son of King Edward [A. D. 1309],"¹

It is clear enough that the grocers were those who sold the grosses, as mentioned above, and that, at least popularly, they were so named from the law of 1309. Indeed, the first mention of a grocer is from the year 1310: "John Gut', grosser (grossarius) of Sopereslane."2 Formerly they were called pepperers; now the name of grocers slowly supersedes the older appellation. 1312 pepperers, corders, iron-mongers, apothecaries, and others are included among those who busy themselves with aver de pois (se intromittunt de averio ponderis.)3 In 1345 the Mistery of the aver de pois (mester' averii ponderis) apparently included all the above-mentioned ones, though Sharpe speaks of them as pepperers.4 In 1319 Thomas de Enefeld is called a pepperer,⁵ in 1328 he is chosen into the Mistery of

¹ Letter-Book D, p. 209 f. ² Letter-Book B, p. 250. ³ Letter-Book D, p. 296. ⁴ Letter-Book F, p. 127. ⁵ Letter-Book E, p. 116.

Grocers;¹ and in the place of the spicerers we at the same time hear of apothecaries; and again, in 1376, London had a mayor who was a pepperer, and a sheriff, a grocer.²

The forestalling of commodities is, of course, older than the origin of the grocers, so, for example, the City of Lincoln in 1315 asked for a remedy against the merchants who bought up fish and other eatables and wares and then sold them to the people at an enormously increased price.3 In 1363 the same complaint is directed against the merchants called grocers because of their engrossing all kinds of vendable goods (les Marchantz nomez Grossers engrossent toutes maneres de Marchandies vendables),4 but it is absurd to assume, as has been done by philologists and economists, that the grocers were called so from their engrossing wares. The opposite supposition would have been nearer the truth; but in reality the relation between grocer and engross is as real as that between "broker" and "break," or "broker" and broc "the tap," as has been suggested by the Oxford English Dictionary. My task is done as far as the ascertainment of the origin of the word grocer is concerned, and the subsequent history of the grocer belongs to economic history proper.⁵ But I still have the important problem before me of elucidating the origin of the grocer's trade and of explaining a number of terms connected with it, such as avoir de pois, statera, grossum, for which one would in vain look for proper treatment in dictionaries and economic histories.

distorts the origin of the grocers.

¹ Ibid., p. 232. But all these names should be verified, as it is not clear from Sharpe's use of the words what the original may have been.

² Ibid., p. 288. ³ Rolls of Parliament, vol. I, p. 290.

⁴ Ibid., vol. II, p. 277. ⁶ J. A. Kingdon, in his Facsimile of First Volume of MS. Archives of the Worshipful Company of Grocers of the City of London, [London] 1886, part I, p. XIV, completely overlooks the laws of 1303, 1305, and 1309, and so

At the end of the ninth century Leo the Wise of Byzantium published an edict on the corporations of Constantinople, which is a precious relic by which the origins of mediaeval trades may be ascertained. The chapter on the regraters (σαλδαμάριοι)² runs as follows: "The regraters shall open shops (ἐογαστήρια)³ throughout the city, in the streets and villages, so as to make it easy to find those things which are needed for the sustenance of life. Let them sell meat, dried fish, flour, cheese, honey, oil, every kind of vegetables, butter, dry and liquid pitch, resin, hemp, flax, gypsum, vessels, tubs, nails, and all other things which are sold by the steelyard (καμπανοῖς) and not by the balance (ζυγοῖς). They are not permitted to deal in other goods, of the spicerers (μυρεψικήν), soapchandlers, linendrapers, taverners, or butchers, in any shape or manner. . . If a regrater be caught playing a trick in selling, or increasing the established price, let him be fined ten nomismata. . . The regraters should watch the imported wares, such as appertain to them, so that one not belonging to their corporation who may store them up against a time of scarcity be pointed out to the prefect and punished by him. Regraters should sell their wares at retail (λεπτομερῶς) in such a way as to gain no more than two miliarisia on each nomisma. however, upon examination of their weights, it be found that they have gained more, they should be beaten and shaved and forbidden to ply their trade."

The regulation of the spicerers' trade is as follows: "Every spicerer should have his own place, without tricking his neighbor. Let them so treat one another that the goods be not lowered in price or too much

⁴ Le livre du préfet, p. 41.

¹ Le livre du préfet, publié par J. Nicole, Genève 1893, in Mémoires de l'Institut national genevois, vol. XVIII.

² Ibid., p. 47 f. ³ On the relation of this word to regrater, see my Byzantinisches, I, in Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie, vol. XXXIV, p. 651 ff.

lxxiv HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

divided up by some. Let them not have any regrater's or other vile wares in their shops, for there is no union between ill-smelling and well-smelling things. Let them sell pepper, spikenard, cinnamon, lignaloe, amber, musk, incense, myrrh, balsam, and all other things which pertain to the spicerer's and dyer's trade. . Let them not accumulate the wares for the sake of making profit in time of dearth, nor immoderately increase the price. Nor may the merchants who import them stay longer than three months, but they must return home as quickly as they have sold their wares.¹ . . None of them is permitted to buy steelyard or regrater's wares (μαμπανικὸν ἢ σαλδαμαρικὸν εἶδος), but only those which are bought by the balance."²

Species makes its appearance in Late Latin in the sense of "goldware," "clothes," and "spices." In all of these meanings it is the translation of Gr. είδος, which is frequently met with in the papyri from the second century on, and in the sense of "spices" it is recorded by Stephanus from Hippocrates, in the fifth century B. C. I suspect that in this latter sense it is an Eastern trade word, either a translation or adaptation of some foreign word, and I tentatively suggest Chin. weilei, lit. "the smelling kind, aromatica species," the common word for spices, as the basis for είδος. This είδος refers in our Byzantine source to the wares

¹ This restriction against the foreign merchant is universal throughout the early Middle Ages, hence the origin of the Hare de drap. Here is one striking case of the end of the thirteenth century in England: "It petunt quod Rex appon' remedium de eo quod alienigene Mercator' dominantur et ditantur de Mercandisis in Civitat' et Cives depauperantur, qui onera sustinent quotiens necesse est: non enim consueverant morar' ultra quadraginta dies, infra quos solebant vendere aliis de regno, qui de lucro vivebant, Et nunc extranei illud lucrum asportaverunt. Rex intend' quod Mercatores extranei sunt ydonei, et util' Magnatibus, et non habet consilium eos expellendi" (1290), Rolls of Parliament, vol. I, p. 55.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ The chandlers, so apchandlers, and hog merchants also used steely ards.

of the spicerer as well as the regrater; but, as in the East, so in Byzantium, the first, being precious, were weighed with a more delicate balance, whereas the latter were weighed by the less precise beam of unequal arms, hence the Venetian division of Eastern goods, more particularly spices, into specie grosse and specie menude, and thus grossum came to be identified with the less costly spices and victuals.

The name of aver de pois applied to such spices and victuals has arisen through a series of translations or, rather, mistranslations. Recent studies on the weights of the Middle Ages² contain some serious oversights on account of the misconception of what constituted a pondus. While it is quite true that in Carlovingian times a pondus became in some way identified with the libra "the pound," this was not universally the case. It either preserved the classical meaning of "weight, burden," or more often became identified with the weighing machine and its system of relative When a charter of the year 1185 says, "tres librae cerae ad parvum Pondus, vel una ad magnum Pondus," it is obvious that the large and small beams are meant, and that the large beam in this case had its arms in proportion of 1 to 3. So, too, pondus means the great beam in "et etiam pondus nostrum de Antissiodoro (in perpetuum et gratis donamus)."4 At Montpellier the lowest weight of the pondus was 8½ lbs., "unum certum pondus, ponderans octo libras et

¹ In the Rhodian Law (W. Ashburner, *The Rhodian Sea-Law*, Oxford 1909, p. 35), είδοι has the meaning of goods transported by a ship; in the *Basilica*, lib. XI, tit. II (ed. Heimbach, vol. I, p. 681), $\tau \rho \delta \phi \iota \mu a$ είδη is translated by "species ad victum necessariae."

² B. Hilliger, Studien zu mittelalterlichen Massen und Gewichten, in Historische Vierteljahrschrift, vol. III (1900), p. 161 ff.; P. Guilhiermoz, Note sur les poids du moyen âge, in Bibliothèque de l'école des chartes, vol. LXVII (1906), p. 161 ff.

³ Ducange, sub pondus.

⁴ Gallia christiana, vol. IV, Instrumenta, col. 102.

lxxvi HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

dimidiam." The same meaning was given in Sicily to pondus,2 with which cheese, meat, wool, flax, hemp, cotton, and all other gross wares were to be weighed. This pondus was there also called quartaronus, quaranteno, a cantaro. But the cantaro is not, as is generally supposed, identical with the centenarius. In Genoa some things were early in the twelfth century weighed by the cantarius, and other, apparently grosser goods, by the centenarius, or hundredweight. According to a tariff of the year 1204 a threefold distinction is made, for some goods are sold by the cantarius, others by the centenarius, others again by the pound.5 In addition, there are also other names for the beam, rubus,6 spola,7 cristo,8 and the French and English trone, which need to be investigated. The usual equivalent for pondus was pensum, so that averium ponderis, which is an exact translation of the μαμπανικὸν είδος of the Edict, is rendered in French as aver de pois, Ital. avere di peso; that is, what originally meant "the goods of the beam" came to be identified with their manner of weighing. A far more common name for the beam was Lat. statera. Ital. stadera, from which. no doubt, Middle Eng. stillere, stellere, Eng. steelyard, is derived. Thus, the history of grocer, retail, steeluard shows that the grocer's trade in England is

² See above, p. LI, note 1.

⁴ HPM., vol. VII, cols. 71, 72, 513.

6 Ibid., cols. 68, 71, vol. XVI, col. 2001.

8 Ibid., vol. VII, col. 202.

¹ Germain, op. cit., p. 300 (1296).

³ G. Rezasco, Dizionario del linguaggio italiano storico ed amministrativo, Firenze 1881, sub cantarata.

^{5 &}quot;De omnibus mercibus que renduntur ad pensum cantarii et centanarii, de omnibus mercibus et speciebus que uenduntur ad pensum libre," ibid., col. 521. For various weight values of cantarius and centenarius see Schaube, op. cit., p. 814 ff.

⁷ Ibid., vol. XVI, col. 2001.

⁹ See also the history of the apothecary and regrater in my Byzantinisches.

chiefly due to the activity of Italian merchants, and that the Italian grocer's trade itself was derived directly from Byzantium.

4.

In the preceding two articles I have shown that the philological laws do not act uniformly and that the distribution of words in a given area seldom coincides with the philological correlation of the languages of that area. There are no such things as Indo-European The Indo-European languages may have in common certain words, but it is only by excluding all other non-Indo-European languages that they give the appearance of being distinctively Indo-European. There is hardly a root, now accepted to be distinctly Indo-European, that is not found over an enormously larger area, and had the geographical distribution of such roots been considered in its entirety, the Indo-European philology would never have gained that formidable exclusiveness that it now boasts of to the disadvantage of truth. For the present, I shall illustrate the crime of exclusive philology by the treatment of one root, heretofore accepted as exclusively Indo-European in its importance.

In Fick's Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der Indogermanischen Sprachen, vol. III, p. 303 ff., a considerable number of words in the Indo-European languages are brought together under an Indo-European root magh "large, much, power." But we have Sumerian ma "strong, great, numerous," Dravidian (Kannada) mikku, migu "to grow abundant, excess," Polynesian maha "many," ma "a word denoting plurality," Nahuatl miec "much." It is not only in these languages of Asia and America that the root exists, but in a vast number of other languages of these two continents.

What is more, OIrish mace "son," Goth. magus "boy, servant," etc., which have been connected with the Indo-European root for "great," is found in Dravidian as maga "son." The Dravidian word is not derived from the Indo-European, nor the Indo-European from the Dravidian, but both belong to a much older common source. It is here where the particularistic Indo-European philology has erred irretrievably, by hiding the truth. The presence of a common root in the Indo-European languages no more proves the common origin of the Indo-European languages than the presence of the word "automobile" in a large number of tongues proves their relationship.

Naturally most roots are represented in most languages of the world, and their distribution must be studied geographically, historically, economically, as the case may be, but not in the light of narrow phonetic laws of a particular family, as has been done. This is especially the case with borrowed words, which constitute the majority of words in any given language that is subjected to strong influences of commerce, conquest, or intellectual pursuits. The all-powerful Arabic tongue has injected itself to far more than a half into Hindu, has permeated Malay, Turkish, Maltese, Suahili, Haussa, Berber, Fulah, and Spanish, and it has been my purpose to show that it has entered the Germanic languages through the St. Gall school, where it was studied in connection with the Hebrew Bible glosses. Hence the Germanic languages cannot be studied as such, in cases where the Arabic words have produced an apparent Germanic group, but in the light of the particular Arabic source which created them.

My savage critics, who for the sake of argument are willing to admit such an intrusion, claim that it would have taken at least one hundred years for such an Arabic influence, whereas it appears that it was already active fifty years after the arrival of the Arabs in Europe, according to my statement. One only wonders where the critics get their one hundred years. Wherever two civilizations come in contact, the stronger, more active civilization affects the weaker instantaneously. Five years is a long period for the intrusion of foreign words, if the conditions are favorable. Unfortunately history seldom records the condition of jargons and mixed languages at the moment of their first meetings. But we can study the phenomenon in a few cases in America, where the conflict can approximately be located chronologically.

In 1850 there were much less than one hundred Bohemians in America. In 1860 their number had risen to probably 25,000. It is safe, therefore, to speak of 1860 as the beginning of a compact Bohemian colony in America. Yet, in 1882 the Bohemians were using more than 250 words of English origin, such as bārām (barroom), batrflaj (butterfly), baksna (box), brajdl (bridle), bučr (butcher), dyč (ditch), dypo (depot), filuju (I feel), fajtuju (I fight), fūlovat (to fool), hajdra (hydrant), kampañ (campaign), krizmus (Christmas), kerpet (carpet), kejk (cake), klazet (closet), lejk (lake), sajn (sign), štapuju (I stop), sajboch (sidewalk), trublovat (to trouble), wiska (whiskey).

This readiness to accept foreign words for objects well known in the native tongue is the more remarkable in the case of the Bohemians, because of the very small percentage of illiteracy among them, and the existence of a Bohemian press from very early times. The case is even more startling with the Yiddish, which in the eighties, that is, in the first decade of the general im-

¹ E. G. Balch, Our Slavic Fellow Citizens, New York 1910, p. 70.

² Ibid., p. 70 f. ³ F. B. Zdrůbek, Základové českého pravopisu a mluvnice, Chicago 1882, p. 81 ff.

migration of Russian and Roumanian Jews in America, so completely changed its aspect on account of the introduction of English words that the literature printed here could not be understood at home. The Yiddish shop signs which one may see in Boston or New York, and which have not changed in substance for thirty or forty years, contain more English words than those of German, Slavic or Hebrew origin.

Still more interesting is the influence of a language upon another, without any immediate contact, merely by the effort of individuals or through literature. knows how "Happy Hooligan" has produced the Russian khuligan "ruffian." Now, some fifteen years ago, Prof. Paul Milyukov, during a stay at my house in America, expressed his admiration for the American and English abbreviations, such as Y. M. C. A., totally unknown and unthinkable at the time in the Russian language. Upon his return to Russia he formed the K. D. T., the Constitutional Democratic Society, and went one better by transforming it into a word, Kadet. Since then the abbreviated forms have become a regular institution in Russia, and the Bolsheviks publish a periodical called Proletkul't, that is, Proletarskaya kul'tura.

The introduction of Latin into the languages of the nations that accepted Christianity from Rome, and of Greek into Coptic, Syriac, and Old Bulgarian, was instantaneous and overwhelming. In these cases it was not a contact of nations, but chiefly the inexperience of the translators, that forced an enormous foreign vocabulary upon languages that in some cases already possessed a literary norm.

What has happened in the near past and in antiquity has happened at all times. It is absurd in the abstract to say that a certain period must pass before a certain amount of borrowing is possible. Each case must be investigated for itself, and no generalization is possible. Whether Arabic has had the influence I claim upon the Germanic languages, cannot be settled by any a priori reasoning. It is only my investigation which proves it, and my critics are perverted and absurd when they condemn me on general principles. The difference between my critics and me is this. They know in advance what should be and what should not be, whereas I am mustering facts and deduce the logical consequences. My critics would do well to discuss the facts that I adduce and leave theories alone for the present.

,		

I. THE BUBALUS IN THE BIBLE.

The Latin version of Deut. XIV. 4 and 5 reads: "Hoc est animal quod comedere debetis; bovem, et ovem, et capram, cervum et capream, bubalum, pygargum, orygem, tragelaphum, cameloparda-The Septuagint omits bubalum, tragelaphum, lum." and only the conflate Codex Alexandrinus reads «μόσχον έκ βοῶν, καὶ άμνὸν έκ προβάτων, καὶ χείμαρρον έξ αίγων, έλαφον, καὶ δορκάδα, καὶ βού 6 αλον, καὶ τραγέλαφον, καὶ πύλαργον, καὶ ὄρυγα, καὶ καμηλοπάρδαλιν.» This bubalum, tragelaphum is the translation of Heb. וַיְּהְמוֹר וְאַקוֹ, which stands after צָּבִי δορκάς. אָבִי again stands after אָבִי δορκάς in 3 Reg. IV. 23, where the Latin version has "excepta venatione cervorum, caprearum atque bubulorum et avium altilium," and where the Septuagint reads «έκτὸς ἐλάφων καὶ δορκάδων ἐκλεκτῶν σιτευτά,» while the Codex Alexandrinus, after δορχάδων, adds «καὶ ὀρνίθων έκλεκτά.» Josephus similarly translates יְּחְשׁוֹּרְ of this passage by βούβαλος: «πάρεξ τῶν ἐπ' ἄγρας ἐλάφων λέγω καὶ βου 6 άλων καὶ τῶν πτηνῶν καὶ ἰχθύων,» while Philo recounts among the eatable animals «μόσχος, άμνός, χίμαρος, έλαφος, δορκάς, βού 6 αλος, τραγέλ α φ ο ς, πύγαργος, ὄρυξ, καμηλοπάρδαλις,»² which is identical with the nomenclature of the Codex Alexandrinus.

The Heb. יַּחְמֵּוּר was a puzzle even to the Jews, for no definite animal was associated with it, beyond its relation to the deer kind. It is true, a kind of

¹ Antiquitates iudaicae, VIII. 2. 4. ² De specialibus legibus, IV. 105.

roebuck on Mount Carmel, called yahmūr, was described by Conder in 1879,1 but this name is an afterthought, due unquestionably to an interpretation of the Bible passages, and does not teach us anything. On the other hand, the Polychrome Bible not only marks the whole passage in 3 Reg. IV. 23 as of later origin, but specifically mentions ייחמור as being possibly a scribal expansion.2 The Syrians adopted this word in the form المعلقة yahmūrå, and the Syrio-Arabic glosses show that no very definite conception of the meaning was had; for we find for it واليعمور وهو الجاموس البرى او الايل النامور والنحمور وهو الجاموس البرى and similar readings, from اخر الكبير من الايسايل او من اليامور which we learn that the $yahm\bar{u}r$ was taken to be in Arabic a yaḥmūr, yāmūr, nāmūr, or ṭāmur, (all of these corruptions of the original word, due to a misreading of the undotted ,) which was supposed to be a wild جاموس $\hat{g}\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$ or the most powerful of the Al'ayal.

The Arab. جاموس is from the Persian. It is already found in the Bundehesh as gāomēs, from OPers. gav "ox" and a word which is in Sanskrit mahisha "the large, powerful (beast), the buffalo." The poet Ru'bah, who died in 762, called the buffalo and the elephant "the dusky ones," لاقهد al-aghabain. described himself and his strength as follows:

"A lion who pounds the prey-rending lion,

And the two dusky ones, the elephant and the buffalo."3

Leipzig 1879, p. 392.

² B. Stade and F. Schwally, The Books of Kings, in The Sacred Books of

¹ F. Hommel, Die Namen der Säugethiere bei den südsemitischen Völkern.

the Old Testament, part 9, Leipzig 1904, p. 80.

Rad-Damīrī's Hayāt al-hayawān (A Zoological Lexicon), translated from the Arabic by A. S. G. Jayaker, London, Bombay 1906, vol. I, p. 64 f.

Ad-Damīrī says of the buffalo: "It is a very bold and strong animal, but notwithstanding that, the most impatient of God's creatures. It knows the bite of a mosquito and therefore flees from it to the water; the lion is afraid of it; and notwithstanding its great strength and size, it is sagacious, for if the pastor calls out to one of the she-buffaloes, 'O such a one,' the one that is called comes to him. It is a part of its nature to yearn much for its native place, and it is said that it never sleeps at all, owing to its great watchfulness on its own account and that of its young ones. When they all collect together, a circle is formed, and they place their own heads outside the circle and their tails inside it, while the pastors and the young ones lie inside it, so that the circle becomes as it were a city fortified with their horns. The male butts another male with its horns, and if one of them is vanquished, it enters a forest where it remains, until it knows that it is strong enough, when it comes forth, seeks out the stallion which had previously overpowered it, butts it with its horns, vanquishes it, and drives it away. It immerses itself in water mostly as far as its nose." But the Syrio-Arabic glosses also identify the yahmūr with the "the mountain goat," and thus we are no wiser as to the original meaning of יְחָמוּר.

There can be no doubt that המכן has something to do with "red," from the root המכן, and it can be shown that it is due to a gloss to the Gr. δόοξ or δορχάς, which the late annotator of the Bible had before him. The Septuagint was due to Alexandrine scholarship, and here it must have been clear to the later scholars that Gr. δόοξ was an African animal, and that it was related to Copt. torš, thōrš "red." Thus the Coptic

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 399 f.

Bible translates "vacca rufa" of Num. XIX. 2 by ubahse nterš. This torš is from Egyp. tešer "red, the red calf." The Hebrew annotator correctly rendered this Gr. δορχάς by יְחְמוּר, wholly disregarding the fact that 'F' preceding it had itself been rendered into Greek by δοοχάς. The annotator went further and added in Deut. XIV. 5 178, which is unquestionably the Egyp. ah, Copt. ahe, pl. ahau "ox," he having had in mind "the red ox," of which he translated only the first part.

The red heifer was sacrificed to the dead among the Jews, 1 but among the Egyptians red cattle were generally slaughtered, apparently because they were considered of evil disposition. It is likely that red cattle were not broken in, but were left to fatten in the pasture, to be used as food. It was not inappropriate, therefore, to add the "red ox" among the eatable animals, since the contempt for them might have made

them questionable as good for food.

The Latin translation of ממור as bubalus is precise, for it refers to the animal raised for slaughter, as may be seen from the use of bubulus, bubulus in Latin. In 1 Par. XVI. 3 we read "partem assae carnis bubalae," while in 2 Reg. VI. 19 we have "et assaturam bubulae carnis." which once more shows that bubalus and bubulus are identical and have the meaning of "beef." But the best proof of the reference of bubalus to "ox," is found in Amos VI. 13, where אם יחרוש בבקרים is translated by Aquila «πέτρα διὰ βοῶν,» where the Septuagint has «εί παρασιωπήσονται έν θηλείαις.» while the Vulgate reads "aut arari potest in bubalis." Here bubalus is the translation of Heb. bāgār "ox, cattle."

VII. p. 1376.

¹ H. P. Smith, Notes on the Red Heifer, in Journal of Biblical Literature, vol. XXVII, p. 153 ff.

² H. Brugsch, Hieroglyphisch-Demotisches Wörterbuch, Leipzig 1882, vol.

So far we have not a distant reference to a wild ox. nor to a gazelle in bubalus, although later this identification is common. This identification was started by the unfortunate explanation given to bubalus in Amos VI. 13 by Jerome. The authorized version of the Bible reads intelligently: "For beholde, the Lord commandeth, and hee will smite the great house with breaches, and the little house with clefts. Shall horses runne vpon the rocke? wil one plow there with oxen? for ye have turned judgement into gall, and the fruite of righteousnesse into hemlocke." Jerome gives his own translation as "numquid currere queunt in petris equi, aut arari potest in bubalis?" and explains this as "Scissa enim domus sarciri potest: ruinae non tam instaurationem, quam aedificationem deside-Cujus utriusque domus ruinas, scissiones, equis et bubalis comparat, quorum priores in petris currere nequeunt, posteriores tam indomiti sunt, ut jugum cervicibus non recipiant, et cum silvestres boves sint, propter feritatem nolint terram vomere scindere."1 According to Jerome a bubalus is a wild, untamed ox that has not been broken into the yoke, not entirely a gratuitous assumption, since the Heb. יחמור in the other passages leads to the conclusion that the ox of the pasture, and not of the stall, is meant.

Once this identification of bubalus as "bos silvester" was made, which was at the end of the IV. or the beginning of the V. century, the word found its way as an interpolation into older works, and became current as an appellation for the buffalo. This can be shown by a study of all the passages in which the word occurs. In the passage quoted from Josephus $\beta o \psi \delta \alpha \lambda o \zeta$ is, indeed, the translation of $\delta o \phi \alpha \alpha \zeta$, but of that word in the Egyptian sense of "red ox, cattle," as justified

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. XXV, col. 1065.

by the Heb. אָרְבְּיִר here used, and as is clear from the juxtaposition «βουβάλων, πετεινῶν καὶ ἰχθύων,» from which ἔλαφος is excluded and where βουβάλων can only mean "four-footed animal of the chase" or "wild cattle," as opposed to "birds" and "fish." But the question arises whether the latter part, absent both from the Hebrew Bible and the Septuagint, is not a later interpolation, as βούβαλος and τραγέλαφος may similarly be a later interpolation in Philo, whose nomenclature is painfully identical with that of the Codex Alexandrinus.

In the Lausiac History of Palladius there is a passage, possibly genuine, which runs as follows: «μεθ' ην ἐφάνη πληθος βου 6 άλων, έξ δν ή μία έστη έχουσα μόσχον είσὶ γὰο πολλαὶ ἐν τοῖς τόποις ἐκείνοις καὶ ὡς ἔλεγεν ὅτι έρρει αὐτῆς τὸ οὖθαρ τοῦ γάλακτος ὑπεισελθών οὖν τῆ βου 6 άλω καὶ θηλάσας ήρκέσθη καὶ ἔως τοῦ κελλίου αὐτοῦ ἦλθεν ἡ βο ύ β α λος θηλάξουσα αὐτόν, τὸ δὲ μοσχάριον αὐτῆς μὴ δεχομένη». This is translated by Clarke: "After her appeared a herd of antelopes, one of which with a calf stopped—there are many in those regions. And he said that her udder was flowing with milk. So, creeping under her and sucking, he was satisfied. And the antelope went as far as his cell, giving him milk, but not allowing her own calf to suck."2 The rendering of βούβαλος as "antelope" is gratuitous. It should have been "cow" or "buffalo." This is proved, beyond a shadow of a doubt, by the Coptic version of the story: "A voice came to me, saying: 'Macarius, get up, go to the she-buffalo, drink some milk, regain your strength, and go back to your cell.' And he went and sucked some milk from its udder, and refreshed himself

¹ C. Butler, The Lausiac History of Palladius, II, in Texts and Studies, Contributions to Biblical and Patristic Literature, vol. VI, No. 2, p. 50 f.

² W. K. L. Clarke, The Lausiac History of Palladius, London, New York 1918, p. 80.

a little. The buffalo went away, and either she or another came every day to give him some milk. 'And when I was near my cell, about a day's walk away, all the buffaloes ran away and left me.' "1

The word translated by "buffalo" is given in Coptic as šoš. This was originally the translation of bubalus in Deut. XIV. 5, and was there followed by tragalafo. wherefor Zoega was misled into translating it by "oryx", but he later observed that others had rendered it by bubalus, and so corrected it himself to bubalus; and in the Life of Abbot Paul it is made clear that the grazing cattle were meant, for the passage runs: "Perrexerunt itaque per montem Tèrèb Aegypti superioris, donec venirent ad montem Teròtascians oppido Kòs ad meridiem situm, ubi in valle conspexerunt bubalos in quorum medio erat monachus. Hic de nomine et vita a Paulo interrogatus, respondit, vocari se quidem Aphu, degisse autem eo in loco annis LIV. postquam monachus ordinatus fuisset ab abbate Antonio de Sciièt, vitam tolerare eodem cibo quo vescebantur bubali quorum utebatur societate, herbis scilicet et oleribus silvestribus, hiberno tempore dormire in medio bubalorum halitu eorum calefactum, aestate autem umbra eorum defendi ab ardore solis. In veritate, dixit Paulus, vocaris abbas Aphu Bubalus."3 Indeed, we have many times in the Bible pe-šōš, pe-šos "shepherd," which makes it plausible that šoš "shepherd, bubalus" is derived from the root šoš, šeš "to scatter." In Isaiah V. 8 the Greek «ζεύγη βοῶν» is rendered in the Basmyric Codex by šaiš, while the Memphitic version has šoš. This is not only important for the establishment of bubalus as "grazing animal,

¹ E. Amélineau, Histoire des monastères de la Basse-Égypte, Paris 1894, in Annales du Musée Guimet, vol. XXV, p. 235.

² Catalogus codicum copticorum manuscriptorum, Leipzig 1903, pp. 14,

<sup>66, 72.

3</sup> Ibid., p. 364.

4 Ibid., p. 147.

work ox," but also for the proof that Copt. šoš "equal" arose from the idea of "yoke ox." So far we have not discovered any justification for the identification of the bubalus with a "wild," that is, "savage" animal. At best we have a reference to the cattle of the broad pastures, where they became less sleek and more bony, as admirably represented in Egyptian paintings, sometimes as the object of the chase.

In Palaephatus' Περὶ ἀπίστων, a work full of interpolations, a sentence runs, «οὐ γὰο δυνατὸν, κύνα καὶ πίθηκον, λύκον τε καὶ ὕαιναν, αλλήλοις συμμιγήναι, οὐδὲ βού 6 αλον έλάφω έτερογενη γάρ είσι». 2 One editor quite appropriately says to this passage, "haec, et caetera huiusmodi, additamenta redolere videntur ingenium alienum." Indeed, the position of «οὐδὲ βούβαλον ἐλάφω» after «συμμιγῆναι» is distinctly an afterthought, and reflects the Biblical juxtaposition of βούβαλος and ξλαφος. In speaking of Arabia and its animals composed of two natures, Diodorus Siculus has a passage in which he says that "there are also the tragelaphus and the bubalus and other animals of double nature, which it would be too long to describe." This is an obvious interpolation, where the bubalus is associated with the tragelaphus, as in the Bible. In Dioscorides the βούβαλος is mentioned by the side of the μόσχος "calf," but the exact meaning is not ascertainable there. However, from the fact that Galen uses βουβάλιος "wild" (cucumber), it is certain that the bubalus was thought of by them as an ox running at large. Similarly, when Leo asked that coats of mail and helmets be made of the

¹ J. G. Wilkinson, Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians, London 1837, vol. III, p. 18.

² J. F. Fischer, Palaephati De incredibilibus, Lipsiae 1789, p. 23 f.

³ II. 51.

hide of the bubalus, he had in mind the "ox of the pasture" that would naturally be raised for its meat or hide. The few other references to β o δ o δ o δ o in Greek admit of no classification, and so there is not one discordant example, from which anything but the idea of "ox running at large" can be postulated for bubalus, even as we found the case to be for the Copt. šoš.

We can now turn to the Latin authors in which bubalus occurs. Ammianus writes: "Exuberat Aegyptus etiam pecudibus multis, inter quas terrestres sunt et aquatiles. Aliae quae humi et in humoribus uiuunt unde ἀμφίδιοι nominantur. Et in aridis quidem capreoli uescuntur et bubali et spinturnicia omni deformitate ridicula, aliaque monstra quae enumerare non refert." The identity of the passage with that in Diodorus Siculus is obvious. The latter speaks of animals of a double nature, and wrongly drags in the bubalus and tragelaphus, for the first at least is neither a compound word nor a compound animal, and finishes by saying that it is not worth while to talk of these: «γίνονται δὲ καὶ τοαγέλαφοι καὶ βού 6 αλοι, καὶ ἄλλα πλείω γένη δίμοοφα ζώων, καὶ τὴν σύνθεσιν ἐκ τῶν πλεῖστον τῆ φύσει κεγωρισμένων έχοντα περί ων τὰ κατὰ μέρος μακρόν αν εἵη γοάφειν»;3 and Ammianus similarly drags in the bubalus and "capreolus" and "spinturnicium" and other monsters, which it is not worth while mentioning. have already pointed out that Ammianus is a late forgery.4 This is only one of many passages which confirm the suspicion.

¹«Λωρίκια μέχρι δστραγάλων, ἀνασυρόμενα διὰ λωρίων και κρικελλίων, μετὰ τῶν θηκαρίων αὐτῶν δερματίνων, καὶ εἰ δυνατὸν πάντα άλυσίδετα· εἰ γὰρ μή γε, τινὰ αὐτῶν ἐκ κεράτων, ἢ βουβαλικῶν δερμάτων καταξήρων,» J. Meursius, Leonis Imp. Tactica; sive De re militari lib Lugduni Batavorum 1612, V. 4, p. 51.

² XXII. 15. 14.

⁸ II. 51.

⁴ See my Contributions, vol. III, pp. 151, 275.

The discussion of Amos VI. 13 by Pseudo-Rufinus, "instar bubalorum nunquam videlicet aratris colla subdentium", is based entirely on Jerome and adds nothing to our discussion. Nor is there anything gained from the reference based on Amos VI. 13 in Gregory, "quod autem me dicitis scribere non debuisse, ut in agro Dominico cum bubalis arares, quia in ostenso beato Petro linteo et bubali et omnes ferae oblatae sunt."2 In the VI. century we find an imaginary animal "liggium" described as having the color of a dusky bubalus or a red heifer, "a quodam animali, quod liggium nominatur, habens colorem pulli bubali uel buculae rufae," which only shows that the bubalus was something like a red heifer. In Rufinus' Historia monachorum, chap. VI, occurs the statement that Theo at night enjoyed the company of the animals of the desert, whom he gave to drink from a well, "hujus autem rei manifestum dabatur indicium, quod vestigia bubalorum, caprarumque et onagrorum, circa ejus cellulam plurima deprehendebantur."4

Thus we have but one original source for all the bubali mentioned before the VIII. century, namely, the Bible. The chief source of secondary references is the Lausiac History, which played such an important part in the dissemination of the hagiography in the West, and through this the bubalus was more especially

associated with Africa.

Migne, P. L., vol. XXI, col. 1087.
 I. 6, in MGH., Epistolae, vol. I, p. 8.
 Epiphanius, De XII gemmis rationalis, in CSEL., vol. XXXV, p. 752.
 Migne, P. L., vol. XXI, col. 410.

II. THE BULL OF PAEONIA.

It was only the genealogical propensities of the Arabs which in the VIII. century produced a frenzy of genealogical speculations among the nations of Europe. Therefore the forger Aethicus spoke with authority when he said that the conquest of Francus and Vassus by Romulus had not yet been told by anyone, "de quaestionibus quae alia scriptura non narrat." It is extremely doubtful whether any story of the Franks existed before the VIII. century, and the references in Gregory of Tours to the historians Renatus Profuturus Frigiretus and Sulpicius Alexander, of whom there is no other mention anywhere, sound as doubtful as the whole Troy origin of the Franks.²

Fredegar, who equally belongs to the VIII. century,³ repeats the story of the Trojan descent of the Franks three times, which shows that it was in everybody's mouth in his time. According to one account, the Merovingians had for their eponymous hero Meroveus, who was begotten by a Centaur of the Sea.⁴ Another account of the origin of the Franks is as follows: "Exinde origo Francorum fuit. Priamo primo regi habuerunt; postea per historiarum libros scriptum est, qualiter habuerunt regi Friga. Postea partiti sunt in duabus partibus. Una pars perrexit in Macedoniam, vocati sunt Macedonis secundum populum, a quem recepti sunt, et regionem Macedoniae, qui oppremebatur a gentes vicinas, invitati ab ipsis fuerunt, ut eis prae-

4 Ibid., p. 294.

¹ M. D'Avezac, Éthicus et les ouvrages cosmographiques intitulés de ce nom, Paris 1852, cap. VII, p. 308 ff. See my Contributions, vol. III, pp. 258 ff. ² Historia Françorum, II. 9.

³ My Contributions, vol. III, p. 254.

berent auxilium. Per quos postea cum subiuncti in plurima procreatione crevissent, ex ipso genere Macedonis fortissimi pugnatores effecti sunt; quod in postremum in diebus Phyliphy regis et Alexandri fili sui fama confirmat, illorum fortitudine qualis fuit. Nam et illa alia pars, quae de Frigia progressa est, ab Olexo per fraude decepti, tamen non captivati, nisi exinde eiecti, per multis regionibus pervacantis cum uxores et liberos, electum a se regi Francione nomen, per quem Franci vocantur. In postremum, eo quod fortissimus ipse Francio in bellum fuisse fertur, et multo tempore cum plurimis gentibus pugnam gerens, partem Asiae vastans, in Eurupam dirigens, inter Renum vel Danuvium et mare consedit. Ibique mortuo Francione, cum iam per proelia tanta que gesserat parva ex ipsis manus remanserat, duces ex se constituerunt. Attamen semper alterius dicione negantes, multo post tempore cum ducibus transaegerunt usque ad tempore Ponpegi consolis, qui et cum ipsis demicans seo et cum reliquas gentium nationes, quae in Germania habitabant, totasque dicione subdidit Romanam. Sed continuo Franci cum Saxonibus amicicias inientes, adversus Pompegium revellantis, eiusdem rennuerunt potestatem. pegius in Spaniam contra gentes demicans plurimas, moretur. Post haec nulla gens usque in presentem diem Francos potuit superare, qui tamen eos suae dicione potuisset subiugare. Ad ipsum instar et Macedonis. qui ex eadem generatione fuerunt, quamvis gravia bella fuissent adtrite, tamen semper liberi ab externa dominatione vivere conati sunt. Tercia ex eadem origine gentem Torcorum fuisse fama confirmat, ut, cum Franci Asiam pervacantis pluribus proeliis transissent, ingredientis Eurupam, super litore Danuviae fluminis inter Ocianum et Traciam una ex eis ibidem pars resedit. Electum a se utique regem nomen Torquoto, per quod gens Turquorum nomen accepit.

Franci huius aeteneris gressum cum uxores et liberes agebant, nec erat gens, qui eis in proelium potuisset Sed dum plurima egerunt prodia, quando ad Renum consederunt, dum a Turquoto menuati

sunt, parva ex eis manus aderat."1

One can trace the origin of the story step by step. The Trojans are supposed to have settled in Macedonia and their bravery was attested in the days of Philip and Alexander. Already Homer refers to the aid given to the Trojans by the Paeonians of Macedonia.2 and Herodotus declares that the Paeonians were Teucran colonists from Troy.3 Their "Phrygian" origin led to the King Friga, and their "Teucran" origin to another division, the Torci, and their King Torquotus. But the latter, as well as the reference to Alexander and Philip, arose from Jerome's Chronicle, where we have the following juxtaposition:

1681 Alexander, Philippi filius, an. 12, menses 6. 1682 Post quem (Arsem) Darius, XIV, Arsami

filius, an. 6.

1684 Romanor. consul Manlius Torquatus filium suum, quod contra imperium in hostem pugnaverat,

virgis caesum securi percussit.4

This is the reason why Torquotus and Alexander were dragged in. Herodotus tells of a conversation held between Darius and some Paeonian youths as regards their sister.⁵ The writer of the Trojan origin of

¹ MGH., Scrip. rer. merov., vol. II, p. 45 f. ² Iliad, II. 848 ff.

⁴ Migne, P. L., vol. XXVII, col. 471 ff.

⁴ Migne, P. L., vol. XXVII, col. 471 ff.

⁵ ϵ'Ην Πίγρης καὶ Μαντύης, ἄνδρες Παίονες, οῖ, ἐπεί τε Δαρεῖος διέδη ἐς τὴν 'Ασίην, αὐτοὶ ἐθέλοντες Παίονων τυραννεύειν, ἀπικνέονται ἐς Σάρδις, ἄμα ἀγόμενοι ἀδελφεὴν μεγάλην τε καὶ εὐειδέα ¹ φυλάξαντες δὲ Δαρεῖον προκατιζόμενον ἐς τὸ προάστειον τὸ τῶν Λυδῶν ἐποίησαν τοιόνδε. Σκευάσαντες τὴν ἀδελφεὴν ὡς εἰχον ἄριστα ἐπ' ὕδωρ ἔπεμπον, ἄγγος ἐπὶ τῆ κεφαλῆ ἔχουσαν καὶ ἐκ τοῦ βραχίονος ἴππον ἐπέλκουσαν καὶ κλώθουσαν λῖνον ὡς δὲ παρεξήϊε ἡ γυνὴ, ἐπιμελὲς τῷ Δαρείῳ ἐγένετο οὕτε γὰρ Περσικὰ ἡν, οὕτε Λύδια τὰ ποιεύμενα ἐκ τῆς γυναικὸς, οὕτε πρὸς τῶν ἐκ τῆς 'Ασίας οὐδαμῶν ἐπιμελὲς δὲ ῶς οἱ ἐγένετο, τῶν δορυ-

the Franks mistook this Darius for the one in the time of Alexander, and so the Paeonians are made to be brave men in the days of Alexander. This was a welcome discovery for him, since it enabled him to give an eponymous hero to the Turci, who by other writers were identified with the Thuringi, even as the Phrygii were identified with the Franci. Thus it was possible to give the Franci, that is, the Germans, the same genealogy as the Romans, namely, to have them derived from Troy. This is distinctly mentioned by Fredegar in another place: "Primus rex Latinorum tunc in ipso tempore surrexit, eo quod a Troia fugaciter exierant, et ex ipso genere et Frigas: fuerunt, nisi per ipsa captivitate Troiae et inundatione Assiriorum et eorum persecutione, in duas partes egressi et ipsa civitate et regione. Unum exinde regnum Latinorum ereguntur et alium Frigorum."2

Paeonia was mistaken for Pannonia, hence we find in Gregory of Tours the account of the arrival of the Franks from Pannonia and settling in Thuringia, where Clodio lived in the castle Dispargum.³ I have

φόρων τινὰς πέμπει, κελεύων φυλάξαι, ὅ τι χρήσεται τῷ ἵπτω ἡ γυνή· οἰ μεν δὴ ὅπσθε εἴποντο· ἡ δὲ ἐπεί τε ἀπίκετο ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμὸν, ἡροε τὸν ἵπτον· ἄρσασα δὲ καὶ τὸ ἄγγος τοῦ ὕδατος ἐμπλησαμένη τὴν αὐτὴν ὁδὸν παρεξήῖε, φέρουσα τὸ ὕδωρ ἐπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς καὶ ἐπέλκουσα ἐκ τοῦ βραχίονος τὸν ἵππον καὶ στρέφουσα τὸν ἄτρακτον. Θωυμάζων δὲ ὁ Δαρεῖος βραχίονος τὸν ἵππον καὶ στρέφουσα τὸν ἄτρακτον. Θωυμάζων δὲ ὁ Δαρεῖος τὰ τε ἤκουσε ἐκ τῶν κατασκόπων, καὶ τὰ αὐτὸς ὥρα, ἄγειν αὐτὴν ἐκέλευε ἐαυτῷ ἐς ὄψιν· ὡς δὲ ἤκθη, παρῆσαν καὶ οἱ ἀδελφεὶ αὐτῆς, οὔ κη πρόσω σκοπὴν ἔχοντες τούτων· εἰρωτέοντος δὲ τοῦ Δαρείου, ὁποδατὴ εἰη, ἔφασαν οἱ νεηνίσκοι εἰναι Παίονες, καὶ ἐκείνην εἰναι σφέων ἀδελφεήν· ὁ δ' ἀμεί6ετο, τίνες δὲ οἱ Η αίονες ἄνθρωποί εἰσι καὶ κοῦ γῆς οἰκημένοι, καὶ τἱ ἐκείνοι ἐθέλοντες ἔλθοιεν ἐς Σάρδις οἱ δὲ οἱ ἔφραζον, ὡς ἔλθοιεν μὲν ἐκείνω δωσοντες σφέας αὐτοὺς, εἰη δὲ ἡ Παιονίη ἐπὶ τῷ Στρυμώνι ποταμῷ πεπολισμένη· ὁ δὲ Στρυμών οὐ πρόσω τοῦ Ἑλλησπόντου εἰησαν δὲ Τευκρῶν τῶν ἐκ. Τροίης ἄποικοι,» V. 12-13.

¹ See my Contributions, vol. III. p. 254.
2 II 8

³ "Hanc nobis notitiam de Francis memorati historici reliquere, regibus non nominatis. Tradunt enim multi, eosdem de Pannonia fuisse degressus, et primum quidem litora Rheni omnes incoluisse, dehinc, transacto Rheno, Thoringiam transmeasse, ibique iuxta pagus vel civitates regis crinitos super se creavisse de prima et, ut ita dicam, nobiliore suorum familia. Quod postea probatum Chlodovechi victuriae tradedirunt, itaque in sequenti

already shown that Dispargum arose from "id est burgi," and referred to the etymology of Burgundiones. who are here identified with the Thuringians. This substitution is brought out by the phrase "Burgundiones quoque," etc., which in Fredegar is even more clearly shown to be a misunderstanding, for here we read: "Substituetur filius eius Chlodeo in regno, utilissimus vir in gente sua, qui apud Esbargium castrum resedebat, quod est in termino Thoringorum. Burgundionis quoque Arrianorum secta utebant, sedentes in Cysalpinis."2 But the Pannonian, that is, Paeonian origin of the Franks, that is, the Germans in general, led to a specific interest in Paeonia and, what is most characteristic of Paeonia, the large-horned bull. This led at once to Athenaeus, who has a chapter on the horn as a drinking cup:3 "There is also the horn. It is said that the first men drank out of the horns of oxen: from which circumstance Bacchus often figured with horns on his head, and is moreover called a bull by many of the poets. And at Cyzicus there is a statue of him with a bull's head. But that men drank out of horns (κέοατα) is plain from the fact that to this very day, when men mix water with wine, they say that they περᾶσαι (mix it). And the vessel in which the wine is mixed is called πρατήρ, from the

digerimus. Nam et in Consolaribus legimus, Theudomerem regem Francorum, filium Richimeris quondam, et Ascylam, matrem eius, gladio interfectus. Ferunt etiam, tunc Chlogionem utilem ac nobilissimum in gente sua regem fuisse Francorum, qui apud Dispargum castrum habitabat, quod est in terminum Thoringorum. In his autem partibus, id est ad meridianam plagam, habitabant Romani usque Ligerem fluvium. Ultra Ligerem vero Gothi dominabantur. Burgundiones quoque, Arrianorum sectam sequentes, habitabant trans Rhodanum, quod adiacit civitate Lugdunense. Chlogio autem, missis exploratoribus ad urbem Camaracum, perlustrata omnia, ipse secutus, Romanus proteret, civitatem adpraehendit, in qua paucum tempus resedens, usque Summanam fluvium occupavit. De huius stirpe quidam Merovechum regem fuisse adserunt, cuius fuit filius Childericus, "II. 9.

1 See my Contributions, vol. III, p. 261 f.
2 III. 9.

³ XI. 51.

fact of the water being mingled (συγκιονᾶσθαι) in it, as if the word were κερατήρ, from the drink being poured εἰς τὸ κέρας (into the horn); and even to this day the fashion of making horns into cups continues; but some people call these cups rhyta. And many of the poets represent the ancients as drinking out of horns. Pindar, speaking of the Centaurs, says:

After those monsters fierce Learnt the invincible strength of luscious wine; Then with a sudden fury, With mighty hands they threw the snow-white

milk

Down from the board, And of their own accord

Drank away their senses in the silver-mounted horns.

And Xenophon, in the seventh book of his Anabasis, giving an account of the banquet which was given by the Thracian Seuthes, writes thus: 'But when Xenophon, with his companions, arrived at Seuthes's palace, first of all they embraced one another, and then, according to the Thracian fashion, they were presented with horns of wine.' And in his sixth book he says, when he is speaking of the Paphlagonians, 'And they supped lying on couches made of leaves, and they drank out of cups made of horn.' And Aeschylus, in his Perrhaebi, represents the Perrhaebi as using horns for cups, in the following lines:

With silver-mounted horns, Fitted with mouthpieces of rich-wrought gold.

And Sophocles, in his Pandora, says:

And when a man has drain'd the golden cup, She, pressing it beneath her tender arm, Returns it to him full. And Hermippus, in his Fates, says:

Do you now know the thing you ought to do? Give not that cup to me; but from this horn Give me but once more now to drink a draught.

And Lycurgus the orator, in his Oration against Demades, says that Philip the king pledged those men whom he loved in a horn. And Theopompus, in the second book of his history of the Affairs and Actions of Philip, says that the kings of the Paeonians, as the oxen in their countries have enormous horns, so large as to contain three or four choes of wine, make drinking-cups of them, covering over the brims with silver or with gold. And Philoxenus of Cythera, in his poem entitled The Supper, says:

He then the sacred drink of nectar quaff'd From the gold-mounted brims of th' ample horns, And then they all did drink awhile.

And the Athenians made also silver goblets in the shape of horns, and drank out of them. And one may ascertain that by seeing the articles mentioned in writing among the list of confiscated goods on the pillar which lies in the Acropolis, which contains the sacred offerings—'There is also a silver horn drinking-cup, very solid.'"

The Anthologia graeca has a poem by the Macedonian Addaeus, possibly a contemporary of King Philip, on the Paeonian bull, which runs as follows: "Valiant Peucestes encountered on horseback the bull as it issued from the dreadful dell of Doberus. Like a mountain it rushed at him, but with his Paeonian spear he pierced its tender temples, and having despoiled

¹ C. D. Yonge, The Deipnosophists or Banquet of the Learned of Athenaeus, London 1854, vol. II, p. 758 ff.

its head of the pair of horns, ever as he quaffs the wine from them boasts of his enemy's death."1

As a γοῦς amounts to about three quarts, the horns of the Paeonian bull held from twelve to sixteen quarts. This makes it certain, beyond a shadow of a doubt, that the Paeonian bull was either the bos primogenitus of the zoologists or closely related to it, and could not have been the bison, since a horn of a bison would not hold even one quart of liquid measure. This raises the question whether the bison was at all known in Western Europe, more especially in Germany, in the period between Caesar's expeditions and modern times. It can be shown that we have not a particle of proof as to the presence of the bison in historic times anywhere but in the forests of Russia, where it was to be found at least until the Great War.

Of course, in prehistoric times the European bison, which is closely related to the American bison, must have ranged over a much greater territory, and may have been known all over Europe and Northern Asia. But the presence of the bison in the west is documentarily based on a vicious circle. All authorities point to Caesar and Pliny and other authors in confirmation of the assumption that the bison was well known, at least to the natives. It will be shown, however, that all the passages quoted are VIII. century interpolations or forgeries, and, besides, do not refer to the actual bison, but to any wild species of the ox, and include the bubalus and urus, the latter itself a ghost word of no definite connotation.

¹«Ταύοω φοικαλέον νάπος ἐκβαίνοντι Δοβήρου Πευκέστης ἵπτω καστερὸς ἠντίασεν·
ἀλλ' ὁ μέν ὡρμήθη πρηὼν ἄτε· τοῦ δ' ὡπαλοῖο
Παιονίδα λόγχην ἢκε διὰ κροτάφου·
συλήσας κεφαλῆς δὲ ὁιπλοῦν κέρας, αἰὲν ἐκείνω
ζωροποτῶν ἐχθροῦ κόμπον ἔχει θανάτου,»

The Greek Anthology, with an English translation by W. R. Paton,
London, New York 1917, vol. III, p. 160 ff.

III. BUFFALO HIDES.

The title of the poem on the Paeonian Bull in the Anthology reads «Είς Πευκέστην, ταῦρον τὸν καλούμενον ζόμβοον λογγεύσαντα,» where ζόμβοος is identified with the Paeonian Bull, although it apparently refers to the bison, as may be judged from Lith. žebris, stumbras, Lett. zumbrs, stumbrs, OBulg. zabrŭ, Ruman. zîmbru, Pruss. wissambris. Pruss. wissambris is formed as though from wiss "all" and sambris, but is, in reality, due to analogy with Ger. Wisent. The Slavic words are unquestionably formed from Gr. ζόμβρος, for which we have a definite date in the IX. century. In the margin of the Philocalia of Origen, where the latter mentions the τραγέλαφος, a scholiast of the IX. century wrote: "But we once saw a τραγέλαφος, which came from Thrace to Caesar Barda's house, which they called ζόμβοος and had the face of a deer and the beard of a goat, and was of yellow color and of the size of an ox."2 But this seems more like the description of the elk, with which the τραγέλαφος was finally identified. The scholiast would certainly not have said that the bison has the face of a deer. We shall now establish the history of ζόμβοος.

We have Chald. מְנֵאֹרְתָּא sanwartā, Syr. אַבּהוֹשׁ וֹצִייֹם וֹאַ sanwartā, Syr. אַבּהוֹשׁ וֹצִייִּ sanūrå, sanwartå "a covering of the head, periwig, helmet," which is represented in Arab. سنور sanawwar "leather coat of mail," ultimately "any kind of coat of mail." There can be little doubt that we

¹ J. A. Robinson, The Philocalia of Origen, Cambridge 1893, p. XV.

² Stephanus, Thesaurus graecae linguae, sub τραγέλαφος.
³ F. W. Schwarzlose, Die Waffen der alten Araber aus ihren Dichtern dargestellt, Leipzig 1886.

have here a development of Gr. ζωνάριον "girdle," which produces Talm. "Γ΄ sīnār "a female garment used as a girdle of chastity." The Arabs used war as a term for the particular kind of protective armor which they apparently brought from Russia, where they could obtain that kind of coats of mail which Leo said should be made from buffalo hides, κέκ βουδαλίνων δερμάτων.» Thus it produced Gr. ζόμδρος, which ultimately was applied to the bison of the north, producing the Slavic forms quoted. Whatever the native name of the bison may have been, we have it recorded in the Slavic languages only as some form derived from Gr. ζόμδρος οr ταῦρος, for we find also Russ. tur.

The Arabs derived some of their protective armor from Tatar sources. This is shown by the development from the Tatar word for "protective garment" which lies at its foundation.

Vámbéry¹ has a root tur, tor, tür, tör "in front, above," of which some words are of especial interest to us. He gives Uig. tör "at the head, place of honor," töre "prince, coat of mail," Čag. tür, tör "in front, place of honor," töre "prince, coat of mail." This group at once explains a group of words in the Semitic language, which is obviously of foreign origin, since it is lacking in Assyrian, and has an exceptional development in the other languages.

Heb. [77] $z\check{e}r\bar{o}'a$ "arm, forearm, might, power, protection" has not successfully been related to any Semitic root. It is found, with the same meaning, in Syr. if $dr\mathring{a}'\mathring{a}$ and Eth. $m\check{a}zr\bar{a}'e$. It is not found in Assyrian, except as a Canaanism in $zur\bar{u}'u$ "arm," and has developed a variety of meanings in Arabic.

¹ Etymologisches Wörterbuch der Turko-Tatarischen Sprachen, Leipzig 1878, p. 184 f.

Here we have ¿¿› ḍir' "a coat of mail, a coat of defence of any kind, plate-armor" and ¿¿› dzar' "the stretching forth the arm or fore leg, power, ability," dzirā' "the part from the elbow to the extremities of the fingers, fore arm," ¿› dzāri' "a small skin which is stripped off from the part next to the arm." The relation of this exotic group in the Semitic languages to the Tatar group meaning "in front, surface" is plain, whether the Semitic borrowed the word from the Tatar, or both from a third language. The Tatars and Mongolians used protective armor made of felt from dimmest antiquity, and it is only natural for words referring to such garments to come from the north, the home of the felt productions.

In Persian we find a derivative of Tat. töre in the form targ "helmet." That this word is old is proved by a gloss in the Midrash Tehillim, where Heb. βΣ "shield" is given as targa in Persian. This is the origin of Arab. το daraqah "a shield made of the skins of beasts found in the country of the Abyssinians, of the skin of the hippopotamus and other pachydermous animals" and Gr. θώραξ "coat of mail." Arab. ες το produced the tarca "shield" words in the European languages, such as OFr. targe, Span. adarga, MHG. tartsche, Pol. tarcza, etc.

For our immediate purpose it would be sufficient to rest here with the history of the protective armor; but the older evolution of the northern "in front, surface" words, more specifically, the "skin" words, is replete with philological suggestions and ultimately throws a light upon the influence of the buffalo skin upon the early civilizations, and so I am constrained to give it here.

¹ S. Fraenkel, op. cit., p. 241.

In Sanskrit we have a very old carma, carman "skin, hide, shield," which is represented in Avestan čareman, Osset, car, carm, Afg, carman, Kurd čarm. This has been tentatively related to the Sanskrit root car "to graze, wander, stir, walk, go," which is preserved in Armen. čarak "pasture," Osset. carun "to live, dwell," Bel. čarag "to wander, graze, ride." The same root meaning "leather protection" is widely diffused. We have Assyr. siriam (with the denominative su "leather"), Babil. širiam, Heb. שָׁרָיוֹן širyōn, siryōn, Syr. אֹבּיבׁן šeryånå, Egyp. darana, tarayna, tuïrana "coat of mail." The latter has survived in Copt. tharmi "leather shield." There can be little doubt that the leather protective weapon reached the Semitic countries from somewhere further East and that the Sanskrit root car, which stands alone in the Indo-European languages, is of foreign origin. The shorter Heb. אָירָיא širyā "coat of mail," איר šōr, Syr. šārīr "muscles," are obviously related to this group, but none of these have anything in their respective languages to make fast to.

We begin to get a little light on the subject when we include into our group Assyr. šāru "to wander, roam about, traverse," because here we have Sansk. car represented by šāru. This is found in the Hebrew as אַנּי "to wander about," which is to be recognized in Arab. $s\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ "he passed away, journeyed, marched," hence $s\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ "march, departure." But the Palmyrene אַנּי širta "caravan," which is very old and points to a caravan relation between Palmyra and

¹ W. M. Müller, Asien und Europa nach altägyptischen Denkmälern, Leipzig 1893, p. 102 f.

Arabia in dim antiquity, shows that the Sansk. car, Assyr. $\delta \bar{a}ru$, Heb. $\delta \bar{u}r$, Arab. $\delta \bar{a}ra$, which are unique forms and due to borrowing, have all something to do with a caravan route which connected the East with the West and South.

It was the use of the ox-skin for packing which made the transportation across the continent from the East to the West possible in early times, and the relation of "ox-skin" to "caravan," hence to "to wander" is thus explained. But this is not all. The Tatar languages show that "ox," at least the large-horned ox of northern Asia, is equally derived from the same source. We have over an enormous territory Tat. šar, čar "steer," and this is by the philologist of the Ugro-Tatar languages related to Mong. and Tat. syr "dry tendon." We thus come back once more to "hide, skin." But this šar, čar at once leads to Assyr. šuru, Phenician θωρ, Arab. tavr, Syr. Ερολ taurå, Gr. ταῦρος, Ger. Stier.

It would seem that no proof, except the one here offered, could be given of the great importance of the caravan route over Asia in forming words for "ox," "skin," "to wander," but we fortunately have a whole series of much more interesting words, from which the truth can be established beyond a shadow of a doubt. In the above group we have but comparatively late developments of the original meaning, and of local origin, possibly somewhere in Turkestan or to the north of it. But we can trace the whole caravan influence to China.

Chin. $\frac{1}{2}$ t'o "a sack, open at both ends," of which the old pronunciation was t'ak or twak, to judge from Canton. t'ok, Fuchau tw'ak, is found in Japanese as

¹ S. Fraenkel, op. cit., p. 180. ² N. F. Katanov, Opyt izslyedovaniya uryankhayskago yazyka, Kazan' 1903, p. 133.

tawara "bag," hence a still older twar may be safely

24

assumed for it. That this was connected with the caravan trade is readily seen from the compound 囊朊, literally "a bag carrier," "the camel," and there is also an ancient 馬韋 t'o "the camel," from "horse" and "bag;" so that in China the word was closely

associated with the caravan trade.

This Chinese word, or more correctly, its predecessor twar, is found over an enormous territory. For Sansk. tvac "hide, skin, bark" the Sanskrit scholars artificially created a root tvac "to cover," in order to explain the puzzling word. Of course, it is the Chin. twak, from which, in all probability, it is borrowed directly. The Dravidian languages show conclusively that the still older form twar was known to them. We have not only the Kann. tvakku, tokku, but also Kann. toval, togal, Tulu togalu, tugalu, Mala. toli, tōl, Tam. tol, Tel. tōlu, Toduva, Toda tuwarš, torra, Kota tuval "skin, hide, leather," all of which go back to twar or towar.

In the Tatar languages a division has taken place. The fuller form davar, tuvar means "cattle," while the weaker form teri, deri, tearie means "skin." The latter immediately explains an enormous mass of derivatives in the Indo-European languages. We have Sansk, driti "a skin of leather, a leather bag for holding water and other fluids, skin, hide," related to the root dri "to burst, split open, tear," Gr. δείφω, δέφω "to flay," δέρμα "skin," Lith. dirti "to flay," OBulg. drati "to tear," etc. By far the most interesting development is that from the first, which originally meant as much "pasture, cattle yard" as "cattle," as may be seen from the universal use of words from this root in the Indo-European, Semitic, as well as Tatar languages. Lat. foris "door," foras "outside," Goth. daur "door," Lith. dùrys "door," dvãras "yard,"

OBulg. dviri "door," dvorŭ "yard," Sansk. dvār "door," OPers. duvarayā "at the court," etc., etc., all prove that the original root dvar meant "yard," and here the semantic development is the same as the evolution of Eng. court "palace" from LLat. cortis "enclosure." We need only trouble ourselves with the meaning "yard, enclosure," and here the Semitic languages throw a light on the subject.

Heb. קובר "pasture," מולקבר midbar "pasture," midbar desert" have long been recognized as leading to a root "to drive to pasture," and even \$\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} d\bar \text{"speech,"} הבר deber "death, destruction" have been derived from this verbal root, which is not found in Hebrew. But Syr. , dbar "he led to pasture" has a very large number of derivatives, among them prof dabrå "field, pasture, desert," أَجْنِهُ dabråyå "wild," مُعْنِدُ dbåråta "prev." which show this relation beyond any possibility of doubt. From the idea of "leading" we get Arab. دبر dabara "he went away," دبر dabr "the quarter that is behind a thing, death, a piece of rugged ground," and a large number of other meanings. But here we have also ين $d\bar{a}r$, originally ين dawar "a house comprising several sets of apartments, and a court, abode, dwelling," hence ... dair "convent, monastery," دار $d\bar{a}ra$ "he went round, circled." In Assyrian we have mudbaru "steppe, desert," obviously a Semitic loan-word, for otherwise there is not a trace of this root. Thus it is clear that in the Semitic languages we have a loan-word from the outside. But Tat. davar "cattle" and Syr. dabråvå "wild" at once explain Pruss. zvīrins, Lith. zvèris, Lett. zvērs, OBulg. zvěri, etc., Gr. θήο, Lat. fera, OHG. dior "animal, wild beast."

But we have also an Assyrian subartu "highland, the name of a country," which seems to be identical with Sansk. śabara, śavara "of a wild mountaineer tribe, savage," śavara "barbarous, low," which, among other things, leads to Sansk. śambara "mountain. elk." There can be little doubt that we have here another development of the foreign tvar, dvar, originally "leather bag," which here leads to "wild, wild animal." as was the case with the Semitic development of the root dabar. Hence it is most likely that in the name of Doberus, a city in Macedonia, we have a reference to a northern cattle raising district, and that in speaking of the bull of Doberus the poet had in mind a bull from a northern cattle raising district. It is even possible that the mountaineer people of Assyrian and Sanskrit antiquity, whose root word is sabar, are originally connected with a wild northern cattle raising district, and that Sibir, the origin of the modern Siberia, which was located to the north of Turkestan, is a reminiscence of that region with which the Sanskrit people in the beginning of the Christian era became acquainted through their colonies in Turkestan. Indeed, śabara does not seem to have entered Sanskrit before the Christian era.

If we now once more turn to Tatar $t\ddot{o}r$, $t\ddot{u}r$, we can easily see that we have here a development of the twar group just discussed. Unquestionably the ton, $t\ddot{u}n$, jon, $j\ddot{u}n$ group, which Vámbéry gives¹ with the fundamental meaning "surface, covering," is ultimately related to it. The latter forms jon, $j\ddot{u}n$ indicate that $\ddot{o}\tilde{n}$, $\ddot{o}ng$ "front"² also belong here, and there cannot be any doubt that the extremely rich group $\ddot{o}r$, $\ddot{u}r$, $\ddot{o}s$, $\ddot{u}s$ "above, face," which all lead to words meaning "cover, protection," is merely an evolution of

¹ Op. cit., p. 184. ² Ibid., p. 54 f.

the same word. The dropping of the initial consonant, which is most marked in Yakut, is, no doubt, due to the combination tw, dw, which, as we have seen, also produced f in Latin. It is not my purpose to enter into the discussion of these groups and other related groups, but only to direct the attention to a whole series of words in the Semitic and Indo-European languages, where the same apheresis has been perpetuated.

We have Heb. אין 'ōr "hide, leather," which has puzzled the philologists. We have Aves. avaretā "property, movable possession," which stands alone. We get some light on the subject through Hesychius' «ἀ ὁ ο τ η ς, ξιφιστής, ὑπὸ Μακεδόνων ἄγγος δερμάτιον, ἱμάτιον» and Suidas' «ἀ ο ο τ ή ν, λέγουσιν οἱ πολλοὶ νῦν ἀδερτήν, Μακεδονικὸν δὲ καὶ τὸ σκεῦος καὶ τὸ ὄνομα,» which show that ἀορτή and ἀδερτή come from the north, probably from a Tatar region. 'Αορτή "the aorta" originally meant a clothes chest, no doubt of leather. This is made a certainty from Lat. averta "the bag in which goods were shipped," which was unquestionably of leather, hence is given in the Edict of Diocletian under the caption. De loramentis.

In the east of Europe the Tat. tavar words prevailed. Here we find OBulg. tovarŭ "load," tovarinŭ "ass," Ruth. tovar "cattle, chattle," Russ. tovar "goods," etc. But in the west we have almost exclusively avar and var forms, due to a new apheresis by analogy. While we have MGr. τομάοι "leather," where the old form is preserved, the form τοβάρος naturally suggested τὸ βάρος, and so Gr. βάρος crowded it out and was used for "burden" of any kind. We frequently hear of βάρος as a tax or pay, while in the west the

¹ «'Ηδυνήθησαν δὲ οἱ μοναχοὶ τῆς δηλωθείσης μονῆς ἐφευρεῖν τοσούτους παροίκους ἀτελεῖς καὶ ἀπηλλαγμένους δντας παντὸς βάρους καὶ στρατιωτικοῦ λειτουργήματος? (1051), F. Miklosich and J. Müller, Acta et diplomata graeca medii aevi sacra et profana, Vindobonae 1887, vol. V, p. 7; «ὁ γὰρ

tavar, under the influence of Lat. habere, settled down to habere, avere "property, cattle," though the meaning "tax, expense" is also preserved, and the meaning "bag," as in Greek, is not rare.3

ούτως έχειν διαγινωσκόμενος οὐδεμίαν ἄνεσιν ή βοήθειαν έξει ἀπό τοῦδε τοῦ σιγιλλίου, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὰ οἰκεῖα βάρη ἐπανακληθήσεται» (1051) ibid; κπάντα τὰ ταύτης δημόσια τέλη καὶ βάρη, ὅσα καὶ οἰά εἰσι»(1088), ibid., vol. VI, p. 49; «ἀπὸ παντὸς δημοσιακοῦ τέλους καὶ βάρους» (1099), ibid., p. 95; «ὡς τοῖς Μαλαχιώταις ἀνήκει αὐτὴ καὶ γονικόθεν διαφέρει αὐτοῖς καὶ καταγεγραμμένη ἐστὶν ἐν τοῖς τούτων βιολογίοις καὶ βάρη τελεσμάτων ἐπίκεινται τούτοις δημοσιακῶν τε καὶ στρατιωτικῶν ἐνεκα ταύτης» (1262), ibid., p. 212; «μὴ γονικῆς γὰρ εὐρεθείσης τῶν Μαλαχιωτῶν τῆς τοιούτης γῆς, μήτε ἐπὶ τελέσματι καὶ βάρει κατεχομένης παρ' αὐτῶν, πάντως ἐκείνου ἦν τοῦ λαμβάνοντος τὴν μορτὴν αὐτῆς δεστοτικῷ δικαίφ» (1262), ibid., p. 213; «ἀλλὰ δὴ καὶ ἀπογραφικῶν ἀποκταστάσεων καὶ ἐτέορις δικαισμάτων προίσωντας καὶ καισάδουλλοι τῆς βαπλείσε του δε έτέρων δικαιωμάτων πορίσωνται και χουσόδουλλον της βασιλείας μου, ώς αν κατέχωσι ταῦτα καὶ εἰς τὸ ἑξῆς ἀδαρῶς πάντη καὶ ἀτελῶς, καθὼς ταῦτα κατέχουσι μέχρι τοῦ νῦν» (1326), ibid., p. 248.

¹ "Facio vobis cartam donacionis sive franquitatis, quod aliquis homo nostri comitatus, tam militis quam laicis, sive quid aliquid dederat vel vendiderint, tam kastris, quam mansibus, sive honoribus, vel averibus, sive pannos de seda et de lino, habeatis vos et successores vestri et ecclesiam Celsonensem franchum et liberum" (999), J. Villanueva, Viage literario à las iglesias de España, Valencia 1821, vol. IX, p. 220; "et ego ferriol cum vidissem tantam caritatem et tantam benignitatem erga nos, feci me monachum in sancti iohannis, et missi ibi de mea substancia sic de habere mobile quomodo et de hereditate" (1043), Coleccion de documentos para el estudio de la historia de Aragon, Zaragoza 1904, vol. I, p. 46; "fecerunt de homines de eorum terras super Alchagib et super suas terras tertiam partem, et hoc exceptus donum de avere et donum de ingeniatores et dispensa de sagittas, . . . et quando fecerint pacem supradictos Comites et jamdicta Comitissa cum Alchagib, de illud avere mobile qui exierit eis per pacem de Alchagib, sine eorum parias habeant duas partes Comite Raimundo et Comitissa Adalmodis, et tertiam partem Ermengaudo Comite' (1058), P. de Marca, Marca hispanica, Paris 1688, col. 1111 f.

2 "Vos debetis alias partes lucri et proventus habere et hoc lucrum debet intelligi et haberi deducto primo nostro capitali quantumcuque

fuerit vel est et ductis omnibus expensis et avariis promito vobis notificare et facere cerciores de lignaminibus et operibus que fient et facta sunt in dicta silva et occasione dicte concessionis per me vel per meum missum quam citius potero" (1222), Atti della Società Ligure de Storia patria, Roma 1906, vol. XXXVI, p. 5.

3 "Et debemus passare illum minstrale quod in domo Isarni proprie steterit, et donzela sua, et boverio suo, et pastore suo, et illum servent qui asinum suum menaria sine avere, et hoc si non potuerint transire Alga" (1129), Layettes du Trésor des Chartes, Paris 1909, vol. V, p. 13; "et in sua reversione nisi duxerint majus avere quam portaverint, solvant tantum duodecim Imperiales de fundo navis pro avere quod portaverint, aut de alio quod emerint. . . Et stando Ferrariae, vel eundo Venetias, de avere nihil solvant. . Brixienses quocumque vadant aut veniant, de fundo navis solvant duodecim Imperiales, et de carro averis sex Imperiales tam

It can be shown how the Tatar tavar reached the west of Europe. The Gr. βάρος, for τὸ βάρος, is found in the Graeco-Latin vocabularies. We have «βαρος sarcina pondus gravamen," "pondera σταθμια και βαρη,»² "onus γομος βαρος φορτιον», "gravamenta βαρη», "pondus βαρος, "baros pondus," "barus .i. bonus," "baros idest bonus." In the last two cases "bonus stands for "honus," a natural mistake to make, since, as we shall soon see, barus comes to mean "chattel. goods," which suggested the Lat. "bona." Now we have also the Latin glosses "haec merx et haec merces quibus onerantur naues," Glossae Abavus,9 and, similarly. Glossarium Amplonianum Secundum. 10 But the latter, which was used by the Anglo-Saxon glossators. in connection with the Graeco-Latin gloss "barus .i. bonus," produced the AS. "merx waru," whence Ger. Ware, Eng. wares.

The Greek, however, has preserved several forms of the longer τοβάρος. We have the MGr. ταγάριον, τουβοᾶς, τουρβᾶς, ντορβᾶς, Rum. torbă, tolbă, Alb. torbe, torve "grambag, knapsack," and the latter forms are already recorded in Turk. tobra, dial. torba "small leather bag" and Pers. tubra "leather bag for horses," which found its way into Cashmiri and other Indian

in reversione, quam in adventu, et de quacumque navi facerent. . Et si semel solverint de avere, quod non plus solvant de eodem, nec de avere emto de donariis illius averis, de quo solvissent prius. . Item omnis Forensis, qui emit avere da penso, aut ad staderam, de quocumque avere solvat de centenario unum Imperialem" (1228), L. A. Muratori, Antiquitates italicae medii aevi, Mediolani 1739, vol. II, col. 31 f.

1 Goetz, Corpus glossariorum latinorum, vol. II, p. 255.

² *Ibid.*, p. 153. ³ *Ibid.*, p. 138.

⁴ Ibid., p. 34.

⁵ *Ibid.*, vol. III, p. 471. ⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 490.

⁷ Ibid., p. 554. 8 Ibid., p. 618.

Ibid., vol. IV, p. 348.
 Ibid., vol. V, p. 299.
 T. Wright and R. P. Wülcker, Anglo-Saxon and Old English Vocabularies, London 1884, vol. I, col. 311.

languages. But ταγάριον led to a confusion of ταγή "command" with "daily ration of food," as which it appears among Byzantine writers, hence MGr. ταγίζω "to feed," τάγιστρον "provender bag," which ultimately leads to Ger. Tornister "knapsack."

From the confusion of Lat. habere "possession held by inheritance or on the basis of the Roman law," as opposed to honos "property held by a benefice," and Gr. βάρος "expenses, tax," which, as we have seen, found its way into the Germanic languages, there arose the meaning "chattel" for words derived from avere, even as in Anglo-Saxon it led to averian, aferian "to haul loads, to work with beasts of burden," as though from faran "to travel."

Similarly, Tat. tavar "goods" suggested itself to the Arabic as a derivative of a 'awira' 'he was blind' and produced عور 'awār "a damage in goods, or commodities, or articles of merchandise," and this produced OFr. avarie "damage." We find this word for the first time in one manuscript of the Assises de la cour des bourgeois of Jerusalem; but here it has already coalesced with Gr. βάρος and means "expenses," for it says that goods thrown away at sea could be reclaimed only to the extent of their cost, with their expenses, "et sachés que celui aver qui est geté ne deit estre conté for tant solement comme il costa [o ces avaries." That the original meaning was "damage" is proved by OFr. avarie "damage to a ship or goods which it carries." as recorded in Godefroy and in Jal, where we also find Ital. avaria = Lat. "jactus."

Here it is necessary to discuss the OHGerman word for "sacrificial animal," zebar, since it might be confused with our group and has led to most extravagant

² Glossaire nautique, Paris 1848.

¹ Beugnot, Assises de Jérusalem, Paris 1843, vol. II, p. 44

philological discussions, when in reality it is a ghost word. The Keronian glosses have

Hostia uictima cepar edo antfangida cote ist ante cotes pipot ist

Holocausta integra hostia anthaiz alone cepar.

The lemma "hostia uictima holocausta" is found in the Graeco-Latin glosses «θυσία hostia uictima sacrificium immolatio" and otherwise very frequently, while we have the Latino-Greek glosses "calpar οἴνοσεν. θυσία,» "calcar θυσίαι. ἀπαρχωνοίνου,» which, in their turn, are based on "calpar uinum quod primum libatur e dulio" of the Placidus glosses. The German glossator read calpar as caepar and, as usual, wrote cepar. As the housel was taken in wine, it was quite natural to apply the word to the housel in general. This cepar was later changed to zebar, and in Bishop Alfric's vocabulary for the first time entered Anglo-Saxon as tifer, in the significant gloss "libatio wintifer," and was generalized in Caedmon's Genesis as tiber "sacrificium, munus." The OHG. cepar was still further misread aibr in Gothic, and found its way into the Bible, Matthew V. 23, where it is the translation of "munus, sacrificial gift."

Totally unrelated with this is OFr. toivre "animal," which is generally quoted as derived from the same root as OHG. zebar. We have OFr. atoivre "manner of doing, apparel, everything which belongs to a thing, eattle," atir "garment," à tire "in order," tire "row, rank, order," atirance "disposition," atirement "agreement, arrangement, apparel," atirer "to arrange, procure, fix," atourer "to dress up," OProv. aturar "fix, dress up, apply," atieirar "to put in order," tieira "order," all of which come from Arab. طور 'atwār, "state, quality, disposition, manner, form, appearance, a thing that is commensurate or equal,"

لارة, طارة , طارة , طارة , tār, tārah "everything which surrounds a thing, circle." It is barely possible that in OFr. atoivre "cattle" there is a cross between atoivre "belongings" and an original tavar form, which has disappeared in the west; but that is not likely. However, we have in OFrench side by side atoivre, toivre and aveir "cattle." That OFr. atoivre, atire are from the Arabic is further proved by Span. aparejo, Fr. appareil, etc.. from the Romance word for "equal," since this is an exact translation of the Arab. طور tawr "a thing that is commensurate or equal," hence "form, appearance," etc.

We can now approach the Arab. درقة لمط daragah lamt, which Dozy¹ has shown has led to Span. adaragadante, adarga dante, adarga de ante, dargadante "bucklers of tough hide," hence to Span. ante "leather of the buffalo or elk," anta, dante, danta "elk." Idrīsī2 calls certain tribes in North Africa Lamta and says: "Here they manufacture the bucklers known as 'bucklers of lamta,' which are the most perfect imaginable." Lee Africanus calls the Lamt "an African gazelle," which apparently had long ago taken the place of the waning buffalo and elk in Europe: "Lant, ovver dant. Questo è un animale che somiglia al bue. di forma; ma è più piccolo, e à più gentili gambe e corna: il suo colore è quasi bianco, e l'unghie de' piedi sono negrissime: è velocissimo di corso; immodochè non è altro animale che lo avanzi, fuorchè, come s'è detto, qualche cavallo barbero: più agevolmente si piglia la state; perciocchè per lo calor dell' arena e per la velocità del correre l'unghie gli si muo-

Paris 1869, p. 195.

² P. A. Jaubert, Géographie d'Édrisi, vol. I, in Recueil de voyages et de mémoires, publié par la Société de Géographie, Paris 1836, vol. V, p. 205.

¹ Glossaire des mots espagnols et portugais dérivés de l'arabe, Leyde,

vono; onde per la passione non può correre. Così parimente si pigliano i capriuoli e i cervi. Del cuojo di questo si soglion fare alcune targhe fortissime per modo, che altra cosa non le può passare, che uno schioppo; ma molto care si vendono."

At the end of the XVI. century a substitute was found in an animal of the Congo: "Other animals are found in these regions standing about four feet less than oxen, with red-coloured skins, and horns like a goat, black, smooth, and glistening, of which they make pretty ornaments, such as are made also from buffalo horns. Their heads and hair resemble those of the ox, and their skins are much prized, being taken to Portugal and from thence to Germany to be dressed, and are The King of Congo was desirous of called Dants. having workmen skilled in the art of dressing and cleansing these skins, so as to make them into weapons of defence. Nevertheless, these people use them as shields and targets against the blows of different weapons, and especially against arrows."2 But even before that time, soon after the discovery of America, the name was transferred to the tapir, because its tough hide promised to become a substitute for the ancient buffalo hide: "Los xpianos que en tierra firme andan llaman danta a un animal que los Indios le nombran 'beori': a causa que los cueros destos animales son muy gruessos, pero no son dantas. agora los cueros destos animales no los saben adobar ni se aprouechan dellos los christianos, porque no los saben tratar, pero son tan gruessos, o mas que los del bufano.''3 In the last edition of Oviedo's works he adds: "Y no creo que serian menos buenas las bardas ó cubiertas destos cueros de beoris para caballos de

¹ G. B. Ramusio, Il viaggio di Giovan Leone, Venezia 1837, p. 162. ² F. Pigafetta, A Report of the Kingdom of Congo, and of the Surrounding Countries, trans. and ed. by Margarite Hutchinson, London 1881, p. 51. ³ Oviedo, De la natural hystoria de las Indias, Toledo 1526, fol. X.X

gente darmas, que todas las que pueden haçerse en Nápoles, ó donde mejores se hacen."1 Pigafetta mentions antas in Brazil² and Albo so calls the llamas.³ but these two reflect only the earlier authors who had mentioned the animal. Similarly Thevet was drawing on his imagination when he made the Indians manufacture bucklers from this hide: "Il s'y trouue encor là, grande quantité de bestes, appellees Tapihires, desirees et recommandees à cause de leur deformité. Aussi les Sauuagas les poursuyuet, tant pour en auoir la chair qui en est fort sauoureuse et saine, que pour les peaux, desquelles ils en font des rondelles fort larges, desquelles ils vsent et portent à la guerre, à cause qu'elles sont si dures et fortes, que à grand peine vn trait d'arbaleste les pourroit percer. Et vsent de pareille ru se à prendre le Tapihire, que à tromper les Sangliers, Cerfs, et Biches. Ceste beste est de la grandeur d'vn Asne, avant le col plus gros, et la teste come celle d'vn Taureau, les dents trenchantes et aigues, non que pour cela elle en soit plus dangereuse: car estat chassee, toute sa defense ne consiste qu'à la fuitte, et à cercher sa retraite, laquelle court plus vistement beaucoup que ne fait le Cerf. Elle n'a point de queuë, sinon bien peu, et icelle sans poil, tout ainsi que celle de l'Agoutin, cy dessus descrit. Aussi le pied fourchu, et cornue, et le poil rougeastre come celuy d'vne vache. Qui a causé, que plusieurs des nostres, estant de par delà, appelloient le Tapihire, vache Sauuage: mais il me semble autant participer de l'Asne que de la vache, veu que la difference y est aussi grande de l'vne espece que de l'autre."4

¹ Historia general y natural de las Indias, Madrid 1851, vol. I, p. 406.

² J. A. Robertson, Magellan's Voyage Around the World, by Antonio Pigafetta, Cleveland 1906, vol. I, p. 36.

³ Ibid., p. 221.

⁴ La cosmographie universelle, Paris 1575, vol. II, fol. 937 b.

In the Tupi dictionary we find: "tapyîra, caápoára, icárê the tapir; tapy'ra steer, ox." But no etymology of tapyîra, tapy'ra is known, and it can be shown that this is not a native word. While Guarani has tapié "tapir," as borrowed from the Tupi, its native name is mborobi, and this is identical with Oviedo's beori, Carib mai piure, Galibi maipuri. I leave it for the present without a solution, from what strange word tapyîra, tapy'ra is derived, but it is significant that in Brazil the word was applied to "cattle" in general.

Having traced the lamt through Europe, Africa and America, we can now take up its genetic history in Asia. It has already been observed that "protective armor" generally begins with "felt" in the north. So, too, in this case we find Syr. בְּבַב lamtā, Aram. אָבְיב namtā, Pers. namad, Arab. בוֹ namat "felt;" but there is also Heb. לְּבָּל lebed, Talm. אַלְיִיל libdā "coarse cloth," Arab. בוֹ libd "felt," and here we have a late Semitic root for "to compress in the form of felt," namely, Syr. בוֹ lbad, Arab. בוֹ labida "he compressed," Talm. בוֹ lābad "to press close." The origin of the whole group is connected with the history of monasticism in Egypt.

There is a widespread Tatar root bör, bür "to cover," which produces the "felt hat" words, Čagatay börük, Osmanli börk, Altay pürük, which, no doubt, produced at an early time Gr. πίλος "felt hat," πιλόω "to compress like felt," πιλωτός "compressed like felt." This produced Lat. pileus, pileus, pileum "felt cap, felt," hence pilo "to compress;" and, since Gr. πίλος is also used for

¹ J. Platzmann, Das anonyme Wörterbuch Tupi-Deutsch und Deutsch-Tupi, Leipzig 1901.

² Vámbéry, op. cit., p. 211.

"hair." there can be little doubt that Lat. pilus, in

spite of the short i, also belongs here.

Gr. πιλίον, apparently "felt hat," was known in Egypt at least two centuries B. C., for we find in a papyrus «παρεδώχαμεν...σύν οίς περιεβέβλητο ήματίοις τοιβαχοίς δυσὶ καὶ γιτῶνι καὶ κράνωι καὶ πιλίωι». Felt or tow was used in the hem of the garment for tassels, hence, as in the case of Gr. ράσον, πιλίον could have been used for anything connected with the hem of the garment, or the garment itself. While we have Talm. פיליום פיליוו $p\bar{\imath}ly\bar{o}n,\;p\bar{\imath}ly\bar{o}s$ "felt, felt hat," we get in Coptic pi-leos "earrings, tassels," pi-leon "earrings, bracelets," pi-lou "border, tassel," pi-lou "fringe, ring of a chain," pi-loue "bead ornament;" but in Coptic pi was taken for the masculine article, and thus there evolved the words without pi.

We have a recorded Gr. πίλημα "felt, thing made of felt," but as we have side by side πιλητόν, πιλωτόν "felt," a form πίλωμα is equally possible. This entered the Egyptian so early that it came back into Greek as an apocopated λωμα "skirt of a robe, twisted cord," in which sense it is used several times by the Septuagint in Exodus. The Coptic words for veil and sail of a ship are frequently identical with "cover, garment," for example, eršōn "veil, rasum," fork "mantle, sail;" hence Copt. labo, labōi "sail," lau "veil" are unquestionably identical with lou, etc. But Gr. πιλητόν, πιλωτόν produced an apocopated Coptic word, which is recorded

as libitu, lebiton3 "penitential robe."

It is, however, in the Greek and Latin sources on Egyptian monasticism that we first hear of the crude monastic gown made of tow, "indumentum eius stu-

² See my Contributions, vol. I, p. 246 ff. ³ W. E. Crum, Catalogue of the Coptic Manuscripts in the Collection of the John Rylands Library, Manchester 1909, p. 105.

¹ Grenfell, Hunt and Smyly, The Tebtunis Papyri, London 1902, part I. p. 533.

peum collobium erat, quod apud illos lebetes appellatur,"¹ though Suidas later says that it was made of hair, «λεθητωνάριον, χιτὼν μοναχικὸς, ἐκ τριχῶν συντεθειμένος, κατὰ τὴν ἐγχώριον γλῶσσαν τῶν Προυσαέων».² This Gr. λεθητών, Lat. lebetes produced the Semitic words, Syr. lbad, etc., even as Egyptian Gr. λώματα led to Syr. μως lamtā, Arab. το namat, Pers. namad, Magyar nemez "felt." But in Arab. ετῶν daraqah lamt, the Arabic word, which originally meant "felt," changed to "tough leather" of any animal whose hide could be properly prepared for defensive armor.

Gr. πιλωτόν entered into Syriac as μολό ρειδιά, ρῖιδιά "felt garment, sackcloth, saddlecloth," whence it found its way into Leo's Tactica VI. 8, where ἀφέλετοον τῆς σέλλας means "saddle cloth." The Tactica was written in the VIII. century, and we learn from Leo's Ἐπαοχικὸν Βιβλίον³ that the Syrians, which includes the Arabs, imported a large number of woolen goods, of which some Arabic names are given. There can be no doubt that the Syriac word for "felt garment, saddle cloth," which in Arabic must have been pronounced felūtah, filūtah, since it has no p, entered the Greek in the form of φέλετοον, ἀφέλετοον, of which the latter is recorded in the Tactica.

When we first meet filtrum in LLatin, it means "felt mattress, saddlecloth," that is, it is identical with Gr. ἀφέλετοον, from the Syriac word, and this from the Gr. πιλωτόν: "si quis in exercitu aliquid furaverit, pastoria, capistro, freno, feltro." In the

¹ Rufinus, *Historia monachorum*, cap. VII, in Migne, P. L., vol. XXI, col. 411.

See in Ducange, sub λεβητών, λευιτών, λεβητωνάριον, levilonarium.
 J. Nicole, op. cit., p. 29.

⁴ Lex Baiuwariorum, II. 6, in MGH., Leges, vol. III, p. 285, and see Ducange, sub feltrum.

OHGerman vocabularies filtrum is given as a Latin word, for which the OHGerman translation is filz:1 but these vocabularies are comparatively late. The oldest supposedly OHGerman gloss is the one found in the so-called Keronian interlinear version of the Rule of St. Benedict, where over "matta saga" is found the reading "filzalii digunt recinun," which is obviously a Latin, and not an OHGerman gloss to "saga." The whole rule runs as follows: "stram(en)ta autem lectorum sufficiat matta saga et lena et capitale." To this a XII. century author, Bernardus Portarum. says: "ad lectum habeto stramen, filtrum, coopertorium de grossis ovium pellibus rustico panno coopertum, vel cotum, pulvinum, ad caput."3 But an unknown "magister" of the VII. or VIII. century, says: "in lectis habeant in hyeme singulas mattas, et sagos tomentatios singulos, et lanas: in aestate vero pro lanis rachinis propter aestus utantur."4 From this it follows that while some spoke only of a saga, apparently a felt mattress, others varied it with a rachina in the summer.

In the Edict of Diocletian we have "sagum sive rachanam rudem,"5 from which it appears that the two did not differ very much. In the same edict a felt saddlecloth is mentioned as centunclum: "centunclum equestrae quoactile album sibe nigrum,"6 and we learn that such a centunclum, πίλημα or πιλωτόν, was used in embroidery.7 This at once explains "centones filtra" in the Codex Vaticanus Reg. Christ. 215, of the

618, 619, 622.

² Cap. LV, in H. Hattemer, Denkmahle des Mittelalters; St. Gallen's

¹ Steinmeyer and Sievers, Die althochdeutschen Glossen, vol. III, pp.

altteutsche Sprachschaetze, St. Gallen 1844-1849, vol. I, p. 108.

³ Migne, P. L., vol. CLIII, col. 894.

⁴ Ibid., vol. LXXXVIII, col. 1031.

⁵ VII. 60, in H. Blümner, Der Maximaltarif des Diocletian, Berlin 1893,

⁶ VII. 52, *ibid.*, p. 22. ⁷ VII. 53, *ibid.*, p. 24.

IX. century, and Ugutio's "centro, onis, dicitur pulvinari vel velum de multis pannis, vel qui facit *filtra* ad ipsum *filtrum*." This at once leads to the ASaxon X. century gloss "centrum, uel filtrum, *felt*:"

Filtrum, feltrum is, naturally, nothing but Gr. πιλωτόν, which became public property through the important Tactica and the Arabic traders. The gloss in the Rule of St. Benedict "filzalii digunt recinun" on the one hand identifies filz with "sagum," as in a number of OHGerman glosses, and, on the other, identifies "sagum" with "racana," as in the Edict of Diocletian. Filzalii is merely a local Swiss form of "filtralii," which actually is reproduced in the Codex Cheltenhamensis 7087,² of the XII. century, as sagū filtril, where filtril appears on the OHGerman side of the vocabulary, the copyist having found in his copy the reading filtr. al.

Thus we have in OHG. filz, AS. felt a survival of Gr. πιλωτόν, which through the Egyptian, Coptic, and Arabic ultimately led to "buffalo hide," and to the "elk" words in Spanish.

¹ Wright and Wülcker, op. cit., col. 120.

² Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. IV, p. 178, note.

IV. THE TRAGELAPHUS.

The tragelaphus was by the Greeks considered to be a mythical animal, and Aristophanes classed it with the equally impossible hippalector. The front part of the putative animal was represented in Greek art, and $\tau \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \phi \sigma \zeta$ was the name of a drinking vessel, possibly because it had the representation of the lascivious animal upon it.

The τοαγέλαφος got into the Septuagint by a mistake. I have already shown that it is found in Deut. XIV. 5 only in the Codex Alexandrinus. It is found universally in the Septuagint only in Job XXXIX. 1, where it is the translation of Heb. יַעֵּל $yar{a}$ 'ēl. This Hebrew word again occurs in Psalm CIII. 18, where it is rendered simply by ἔλαφος, and in 1 Reg. XXIV. 3, where it was treated synoptically with Job XXXIX. 1 and produced confusion in the minds of the translator. של פני צורי הישלים was taken by some translators of the Septuagint to mean "on the face of (a place called) the rocks of the deer," hence, although Aquila wrote «ἐπὶ ποόσωπον τῶν πετοῶν τῶν ἐλαφίνων,» and Theodotion wrote «ἐπὶ πρόσωπον τῶν πετρῶν τῶν ἐλάφων,» others. according to Eusebius,3 left aalim untranslated, and this appears corrupted in some manuscripts as 'Αειαμείν. while others misread Heb. ציד as ציד and wrote «ἐπὶ πρόσωπον τῆς θήρας τῶν ἐλάφων.»

 $^{^1}$ «Οὐχ ἱππαλεκτουόνας μὰ Δί' οὐδὲ τοαγελάφους, ἄπεο σὺ, |
ᾶν τοῖσ παραπετάσμασιν τοῖς Μηδικοῖς γράφουσι,» The Frogs, 937-8.

 $^{^2}$ A. Böckh, $Die\ Staatshaushaltung\ der\ Athener,$ ed. by M. Fränkel, Berlin 1886, vol. II, p. 231 f.

³ P. de Lagarde, Onomastica sacra, Gottingae 1887, 226.

In Job XXXIX. 1 the Heb. יעלי כלע is rendered in the Septuagint by «τραγελάφων πέτρας,» but "Σο suggested סלעים selaim "Petra," a place, and would appear in Greek as $\Sigma A \Lambda A E I M$. Now this $\Sigma A \Lambda A E I M$ is given as the translation of צורי היעלים, from a confusion with a place name, "The Rocks of the Deer," and found its way in the corrupted form Σαδδαειμ into the Septuagint, in 1 Reg. XXIV. 3. There can be little doubt, also, that יעלי מלט was likewise conceived as the name of a particular kind of deer, namely "rock deer," even as צורי היעלים was "deer rock:" and this "rock deer" should have been rendered into Greek as πετρέλαφος or πετροέλαφος, or, what is more likely, πέτρας ἔλαφος. But the same Egyptian influence which changed πίλωμα to λώμα, caused the scribe to read πε + τρέλαφος or πε + τροέλαφος or πε + τρασέλαφος, from which evolved the impossible τραγέλαφος, as a reminiscence of the classical hybrid animal.

¹ De principiis, IV. 3, in Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten drei Jahrhunderte, Leipzig 1913, vol. V, p. 325 f.

² Migne, P. G., vol. XCIII, col. 412.

as in the Graeco-Latin glosses, "hebex aiyayooc".1 St. Augustine's version of Job XXXIX. 1 reads "si cognovisti tempus pariendi tragelaphorum petras." which he explains «τράγος hircus est, ἔλαφος cervus: traaelanhus ergo compositum ex hirco et cervo animal."2 But Jerome simply used ibex in place of tragelaphus in Job XXXIX. 1, and said of this animal: "ibices ipsi sunt, quos Graeci tragelaphos vocant, admixto nomine hirci et cervi." Similarly Gregory the Great used ibex for tragelaphus, and by his commentary on Job XXXIX. 1 showed that ibex was popularly confused with ibis: "Meridiana pars ibices aves vocat, quae Nili fluentis inhabitant. Orientalis vero Occidentalisque plaga parva quadrupedia ibices nominat quibus et moris est in petris parere, quia neque sciunt nisi in petris habitare; quae si quando etiam de altis saxorum cacuminibus ruunt, in suis se cornibus illaesa suscipiunt."3 This went into Isidore as "ibices, quasi avices, eo quod ad instar avium ardua et excelsa teneant et in sublime inhabitent, ita ut de sublimitate vix humanis obtutibus pateant: unde et meridiana pars ibices aves vocant, qui Nili fluentis inhabitant: haec itaque animalia, ut diximus, in petris altissimis commorantur, et si quando ferarum vel hominum adversitatem persenserint, de altissimis saxorum cacuminibus sese praecipitantes in suis se cornibus inlaesa suscipiunt,"4 while ibis is mentioned by him elsewhere: "ibis avis Nili fluminis, quae semetipsam purgat, rostro in anum aquam fundens."5

It will later be shown that Aristotle's passage on the bonasus in his De animalibus historia is an interpolation. Here it is necessary only to refer to a passage in it, in

Goetz, vol. III, p. 431.
 Migne, P. L., vol. XXXIV, col. 880.
 Ibid., vol. LXXVI, col. 543.
 XII. 1. 16-17.
 XII. 7. 33.

which he says that the bonasus resembles an ox, except that it has a mane like a horse, «καὶ τὸ ἄλλο δ' είδος ὅμοιον βοί, πλην χαίτην ἔχει μέχρι τῆς ἀχρωμίας ὥσπερ ἵππος». We read in another place «ἔχει δὲ καὶ ό βόνασος τὰ ἐντὸς ἄπαντα ὅμοια βοί», which is merely attached to a general discussion ending with a chance reference to the ox, and so is obviously spurious, as which it is marked by Dittmever.3 We have also the sentence, «τὰ δὲ δασύτερα τὸν αὐχένα ὁμοίως πάντη, οἶον ὅσα χαίτην ἔχει, ὥσπεο λέων· τὰ δ' ἐπὶ τῶ ποανεῖ τοῦ αὐχένος ἀπὸ τῆς κεφαλῆς μέχρι τῆς άκρωμίας, οίον όσα λοφιάν έχει, ώσπες ιππος και όρευς καί τῶν ἀγοίων καὶ κερατοφόρων βόνασος», where the position at the end of the list shows that the bonasus was slipped in later, especially since it is followed by the description of the ἱππέλαφος, which is distinctly that of the long-horned ox or bison, which is again mentioned as having «ἔχει δὲ καὶ ὁ ἱππέλαφος καλούμενος ἐπὶ τῆ ἀκρωμία χαίτην καὶ τὸ θηρίον τὸ πάρδιον ὀνομαζόμενον ἀπὸ δὲ τῆς κεφαλής έπὶ τὴν ἀκρωμίαν λεπτὴν έκάτερον ἰδία δ' ὁ ίππέλαφος πώγωνα έχει κατά τὸν λάουγγα έστι δ' άμφότερα κερατοφόρα καὶ διχαλά· ἡ δὲ θήλεια ἱππέλαφος ούκ έχει κέρατα τὸ δὲ μέγεθός έστι τούτου τοῦ ζώου ἐλάφω ποοσεμφερές· γίγνονται δ' οἱ ἱππέλαφο ι ἐν ᾿Αραχώταις, οὖπερ καὶ οἱ βόες οἱ ἄγριοι.» The words «ἔστι δ' ἀμφότερα κερατοφόρα,» which are a tautology as regards the bonasus, puzzled the copyists, and so some of the manuscripts read after ἀμφότερα «ὅ τε βόνασος καὶ ὁ ἱ π πιέ λ α φιο ς.» Τhe ἱππέλαφος does not occur anywhere else, and, like the βόνασος, is an interpolation, since both represent the same

¹ P. 630 a.

² P. 506 b.

³ Aristotelis De animalibus historia, Lipsiae 1907, p. 58.

⁴ P. 498 b.

animal, as is evidenced by "equina iuba, cetera tauro similis" of Pliny's description of the bonasus.

From this it is clear that the ἱππέλαφος is a nonexisting animal due merely to a confusion of the bonasus with the τραγέλαφος, through the reference to a horse. This is proved, beyond a shadow of a doubt, since the statement that the iππέλαφος has a mane on its shoulders and a beard on its throat, and is found in Arachosia, is present in an almost identical form in Pliny in reference to the tragelaphus, which marks this latter passage also as an interpolation, due to an acquaintance with the Biblical tragelaphus. Here we read: "est eadem specie, barba tantum et armorum villo distans, quem tragelaphon vocant, non alibi quam iuxta Phasim amnem nascens." This brief statement is interlarded between the description of the deer and the statement that Africa has no deer. From Pliny the statement found its way into Solinus, where it immediately precedes the story of the Hercynian forest: "eadem paene specie sunt quos tragelaphos dicunt, sed non alibi quam circa Phasidem apparent: tantum quod illi villosos habent armos et menta promissis hirta barbis." Isidore has the same: "tragelaphi a Graecis nominati, qui cum eadem specie sint ut cervi, villosos tamen habent armos ut hirci, et menta promissis hirta barbis, qui non alibi sunt quam circa Phasidem."3 Here, however, we see plainly that the whole is an expansion of Jerome's note to Job XXXIX. 1, "quos Graeci tragelaphos vocant, admixto nomine hirci et cervi."

In the VIII. or IX. century the tragelaphus became identified with the elk through a series of formal mistakes. In the Graeco-Latin glosses we frequently

¹ VIII. 120.

² XIX. 19.

³ XII. 1. 20.

meet with the gloss «ἔλαφος ceruus," which also appears as "clafos .i. ceruus," "clauos idest ceruus." In the Corpus, Epinal, and Erfurt Glossaries the Greek word appears on the right side, as though it were ASaxon, "cerus elch, elh." Eucherius, in the first half of the V. century, wrote "tragelaphus in Deuteronomio platoceros, id est, cornibus latis."4 One MS, reads platoceruus, two read platocerus, obviously connecting it with "ceruus." Eucherius apparently got his Pollux, who said of the platoceros from «τῶν δὲ ἐλάφων ἄκερως μὲν ἡ θήλεια, ὁ δ' ἄρρην κερωφόρος, ή κερασφόρος, ή κεράστης, ή εύκερως,» to which some MSS. add «ἢ πλατύκερως, ἢ ὑπέρκερως». 5 Eucherius changed the definition of a stag into a subdivision of the cervine family, with which he identified the tragelaphus. Eucherius' gloss found its way into Codex Vaticanus 1468, where we read "tragelafum quem nos dicimus platoceruum." The Corpus, Epinal, and Erfurt Glossaries have, similarly, "tragelafus uel platocerus elch," where elch is simply the gloss of "cerus," that is, "cervus," as before. This is proved conclusively from the OHGerman glosses, where we have "tragelaphum" rendered by elaho, elahun,7 which come much closer to the ἔλαφος of the Graeco-Latin glosses.

It can easily be shown that elch is not a Germanic word originally. We have Tatar älik, elik "deer," Chin. luh, old pron. lok "deer," Tunguz loki "elk." This is represented in Assyr. ālu, a'ālu "an animal of the stag kind," and similarly in the other Semitic languages. There can be little doubt that Gr. ἔλαφος is

¹ Goetz, vol. II, pp. 99, 294, 556, vol. III, pp. 18, 90, 189, 259, 320, 361, 431, 441, 493, 518, 520.

² Ibid., vol. III, p. 556.

³ Ibid., p. 621. ⁴ Instructiones, lib. II, in CSEL., vol. XXXI, p. 157.

⁶ Goetz, vol. V. p. 517.

⁷ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, pp. 293, 366, 367, 368.

directly related to the root alu, aluh in the northern Asiatic languages. But as we pass over to Europe we get a modification of this root, and also another root which is represented in Fin. hirvi, Esthon. hirve, hiržwe, Lap. sarva, Magy. szarvas "deer." Of course, these are all related to Fin. sarwi, Esthon. sarwe, Lap. čoarve, Magy. szarv "horn," and to Lat. cornu and all the "horn" words in the Indo-European and Semitic languages. I am not concerned in this place with the proof of the relation of all the European and Asiatic languages, but only with the obvious fact that names of animals know no philological delimitations, but depend on geographical proximity. The Finnish name for "deer," of which the original root was nearly karv, is represented in Lat. cervus, OPruss. sirwis, Welsh carw, Corn. caruu, carow, Bret. caru, Gael. cabrach, carbh, car "stag," and possibly Lith. karve, OBulg. krava "cow." Esthon. hiržwe at once explains Lett. iržis and OHG. hiruz, hirz, which produces Ger. Hirsch, and not Hirz.

AS. heorot, heorut, ONorse hjörtr are formed as though OHG. hiruz had the sound of hiruts, but in that case the northern forms would be back formations. This is not as impossible as would at first appear, since the word is absent from the early ASaxon vocabularies, elch having taken its place. The earliest occurrence of hiruz in OHGerman is in Codex Sangallensis 913,1 but this very vocabulary also contains one of the very oldest ASaxon animal glossaries, which shows that it can be demonstrated that an Arabic glossary lies at the foundation of the "hind" words in both ASaxon and OHGerman, the latter containing the older forms.

¹ Ibid., vol. III, p. 5

We find the ASaxon gloss "damma, bestia idest eola" in the Corpus, Epinal, and Erfurt Glossaries, and "damma elha" in the Leiden Glossary.2 This is obviously Arab. Wi 'iyyalah "hind." If this is proached to AS. elch "elk," as is generally done, then the latter would also be Arab. 'iyyal "deer;" but we have already seen that the OHG. elaho relates the word to the Gr. ἔλαφος. In Codex Sangallensis 242 we have not only "alx elaho," but also "cervus hiruz," "cerua uuinta," "hinnulus hintcalb." Thus we get in OHGerman both uuinta and hinta for "hind," which appears in ASaxon as hind, for example, in the Kentish Glosses of the IX. century, "cerua carissima et gratissimus hinnulus, eala du liofeste hind and gecwemest hindcealf." OHG. uuinta was changed to hinta, apparently under the influence of Lat. "hinnulus," since we have also "cerua hinna:" but uuinta is Arab. انثى الايل 'unsah (al-'iyyali) "the female of the stag," another form for iyyalah. The Arabic gloss is, no doubt, due to the same cause which created such havoc with the "tragelaphus" of Job XXXIX. 1, where "cerva" is also mentioned.

The most persistent root for the northern cervine kind, chiefly for the elk and reindeer, is oron or boron: Koib. bulan, Soy. pulan, Karag. būr, Samoy. bulan, peang, peak "elk," Tung. oron, Fin. poro "reindeer," Esth. podr "elk, deer," the Finnish antiquity of which is assured by Basque oreñ, oreiñ "deer." This at once explains Russ. olen, elen, Lith. elnas "deer," Ger.

¹ Goetz, vol. V, pp. 357, 404.

² J. H. Hessels, A Late Eighth-Century Latin-Anglo-Saxon Glossary, Cambridge 1906.

³ Wright and Wülcker, op. cit., col. 58.

⁴ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. III. p. 447.

48 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

Elen "elk," etc. It is most likely that ultimately all those are related to the Asiatic alu "deer" words, hence to Gr. ἔλαφος. At the same time they completely exclude the OHG. elaho, AS. elch, which, as we have seen, are of book origin, although ultimately also related to this group. ONorse hreinn, AS. hran "reindeer" are similarly derived from the root from which comes Basque oreñ.

V. THE TARANDUS.

To determine the status of the mythical animal known as tarandus, it is necessary to study the vicinage in those authors where the tarandus is mentioned. In Isidore we have the following sequence:

"Grypes vocatur, quod sit animal pinnatum et quadrupes. Hoc genus ferarum in Hyperboreis nascitur montibus. Omni parte corporis leones sunt; alis et facie aquilis similes; equis vehementer infesti. Nam et homines visos discerpunt.

"Chamaeleon non habet unum colorem, sed diversa est varietate consparsus, ut pardus. Dictus autem ita . . . Huius chamaeleontis corpusculum ad colores quos videt facillima conversione variatur, quod aliorum animalium non est ita ad conversionem facilis corpulentia.

"Camelopardus dictus, quod dum sit ut pardus albis maculis superaspersus, collo equo similis, pedibus bubulis, capite tamen camelo est similis. Hunc Aethiopia gignit.

"Lyncis dictus, quia in luporum genere numeratur; bestia maculis terga distincta ut pardus, sed similis lupo: unde et ille λύχος, iste lyncis. Huius urinam convertere in duritiam pretiosi lapidis dicunt, qui lyncurius appellatur, quod et ipsas lynces sentire hoc documento probatur. Nam egestum liquorem harenis, in quantum potuerint, contegunt, invidia quadam naturae ne talis egestio transeat in usum humanum. Lynces dicit Plinius Secundus extra unum non admittere fetum.

50

"Lupos Aethiopia mittit cervice iubatos, et tanto varios ut nullum colorem illis abesse dicant."

From this sequence it would appear as though the chamaeleon were an animal of the Hyperborean regions. while similarly the lynx, from its position after the camelopard, could be taken for a specifically Ethiopian beast. In Solinus the animals of Ethiopia are given together: the camelopard is followed by the apes and the rhinoceros, because this is the chronological order in which, according to Solinus, they appeared in the Roman spectacles: "Then comes the catoblepas, the gold-digging ants, the lycaon, the parandrus, the thoas, the histrix, the pegasus, and the tragopan." Of all these Isidore knows only the rhinoceros and the histrix. It is most likely, therefore, since the others also appear in Pliny, whom Isidore frequently mentions, that the original Pliny did not have these animals, and that they are interpolations. The passage in Solinus runs as follows:

"Quae locorum Aethiopes tenent, feris plena sunt, e quibus quam nabun vocant nos camelopardalim dicimus, collo equi similem, pedibus bubulis, capite camelino, nitore rutilo, albis maculis superspersa. Animal hoc Romae circensibus dictatoris Caesaris primum publicatum.

"Iisdem ferme temporibus illine exhibita monstra sunt, cephos appellant, quorum posteriores pedes crure et vestigio humanos artus mentiuntur, priores hominum manus referunt: sed a nostris non amplius quam semel visa sunt.

"Ante ludos Cn. Pompeii rhinocerotem Romana spectacula nesciebant: cui bestiae color buxeus, in naribus cornu unicum et repandum, quod subinde attritum cautibus in mucronem excitat eoque adversus elephantos proeliatur, par ipsis longitudine, brevior cruri-

¹ XII. 2. 17-20, 24.

bus, naturaliter alvum petens, quam solam intellegit

ictibus suis perviam.

"Iuxta Nigrim fluvium catoblepas nascitur modica atque iners bestia, caput praegrave aegre ferens, aspectu pestilenti: nam qui in oculos eius offenderint, protinus vitam exuunt.

"Formicae ibi ad formam canis maximi harenas aureas pedibus eruunt, quos leoninos habent: quas custodiunt, ne quis auferat, captantesque ad necem persequuntur.

"Eadem Aethiopia mittit lycaonem: lupus est cervice iubatus et tot modis varius, ut nullum colorem illi

dicant abesse.

"Mittit et parandrum, boum magnitudine, bisulco vestigio, ramosis cornibus, capite cervino, ursi colore et pariter villo profundo. Hune parandrum adfirmant habitum metu vertere et cum delitescat fieri adsimilem cuicumque rei proximaverit, sive illa saxo alba sit, seu frutecto virens, sive quem alium modum praeferat. Faciunt hoc idem in mari polypi, in terra chamaeleontes: sed et polypus et chamaeleon glabra sunt, ut pronius sit cutis laevitate proximantia aemulari: in hoc novum est ac singulare hirsutiam pili colorum vices facere. Hinc evenit ut difficulter capi possit.

"Aethiopicis *lupis* proprium est, quod in saliendo ita nisus habent alitis, ut non magis proficiant cursu quam meatu: homines tamen numquam impetunt. Bruma

comati sunt, aestate nudi: thoas vocant.

"Hystrix quoque inde loci frequentissima, erinacii similis, spinis tergum hispida, quas plerumque laxatas iaculatione emittit voluntaria, ut assiduis aculeorum nimbis canes vulneret ingruentes.

"Illius caeli ales est pegasus: sed haec ales equinum

nihil praeter aures habet.

"Tragopan quoque avis maior aquilis, cornibus arietinis praeferens armatum caput."

¹ XXX. 19-29.

In Solinus nabun is another name for the camelopard, that is, the giraffe. In the corresponding place in Pliny nabun is given as the Ethiopic name, but there is no such word for the giraffe anywhere. The word arose through a blunder. In Isidore we find the camelopard mentioned by the side of the leopard in a way which would lead the incautious reader to take the pardus for a kind of camelopardus. Now, in the Codex Toletanus¹ there is an Arabic gloss opposite pardus of XII. 2. 10, namely النصر 'an-namir 'leopard This namir was obviously read by the interpolator as nauur or nauus, producing an accusative nauum, which is found in three old MSS. of Solinus. and nabum, which is found in three other old Solinus Hence nabun of the Solinus and Pliny MSS. is simply a misunderstood $nab\bar{u}$, and of a later date.

In Solinus it is said that Ethiopia sends the lycaon, a wolf with a mane and so speckled that not a single color is absent. In Pliny the abbreviated statement, "nisi in Indis lycaon, cui iubata traditur cervix," is clearly interpolated, since it interferes with the story of the tarandrus, and since we have the previous statement, "lyncas vulgo frequentes et sphingas . . Aethiopia generat." The two are again mentioned in Mela, as an interpolation, "saevissimae ferae omni colore varii lycaones, et quales accepimus, sphinges." lynx and lycaon are identical, the latter arising from Isidore's juxtaposition of lynx, which led the interpolator to form a non-existing lycaon.

In Pliny the first two items appear expanded, as follows:

"Harum aliqua similitudo in duo transfertur animalia. Nabun Aethiopes vocant collo similem equo,

¹ R. Beer, Isidori Etymologiae, Codex Toletanus (nunc Matritensis) 15, 8, Lugduni Batavorum 1909.
² VIII. 123.
³ VIII. 72.
⁴ III. 9.

pedibus et cruribus bovi, camelo capite, albis maculis rutilum colorem distinguentibus, unde appellata camelopardalis, dictatoris Caesaris circensibus ludis primum visa Romae. Ex eo subinde cernitur, aspectu magis quam feritate conspicua, quare etiam ovis ferae nomen invenit.

"Pompei Magni primum ludi ostenderunt *chama*, quem Galli *rufium* vocabant, effigie lupi, pardorum maculis.

"Iidem ex Aethiopia quas vocant κήπους, quarum pedes posteriores pedibus humanis et cruribus, priores manibus fuere similes. Hoc animal postea Roma non vidit."

Chama is given as a superscription in one MS. and as $cha\bar{u}$ in another. In the first the MS, reads raphium for rufium. The first sentence of the passage in Pliny informs us that the resemblance to the camels, of whom he speaks before, is transferred to two animals. The first is obviously the camelopard, the second must be an animal like it, and it is clear that nothing like it was found in Gaul. Indeed, neither chama nor raphium is found anywhere else. Now in Isidore the camelopard is immediately followed by the lynx, and of it it is said "bestia maculis terga distincta ut pardus, sed similis lupo." In Pliny "effigie lupi, pardorum maculis" is an abbreviation of the same. happened is this. In some codex there stood at the end of the camelopard story the gloss "cam. giraf." or giraphus, from the Arab. زراقة zarāfah "giraffe."

The interpolator read this "cam. \overline{gi} raf" and explained it as two names of the animal given as "lynx," one being cam, the other rafius, the name given by " \overline{gi} " "the Gauls." Thus arose another impossible animal.

¹ VIII. 69-70.

Now we can approach the parandrus of Solinus. In describing the parandrus as of the size of an ox. with cloven feet, branching horns, stag head, and bear fur, the interpolator had in mind the tragelaphus. But where he makes the animal change color, he is unmistakably writing about the chameleon. This unnatural animal has fortunately left behind every philological blunder that led to its procreation. From Isidore we learn that the chameleon is as speckled as the pardus, and opposite the story of the chameleon in the Codex Toletanus stands the Arabic gloss, الوزل al-wazal. This is the name of a lizard, which is recorded also as ورن وزن ورد ورل waral, warar, wazan. waran, etc. The name is originally Kabyl, where we find aburiul. The indecision in writing is due to the fact that it was a foreign word, in which, and ; were not always distinguished. Waran and ح دون hirdaun "lizard" suggest that a form warandaun also existed, and this would lead to the form parandrus in Solinus. But the case is far more complex. In the Latin-Arabic glossary cameleon is glossed by wa'l. instead of المرابع waral or المرابع wazal. But in another place ibis, that is, "ibex," is similarly glossed. The ibex, however, was identified by the church fathers with the tragelaphus, hence in Spain, through Arabic influence, the chameleon became confused with the tragelaphus, and the equation of chameleon and pardus as regards color led to the form parandus, an animal combining the qualities of the chameleon and the tragelaphus. Whether the Arab. waran or a similar form entered into association with pardus, to create the form parandrus, as which the animal is given in Solinus,

¹ R. Payne Smith, Thesaurus syriacus, Oxonii 1879, vol. I, col. 1368.

is immaterial, since even as it is, it could not have been created without the double value of Arab. وعل wa'l "chameleon, tragelaphus," as which it appears in the earliest Latin-Arabic glossary.

In Solinus this strange hybrid is placed in Ethiopia. Its description is partly that of the tragelaphus, and partly that of the chameleon. The tragelaphus had already been identified with the elk, from whose hides shields were made, but this characteristic appears only in Pliny, because here the tarandrus, instead of Solinus' parandrus, is placed among the Scythians, that is, in the north, where alone the elk is found: "Mutat colores et Scytharum tarandrus nec aliud ex iis quae pilo vestiuntur, nisi in Indis lycaon, cui iubata traditur cervix. Nam thoes-luporum id genus est procerius longitudine, brevitate crurum dissimile, velox saltu, venatu vivens, innocuum homini-habitum, non colorem, mutant, per hiemes hirti, aestate nudi. Tarandro magnitudo quae bovi est, caput maius cervino nec absimile, cornua ramosa, ungulae bifidae, villus magnitudine ursorum, sed, cum libuit sui coloris esse, asini similis. Tergori tanta duritia, ut thoraces ex eo faciant. Colorem omnium arborum, fruticum, florum locorumque reddit metuens in quibus latet, ideoque raro capitur. Mirum esset habitum corpori tam multiplicem dari, mirabilius est et villo."1

The information about the shields was received from Aelian, where the tarandus is briefly mentioned as an animal of the Scythians which changes colors and resembles an ox: "Ruborem, pallorem, livorem, homini et bestiis cutem mollem habentibus, et minime villosis, accidere, nihil mirum; tarandus vero seipsum cum villis suis vertit, et innumeras colorum species cum summo videntium stupore reddit. Scythicum id

¹ VIII. 123-124.

animal est, dorso et magnitudine tauro simile: ejus corium ne spiculo quidem penetrari potest, quamobrem eo Scythae sua scuta inducunt." Of course, this is an interpolation. Aristotle knows nothing of the animal, but it is found in the Περί θαυμασίων άκουσμάτων, where we get the simplest and clearest combination of the chameleon and the elk: «Έν δὲ Σχύθαις τοῖς καλουμένοις Γελωνοῖς φασὶ θηρίον τι γίνεσθαι, σπάνιον μεν ύπερβολη, ο όνομάζεται τ ά ο α νδος (τάρανδρος, τάνανδρος). λέγεται δὲ τοῦτὸ μεταβάλλειν τὰς χρόας τῆς τριχὸς καθ' ὃν ἄν καὶ τόπον η διά δὲ τοῦτο εἶναι δυσθήρατον Ικαὶ διὰ τὴν μεταβολήν]. καὶ γὰο δένδρεσι καὶ τόποις, καὶ ὅλως ἐν οἶς ἄν ή, τοιοῦτον τῆ χροία γίνεσθαι θαυμασιώτατον δὲ τὸ τὴν τρίγα μεταβάλλειν τὰ γὰο λοιπὰ τὸν χοῶτα, οἶον ὅ τε χαμαιλέων καὶ ὁ πολύπους τὸ δὲ μέγεθος ώσανεὶ βοῦς τοῦ δὲ προσώπου τὸν τύπον ὅμοιον ἔχει ἐλάφω».2 The interpolation in Hesychius speaks of the τάρανδος only as the elk: «τάρανδος. ζῶον ἐλάφω παραπλήσιον, οὖ τὰς δορὰς εἰς γιτῶνας χρώνται Σκύθαι.»

The Commentary to the Hexaemeron, wrongly attributed to Eustathius of Antioch, contains a lengthened Physiologus, and here the τάρανδος is placed among the Λώοι, instead of the Γελώνοι of Aristotle: « Έστι δὲ ἐν τοῖς Σκύθαις τοῖς καλουμένοις Λώοις ζῶον καλούμενον τ ά ρ α ν δ ο ς, μέγεθος ἔχον βοὸς, τὴν δὲ ὄψιν τῆς τοῦ ἐλάφου παραπλήσιον. Καὶ ἔστι δυσθήρατον, τῷ πρὸς τὰ δένδρα καὶ χωρία, καὶ πρὸς πάντα οἰς ἄν ἐγγίζη τὴν χροιὰν μεταβάλλειν, καὶ τῆ ἐκείνων ἀφομοιοῦσθαι ἰδέα, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο εἰναι πολλοῖς ἀνεπίγνωστον. 'Ομοίως δὲ καὶ ὁ χαμαιλέων εἰς οἴους ἄν ἕρπη λειμῶνας, καὶ ἐδάφη ξηρὰ, τὴν χροιὰν ἐκείνων μεταλαμβάνει». The position of the animal between the antholops and the stag shows clearly that a cervine animal was meant by him.

II. 16.
 Migne, P. G., vol. XVIII, col. 740.

² P. 832 b.

There is a book on marvelous animals, which is ascribed to Antigonus of Carystia, but which from its contents is later than Περὶ θαυμασίων ἀπουσμάτων, to which it refers. Here we read, after the account of the polyps and chameleon: «'Αριστοτέλης δέ φησι, καὶ τὸν καλούμενον τάραντον τοῦτο πάσχειν, ὄντα τετράπουν, καὶ σχεδὸν ἴσον ὄνφ, καὶ παχύδερμον, καὶ τετριχωμένον καὶ θαυμαστὸν είναι, πῶς

αί τρέχες ούτως όξέως άλλοιοῦνται».1

The fragment ascribed to Theophrastus by Photius. which is a very elaborate expansion of the original story, as told in Aelian, etc., is unquestionably by that scholar and forger, Photius. It runs as follows: «Οτι τὰς χρόας μεταβαλλόμενοι καὶ έξομοιούμενοι φυτοῖς καὶ τόποις καὶ λίθοις οἶς ἄν πλησιάσωσι πολύπους ἐστὶ καὶ χαμαιλέων και το θηρίον ο τ ά ρ α ν δ ο ς δ έν Σκύθαις φασίν ή Σαρμάταις γίνεσθαι μεταβάλλει δ' ό γαμαιλέων είς πάντα τὰ χρώματα, πλην την είς τὸ λευκὸν καὶ τὸ έρυθρὸν ού δέγεται μεταβολήν και ού πρός τὰ παρακείμενα μόνον γρώματα μεταβάλλει άλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸς καθ' έαυτὸν έάν τις μόνον άψηται αὐτοῦ τὸ χρῶμα μεταδάλλει ὁ δὲ τάρανδος τὸ μὲν μέγεθός ἐστι κατὰ βοῦν τὸ πρόσωπον δὲ ὅμοιος ἐλάφω πλην πλατύτερος ώσανει έκ δύο συγκείμενος έλαφείων προσώπων δίχηλον δ' έστὶ καὶ κερασφόρον έχει δὲ τὸ κέρας αποφυάδας ώσπες τὸ έλάφου, καὶ τριχωτόν έστι δι' όλου περί γαρ το όστοῦν δέρματός έστιν ἐπίτασις όθεν ή ἔκφυσις τὸ δὲ δέρμα τῷ πάχει δακτυλιαῖόν ἐστιν ἰσχυρὸν δὲ σφόδρα, διὸ καὶ τοὺς θώρακας έξαυάζοντες αὐτὸ ποιοῦνται· σπάνιον δὲ τὸ ζῶον καὶ όλιγάκις φαινόμενον· θαυμαστή δ' ή μεταβολή καὶ έγγὺς ἀπιστίας τοῖς μὲν γὰο ἄλλοις έν τῷ δέρματι γίνεται ἡ μεταβολὴ άλλοιουμένης τῆς έντὸς ὑγρότητος είτε αίματώδους ή καί τινος άλλης τοιαύτης ούσης, ώστε φανεράν είναι την συμπάθειαν ή δὲ τῶν τριχῶν μεταδολή ξηρών τε όντων καὶ άπηστημένων καὶ άθρόσον οὐ πεφυκότων άλλοιοῦσθαι, παράδοξος άληθῶς καὶ ἀπίθανος.

¹ Cap. XXXI.

μάλιστα πρός πολλά ποιχιλλομένη ό δὲ γαμαιλέων δοχεῖ τῷ πνεύματι ποιείν τὰς μεταβολάς, πνευματικόν γὰρ φύσει σημεῖον δὲ τὸ τοῦ πνεύμονος μέγεθος σχεδὸν γὰρ δι' ὅλου τοῦ σώματος τέταται άμα δε καί αὐτὸς έξαιρόμενος καί φυσώ-

μενος».1

There is also a passage in Philo's De ebrietate, which is, to say the least, an interpolation, and which is especially important, since the word ἀλαῆ used in it gave rise to the name alce for the elk: «Φασὶ μέντοι καὶ ἐν Σκύθαις τοῖς καλουμένοις Γελώοις θαυμασιώτατόν τι γίνεσθαι σπανίως μέν, γίνεσθαι δ' όμως θηρίον, δ καλεῖται τ ά ρ α ν δ ρ ο ς, μέγεθος μεν βοὸς οὐκ ἀποδέον, έλάφω δὲ τὸν τοῦ προσώπου τύπον έμφερέστατον λόγος έχει τοῦτο μεταβάλλειν ἀεὶ τὰς τρίχας πρός τε τὰ χωρία καὶ τὰ δένδρα καὶ πάνθ' άπλῶς οἶς ἄν έγγὺς ἱστῆται, ώς διὰ τὴν τῆς χρόας ὁμοιότητα λανθάνειν τοὺς ἐντυγχάνοντας καὶ ταύτη μαλλον ή τη πεοί σωμα άλ κ/η δυσθήοατον είναι».2

From the above passages it follows that the tarandrus was considered to be a bos cervi figura "an ox resembling a stag," and this appellation occurs at least twice in two significant places, in Caesar's De bello gallico and in the Lex salica. In the latter place we read: quis stadalem uaidaris ceruum aut bouum ceruia tribute saliuerit." The writer of the law, no doubt, had in mind the elk. The bos cervi of Caesar will be discussed in connection with the alce.

¹ F. Wimmer, Theophrasti Eresii Opera quae supersunt omnia, Lipsiae 1862, vol. III, p. 218.

² P. 383 f., in P. Wendland, Philonis Alexandrini Opera quae supersunt, Berolini 1897, vol. II, p. 203 f.

VI. THE ALCE.

The last clause in Philo says that the tarandrus can hide itself on account of its protective color, "and in this way, rather than by the strength of its body, it is hard to catch." To one badly versed in Greek grammar the last sentence would appear to mean, "in this way, rather than by its body, the alce is hard to catch." This is actually what has taken place. Indeed, by this sentence the tarandrus was split into two beings, the bos cervi figura, and the alce.

It must be kept in mind that the tarandrus grew out of the story of the camelopard, the giraffe, and of this the Arabic source says: "It is a certain beast of a beautiful make, having long fore legs and short hind ones, the collective length of both the hind and fore legs being nearly ten cubits. Its head is like that of a camel, its horn is like that of a cow, its skin is like that of a leopard, its legs and hoofs are like those of a cow, and its tail is like that of a gazelle. It has no knees to its hind legs, but its two knees are attached to its fore legs. When it walks, it advances its left hind leg first and then its right fore leg, contrary to the rule of all other quadrupeds, which advance the right fore leg first and then the left hind leg. Among its natural qualities are affection and sociableness. ruminates and voids globular dung. As God knew that it would derive its sustenance from trees. He has created its fore legs longer than the hind ones, to enable it to graze on them easily." According to the Arabic source it may appear that the giraffe had one horn,

¹ Ad-Damīrī, op. cit., vol. II¹, p. 8.

and no knee joints, at least on its hind legs, as we find it in the interpolation in Caesar.

"Est bos cerui figura, cuius a media fronte inter aures unum cornu existit excelsius magisque directum his, quae nobis nota sunt, cornibus: ab eius summo sicut palmae ramique late diffunduntur. Eadem est feminae marisque natura, eadem forma magnitudoque cornuum. Sunt item, quae appellantur alces. Harum est consimilis capris figura et uarietas pellium, sed magnitudine paulo antecedunt mutilaeque sunt cornibus et crura sine nodis articlisque habent, neque quietis causa procumbunt neque, siquo adflictae casu conciderunt, erigere sese aut subleuare possunt. His sunt arbores pro cubilibus: ad eas se adplicant atque ita paulum modo reclinatae quietem capiunt. Quarum ex uestigiis cum est animaduersum a uenatoribus, quo se recipere consuerint, omnis eo loco aut ab radicibus subruunt aut accidunt arbores, tantum ut summa species earum stantium relinguatur. Huc cum se consuetudine reclinauerunt, infirmas arbores pondere adfligunt atque una ipsae concidunt."1

The sentence, "sunt item, quae appellantur alces," shows that the interpolator cautiously split the animal into two; but the reference to the speckled skin of the alce shows that it was derived from the camelopard, with which it agrees in the main points. The jointlessness of the hind legs has been extended to all legs, and the confusion with the chameleon is here the same as with the tarandrus. We find the same interpolation in Pausanias, where an elaborate but ill-disguised attempt was made to hide the borrowing. After mentioning the Paeonian bull, in place of the urus in Caesar, the interpolator stupidly speaks of Indian camels resembling in color the leopards, instead of honestly referring to the camelopard. Then he goes on to use

¹ De bello gallico, VI, 26-27,

the identical phrase about the alce, as in Caesar, but places it in the Celtic country, and tells of the difficulty of hunting it.

«Είδον δὲ καὶ ταύρους τούς τε Αίθιοπικούς, οῦς ἐπὶ τῷ συμβεβηχότι ονομάζουσι ρινόχερως, ὅτι σφίσιν ἐπ' ἄχρα τῆ ρινὶ ἐνέστηκε κέρας καὶ ἄλλο ὑπὲρ αὐτὸ οὐ μέγα, ἐπὶ δὲ τῆς κεφαλής οὐδε ἀρχὴν κέρατά έστι, καὶ τοὺς ἐκ Παιόνων οὖτοι δὲ οἱ ἐχ Παιόνων ἔς τε τὸ ἄλλο σῶμα δασεῖς καὶ ἀμφὶ τὸ στέρνον μάλιστά εισι καὶ τὴν γένυν—καμήλους τε Ίνδικὰς γρῶμα εἰκασμένας παρδάλεσιν ἔστι δὲ ἄ λ κ η καλούμενον θηρίον, είδος μεν έλάφου καὶ καμήλου μεταξύ, γίνεται δὲ ἐν τῆ Κελτῶν γῆ. θηρίων δὲ ὧν ἴσμεν μόνην ἀνιχνεῦσαι καὶ προϊδεῖν οὐκ ἔστιν ἀνθρώπω σταλεῖσι δὲ ἐς άγραν άλλων καὶ τήνδε ές χεῖρά ποτε δαίμων άγει· ὀσφρᾶται μέν γε ανθοώπου καὶ πολύ ἔτι απέχουσα, ώς φασι, καταδύεται δὲ ἐς φάραγγας καὶ σπήλαια τὰ βαθύτατα· οἱ θηρεύοντες οὖν, ὁπότε ἐπὶ βραχύτατον, σταδίων γῆν πεδιάδα χιλίων ἢ καὶ ὄρος περιλαβόντες τὸν κύκλον μὲν οὐκ ἔστιν όπως διαλύσουσιν, έπισυνιόντες δὲ ἀεὶ τὰ ἐντὸς γινόμενα τοῦ κύκλου πάντα αίροῦσι τά τε άλλα καὶ τὰς άλκας εἰ δὲ μη τύχοι ταύτη φωλεύουσα, ετέρα γε άλχην ελείν έστιν ούδεμία μηγανή».1

In Pliny the story of the alce runs as follows: "Septentrio fert et equorum greges ferorum, sicut asinorum Asia et Africa, praeterea alcen iumento similem, ni proceritas aurium et cervicis distinguat; item natam in Scadinavia insula nec umquam visam in hoc orbe, multis tamen narratam achlin haud dissimilem illi, set nullo suffraginum flexu, ideoque non cubantem et adclinem arbori in somno eaque incisa ad insidias capi, alias velocitatis memoratae. Labrum ei superius praegrande; ob id retrograditur in pascendo, ne in priora tendens involvatur."²

¹ IX. 21. 2-3.

² VIII. 39.

Solinus does not mention the achlin, but, instead, compares the alce with the elephant: "Est et alce mulis comparanda, adeo propenso labro superiore, ut nisi recedens in posteriora vestigia pasci non queat. Gangavia insula e regione Germaniae mittit animal quale alce, sed cuius suffragines ut elephantis flecti nequeunt: propterea non cubat, cum dormiendum est, tamen somnulentam arbor sustinet, quae prope casuram secatur, ut fera dum adsuetis fulmentis innititur faciat ruinam. Ita capitur: alioquin difficile est eam mancipari: nam in illo rigore poplitum inconprehensibili fuga pollet."

In both the giraffe has become considerably trans-Ad-Damīrī says that God created its mogrified. forelegs longer in order that it should be able to graze more easily on the trees. Pliny makes the alce have a long neck, but, instead of the long legs, which it naturally needs, we get the useless long upper lip which goes back in grazing. In Pliny the alce has split into two animals, the second being the achlin, the origin and fate of which are extremely interesting.

In Arabic the elephant and the buffalo are classed together as the نهين 'aghabain,' which, without the vowel marks, would be read aghbin. In Deuteronomy XIV. 5, which lies at the foundation of all our animals so far discussed, and in the sources from it, the buffalo and the tragelaphus are similarly coupled. This led to the confusion of tragelaphus with one of the aghbin. Hence in Pliny the achlin, for Arab. aghbin, was introduced, causing a split in the alce. But in Solinus the alce was kept one and inseparable, aghbin merely suggesting that the elephant was similarly constructed.

This achlin, through a Gr. ayaw or ayw, found its way a second time as an interpolation into Pliny,

¹ XX. 6-7. ² See p. 2.

where we are told that in India there were oxen with solid hoofs and one horn, and beasts by the name of axin, which had whiter spots than the skin of a fawn, dedicated to Dionysus: "in India et boves solidis ungulis, unicornes, et feram nomine axin hinnulei pelle pluribus candidioribusque maculis, sacrorum Liberi patris." Here, as was the case with the camelopard. the animal has white spots, and, like the lynx, it is dedicated to Bacchus.2 Thus the axin is due to the same confusion of camelopard and lynx which led to the tarandrus.

In the spurious X. book of Aristotle's De animalibus we hear of "an Achaine (ἀχαίνης) stag that was caught with a quantity of green ivy grown over its horns, it having grown apparently as on fresh green wood, when the horns were young and tender."3. The story is taken out of the forgery of Antigonus of Carystia: «ήδη δε διειληφθαι άχαιίνην έλαφον, χιττον έγουσαν έπί τῶν κεράτων, ὡς ἀν ἐνύγρων ὄντων».4 That ἀχαιΐνη is a late word is proved by Athenaeus, who simply says, «ποῦ δὲ εἶδεν ἐκ κέρατος ἐλάφου κίσσον ἀναφύντα,» so that in his time this was merely an accident, such as very likely may have happened. But the ivy was dedicated to Bacchus, and this suggested the lynx which was dedicated to him, and this, as we have seen, led to the achlin in one place in Pliny, and to axin in another.

We find this άχαιΐνη in the 'A ο γ ο ν α υ τ ι κ ά of Apollonius, where the whole line is clearly an interpolation: «ὅσση δὲ οινὸς βοὸς ἤνιος ἢ ἐλάφοιο [γίγνεται, ἥν τ' ἀγρῶσται άχαιινέην καλέουσιν, τόσσον έην πάντη χούσεον έφύπεοθεν ἄωτον». "Which the ἀγρῶσται call ἀχαιινέη." This curious αγρώσται is due to a misunderstanding of

² Goetz, vol. V, p. 30, etc. ³ P. 611. In Book II (p. 506) the Achaine stag is mentioned as having gall in its tail. This is unquestionably an interpolation. ⁴ Cap. XXXV.

⁵ IV. 174-176.

the Placidus gloss, "lynx genus ferae agrestis uariae, similis leopardo, Libero patri sacratum," in connection with the camelopard story from which achlin, axin, άχαιΐνη arose. The άχαιΐνης is similarly connected with Bacchus in the Anthologia Palatina,2 and in one of Babrius' fables the stag is several times called ἔλαφος and once ή ἀχαιίνη, obviously as an interpolation, to boast of the newly acquired word for a particular kind of stag.

Isidore knew nothing of bisons, uri, and alces, for these are mentioned only in an off-hand manner, in what is obviously an interpolation, since only the uri are again mentioned, and that only in an interpolated passage, as will later be shown. The passage in Isidore runs as follows: "Gignit aves Hyrcanias, quarum pinnae nocte perlucent; bisontes quoque feras et uros atque alces parturit." This is a summary of the statement in Solinus.

The Augustan historians have long been in ill-repute. The alces mentioned by them are, to say the least, interpolations. If they are not interpolations, the Augustan historians will have to be located in the VIII. century.⁵ The alces also occur in Calpurnius:

"Hic raram silvis etiam, quibus editur, alcen. Vidimus et tauros, quibus aut cervice levata Deformis scapulis torus eminet, aut quibus hirtae Iactantur per colla iubae, quibus aspera mento Barba iacet, tremulisque rigent palearia setis."6

A considerable number of the ecloques have already been declared spurious, and this VII. eclogue

¹ Goetz, vol. V, pp. 30, 81, 113.

² VI. 165. ³ XCV. 87. ⁴ XIV. 4. 4.

^{6 &}quot;Alces decem," Capitolinus, Gordiani tres, III, XXXIII; "alces," Vopiscus, Aurelianus, XXXIII. 6 Ecl. VII. 59-63.

will have to be added to the number, since the alces is impossible, and, although the bisons and uri are not mentioned by name, the lines following the one in which the alces are mentioned unquestionably refer to them. The juxtaposition of the three animals. as in Isidore, marks them as spurious. There is a reference to elk horns in a testament, supposedly of a Lingonian of the time of Emperor Otho, but which has come down to us only in a MS. of the X. century. The last clause of the fragment asks that all the hunting gear be buried together with the body, and ends with the words, "et stellas omnes ex cornibus alcinis." The document bristles with corrections, and "stellas" has remained inexplicable. It is impossible. therefore, to say that alcinis was found in the original document.

In Oppian's Κυνηγετικά the bisons, here called βιστόνες, are followed by the description of the deer. Then come the eurycerotes, the iorci, the bubalus. We have also a reference to the horned ἀχαιΐνη.² The bison and bubalus will be discussed in their proper place. The euryceros is not further explained, because all the writer had before him was the late gloss, "tragelaphum quem nos dicimus platoceruum," hence he says: «"Αλλους δ' αὖ καλέουσι βροτοὶ πάλιν εὐρυκέρωτας. πάντ' έλαφοι τελέθουσι, φύσιν κεράων δ' έφύπερθεν, οίην τούνομα θησοί κατηγορέει, φορέουσι». This is followed by a similarly brief description of the togros, which is not found in any other author. Of it the author resembles says that it \mathbf{a} deer. but mottled skin like a leopard. It is clear that we have here the same animal as the lucaon. that is, originally the lynx. In Oppian we read:

¹ Corpus inscriptionum latinarum, vol. XIII, No. 5708.

² II. 426.

³ Goetz, vol. V, p. 517.

«Τοὺς δ' ἄρα κικλήσκουσιν ἐνὶ ξυλόχοισιν ἰόρκους κἀκείνοις ἐλάφοιο δέμας, ρινὸν δ' ἐπὶ νώτφ | στικτὸν ἄπαντα φέρουσι παναίολον, οἶά τε θηρῶν | πορδαλίων σφραγῖδες ἐπὶ χροὶ μαρμαίρουσι».¹ In another place the author calls the ἴορκος "shining" and distinguishes it from the δορκάς, so that no miswritten δορκάς can be assumed: «Καὶ δόρκους ὄρυγάς τε καὶ αἰγλήεντας ἰ ο ρ κ ο ύ ς.² "Ιορκος is a misread λύγκες lynces. This is shown by Hesychius' «ἴ ο ρ κ ε ς· τῶν δορκάδων ζώων· ἔνιοι δὲ, ἡλικίαν ἐλάφου» and «ἴ υ ρ κ ε ς· αἶγες ἄγριαι· ὑστριχίδες.» Thus we come back to the same source of blunder, which is due to the Arabic glosses to Isidore; and Oppian, in spite of the dedication of his poem to Caracalla, is an VIII. century forger.

to the juxtaposition of lynx, camelopard and chameleon in Isidore and the Arabic gloss to chameleon, there was another important factor which aided in the creation of a deer with leopard spots, and that was the Roman commercial name for the jackal or lynx. In Diocletian's Edict we find "pellis lupi cervari," translated by «δέομα λύχου χεράστου (λύνγιον, λύχιον)», where the Greek, which already betrays a confusion of lynx and wolf, slavishly renders cervarius by "horned," whereas cervarius is a poor rendering of Heb. הוא בצּבּיל or צַבַּיל, or Egyp. såb "the jackal." Solinus knows the cervarius

While the confusion of lynx and tragelaphus was due

but under the influence of the interpolated passage on the *chama*, he adds that a *cervarius* was brought into the arena of Pompey from Gaul: "sunt in eo genere qui *cervari* vocantur, qualem e Gallia in Pompei

as a variety of the wolf and different from the lynx.⁴ In Pliny there is a reference to the *cervarius* as a wolf.

¹ II. 296-299.

² III. 3.

³ VIII. 35.

⁴ II. 37.

Magni harena spectatum diximus." Here the interpolator still maintains that the *cervarius* is a wolf, while previously the *chama* or *rufius* was mentioned in connection with the giraffe and unquestionably was taken to be a *cervus luparius*, as which the ἴορχος appears full-fledged in Oppian.

¹ VIII. 84.

VII. THE ANTHOLOPS.

Ad-Damīrī describes the yaḥmūr as follows: "The yahmūr is a wild, fast running animal, with long serrated horns, with which it cuts the trees. When it is thirsty and goes down to the Euphrates to drink, it finds the trees intertwined, which it cuts with its horns. It is said to be the same as the yamūr. are like those of a deer. It sheds them each year. They are solid and have nothing concave about them. They are reddish in color and are faster than the deer."1 Al-Qazwini writes similarly: "The $y\bar{a}m\bar{u}r$ is a wild, fast running animal, whose two horns are like saws. It resembles much a wild ox. It lives in thickets whose woods are intertwined. It becomes lively at the sight of water and runs there and plays among the trees. And its horns frequently get caught in the tangle of twigs so that it cannot free itself. Then it calls, and hearing its call people come and catch it."2 Ibn Said says: "The $y\bar{a}m\bar{u}r$ is a kind of ibex, which has one horn with many branches in the middle of the head."

The nearest approach to Al-Qazwīnī's account is the one found in Pseudo-Epiphanius, who writes: "Urus, animal omnium maximum, similis est bovi, duoque habet cornua in modum serrae, estque prae omnibus animalibus terribile aspectu: itaque proceras arbores quatiens, illas dissecat, ramosque praescindit, nec est ullum animal illo fortius. Pascitur non longe

¹S. Bochart, Hierozoicon, sive bipertitum opus de animalibus s. Scripturae, revised by D. Clodius, Francofurti ad Moenum 1675, vol. I, col. 912.

² Ibid., col. 912 f.

^{*} Ibid., col. 913.

ab Oceano, continuo vero ut bibit, veluti ebrius ludit, cornibusque tanquam bos terram petit. Est autem illic arbor dicta tanus, viti similis et alba ramis, quos cum urus capite concutit, cornu vincitur. itaque venator, et cornu alligatum deprehendit, illumque opprimit. Tu igitur, o spiritualis homo, considera quanto te uro generosiorem fecerit Deus. Loco enim duorum cornuum, duo tibi dedit Testamenta, Novum videlicet et Vetus, quae cornua sunt contra potestates adversas, ut ne te circumveniat diabolus, ait enim Propheta: In te inimicos nostros ventilabimus cornu. Oceanus copiam divitiarum significat, tanus vero, vitae voluptatem, qua implicitus homo fidem negligit. Venator igitur, hoc est diabolus, illum aggreditur, quem voluptatibus mancipatum, fidemque negligentem inveniens, in suam potestatem redigit."1

Here $y\bar{a}m\bar{u}r$ has become $\bar{u}r$ o \bar{b} 005. In the Arabic he finds " بحد الشحرة ملتفة version of Ad-Damīrī we read" intertwined trees." The accusative الشعرة aš-šaģaratan "the thickly growing trees" was read by those who translated the Arabic Physiologus as the name of the forest or kind of tree that the vāmūr cuts down. This is proved beyond a shadow of a doubt by the Ethiopic Physiologus, where we read: "Here there is a tree whose name is $zart\bar{a}n\bar{e}$, the branches of which are long." In Pseudo-Epiphanius this šagaratan broke into two words, šaģar "the densely growing tree" becoming δένδοον, and the second part appearing as τάνυς, the name of a tree with white branches resembling a vine. In other Greek versions we have τάνη, τάνος as the name of the tree." In another, again, we

¹ Migne, P. G., vol. XLIII, col. 519 f.
² F. Hommel, Die aethiopische Uebersetzung des Physiologus, Leipzig 1877. ³ A. Karnyeev, Materialy i zamyetki po literaturnoy istorii Fiziologa, 1890, p. 352.

70

read the corruption «ἔστι δὲ ἐκεῖ ἐρικίνη (ἐρείκη, ἐρεικίνα) λεπτόκλονος» The Arab. شجرة šaģarat not only means "a densely growing tree," but in a tradition it means "the grape vine, or the tree beneath which allegiance was sworn to the Prophet," hence the statement in the Greek Physiologus, "the name of a tree with white branches resembling a vine."

The oldest Latin version of the $y\bar{a}m\bar{u}r$ is found in the Liber glossarum, ascribed to Ansileubus, the Visigoth, who wrote it about the year 750. Here we read: "Autolops, animal est acerrimum nimis, adeo ut nec venatores ei possint adpropinguare. Habet autem longa cornua serrae figuram habentia, ita ut possit etiam arbores secare altas et magnas, et ad terram deponere. Cum autem sitierit, venit ad magnum Euphraten fluvium, et bibit; et est ibi frutex, qui dicitur graece hericina, habens virgulta subtilia atque prolixa. Veniens autem incipit ludere cornibus suis ad hericinam: et dum ludit, obligat cornua sua in virgultis eius. Cum autem diu pugnans liberare se non possit, exclamat voce magna: tunc audiens venator vocem eius venit, et occidit eum."2

This story is identical with the one given by Ad-Damīrī, and so represents the oldest form of the story, without the hermeneutic element attached to it. The Berne MS. 233, supposed to be of the eighth century, has the following text:3 "De autalops. Est animal acerrimum nimis, ita ut venatore possit adpropinguare. Habet autem longa cornua serrae figuram habentia, ita ut possit etiam arbores secure altas et magnas, et ad terram deponere. Et cum

¹ F. Lauchert, Geschichte des Physiologus, Strassburg 1889, p. 267, and Karnyeev, loc. cit.

² A. Mai, Classicorum auctorum e vaticanis codicibus editorum tomus VII,

Romae 1835, p. 591.

³ C. Cahier and A. Martin, Mélanges d'archéologie, d'histoire et de littérature, Paris 1851, vol. II, p. 117 f.

sitierit, venit ad magnum fluvium Eufraten, et bibit. Est autem ibi flutex qui dicitur grege herecine, habet autem virgulta, subtilia atque prolixa. Veniens autem, incipit ludere cornis suis ad herecinam; et dum ludit, obligat cornua sua in virgultis ejus. Quum autem diu pugnans liberare se non posset, exclamat voce magna. Audiens autem venator vocem ejus, venit et occidit eum. Sic et tu homo Dei, qui studes sobrius esse et castus, spiritaliter vivere, cui dua sunt cornua duo testamenta quem potes resecare et excidere abs te omnia vitia corporalia: hoc est adulterium, fornicationem, avaritiam, invidiam, superbiam, detractionem, aebrietatem, luxuriam, et omne ludibrium hujus saeculi pompam. Tunc congaudent tibi et omnes caelorum Cave ergo, homo Dei, aebrietatem; obligeris luxoria et voluntati, et interficiaris a diabolo. Unum enim et mulieres apostatare faciunt homines a Deo."

A variant of this text is recorded in a MS. of the eleventh century: "Est et animal autula nomine, acerrimum nimis, ita ut nec ullus venatorum ei potest appropinquare. Habet enim cornua longa in similitudine serre quibus secare potest maximas quercus. Condensa et superflua queque arborum incidendo secat in nullo resistens. Quando vero sitierit venit ad flumen magnum eufraten et bibit. Sunt autem ibi virge viticee subtiles et molles; incipit autem et animal illud ludere cum virgulis illis. Et in ludendo obligat semetipsum cornu. Obligatusque ambis cornibus; vociferatque cum rugitu. Quia evadere non potest gracilibus virgulis circumseptus et tunc quilibet venatorum absconditus audiens vocem ejus currit et ligatum inveniens occidit. De qua re et tu homo qui profeceris abstinentiam, sicut fisus cornibus tuis, abscidisti fore detractiones, cupidates, libidines, silvam secularem et pompam diaboli. Congaudent tibi angelice virtutes. Duo cornua duo sunt

Sed noli ludere cum vino in quo est testamenta. luxuria, nec te obliges et incidas in muscipulam adversarii, qui te videns obseptum vitio, occidit. Vir autem sapiens et prudens, a vino et muliere se avertit. Sunt enim duo lapides ignari, masculus et femina. In ergo professor intellige multos periisse propter vinum et feminas, et cautus esto ut salvus fias."1

Greek ἀνθόλοψ indicates an original Latin reading antholops, which in one of the above texts is misread autolops, austulapsa2 and aptalops,3 and occurs in the reduced forms autula, autala.4 One form of this abbreviation was antula, as recorded in the French Physiologus, although here also the forms attula, aptolops, atalos⁶ are found. This antula is unquestionably the origin of Fr. andouiller, Eng. antler. The Arab. šagartan occurs in French as héricine, evenchine, but seems to have produced no words in the other Romance languages.

The $y\bar{a}m\bar{u}r$ is obviously taken out of a Syriac source; but the story of the animal which goes to the river to drink, and, playing with the bushes, is caught in the tree, is just as certainly a transformation of the Esopic story of the stag which goes to the water and there despises its thin legs, but is very proud of its serrated horns, and then is caught in the forest by its horns, while pursued by hunters or a lion:

«απο· ελαφου de cervo ελαφος ευμεγεθης ceruus bone magnitudinis ωρα· θερους aestiuo tempore

διψη· λιπομενος siti deficiens παραγινεται aduenit επι·τινα· πηγην ad quendam fontem

¹ G. Heider, Physiologus, Wien 1851, p. 27.

² M. F. Mann, Der Bestiaire divin des Guillaume le Clerc, in Französische Studien, vol. VI, part 2, p. 33.

³ Cahier, op. cit., p. 96.

⁵ Cahier, op. cit., p. 116.

⁴ Mann, op. cit., p. 31. p. 118 , p. 116. ⁶ Ibid., p. 118

διαυγη· και· βαθιαν limpidum et altum και· πιων et cum bibisset οσον· ηθελεν quantum uoluerat ποοσειχεν adtendebat τη· του· σωματος a corporie και· μαλιστα· μενερηνι et maxime quid laudabat την φυσιν των κερατων naturam cornuorum ανατεταμενωντε excelsissimam εις· πολυν· αερα in multo και· ως· κως· μοσειν et quod ornamentum esset παντι· τω· σωματι omni corporis εψεγεν· δε culpabat autem την· των· κελων crurum λεπτετητα exilitatem ως· ουχοιωντε· οντων quas non esset' εριν· το· βαρος ferre pondus εν. οις. δε. προς. τουτους. ην sed cum in his esset ϋλαχητε· κινων latratus caεφνιδιως· ακου· ται subito audiit και· κυνηγετε· πλεσιον et uenatores proximo

ο. δε. προσφυγην. ωρμα at ille in fugam ibat και· μεχοις· οπου et quandiu quidem δια· πεδιων per campos εποιετο· τον· δρομον faciebat cursum εσωζετο liberabatur ϋπο της οκιτητος a uelociτων· σκελων crurum επι· δε· εις· πυχνην sed ubi inspissam και· δασιαν et condensam υλεν· επεσεν siluam incidit ενπλακεντων αυτω obligatis ei; inplicatus των· κερατων cornibus εαλω captus est πειρα· μαθων modo perdiscens οτι· αρα· αδιχουσεν quod iniustus esset των· ϊδιων· κριτης suorum iudex ψεγων· μενι culpas quidem uituperans τα· σοζοντα· αυτον quae saluabant eum επαινων· δε laudans autem ϋψων· προδεδοται a quibus deceptus esset."1

This gave the Christian moralizer the opportunity to transform the story by referring to the horns as ¹ Hermeneumata leidensia, in Goetz, vol. III, p. 40.

emblematic of the Old and the New Testaments and to the entwining branches as the vices that beset man. If man confided in his horns rather than his legs, that is, in the Old and New Testament rather than in the stimuli of vice, he would escape the devil.

The Hermeneumata leidensia will prove of extreme importance in our study of the German Antiquitas, since it is apparently the first bilingual glossary, from which the Germanic glossaries and the essential points dealt with in the Antiquitas were derived. Now the fables begin with the words: "Nunc ergo incipiam fabulas scribere aesopias et subiciam exemplum per eum enim picture constant sunt enim ualde necessarie ad utilitatem uitae nostrae primo ergo loco fabulam incipiam de ceruo." The title De ceruo is in Greek απο ελαφου, and it is clear that this produced autolops, most likely through an Arab. ابلفس read ابلفس read المقادى ال

In the Old High German version we read: "an dem wazzere sint manige gerten chleine unde lange," where Arab. šaģarṭan is abbreviated to gerten, as it is to zarṭānē in the Aethiopic version. In the Keronian glosses we find "sceptrum virga regalis garte chuninclich," but in one version we have "sceptrum kertia." From this develops the meaning "stimulus," but in Gothic we have the anomalous gazds, in ASaxon gad, in ONorse gaddr "stimulus, prick."

74

¹ Ibid., p. 39 f.

² Lauchert, op. cit., p. 288.

VIII. THE URUS.

Urus in Pseudo-Epiphanius, for tamurus, that is, for the Arab. تلمود tāmūr is due to a gloss in Jerome, where some glossator's Arabic equivalent was given for "bubalus." Wherever the Bible has $yahm\bar{u}r$, the Latin translation is bubalus, and this animal. later identified with an African gazelle, was supposed to be exceedingly fierce, and was from the start confounded with a wild ox, chiefly on account of the seeming derivation from "βοῦς, bos." When Martial wrote "illi cessit atrox bubalus atque bison," he did not confound the bubalus with the bison, but simply mentioned the two fierce animals together.² Jerome glossed Amos VI. 12, "numquid currere queunt in petris equi, aut arari potest in bubalis?," as follows: "posteriores (bubali) tam indomiti sunt, ut jugum cervicibus non recipiant, et cum silvestres boves sint, propter feritatem nolint terram vomere scindere."3 This led to Isidore's "bubali vocati per derivationem, quod sint similes boum; adeo indomiti ut prae feritate iugum cervicibus non recipiant; hos Africa procreat."4

Everywhere else we find the *urus* substituted for the "bubalus," and it will now be shown that in each case we have an interpolation, if not a downright forgery. We read in Vergil, *Geor.* II. 373-375, as follows:

"Cui super indignas hiemes solemque potentem silvestres *uri* adsidue capreaeque sequaces inludunt, pascuntur oves avidaeque iuvencae."

¹ De Spectaculis, XXIII. 4.

² We shall later see, however, that this passage is based on a forgery. ³ Migne, P. L., vol. XXV, col. 1065.

⁴ XII. 1. 33.

This is found in all the manuscripts in which the passage in the Georgics is preserved, the Palatinus, Romanus, Schedae Veronenses, Mediceus. The second half of line 374 occurs in Ausonius' Cento nuptialis, 18, hence it would appear that the three lines quoted from Vergil must have existed in the fourth century; but Ribbeck bracketed them¹ on the ground that they were tautologous with the three lines following, and assumed that Vergil had intended to delete them and substitute for them the following three lines, while Peerlkamp went further and took the questionable lines to be the interpolation of a grammarian.

Whichever way we take it, it is impossible for Vergil to have spoken of uri in Italy or, in fact, anywhere else. Not a poet, nor grammarian, nor lexicographer of the first few Christian centuries knows anything of the bison by that name. The one exception is Seneca, who, in his Phaedra, has "tibi villosi terga bisontes latisque feri cornibus uri" (65), but unfortunately Seneca has come down only interpolated, and no conclusion can be drawn from this reference to uri; besides, one series of manuscripts reads tauri. Already Cerda² was greatly puzzled by Vergil's reference to uri in the passage quoted and suggested that this was a miswritten tauri.

It might be supposed that uri was already in existence in the fifth century from its presence in a Laterculus of Polemius Silvius,3 where, under the heading "Nomina cunctarum spirancium atque quadrupedum," we read "visons, urus, bos, bubalus." Unfortunately this Laterculus has come down only in a XII. century MS., and there are a number of animals in the list

¹ Prolegomena critica ad P. Vergili Maronis opera maiora, Lipsiae 1866,

p. 32. ²P. Virgilii Maronis Bucolica et Georgica, Coloniae Agrippinae 1647, ³ MGH., Auct. ant., vol. IX, p. 543 f.

which were not known before the eighth century. We have here the quadruped arcomus, which is a ghost word, the list "lacerta, lacrimus, adis," where the latter is the Ger. Eidechse, from an Arabic word,2 the reptile ablinda,3 of doubtful antiquity, and the swimming animal serra, a retranslation of a Syriac or Arabic word in the VIII. century.4 Thus not the slightest faith can be placed in this Laterculus, since its interpolations

are of the VIII. or later century.

But we have distinct references to the Vergil passage in Servius and Macrobius. Servius writes: "Silvestres uri boves agrestes, qui in Pirenaeo monte nascuntur, inter Gallias et Hispanias posito; sunt autem, exceptis elephantis, maiores animalibus ceteris, dicti uri ἀπὸ τῶν όρέων, id est a montibus." The gloss to Georg. III. 532-3, which in the manuscripts reads "et uris inparibus ductos," etc., is: "bubus agrestibus et ipsis inaequalibus: nam uri agrestes boves sunt, ut diximus supra; et hoc poetice dicit." The Brevis Expositio in Verg. Georg. reads for the first: "silvestres uri qui in Pyrenaeo monte nascuntur inter Gallias. Uri autem boves silvestres, quos vulgo 'bobalos' appellant."7 It is clear that one depends upon the other, but the absurd "inter Gallias et Hispanias" for "inter Galliam et Hispaniam" or "inter Gallias" of Servius, at once marks the first as derived from the second. Since the second, by its last sentence, is immediately related to the passage in Pliny, both passages must be later than Pliny, and it will be shown further down that in Pliny we have an VIII. century interpolation, hence

¹ See my Contributions, vol. II, p. 94 f.

² See this volume, p. 309.

³ See p. 307.

⁴ See p. 287. ⁵ G. Thilo, Servii Grammatici qui feruntur in Vergilii Bucolica et Georgica commentarii, Lipsiae 1887, vol. III1, p. 251.

⁶ Ibid., p. 317. ⁷ Ibid., vol. III², p. 306.

neither passage can be of an earlier date. If we turn to Isidore, we find for uri^1 a quotation from Pliny, followed in the $Codex\ Toletanus$, and only there, by "dicti $uri\ \dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{o}$ τῶν ὀρέων, id est a montibus," which is from Servius. This leads to the conclusion that the Servius gloss is an VIII. century Spanish insertion, which found its way also into Isidore. We have still another evidence of the lateness of the Servius gloss. To Aen. II. 64 we read² in Servius: "quidam inludere per ludum ac iocum saevire in iniuriam alicuius intellegunt, ut 'silvestres uri adsidue capraeque sequaces,'" which is acknowledged to be a late interpolation, hence corroborates the lateness of the Vergil passage, without, of course, proving it.

Macrobius, after discussing the Greek words in the Aeneid, goes on to say: "nec non et Punicis Oscisque uerbis usi sunt ueteres, quorum imitatione Vergilius

peregrina uerba non respuit ut in illo

siluestres uri adsidue,

uri enim Gallica uox est, qua feri boues significantur.

Camuris hirtae sub cornibus aures.

Camuris peregrinum uerbum est, id est 'in se redeuntibus'. Et forte nos quoque camaram hac ratione figurauimus." Both words are interpolations, based on the VIII. century reading of Vergil's Georgica. As there is not in existence an investigation of Macrobius' text as to interpolations, such as we have, for example, for Nonius, the interpolation in this case appears from the following outside considerations. The reference to urus being a Gallic word arises from Servius' location of the animal in Gaul, where it does not exist, and was not located by anyone else. The juxtaposition of urus and camurus is the same as in Isidore, where immediately after the urus we have

¹ X11. 1. 34.

² Thilo and Hagen, op. cit., vol. I, p. 227. ³ VI. 4. 23.

the camel, with the following etymology: "quia curvus est dorso; καμους enim verbo Graeco curvum significat." Of course, all this is nonsense, but since camurus is here given as a Greek word, Macrobius' scholium was totally unknown, and the information in the Etymologiae came from another source. We shall later see that the juxtaposition is Arabic, both being derived from Arabic words, but here we can only point out the uniqueness and unrelatedness in Macrobius to anything recorded, although the references are intelligible and easily explained from later references.

Before returning to Pliny and Solinus, it becomes necessary to point out that the existing manuscripts of the Georgica are of late origin. The whimsicalness and unreliability of the science of palaeography is well brought out in this case. Ribbeck placed all of the manuscripts in the V. century or earlier, on the supposition that the capital writing was not found after that period.² Conington and Nettleship³ placed the Mediceus in the V. century, because at the end of the Ecloques there is a "subscriptio" by Apronianus Asterius, dated A. D. 494. But M. Hoffmann⁴ says that it is not certain that we have here the "subscriptio" of Apronianus for the whole work, since it is not at the end of the book, and may be a mere copy, and so comes to the conclusion that only palaeography could decide; but this leaves us in the lurch, "as it generally does." Therefore he concludes: "All that we may say with certainty is that the Mediceus is considerably old: it is probable that it belongs to the transition age from antiquity to the Middle Ages."

¹ XII. 1. 35.

² Op. cit., p. 231.

³ The Works of Virgil, London 1898, vol. 1, p. CI.

⁴ Der Codex Mediceus, Pl. XXXIX, N. 1, des Vergilius, Berlin 1889, p. XV.

The same uncertainty of dating exists in the case of the Schedae Veronenses, of which E. Chatelain says: "Another manuscript of Verona, numbered XL (38), contains books XXVIII-XXXV of the Moralia in Job of Pope Gregory the Great in Lombard writing of the IX. century. The second half of the volume is palimpsest. There they found fifty one leaves of a manuscript of Vergil in large capitals, of which each page contained only thirteen verses, with broad margins reserved for scholia. These scholia, in small inclined

writing, are probably contemporaneous with the transcription of Vergil, and may go back to the IV. or

V. century."

As the Palatinus and Romanus are obviously of the same period, it will suffice to discuss the latter. Romanus is a beautiful manuscript in rustic capitals and contains a large series of illustrations. It has been variously dated as belonging to the second, fourth, fifth, eighth, and even thirteenth century.² De Nolhac,³ quoting Ribbeck's investigation, shows that it is impossible to date any of the manuscripts of Vergil under discussion, but insists that the Romanus is of the VIII. century.4 Traube5 tried to save the antiquity of the MS., but was unable to assign to it a date earlier than the VI. century: "Palaeography entitles us to say that the Romanus is not a very old manuscript; it permits the assumption that it belongs to the VI. century."6 When one considers that the Utrecht Psalter uses the same kind of rustic capitals and

² Codices e vaticanis selecti, phototypice expressi iussu Leonis PP. XIII,

6 Ibid., p. 314.

¹Les palimpsestes latins, in Annuaire 1904, École Pratique des Hautes Etudes, p. 7.

Romae 1902, vol. II, p. II f.

3 Le Virgile du Vatican et ses peintures, in Notices et extraits des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque Nationale et autres Bibliothèques, vol. XXXV, p. 683 ff. ⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 684.

⁵ Das Alter des codex Romanus des Virgil, in Strena Helbigiana, Lipsiae 1900, p. 307 ff.

similar illustrations as the *Romanus*, and yet certainly is not of an earlier date than the VIII. century, one must confess that De Nolhac's date comes nearer the truth than any other. Had the *Utrecht Psalter* not made use of uncials for beginnings of lines and titles, the resemblance between the two would be overwhelming. However it may be, palaeography is of no use to us in the present case, and the date of the MSS. will have to be decided differently.

Solinus is based on Pliny. Here we read: "sunt et uri, quos inperitum vulgus vocat bubalos, cum bubali paene ad cervinam faciem in Africa procreentur; istis porro quos uros dicimus taurina cornua in tantum modum protenduntur, ut dempta ob insignem capacitatem inter regias mensas potuum gerula fiant." This is based on two passages in Pliny: "iubatos bisontes excellentique et vi et velocitate uros, quibus inperitum volgus bubalorum nomen inponit, cum id gignat Africa vituli potius cervique quadam similitudine," and "urorum cornibus barbari septentrionales potant urnisque bina capitis unius cornua inplent."

It is clear that in the Pliny passages we should have "iubatos bisontes excellentesque et vi et velocitate tauros, quibus," etc., and "taurorum cornibus," etc., because we know of no other animal resembling the bison in Germany, and because universally "bubalus" was applied to the bison, as in Venantius Fortunatus and Gregory of Tours. Besides, when we read in Pliny "cum arbore exacuant limentque cornua elephanti et uri," it is not plausible that Pliny did not speak of the tauri, since the bison's horns are very short and not used in attack so prominently as those of the tauri, of whom Pliny says "cornibus in procinctu dimicationem poscentibus."

¹ XX. 5. ² XVIII. 38. ³ XI. 126

When we now turn to Solinus, we at once recognize that "istis porro quos uros dicimus" is an interpolation, since the ridiculous tautology "the oxhorns of those whom we call uri" is obvious. "Taurina cornua" could have arisen only from "taurorum cornibus" in Pliny, not from "urorum cornibus." Similarly, the statement "quibus inperitum volgus bubalorum nomen inponit," etc., in both is certainly an interpolation, since we have nowhere any record of the presence of the bison in Italy, where the "inperitum volgus" could have called it by that name. The first time such a mistake could have been made outside of patristic literature and learned references was in the year 595, when, as we are told by Paulus Diaconus, the bubalus was introduced into Italy. That must have been the Asiatic buffalo, which is still found in the south of Europe, and then and then only could the "inperitum volgus" have confused the two. Before that the confusion, if there was any, was by the "periti docti."

What really happened is this. The lemma in Jerome had, no doubt, the Arab. tamur written over "posteriores," which, on account of the following "tam indomiti," was read as "tam uri" or "tamen uri," thus leading to the ghost word uri. When we turn to the Syrio-Arabic glosses, we find a number of times

is rendered by Arab. al-tāmūr or ģāmūs barriyy, the latter meaning literally "bos silvester," for ģāmūs is "buffalo" and barriyy "wild." It is clear, therefore, that in the case of the Arabic gloss we have a reference to Jerome's "bubalus bos silvester," and to the Syr. مستندوًا, which produced Arab. yāmūr, nāmūr, tāmūr.

It is, no doubt, in the German Antiquitas that uri received its full acknowledgment, the name being

¹ Historia Langobardorum, IV. 10, in MGH., Scrip. rer. lang. et ital., p. 120.

transferred to the German bison. In the Liber glossarum, which is generally ascribed to the middle of the VIII. century, but is probably somewhat later, we have the following entries: De Gls.

Vri Fere humiles boum

Vri Uituli agrestes quos bubalos uocant

Vri Agrestes boues sunt in germania habentes cornua intantum protensa ut regiis mensis insigni capacitate ex eis gerule fiant dic autem uri αποθονοουον, id est, a montibus.²

The first two glosses are not found elsewhere, and the third, although given in Isidore, in the Codex Toletanus, is not given as from Isidore, but apparently like the other two, "de glossis." The first two are of extraordinary importance, since the reference to "small beasts of oxen" and "wild calves called bubali" shows once more that uri stands for tamuri, and is nothing but the Biblical יַחְמוּר yaḥmūr, which ultimately led to the antholops. In any case, in these two glosses the glossators were still on Biblical ground. In Sangallensis 912 we read "uros bos siluaticus," where the explanation is still that of Jerome, while the lemma has uros. instead of "bubalus." While two of the Graeco-Latin vocabularies simply record "urus βούβαλος," one has "urus βοῦς Γερμανός," which coincides with the third gloss in the Liber glossarum. That this urus, like camurus discussed below, was not in the original Vergil is obvious. This is further corroborated by the fact that the Glossae Vergilianae, which of all glosses should have had these two strange words, have neither. To make matters worse, the great Vergilian glossary

Facsimiles of Parisinus Latin 11530, ff. 1r-65v and ff. 231v-240v, in the Harvard Library. Unfortunately this goes only from F-L and from Ue-Us. ² Fol. 240v.

³ Goetz, vol. IV, p. 297.

⁴ *Ibid.*, vol. II, p. 259, vol. III, p. 258. ⁵ *Ibid.*, vol. II, p. 211. ⁶ *Ibid.*, vol. IV, p. 427 ff.

Codex Bernensis XVI, which goes from A-E, has neither camurus, nor cornua camura. Nor is urus found in any of the numerous glosses, outside of the two mentioned. This is fatal for the presence of the word in Vergil.

We can now turn to Caesar's uri. Here we read: "Tertium est genus eorum, qui uri appellantur. Hi sunt magnitudine paulo infra elephantos, specie et colore et figura tauri. Magna vis eorum est et magna velocitas, neque homini neque ferae, quam conspexerunt, parcunt. Hos studiose foveis captos interficiunt. Hoc se labore durant adulescentes atque hoc genere venationis exercent, et qui plurimos ex his interfecerunt, relatis in publicum cornibus, quae sint testimonio. magnam ferunt laudem. Sed adsuescere ad homines et mansuefieri ne parvuli quidem excepti possunt. Amplitudo cornuum et figura et species multum a nostrorum boum cornibus differt. Haec studiose conquisita ab labris argento circumcludunt atque in amplissimis epulis pro poculis utuntur."2 The latter part of the account, which deals with the use of bisons' horns for drinking purposes, is identical with the account of the horns of the Paeonian bull, and does not refer to Germany, but to Macedonia. We have already seen how the Germanic urus arose from Bible glosses. Thus there is nothing left in the story which Caesar could have written. The forgery of this part of the Hercynian Forest is obvious.

¹ Thilo and Hagen, op. cit., vol. III², p. 453 ff.

IX. THE MONOPS.

There is a passage in the spurious X. book of Aristotle's De animalibus, where we have a complete account of the bonasus: "The bison is found in Paeonia on Mount Messapium, which separates Paeonia from Maedica: and the Paeonians call it the monapos. is the size of a bull, but stouter in build, and not long in the body; its skin, stretched tight on a frame, would give sitting room for seven people. In general it resembles the ox in appearance, except that it has a mane that reaches down to the point of the shoulder. as that of the horse reaches down to its withers; but the hair in its mane is softer than the hair in the horse's mane, and clings more closely. The colour of the hair is brown-yellow; the mane reaches down to the eyes, and is deep and thick. The colour of the body is half red, half ashen-grey, like that of the socalled chestnut horse, but rougher. It has an undercoat of woolly hair. The animal is not found either very black or very red. It has the bellow of a bull. Its horns are crooked, turned inwards towards each other and useless for purposes of self-defence: they are a span broad, or a little more, and in volume each horn would hold about three pints of liquid: the black colour of the horn is beautiful and bright. The tuft of hair on the forehead reaches down to the eyes, so that the animal sees objects on either flank better than objects right in front. It has no upper teeth, as is the case also with kine and all other horned animals. Its legs are hairy; it is cloven-footed, and the tail, which resembles that of the ox, seems not big enough

¹ Dittmeyer, op. cit., p. V ff.

for the size of its body. It tosses up dust and scoops out the ground with its hooves, like the bull. skin is impervious to blows. Owing to the savour of its flesh it is sought for in the chase. When it is wounded it runs away, and stops only when thoroughly exhausted. It defends itself against an assailant by kicking and projecting its excrement to a distance of eight yards; this device it can easily adopt over and over again, and the excrement is so pungent that the hair of hunting-dogs is burnt off by it. It is only when the animal is disturbed or alarmed that the dung has this property; when the animal is undisturbed it has no blistering effect. So much for the shape and habits of the animal. When the season comes for parturition the mothers give birth to their young in troops upon the mountains. Before dropping their young they scatter their dung in all directions, making a kind of circular rampart around them; for the animal has the faculty of ejecting excrement in most extraordinary quantities." A similar account is given in spurious Περὶ θανμασίων Aristotle's equally άχουσμάτων.

'Ο δὲ βόνασος γίγνεται μὲν ἐν τῆ Παιονία ἐν τῷ Θοει τῷ Μεσσαπίῳ, ὁ ὁρίζει τὴν Παιονικὴν καὶ τὴν Μαιδικὴν χώραν, καλοῦσι δ' αὐτὸν οἱ Παίονες μόνα πον τὸ δὲ μέγεθός ἐστιν ἡλίκον ταῦρος, καὶ ἔστιν ὀγκωδέστερον ἢ βοῦς οὐ γὰρ πρόμηκές ἐστιν τὸ δὲ δέρμα αὐτοῦ κατέχει εἰς ἑπτάκλινον ἀποταθέν καὶ τὸ ἄλλο δ' εἶδος

Περὶ θαυμασίων ἀχουσμάτων.

Έν τῆ Παιονία φασὶν ἐν τῷ ὄρει τῷ Ἡσαίνῷ καλουμένῷ, ὁ τὴν Παιονικὴν καὶ τὴν Μαιδικὴν ὁρίζει, εἰναί τι θηρίον τὸ καλούμενον β όλιν θον, ὑπὸ δὲ τῶν Παιόνων μόναι πον τοῦτον λέγουσι τὴν μὲν ὅλην φύσιν παραπλήσιον εἶναι βοῖ, διαφέρειν δὲ τῷ μεγέθει καὶ τῆ

¹ J. A. Smith and W. D. Ross, The Works of Aristotle, Oxford 1910, vol. IV, p. 630.

ὅμοιον βοΐ, πλὴν χαίτην ἔχει μέχοι τῆς ἀκοωμίας ὥσπεο ίππος μαλακωτέρα δ' ή θοίξ τῆς τοῦ ἵππου, καὶ προσεσταλμένη μᾶλλον χρῶμα δ' έχει τοῦ τοιχώματος ξανθόν. βαθεῖα δὲ καὶ μέχρι τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν καθήκουσα ή χαίτη έστὶ καὶ πυκνή· τὸ δὲ χρῶμα έχει τοῦ τριχώματος μέσον τεφροῦ καὶ πυρροῦ, οὐχ οἶον αί παρῶαι ἵπποι καλούμεναι, άλλ' αύχμηροτέραν την τρίγα ἄνωθεν, κάτωθεν ἐριώδη. μέλανες δ' ή πυρροί σφόδρα ού γίγνονται φωνήν δ' όμοίαν έχουσι βοί, κέρατα δὲ γαμψά, κεκαμμένα πρὸς ἄλληλα καὶ ἄχρηστα πρὸς τὸ άμύνεσθαι, τῷ μεγέθει σπιθαμιαῖα ἢ μιχοῷ μείζω, πάγος δ' ώστε χωρῆσαι μὴ πολλῶ ἔλαττον ἡμίχου ἑκάτερον. ή δὲ μελανία καλή καὶ λιπαρὰ τοῦ κέρατος τὸ δὲ προκόμιον καθήκει έπὶ τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς, ὥστ' είς τὸ πλάγιον παροράν μάλλον ή είς τὸ πρόσθεν όδόντας δὲ τοὺς ἄνωθεν ούκ έχει, ὥσπερ ούδὲ βοῦς οὐδ' ἄλλο τῶν κερατοφόρων οὐδέν, σκέλη δὲ δασέα καὶ ἔστι διχαλόν κέρκον δ' έλάττω η κατά το μέγεθος, όμοίαν τη τοῦ βοός. καὶ ἀναρρίπτει τὴν κόνιν καὶ

εύρωστία, προσέτι δὲ καὶ τῆ χαίτη: ἔχει γὰο ἀπὸ τοῦ αὐχένος, ώσπερ ὁ ἵππος, κατατείνουσαν βαθεῖαν σφόδρα, καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς κορυφῆς ἕως τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν· τὰ δὲ κέρατα ούχ ώσπεο οί βόες, άλλὰ κατεστραμμένα, καὶ τὸ ὀξὺ κάτω παρά τὰ ὧτα. χωρεῖν δὲ αὐτὰ ἡμιχόου πλεῖον ἑκάτερον αὐτῶν, καὶ μέλανα σφόδρα είναι, διαστίλβειν δὲ ώσανεί λελεπισμένα. ὅταν δὲ έκδαρῆ τὸ δέρμα, κατέχειν τόπον όκτακλίνου ήνίκα δὲ πληγῆ, φεύγει, κἂν έξαδυνατοῦν μένει ἔστι δὲ ἡδύκρεων άμύνεται δὲ λακτίζον καὶ προσαφοδεῦον ὡς ἐπὶ τέτταρας όργυιάς ραδίως δὲ χοῆται τούτω καὶ πολλάκις τῶ εἴδει, καὶ ἐπικαίει δ' ώστ' αποψήγεσθαι τας τρίχας τῶν κυνῶν τεταραγμένου μέν οὖν τοῦτο ποιεῖν φασὶ τὸν ἄφοδον, ἀταράχου δὲ μη έπικαίειν όταν δε τίκτωσι, πλείους γενόμενοι καὶ συναχθέντες άμα πάντες οί μέγιστοι τίχτουσι καὶ κύκλω προσαφοδεύουσι· πολύ γάρ τι τούτου τοῦ περιττώματος τὸ θηρίον προΐεται (p. 830a).

όρύττει ώσπερ ταῦρος. δέρμα δ' έχει πρός τὰς πληγὰς ίσχυρόν. ἔστι δ' ἡδύκρεων, διὸ καὶ θηρεύουσιν αὐτό. ὅταν δὲ πληγή, φεύγει καὶ ὑπομένει όταν έξαδυνατη· αμύνεται δè λακτίζων καὶ προσαφοδεύων καὶ είς τέτταρας ὀργυιὰς ἀφ' έαυτοῦ ρίπτων ραδίως δὲ χρήται τούτω καὶ πολλάκις. καὶ ἐπικαίει ώστε ἀποψήχεσθαι τὰς τρίχας τῶν κυνῶν. τεταραγμένου μέν οὖν καὶ φοδουμένου τοῦτο ποιεῖ ἡ κόπρος, άταράκτου δ' όντος οὐκ έπικαίει ή μεν οὖν ίδέα τοῦ θηρίου καὶ ή φύσις τοιαύτη τίς έστιν σταν δ' ώρα ή τοῦ τίχτειν, άθοόοι τίχτουσιν έν τοῖς ὄρεσιν περὶ δὲ τὸν τόπον ἀφοδεύουσι πρότερον ποίν τεκείν, καὶ ποιοῦσιν οἱον περίβολον προΐεται γάρ τὸ θηρίον πολύ τι πληθος τούτου τοῦ περιττώματος (p. 630).

The two passages prove conclusively that the iππέλαφοι previously mentioned is a later interpolation, for the sentence χαίτην ἔχει μέχοι τῆς ἀχοωμίας ὥσπερ ἴππος of De animalibus was before this used in connection with the iππέλαφοι; hence it is only necessary to show the spuriousness of the passage, in order to prove the spuriousness of both bonasus and iππέλαφοι wherever found. We find a reference to the throwing of the excrement by the βόνασος in Aelian

and in Antigonus of Carystia. The first writes: «Ζῶόν ἐστι Παιονικὸν, καὶ κέκληται μ ὁ ν ω ψ, καὶ ἔοικε ταύρω λασίω τὸ μέγεθος· οὖτος οὖν, ὂν μόνωπα καλοῦσιν οἱ Παίονες, ὅταν διώκηται, ταραττόμενος ἀφίησι πυρῶδες καὶ δοιμὰ ἀποπάτημα, ὡς ἀκούω· ὁπεροῦν εἰ προσπέσοι τῷ τῶν θηρατῶν ἀπέκτεινεν αὐτόν».¹ The second writes: «Τὸν δὲ μ ὁ ν ω τ ο ν γίνεσθαι μέν φασιν ἐν Παιονία, ἐν τῷ ὅρει Μαρσάνω· ὀδόντας δὲ τοὺς ἄνωθεν οὐκ ἔχειν, ὥσπερ βοῦν, οὐδ' ἄλλο τῶν δικεράτων οὐδέν· καὶ τἄλλα προσεμφερὲς εἶναι τῷ ταύρω· διωκόμενον δὲ, προσαφοδεύειν πόρρωθεν, καὶ τὴν κόπρον, ὅταν πεφοθημένος τοῦτο ποιήση, ἐπικάειν οὕτως, ὥστε τὰς τρίχας ἀπορρεῖν τῶν κτηνῶν· ἀν δ' ἄνευ φόδου τοῦτο ποιήση, οὐδὲν πάσχειν, οὐδὲ βλάπτεσθαι οδ δ' ἀν ὀχεύση, καὶ ἔγκυον ποιήση, τούτου πάλιν οὐχ ἄπτεσθαι».²

The Aelian passage is obviously an interpolation, since the repetition «καὶ κέκληται μόνωψ» and «δν μόνωπα καλούσιν οι Παίονες» is inconceivable for the lucid style of Aelian. That both are interpolations and forgeries follows from the fact that the account given by them does not refer to the ox or bison, but to a bird, the bustard. Aelian says of the bustard, ώτὶς, that it alone of all the birds fears the dog, and, because it flies low, hides in swamps and thickets: «Μόνη δὲ ἡ ἀτὶς πέφρικε κύνας, τὸ αἴτιον, βαρεῖαί τέ εἰσι, καὶ σαρχών όγκον περιφέρουσιν ούκουν αύτὰς αίρει τε καὶ έλαφοίζει τὰ πτερὰ ραδίως καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ταπειναὶ πέτονται καὶ κάτω περὶ γῆν, βρίθοντος τοῦ ὄγκου αὐτάς αίοοῦνται, δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν κυνῶν πολλάκις ὅπερ ἑαυταῖς συνειδυΐαι, ὅταν ἀκούσωσιν ὑλακῆς, ἐς τοὺς θάμνους καὶ τὰ ἕλη καταθέουσι, προβαλλόμεναι ξαυτών ταῦτα, καὶ ρυόμεναι σφᾶς ἐκ τῶν παρόντων, καὶ μάλα εὐπόρως».3

¹ VII. 3.

² J. Beckmann, Antigoni Carystii Historiarum mirabilium collectanea, Lipsiae 1791, cap. LVIII.

³ V. 24.

The bustard is in Arabic described by Ad-Damīrī as follows: "Al-Gāhiz states that it possesses a treasure in its anus and bowels which always contain thin excrement, and when a hawk persists in pursuing it, it voids it over the hawk, so that all its feathers fall off, in consequence of which it dies. God has verily created its excrement a weapon (of defence) for it. A poet says:

'They left you more voiding thin dung than a bustard

Which has seen a hawk, and more fleeing than an ostrich!

One of its peculiarities is that it is seized as prey but does not itself seek (other birds) as objects of prey." Al-Gāḥiz wrote in the middle of the IX. century, and his story arose from an extension of the account of the ibis, due to an Arabic pun.

The ancients considered the *ibis* as a wise bird, which purged itself by introducing its beak into the anus. "Purgatu autem alvos ibes Aegyptiae curant;"² «Αἰγύπτιοι κλύσματα καὶ κάθαρσιν γαστρός οὐκ ἔκ τινος έπινοίας άνθρωπίνης λέγουσι μαθείν, διδάσχαλον δέ σφισι τοῦ ἰάματος τοῦδε τὴν ἴβιν ἄδουσιν».3 The Arabs called confused it with the ibis, as in the Arabic translation of Isaiah, where the Gr. "bis is rendered by this word.4" But Al-Gāḥiz or his predecessor could not escape the pun which was current as a proverb, سلح الحبارى, which may mean both "the bustard's dung" and "the bustard's weapon," and so said, "God verily created its

¹ Op. cit., vol. I, p. 505.

² Cicero, De natura Deorum, II. 50.
³ Aelian, II. 35. Other references are recorded in Leemans' Horapollo, Amstelodami 1835, p. 246.
⁴ Bochart, op. cit., vol. II, col. 282.

excrement a weapon for it." Thus arose the Arabic account of the bustard's throwing the dung to save himself. But the account in Aelian V. 24 spoke of the fear the otis had of the pursuing dogs. Μόνη ἀτίς used there was taken by the interpolator of Antigonus to be the name of the animal which threw the dung, and this μόνωτος became μόνωψ, μόναπος, μόναιπος in the others. But in the interpolation in Aristotle it is specifically mentioned that the animal does so in order to save itself from the hunting dogs.

We can see how the composite error arose of connecting the bubalus with the otis. Jerome used ibex in Job XXXIX. 1, where St. Augustine wrote tragelaphus. In the commentary to this passage Olympiodorus wrote «τραγέλαφος ήτοι αἴγαστρος», the latter for αἴγαγοος, as in the Graeco-Latin glosses "ibex αἴγαγοος,"2 and this is the ibex. The Hebrew has in this passage אָלָי $y\bar{a}'\bar{e}l$ "ibex, chamois," and we shall soon see³ that the Arab. $\dot{q}\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$, originally the name of the buffalo, became confused with the ibex and chamois and led to Ger. Gemse. Thus we have an all around confusion of urus, buffalo, ibex, chamois.

Migne, P. G., vol. XCIII, col. 412.
 Goetz, vol. III, pp. 431, 90.
 See p. 94.

X. THE GAMMUS.

The Glossae graeco-latinae give "πλατυκερως gammus" and the Hermeneumata vaticana has "πλατικεοος gammus."² In the first, the arrangement is alphabetical and the exact meaning of gammus is not ascertainable, except that it deals with a broad-horned animal. the second, the order αίγαγρος, μονοχέρος, προβαταγριος, πλατικέρος, makes it certain that we are dealing with Arab. حاموس $\dot{g}\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$, whatever the precise identification of the animal may be. This is further confirmed by the glosses "tragelafum quem nos dicimus platoceruum,"3 "tragelafus uel platocerus elch."4 Nonius says: "camerum, obtortum: unde et camerae, tecta in curvitatem formata. Vergilius Georgicorum lib. III. 55: et cameris hirtae sub cornibus aures." But Nonius is full of interpolations, such as the ridiculous "tibinos, a tibiis modos." The explanation of the gloss is the same as in Macrobius, but in the latter it must be observed that camuris is a correction of camusis. The same juxtaposition of camurus and camera is found in Servius, "camuris (cumeris, camuris, camiris, cameris) id est curvis, unde et camerae appellantur," and in Paulus Diaconus' excerpts from Festus, "camara et camuri boves a curvatione ex Graeco κάμπη dicuntur." We have in Isidore: "camerae sunt volumina introrsum respicientia, appellatae a curvo,

⁷ Thilo, op. cit., vol. III¹, p. 280.

¹ Goetz, vol. II, p. 409.

³ Cotez, vol. 11, p. 403.

³ Lidd., vol. III, p. 431.

³ Codex Vaticanus 1468, ibid., vol. V, p. 517.

⁴ Epinal Glossary, ibid., p. 396.

⁶ Ed. of W. M. Lindsay, Lipsiae 1903, vol. I, p. XXXIX f.

⁶ Ed. of F. Eyssenhardt, Lipsiae 1868, p. 373: "vid. fuisse camusis."

καμουο enim Graece curvum est," while "camuri boves" is not found there; but in etymologizing for camel, as we have seen, καμουρ is adduced. Here the very old MS. K, marked by Lindsay as of the beginning of the VIII. century, reads camus.

We turn to the vocabularies. In the excerpts of the Liber glossarum2 we read "camuris et uergilius et camuris iste sub cornibus aures" and "camuris curuis unde et camesa appellatur." Thus we for the third time get an s for the r. Not a single one of the early vocabularies has the word. It is found only in Codex Cassinensis 402 as "camuri sunt bobes qui introrsus habent cornua conuersa," which is repeated in a late addition to Servius, "camuri boves sunt, qui conversa introrsus cornua habent,"4 and in Placidus,5 "camiris introrsum respicientibus croce (curue) unde camiris cornibus contraria patula dicuntur camiris cornua fletibus rotunda, camuram curuam," and "cameram curuam." Two glosses, in the Sangallensis 9127 and Amplonianus Secundus⁸ read "camuribus (no doubt for camuri boues) breuibus cornibus."

The readings "camuram, cameram curuam" are significant, because this is repeated in an Arabic gloss, "camura منكسة معرجة," literally "a female animal bending the head, crooked," and they show that camera arose only as a misreading of camusa as camura, producing camera "chamber." That camusus, camusa is the original and refers to the chamois, of this there

¹ XV. 8.5.

² Goetz, vol. V, p. 175.

³ Ibid., p. 549.

⁴ Thilo, op. cit., vol. III¹, p. 280. ⁵ Goetz, vol. V, p. 52.

⁶ Ibid., p. 11.

^{**}Ibid., vol. IV, p. 213.
**Ibid., vol. V, p. 275.
**C. F. Seybold, Glossarium latino-arabicum, Berolini 1900, p. 53. We also have here "uri agrestes بقر الوحش," p. 545.

cannot be a ghost of a doubt. In the Laterculus of Polemius Silvius camox stands after "ibix," which identifies it at once as the chamois. Now, the glosses for camurus show that attempts were made to identify camurus with an ox-like animal with horns twisted back, and it is clear from the glosses in Servius and the Liber glossarum that the urus was similarly supposed to be a small, calf-like animal in the Pyrenees, that is, the chamois. As both urus and the Arab. $d\bar{q}\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$ referred to the bubalus of the Bible, a similar attempt was made to identify the $\dot{q}\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$ with the chamois. This produced OHG. gamz, for which Grimm assumed the old form gamuz or gamiz, and with which he relates Ital. camozza, camozzo, camoscio, Ladin çamouć, çamorza, camuotsch, Piedmont camossa, camoss, Fr. chamois, Prov. camous, Port. camuça, camurça, Span. camuza, gamuza, Catal. gamussa. These forms show that the camurus, camura of the texts should have been camusus, camusa, as we have found three times. But camurus is given in the vocabularies, not only as the name of "an ox with horns turned back," but also as an adjective meaning "turned back, curved back." This produced, again from camusus and not from camurus. OFr. camus, Ital. camuso "snub-nosed," because "retortus" means "thrown, turned back,"

Camurus occurs in Prudentius, Peristephanon XII. 53, "tum camuros hyalo insigni varie cucurrit arcus," but interpreters have not been able to explain what the passage means, and some large specific glossaries, such as Valenciennes 4132 have no comment to this obscure word and line. We have also Avienus, in his translation of Aratus, II. 428 f., "et ignis utrimque sic camuris ardet protractibus, the fire burns from

¹ For other forms see E. Rolland, Faune populaire de la France, Paris 1906, vol. VII, p. 217 f.
² J. M. Burnam, Commentaire anonyme sur Prudence, Paris 1910.

the crooked horns". It is interesting to observe here that Avienus is describing the constellation Taurus. This leads to the conclusion that the VIII. century editors slipped this word in from their knowledge of Vergil and the obvious Vergilian borrowings of both. In both, certainly, camuris is quite out of place, since all the glosses agree that camurus refers to a particular kind of ox, and not to taurus in general. We have no manuscripts of Prudentius earlier than the IX. and of Avienus earlier than the X. century, and so it is impossible to tell what stood in the original manuscripts. It is again curious to observe that the three passages in Vergil containing urus and camurus are all in the Georgics, where one would naturally introduce the animal terms from the Physiologus. It is certainly remarkable that camurus, so persistently glossed in Spain, did not leave a trace behind in any Romance language, while the variant camusus, which is based on the Arab. $\dot{q}\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$, is found everywhere. This shows that camurus remained a book word, while the phonetically more correct camusus, derived from living speech, lived on.

Aristotle's description of the bonasus was confused by the Arabs with that of the bison, and it was really this which led to the identification of camusus with an ox whose horns are crooked and turn back. Aristotle says of the bonasus, «χέρατα δὲ γαμψά, κεκαμμένα πρὸς ἄλληλα καὶ ἄχρηστα πρὸς τὸ ἀμύνεσθαι,» "their horns are crooked, turned toward one another and useless in defence." Gr. γαμψά would be rendered in Arabic by fams, which would at once suggest that the ģāmūs "buffalo" or "bison" had crooked horns which were turned back towards one another. The fact that

HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

96

urus, bubalus, $g\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$ were, on account of the Biblical glosses to $y\bar{a}m\bar{u}r$, applied to a variety of animals, the bison, the buffalo, the chamois, did not change the indurated error that $g\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$, camusus referred to an ox-like animal with crooked, inverted horns. Nothing but the Greek original passing through the Arabic could produce such results.

XI. THE BISON.

In the Hermeneumata montepessulana, of the IX. century, we read «βούβαλος sisu," which has greatly puzzled the editors, who have tried to correct it to uiso; but the matter is obviously quite different. The Glossae graeco-latinae have «βουβαλος urus bus siluester," where one MS. has "bos silue," a line above silue having apparently been intended for the ending ster. These abbreviations have more than once caused trouble. In this case silue was read sisue, producing the above gloss, "βούβαλος sisu."

In the Liber glossarum we have had the glosses, "uri uituli agrestes quos bubalos uocant," and "uri agrestes boues sunt in germania," etc. The first was read "uri uituli, agrestes quos bubalos uocant," and was explained as "uri uituli, whom the rustics call bubalos," or, leaving off "bubalos," as in the last quotation, we get the misunderstanding that the "uri" were called *uituli* by the rustics. If this gloss was so misread in Germany, the natural inference was that uitulus was the "German" name for the urus. uitulus is written with a line over it, as was usually the case in quoting a foreign word, we get ultulus, which leads to the assumption that the line stands for an omitted n, and t and l become subject to misunderstandings. If the first is read s, the second t, we get visuntus as the reading for "vitulus," and a Germanic name for the animal is created.

We have, fortunately, a Graeco-Latin gloss which shows what havoe such misreadings play with the

¹ Goetz, vol. III, p. 320. ² *Ibid.*, vol. VII¹, p. 274.

vocabularies. There is a Graeco-Latin gloss, "battulus, uitulus, hatubus μογιλάλος," where the ASaxon gloss reads, "balbutus stom," and similarly "balbus, uattuus, ualuus τραυλός." We have also the proof that the OHG. word for the bison is a comparatively late introduction. In the Epinal Glossary we read "bubalis uusend," in the Corpus Glossary, "bubalis weosend," which are glosses to the lemma "in bubalis" of Amos Here the OHG. glosses read "in bubalis inuvisuntun (vuistun, uuimstun). We can see that the line above s caused one MS. to read uuimstun. the line above s also caused the original word, whatever it may have been, to be read uuisintun, uuisantan. In any case, this variation shows that a definite word in the language did not exist, or else the glossator would have known what the line above s stood for.

The word is not recorded in the Keronian Glosses, but the glossary ascribed to Rabanus Maurus slipped the two animals in after "bubum sorbellum" of the Keronian Glosses, which is the common gloss "bombum sorbellum" of the Latin glossaries. The glossator misunderstood "bubum" for "bubulum," and wrote "bubulus uuisunt" and the stupid "sorbellus elaho." The uuisunt had become fashionable, although no one knew exactly what it was. Now the oldest glossary containing Amos VI. 13 is the Codex Sangallensis 299,

where we have "bubalis .i. vr^tm ." This was intended as a dative plural of an OHG. ur or uro, and found its way into the ASaxon Corpus Glossary as "uris urum." Thus we see that uuistun of the other glossaries must come from a fuller explanation of a gloss in which both bubalus and urus were used. But this brings us back to the Liber glossarum and its "uri uituli agrestes

¹ Steinmeyer and Sievers, vol. I, p. 673.

² Ibid., p. 671.

quos bubalos uocant" and "uri agrestes boues sunt in germania," hence uuistun arose from ūtulus, as indicated above. With other words, it is a ghost word.

But the unfortunate line over the word produced even worse disorders: uitulus was not only read uisuntus but it was also taken to be a Latin word in Cod. Clm. 14747,1 "visuntus uúisunt." It is, no doubt, the contraction uuisus, for uuisuntus, that produced the Graeco-Latin gloss "βουτραγος boisos," though this could just as easily have arisen from a misreading of bubalus, written as buualus of the gloss, "uri uituli agrestes quos bubalos uocant." In any case the word is a ghost word.

The same buualus or boisos produced βόνασος, βόλινθος of Pseudo-Aristotle, bonassus of Pliny. But visuntus, under the influence of the Bistones of Thrace, led to Lat. bisontes, Gr. βίσοντες. However, Gr. βόλινθος may have similarly arisen from visuntus or from a confusion of the two. The OHGerman glosses read "bubalus uuisunt, uuisant, wisint, wisent," but the Lex Alamannorum has "si quis vesontum (visontum, bisontum, bissontum, bisantum. bissontem. bisentum. bisitonem, bisonem) bubalum, si cervum, quod brugit. furaverit."4 This law is merely a literary effort on the Latin glosses "cervus bardit rugit ἔλαφος κράζει,"5 and "bubalus bos silvester." Vesontus and bubalus are not two animals, but one and the same, as is evidenced by the constant glosses "bubalus wisunt," and so the origin of the law from glosses is made clear.

In Dio Cassius LXXVI. 1.5 we have «βίσωνες (βοών τι τοῦτο είδος, βαοβαρικόν τὸ γένος καὶ τὴν ὄψιν).»

¹ Ibid., p. 801.

² Goetz, vol. III, p. 18. ³ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, pp. 273, 366, 368.

⁴ MGH., Leges, sect. I, vol. V¹, p. 28. ⁵ Goetz, vol. II, p. 294.

This refers to a spectacle in the arena in the year 202. I have already dealt with some interpolations in Dio Cassius.¹ Unfortunately we have no variant readings for this particular passage, and the fact that Zonaras does not quote from Dio Cassius concerning the bison, although he quotes verbatim the passages preceding it and following it, cannot be adduced as a proof of the absence of the quotation in the real Dio Cassius, as the passage omitted is too long to have been entirely absent from the original draft. But the explanation of the meaning of βίσων, and of βίσων alone, and the extremely questionable statement, «βαρβαρικὸν τὸ γένος καὶ τὴν ὄψιν,» show that at least the bison is interpolated here.

Timotheus of Gaza, writing in the XII. century, says «ὅτι ἐστὶ βοῦς βίσων καλούμενος, ὅς ἔχει τὴν γλῶσσαν ὡς σαρκοφάγον ἔστι δὲ ἀπὸ Βιστωνίδος γῆς».² It is assumed that this is an abbreviated statement of Oppian's account of the bison, but the reference to the meat eating of the bison is taken from the account of the meat eating bull of Aethiopia, as quoted in Strabo from Artemidorus, «καὶ ταῦροι δ' εἰσὶν ἄγριοι καὶ σαρκοφάγοι, μεγέθει πολὺ τοὺς παρ' ἡμῖν ὑπερδεβλημένοι καὶ τάχει, πυρροὶ τὴν χρόαν."³

In Pliny we find the statement that the Greeks did not mention the *urus* and *bison* in their medical works, "nec *uros* aut *bisontes* habuerunt Graeci in experimentis, quamquam bove fero refertis Indiae silvis." This statement is correct, but it is equally correct for any period up to the VIII. century, and so may have been made much later. In another place in Pliny we read, "paucissima Scythia gignit inopia fruticum, pauca contermina illi Germania, insignia tamen boum

² Hermes, vol. III, p. 18. ³ XVI. 4. 16.

¹ See my Contributions, vol. III, pp. 69, 162.

^{*}XXVIII. 159.

ferorum genera, iubatos bisontes excellentique et vi et velocitate uros, quibus inperitum volgus bubalorum nomen inponit, cum id gignat Africa vituli potius cervique quadam similitudine." It has already been shown that this is an interpolation. Here it is interesting to observe that "uri uituli agrestes quos bubalos uocant" of the Liber glossarum arose out of "uros, quibus inperitum volgus bubalorum nomen inponit, cum id gignat Africa vituli potius cervique quadam similitudine." Of course, the statement is impossible for Pliny, since bubalus was extremely common in Rome in his time and earlier, by the side of bubulus, as an adjective referring to the common cattle. It was only the Bible which introduced bubalus as a noun for cattle in the general sense. The transference to an Egyptian animal was only accidental, through the occurrence of the word in the Lausiac History. It was only at the end of the VI. century that, according to Paulus Diaconus, the Asiatic buffalo was seen in Europe, where it was named bubalus, buvalus, bufalus. Hence the statement in Pliny is impossible before the VII. century.

It is extremely doubtful that Gr. βούβαλις for "gazelle" is genuine in the works in which it occurs. In Herodotus IV. 192, «πύγαργοι, καὶ ζορκάδες, καὶ βουβάλιες,» the latter may be an interpolation, due to the juxtaposition in the Septuagint. The references to βούβαλις in Arcadius and Hesychius are of no avail, because they are late, and the latter is interpolated. Eustathius, in his scholia to Homer, says: «λέγει δὲ καὶ ὅτι κατὰ τὴν δάμαλιν Σοφοκλῆς ἔφη γηγενῆ βούβαλιν καὶ Αἰσχύλος, λεοντοχόρταν βούβαλιν νεαίτερον».² But the gloss is of the XII. century and no other author records these passages from Sophocles and

¹ VIII. 38.

² P. 1625. 43 f.

Aeschylus, and otherwise there is no trace of such a word in the classic authors. In Aristotle βούδαλος occurs, apparently for "antelope," a line before the doubtful βόνασος is mentioned, and βουβαλίς is mentioned by the side of ἔλαφος and πρόξ, apparently again as "antelope;" but the question is whether this is more genuine than βούβαλος in Aristotle. In the Latin writers only the spurious Ammianus has "in aridis (Aegypti) capreoli vescuntur et bubali," where bubali seems to refer to antelopes; but this brings us back to the grazing ox of the Lausiac History. It is most likely, therefore, that Gr. βούβαλις is due to (in) bubalis of Amos VI. 13.

The bison is mentioned in Seneca's Phaedra, 64-5: "tibi villosi terga bisontes | latisque feri cornibus uri." For the latter word a series of supposedly interpolated MSS. read tauri. A few lines below this spot several lines are disarranged, which would indicate some tampering in its vicinity. It is impossible to say what stood in the place of bisontes. The interpolations or forgeries of Martial are pointed out elsewhere.4 Here we read the line, "turpes esseda quod trahunt bisontes,"5 although we are informed everywhere that the bison could not be tamed. Again we have "nec rasum cavea latus bisontis,"6 where it is not at all clear why the bison's side should be shaven or bare, when the usual epithet of the animal is "villosus." In his De spectaculis⁷ we have "illi cessit atrox bubalus atque bison," which makes matters rather worse, since so far we have found bubalus only as an ox or a gazelle, but that only in Christian times. The adjective "atrox"

¹ P. 663.

² Pp. 515, 516. ³ XXII. 15. 14. ⁴ See p. 200 ff. ⁵ I. 104. 8. ⁶ IX. 57. 10. ⁷ XXIII. 4.

is inapplicable, except as an extension of "silvester" or "agrestis" of patristic literature. Again, if a bubalus and a biso are two distinct animals, we have to add to "urus" and "bison" an unknown third. There can be little doubt that in these poems we have VIII. century forgeries. Pausanias calls the Paeonian bull β (σ oν, which is again impossible, since the Paeonian bull was certainly not the bison, as we have learned from Athenaeus. Hence the least we can say of the

passage is that βίσων is interpolated.

We can now turn to the wretched forgeries of Oppian, where the bison is mentioned. With brazen effrontery the Κυνηγετικά and the 'A λιευτικά are dedicated to the Antonines, whence it has been argued that they belong to the end of the II. and the beginning of the III. century. The antiquity of the 'A λιευτικά has been further accepted, because of its mention in Jerome's Commentary to Ezechiel, chap. XLVII: "Et plurimae species, immo genera piscium erunt in mari quondam mortuo. Quos pisces ad dexteram partem jubente Domino extraxit Petrus, et erant centum quinquaginta tres: ita ut prae multitudine eorum retia rumperentur. (Aiunt autem qui de animantium scripsere naturis et proprietate, [qui ἀλιευτικὰ tam Latino, quam Graeco didicere sermone, de quibus Oppianus Cilix est, poeta doctissimus,] centum quinquaginta tria esse genera piscium quae omnia capta sunt ab apostolis, et nihil remansit incaptum, dum et nobiles et ignobiles, divites et pauperes, et omne genus hominum de mari hujus saeculi extrahitur ad salutem.) Quod autem sequitur."3 It is impossible for Jerome to have used such Latinity as is given by me within brackets, and it may be that all the matter within the parentheses is spurious. Indeed, in Rabanus Maurus' version of the same

¹ X. 13. 1-3.

² G. Bürner, Oppian und sein Lehrgedicht vom Fischfang, Bamberg 1912. ³ Migne, P. L., vol. XXV, col. 474.

passage, "de quibus Oppianus Cilix est, poeta doctissimus" is placed in parentheses. similar Α interpolation is observable in Athenaeus, I. 22: «ούτω καὶ ταύτην τὴν τέχνην ἀκοιβοῖ μᾶλλον τῶν τοιαῦτα ποοηγουμένως έκδεδωκότων ποιήματα ή συγγράμματα. Καικίλιον λέγω τὸν 'Αργεῖον καὶ Νουμήνιον τὸν Ἡρακλεώτην, Παγκράτην τὸν ᾿Αρκάδα, Ποσειδώνιον τὸν Κορίνθιον, [καὶ τὸν ὀλίγω πρὸ ἡμῶν γενόμενον 'Οππιανὸν τὸν Κίλικα.] τοσούτοις γὰρ ἐνετύχομεν ἐποποιοῖς Αλιευτικὰ γεγοαφόσι.» Here «καὶ τὸν ὅλίγω ποὸ ἡμῶν γενόμενον 'Οππιανὸν τὸν Κίλικα» is certainly spurious. the year 174 we have, in Jerome's translation of the Chronicle of Eusebius, "Oppianus Cilix poeta cognoscitur, qui Halieutica miro splendore conscribit," while Syncellus, in the IX, century, says, under the year 165. "M. Aurelio Antonino imperante . . . Oppianus poeta." It has long been observed that these statements are impossible, since the interpolators have mixed up Antoninus Caracalla with Marcus Aurelius. All these interpolations are due to the primary interpolation of the story in the Historia tripartita, where it is given in the introduction as being from an address of Sozomenus to Theodosius the Younger, whence it found its way as a preface to the Ecclesiastic History of Sozomenus. 4 where we read: «Οίον δὲ σεαυτὸν περὶ τοὺς λέγοντας παρέχεις, οὐ τοιοῦτοι Κοητῶν οἱ πάλαι ἐγένοντο περί τὸν ἀρίδιμον ἐχεῖνον "Ομηρον, ἢ 'Αλευάδαι περί Σιμωνίδην, η Διονύσιος δ Σικελίας τύραννος Πλάτωνα τὸν Σωκράτους έταῖρον, ἢ Φίλιππος ὁ Μακεδών περί Θεόπομπον τὸν συγγραφέα, καὶ Σευῆρος ὁ Καῖσαρ περί 'Οππιανόν, τὸν ἐν τοῖς μέτροις τῶν ἰχθύων τὰ γένη καὶ τὴν φύσιν καὶ τὴν θήραν ἀφηγησάμενον. Κρῆτες μὲν γὰρ ἐν

¹ Ibid., vol. XXVII, col. 629. ² Ibid., P. G., vol. CVIII, col. 1199. ³ See my Contributions, vol. III, p. 30 ff., where it is shown that the Historia tripartita is interpolated. 4 Migne, P. G., vol. LXVII, col. 843 ff.

χιλίοις νομίσμασιν "Ομηφον ἀμειψάμενοι τῆς εὐεπείας, ὡς ἀνυπέφβλητον φιλοτιμίαν αὐχοῦντες, ἐν στήλη δημοσία τὴν δωφεὰν ἐγράψαντο· 'Αλευάδαι δὲ καὶ Διονύσιος καὶ Φίλιππος, οὐκ ἀν στεγανώτεφοι Κρητῶν ἐγένοντο· τῶν ἐπὶ πολιτεία ἀτύφω καὶ φιλοσόφω σεμνυνομένων· ἀλλὰ τάχος ἀν τὴν ἐκείνων στήλην ἐμιμήσαντο, εἰ μὴ κατόπιν ἦσαν τῆ δωφεᾶ. Σευῆφος δὲ μετρίας ποιήσεως χρυσοῦν κατὰ στίχον 'Οππιανῷ δωρησάμενος, οὕτω τῆ φιλοτιμία κατέπληξεν, ὡς χρυσᾶ ἔπη τὰ 'Οππιανοῦ εἰς ἔτι νῦν παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς ὀνομάζεσθαι».¹

If, in spite of this, there was an Oppian who wrote the K $\upsilon \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \iota \varkappa \acute{\alpha}$ and another Oppian who wrote the 'A $\lambda \iota \epsilon \upsilon \tau \iota \varkappa \acute{\alpha}$ in the beginning of the III. century, the works which have come down to us are VIII. century forgeries, as will now be shown.

In the Kuvhyettix \acute{a} we read of the wild oxen called bistones, so called from Bistonis in Thrace. They shake their shaggy manes about their fat necks and soft chins, just like yellow royal lions. Their sharp hook-like horns do not incline against each other as in other animals, but the bloody goads supinely look up to heaven. Their tongues are narrow and very rough, like an iron file, and they draw blood from the skin, which they lick.

«Έστιν ἀμαιμάχετον φονίοις ταύφοισι γένεθλον, | τοὺς καλέουσι Βίστωνας, ἐπεὶ πάτρης τελέθουσι | Βιστονίδος Θρήκης, ἀτὰρ ἔλλαχον εἴδεα τοῖα: | φρικαλέην χαίτην μὲν ἐπωμαδὸν αἰθύσσουσιν | αὐχέσι πιαλέοισι καὶ ἀμφ' ἀταλοῖσι γενείοις, | οἶά τε λαχνήεντες, ἀριπρεπὲς εἶδος ἔχουσι | ξανθοκόμοι. βλοσυροὶ, θηρῶν μεδέοντε Λέοντες: | ὀξεῖαι κεράων δὲ πυριγλωχῖνες ἀκωκαὶ, | χαλκείοις γναμπτοῖσιν ἐπείκελοι ἀγκίστροισιν: | ἀλλ' οὐχ ὡς ἑτέροισιν ἐναντίον ἀλλήλοισι | νεύουσι στυγερῶν κεράων ἐπικάρσιον αἰχμήν: | ὕπτια δ' εἰσορόωντα πρὸς αἰθέρα φοίνια κέντρα: | τοὔνεκεν ὁππότε

¹ Ibid., col. 845 f.

κέν τιν' ἐπιχοίμψωσι κιχόντες | ἢ βοτὸν, ἤ τινα θῆρα, μετήσουν ἀείρουσι· γλῶσσα δὲ τοῖς στεινὴ μὲν, ἀτὰρ τρηχεῖα μάλιστα, |οἶα σιδηροβόροιο πέλει τέχνασμα σιδήρου· | γλώσση δ' αίμάσσοντες ἀπὸ χρόα λιχμάζουσι».¹

I have already shown that the rough tongue of the bison is borrowed from Strabo's sarcophagous Ethiopian bull. But the turned-back horns are due to the same confusion which produced camurus in the Georgica, and hence is post-Arabic. The Arab. $g\bar{a}m\bar{u}s$ is in the $K v v \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \iota \gamma \acute{a}$ split up into three animals, the second being the εὐουκέρως, which corresponds to the platyceros of the glosses, the third being, as before, the bubalus. The bubalus is smaller than the εὐουκέρως. It, too, has horns which are turned back, and loves its home inordinately. If it is taken a distance away, it ultimately finds its way back home.

«Βούβαλος αὖτε πέλει μείων δέμας Εὐουπέρωτος· | μείων Εὐουπέρωτος, ἀτὰρ Δόρπου μέγ' ἀρείων· | ὅμμασιν αἰγλήεις, ἐρατὸς πρόα, φαιδρὸς ἰδέσθαι, | καὶ περάων ὀρθοὶ μὲν ἀπὸ πρατὸς πεφύασι | ἀπρέμονες προτενεῖς, ὑψοῦ δ' αὖθις ποτὶ νῶτον | ἄψορρον νεύουσι πάλιγνάμπτοισιν ἀπωπαῖς. | ἔξοχα δ' αὖ τόδε φῦλον ἐὸν δόμον ἀμφαγαπάζει | ἡθαλέας τ' εὐνὰς φίλιόν τε νάπαισι μέλαθρον· εἰ δέ τέ μιν στρεπτῆσι πεδήσαντες βροχίδεσσιν | ἀγρευτῆρες ἄγοιεν ἐπ' ἄλλους αὐτίπα χώρους, | τηλόθι δ' ἐν βήσσησιν ἐλεύθερον αὖθι λίποιεν, | ρεῖα ποτὶ γλυπερὸν δόμον ἤλυθεν, ਜχι ναίεσπεν, | οὐδ' ἔτλη ξεῖνός τις ἐπ' ἀλλοδαποῖσιν ἀλᾶσθαι· | οὐπ ἄρα τοι μούνοισι φίλη πάτρη μερόπεσσι· | καὶ βαλίων δὲ πόθος τις ἐνέσταπται φρεσὶ θηρῶν».³

The description of the $\beta o \acute{v} \delta \alpha \lambda o \varsigma$ even more closely resembles the Arabic $\acute{g} \bar{a} m \bar{u} s$, for of this Ad-Damīrī says: "It is sagacious, for if the pastor calls out to one of the she-buffaloes, 'O such a one,' the one that

¹ II. 159–175.

² See p. 78. ³ II. 300-314.

is called comes to him. It is a part of its nature to yearn much for its native place, and it is said that it never sleeps at all, owing to its great watchfulness on its own account and that of its young ones."1 clear that Oppian could not have written about the βούβαλος before the Arab. ģāmūs found its way into the Physiologus. Similarly, the anthologs of the Physiologus becomes the βουβαλίς in the 'A λιευτικά:

"The Lybian Buffal thus, while o'er his Eyes The Shrubs entwine their gloomy Shade, defies The Lion's stern Approach; with Head reclin'd Stupid he stands, and hopes th' Invader blind In his own Want of Sight: the royal Beast Leaps on his Prey, and tears the bloody Feast. He thrusts his Forehead deeper in the Brake. And ev'n in Death approves the gross Mistake."2

Here, again, the evolution of the βουδαλίς, out of the Esopic stag, into an animal that gets caught in the branches, because it likes to play there,3 shows conclusively that the 'A λιευτικά, as well as the Κυνηγετικά, was based on VIII. century sources. There is no escape from the conclusion that Oppian is a myth, even though fragments of this work are already recorded in an Egyptian papyrus.⁴ B. P. Grenfell⁵ says that it is "a fourth century fragment," but W. Schubart more cautiously says, "etwa aus dem 4. Jahrhundert," and the tendency towards a cursive indicates a much later time.

¹ Op. cit., vol. I, p. 399. ¹ Op. ctl., vol. 1, p. 399.

² J. Jones, Oppian's Halieuticks of the Nature of Fishes and Fishing of the Ancients, Oxford 1722, Part II, IV. 771-778; in the original, IV. 624-629.

³ «Υῆς δ' ἦτος ὁμοίτον, οὐδὲ κάρηνον| ἀγκλίνει, δοκέτι δὲ καὶ ὀλλυμένη πες ἀλύξαι,» IV. 628 f.

⁴ Berliner Klassikertexte, vol. V,¹ p. 80 f.

⁵ Journal of Hellenic Studies, vol. XXXIX, p. 23.

XII. THE PHILOLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE PEARL.

There is a root muk or mun in the Dravidian languages meaning "before, in front of," which is of extraordinary dissemination in the Asiatic and European languages, and is sporadically found in the Indo-European and Semitic languages. We have Kan. muk "the front, the nose," mūgu "the nose, forepart, snout, bill or beak, nozzle of a vessel," mun "that which is before, in front of, or preceding in space or time, that which is following," mundu "the front part or side, the state of being in front or anything that is behind, following, succeeding, the state of being future," munna "the front, in front, before, formerly, previously, first of all, prior to, following, henceforth, after," mone "a point, an extremity. an end, the state of being before or preceding, an affray, fight, battle," monne "the day before yesterday, lately," muń "that which is before, in front of," muńkanisu "to advance, proceed, stretch forth," muńču "a man of the front, chief, leader, to be or go before or first, go beyond, exceed, outdo, surpass, excel," muńce "in advance, in the first place, previously, formerly, first, before, earlier than," mum "that which is before, at a previous time," mumbu "the forepart, vanguard, the state of being previous," musudu, musuli, mūti, mōri "the face, mouth, snout, muzzle, the nose or nostrils of a horse," mū "the nose," modal "the state of being first, in front, prior, the root, base, extremity, tip;" Tam. mutal "the first, beginning, to become first," mutir "to grow old, become mature, exceed, excel, surpass," mutu "old, ancient, original,"

muntu "to take precedence, be prior in time, origin, dignity, rank, to meet, come in front," munta "previously, formerly, first," mun "before, antecedent, previous, beyond, future, side, antiquity;" Malayal. mu "before, in front, chief," mūkka "to grow old, ripen, culminate," mūkku "the nose," muńńi "the face," mudal "the beginning, chief person, cause, since, from," mudiruga "to grow up, become mature," mudu "old, prior, stronger upper part of animals, the back." muna "a sharp point, promontory," muni "going before, excited," mun "priority in space or time, first, former, before;" Tul. mum "before, in front," mungei "the fore arm, elbow," mundana "priority, first, prior, future," mūku "the nose, bill, beak," mūke "a man who snuffles or speaks through the nose," muganu "the front," muppu "old, aged," mūla "a root, origin, commencement, main, principal," munda "the front, before, in former times, in future," mutte "the beak, bill, snout, kernel of a nut," muduru "to be mature, arrive at the period of full growth."

This basic root is represented in Polynesian mua, Maor. mua "the front, forepart, former time, origin," Sam. mua "the first, the shout of victory," Tah. mua "first, foremost, before, headquarters of the chiefs, sacred places," omua "a leader," muraa "before, in former time," etc. It is found in Japanese: mukaba "the front teeth," mukaeru "to go out to meet," mukai "the opposite place," mukamomo "the front of the thigh," mukashi "ancient, old," mukau "to face, front, stand opposite," muki "the direction or frontage, fitness, suitableness," muku "to turn the face towards, to be fit or suitable, being pure or unalloyed."

Chin. mien "the face, countenance, front, plane, surface," muh "the eye, chief," are unquestionably both derived from the same root, which is represented in Chin. moh, old pronunciation mah, "the tip of a

branch, end, last." This is shown in Annamese mat, which, with varying accents, means "the tip of a branch, face, eye," each of them formed with the same determinative of pronunciation. This is shown still better in the Malayo-Polynesian languages, where mata "a point, extremity" combines all these meanings:1 Sam. mata "the eye, face, point, edge, source," Fiji mata "the eye, face, front," etc.2

In the Tatar languages we have the group $ma\tilde{n}$, meñ "in front, above, first," such as Yak. mañnai "first beginning," Čag. mañlai "front part of body, brow," Kir. manap "chief, old man," etc. But in most cases the root in Tatar has passed over to a form bur, Cag. borun "at first," borun, burun, murun "protruding part, nose, promontory," Osm. burun "nose, promontory," Yak. barin "some time ago," murun "nose." That the Ugro-Finnish languages in Europe originally had a form mur or muk for this is shown by Basque moko "beak, point, extremity," which is preserved in Fin. nokka "beak, nose, snout, front of a thing."

In the Indo-European languages it is only Sanskrit which has a full set of derivatives from this root, while the words get more and more scarce as we proceed westwards, which would indicate that the original Indo-European language had no such root, and that it is borrowed from the neighboring tongues. Sansk. mukha "mouth, face, beak of a bird, snout or muzzle of any animal, direction, looking towards, facing, forepart, head, top, chief, best, most excellent," is clearly derived from Drav. muk. There can be little

4 Ibid., p. 200. ⁵ R. Cruel (Die Sprachen und Völker Europas vor der arischen Einwanderung, Detmold 1883, p. 33) long ago observed that the original meaning of this word was "something protruding."

¹ This connection between Polynesian mata and muka was already suggested by E. Tregear, The Maori-Polynesian Comparative Dictionary, Wellington, N. Z., 1891, p. 257, at the end of mua.

² See the enormous mass of related words in Tregear, op. cit., p. 220 ff.

³ H. Vámbéry, op. cit., p. 214.

doubt that municati "to loose, let go, set free, emit, discharge" is similarly derived from the Dravidian root, even as Lat. mungere cannot be separated from mucus, and this from Gr. μυχτής "nose, nostril." In the Avesta the "nose" word is completely lacking, through we have paitimaoc "to put on," from which it follows again that Sansk. mukha is an innovation. The few Greek and Latin "nose" words of this type are to be explained as borrowings from European non-Arian languages.

It is not my purpose to give an exhaustive account of this interesting group, which would need a separate volume, but only so much of it as is necessary in order to establish the universality of the basic muk words, in their primitive sense of "in front." We can now pass over to the Semitic languages, where it will be observed that only in the neighborhood of the Dravidians, that is, in Assyria, do we have the full contents of the "in front" words, while at the periphery only fragments of them subsist, once more bearing proof to the Asiatic origin of the group.

Prak. muhallao, Hind. muhrā, mohra "the front, van," as well as the Dravidian Gondi massor "nose," indicate that a derivative stem of muk also existed. This is shown in the Assyrian borrowing from a Hindu or Dravidian word, namely maḥru "front, before, former time," maḥrū "at the head, first, former," maḥāru "to be opposite, equal, to meet, implore, accept, be at the head," maḥīru "price," miḥirtu "opposite, front," miḥru "fitting, corresponding." The other Semitic languages have but the bare skeletons of this set, Syr. The mahar "be taught," for mahar "to hasten away, obtain by paying the price," nahar "mohar "price paid for a bride," Arab. mahara

"he gave the woman a dowry," # mahr "dowry,

nuptial gift."

From the idea of "opposite," which evolves out of "in front," we get the meaning "reflection, print, seal." This is found in Pers. muhr, muhur "a seal, a mark branded on cattle" and muhra "a kind of small shell resembling pearls, concha veneris, glass beads, shell used for giving a smoothness and glossiness to paper, an iron or bone polishing instrument." This has changed into Sansk. mudrā "seal, type, token, image," which has reentered into Dravidian and other languages.

This shows that for the idea "pearl" we may expect a root which originally means "in front," then "excelling, shining." Therefore the Dravidian "pearl" words, Kan. muttu, Tam. mutta, muttu, etc., are certainly derived from the "in front" root, which, for the specific purpose, was muhr, or something like it, as evidenced by the Persian. Indeed, we have Malayal. muru "oyster," in which the original form seems to be preserved. The Sanskrit has a form, very much like the Dravidian, mutya; but by far more popular, it seems, from the fifth century A. D. on, was mukta, as though it meant "the liberated, separated one."

The Sanskrit name of the pearl oyster is muktāgāra, from muktā "pearl" and agāra "abode." Sansk. agāra is late and rare, and the origin of the word is unknown. It is unquestionably of Dravidian origin, where we have some gar- words for "abode," such as Kan. garuḍi, garaḍi "abode, place or resort," Tul. garāḍi "veranda, shrine," also Marathi gharaṭā "bird's nest, house-site, a hole in which a body might lodge." All these are already represented in Vedic karta, later garta "hole, cavity," Marathi khaļagā, and a large number of other words in the Dravidian languages, all of which ultimately go back to Kan. agarte, agate, agate "digging,

ditch," and this from a root agi, age "to dig, make a hole in the ground." There can be little doubt, therefore, that Gr. μάργαρος represents a Hindu compound, of which the first part means "pearl," the second, "shell." The precise Hindu vernacular from which the Greeks derived the word is not ascertainable, but it must have been one on the west coast of India, in the neighborhood of the pearl fisheries in the Persian Gulf.

In Greek there are recorded the forms μάργαρος, μάργαρον, μάργαρα, μαργαρίτης, μαργαρίς, while the Latin has only margarita. The Syriac has formed, from the Greek, בּוֹרְנָּיִרָא margalīṭā, בֹּוֹרְנָּיִרָא margāliṭā, שׁוֹרְנָּיִרָא margāliṭa, while the Talmud has בֹּוְרָנִירָא margāliṭ, שׁוֹרְנָּיִרָא margānīṭā, which have also the meaning "jewel, diamond," to which must also be added בֹּוְרָנִיִּרְא margālīṭ, בֹּוֹרָנִיִּרְיִּ margālīṭ margālīṭ, בּוֹרְנִיִּרִי margālīṭ margālīṭ margālīṭ margālīṭ comes Arab. בּוֹרְנִּלִים margān, also murġān, which later acquired the meaning "coral."

The universality of margarita is due exclusively to the Graeco-Roman rule in Palestine and Syria, for the Semitic languages possess a number of terms for the pearl, some of them of great antiquity. Thus the Arabs have عبوهر ألا لو لو لو lu'lu', بوهر jauhar. The latter is of interest to us, since it produced the "jewel" words in the European languages. It is not an old word, since it is derived from Pers. gōhar "jewel, matter, origin," which is itself from Pehl. gōhr, and this from Sansk. gótra "origin, race." In Ingulfus we find for the first time LLat. jocalia "jewel," and this is unquestionably for jocaria from Arab. equals gauhar.

114 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

Another word for "jewel, pearl" was introduced into Spain by the Arabs, but has entirely disappeared from the Arabic language. We have in Coptic kašabel "earring, pearl, brass ornament." What it really was, appears from a comparison of the word in several Semitic languages. We have Arab. حشل hašl "an egg with the contents removed," and محشل muḥaššal "adorned with a necklace," which presupposes a meaning "bell-shaped trinket" for مشل hašl. Indeed, we have Syr. hešlįta "an ornament from a beaten metal, a woman's ornament," in modern Syriac "iewelry." and Talm. אַשָּׁל hašal "to hammer." This is preserved in Span. cascabel "sleigh bell, small round brass bell, with a little clapper inside." Copt. kašabel indicates an Arabic plural حشول hašwal, from حشول hašl, but the Coptic is not a borrowing from the Arabic. It is a reminiscence of Egyp. hsmn "electrum," which is found in the Bible as מְשָׁמֵל hašmal. In Svriac this became confused with the root which is already found in Assyrian as hašālu "to crush," hence in Svriac it assumed the meaning "to hammer metal." For the same reason the Arabic word which produced Span. cascabel was merged into the verb حشل hašl "to reject as base and worthless."

It is still necessary to show that Eng. pearl is derived

from Lat. beryllus.

"Beryls, it is thought," says Pliny, "are of the same nature as the smaragdus, or at least closely analogous. India produces them, and they are rarely to be found elsewhere. The lapidaries cut all beryls of an hex-

¹ A. Erman, Das Metall hsmn, in Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und lAterthumskunde, vol. XXX, p. 31 ff.

agonal form; because the colour, which is deadened by a full uniformity of surface, is heightened by the reflection resulting from the angles. If they are cut in any other way, these stones have no brilliancy whatever. The most esteemed beryls are those which in colour resemble the pure green of the sea. . . In addition to the defects already mentioned, and which are pretty nearly the same as those to which the smaragdus is subject, beryls are affected with cloudy spots, like those on the finger-nails in appearance." The defects of the smaragdus are described as follows: "It will be only proper, too, seeing that the prices of these stones are so exorbitant, to point out their defects. Some defects, no doubt, are common to all of them, while others, again, like those found in the human race, are peculiar only to those of a certain country. Thus, for example, the stones of Cyprus are not all green alike, and in the same smaragdus some parts are more or less so than others, the stone not always preserving that uniform deep tint which characterizes the smaragdus of Scythia. In other instances, a shadow runs through the stone, and the colour becomes dulled thereby; the consequence of which is, that its value is depreciated; and even more so, when the colour is thin and diluted.

"In consequence of the defects in these stones, they have been divided into several classes. Some of them are obscure, and are then known as 'blind' stones; some have a certain density, which impairs their transparency; others, again, are mottled, and others covered with a cloud. This cloud, however, is alto-

¹ Bostock and Riley's translation. "Eandem multis naturam aut certe similem habere berulli videntur. India eos gignit, raro alibi repertos. Poliuntur omnes sexangula figura artificum ingeniis, quoniam hebes unitate surda color repercussu angulorum excitetur. Aliter politi non habent fulgorem. Probatissimi ex iis sunt qui viriditatem maris puri imitantur.

Vitia praeter iam dicta eadem fere, quae in smaragdis, et pterygia," XXXVII. 76. 79.

gether different from the shadow above mentioned; for it is a defect which renders the stone of a whitish hue, and not of a transparent green throughout; presenting, as it does, in the interior or upon the surface, a certain degree of whiteness which arrests the vision. Other defects, again, in these stones, are filaments, salt-like grains, or traces of lead ore, faults which are mostly common to them all.

"Next after the kinds above described, the smaragdus of Aethiopia is held in high esteem; being found, as Juba tells us, at a distance of twenty-five days' journey from Coptos. These are of a bright green, but are seldom to be met with perfectly clear or of an uniform colour. Democritus includes in this class the stones that are known as 'herminei,' and as 'Persian' stones: the former of which are of a convex. massive shape, while the latter are destitute of transparency, but have an agreeable, uniform colour, and satisfy the vision without allowing it to penetrate them; strongly resembling, in this respect, the eyes of cats and of panthers, which are radiant without being diaphanous. In the sun, he says, they lose their brilliancy, but they are radiant in the shade, the brightness of them being seen at a greater distance than in the case of other stones. One other fault, too, in all these stones is, that they often have a colour like that of honey or rancid oil, or else are clear and transparent, but not green."1

All the later writers quote Pliny and elaborate upon him.² From all the sources it becomes clear that the

¹ XXXVII. 67-70, in Bostock and Riley's translation.

² "Beryllos in sexángulas formas Indi atterunt, ut hebetem coloris lenitatem angulorum repercussu excitent ad vigorem. Beryllorum genus dividitur in speciem multifariam: eximii intervirente glauci et caeruli temperamento quandam praeferunt puri maris gratiam," Solinus, LII. 61. "Beryllium lapis glauci, id est caesii, coloris est, marinae tincturae similis et aeris, amethysti et paederotis habens speciem et aquatioris, id est albidioris, hyacinthi. Fit autem ad ima montis, qui uocatur Taurus. Si quis autem uoluerit hunc ex aduerso solis opponere, uidetur ueluti uitrei minuta intrin-

beryl, like the emerald, was considered only second to pearls in value,¹ that it was chiefly esteemed for its sea-green brilliancy, that in its natural state it was "blind," that is, did not reflect the sun's rays, and that the eyes of certain wild animals, such as the panther, emitted a bright light not unlike that of the beryl.

The Greeks employed the word βήουλλος for a gem which evidently was identical with the beryllus of the Latin writers, and it has been assumed that it represents Sansk. vaidurya, or, more correctly, Pali veluriya.² Although Böhtlingk gives the meaning of beryl for vaidurya, other Sanskrit dictionaries identify it with the lapis-lazuli, and this is the usual rendering for the

secus habere perlucida. Alia autem beryllus pupillis oculorum draconis est similis. Alia rursus est beryllus ueluti coralli speciem magis exhibens. Haec autem beryllus iuxta uiam Eufratae fluminis uisa est," Epiphanius, De XII gemmis rationalis, in CSEL., vol. XXXV, p. 755. "Beryllus est, quasi consideres aquam solis fulgore percussam rubicundum ac decorum reddere colorem: sed non fulget nisi in sexangulam formam poliendo figuretur. Repercussu enim angulorum splendor illius acuitur. Significat antem homines quidem ingenio sagaces, sed amplius supernae gratiae lumine refulgentes," Rabanus Maurus, De universo, in Migne, P. L., vol. CXI, col. 468. "Conspicuos reddit sexangula forma beryllos. | Qui nisi fiat hebes, his pallor inesse videtur. | Eximios oleo similes lymphaeve marinae | Esse volunt, et eos probat horum gnara vetustas. | Hic lapis ad nostras partes descendit ab Indis. | Hic est conjugii gestare refertur amorem, | Et se portantem perhibetur magnificare. | Dicitur et sese stringentis adurere dextram. | Infirmis oculis in qua jacet unda medetur, | Potaque ructatus simul et suspiria tollit. | Hepatis et cunctos fertur curare dolores. | Istius esse novem species voluere magistri," Marbodus, ibid., vol. CLXXI, col. 1747. "Beryllus lucet quasi aqua sole percussa, et calefacit manum tenentis," ibid., col. 1774. "Beryllus lapis est magnus et lucidus. Sculpe in eo locustam marinam et sub pedibus ejus corniciam, et sub gemma pone herbinam modico auro inclusam consecrata gestato esse malorum omnum victorem facit, et ad oculorum vitia omnem valetudinem tribut. Invenitur in India similis Smaragdo, sed cum pallore," ibid., col. 1775. "Beryllus in India gignitur, gentis suae lingua nomen habens, viriditate similis smaragdo, sed cum pallore. Politur autem ab Indis in sexangulas formas, ut hebetudo coloris repercussu angulorum excitetur; aliter politus non habet fulgorem," Isidore, Etymologiae, XVI. 7. 5.

^{1 &}quot;Next in esteem with us are the pearls of India and Arabia. . . The third rank, for many reasons, has been given to the smaragdus," "proximum apud nos Indicis Arabicisque margaritis pretium est. . tertia auctoritas smaragdis perhibetur pluribus de causis," Pliny, XXXVII. 62.

² O. Franke, Beziehungen der Inder zum Westen, in Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XLVII, p. 600.

allied words in the vernaculars of India. We have Marathi vaidugra, Hindustani vaidūrya, Singhalese weļūriya, Canarese vaidūrya, vāyaja; but most interesting is Gujarati rājāvaral, obviously a compound of varal and rāja, hence "King-beryl," because it lies at the foundation of Behar lajhurud, Pers. lajwārd, which, in its turn, produced Arab. alazward, from which ultimately comes our lapis-lazuli.

Vaidurya obviously was the name of a number of gems, but more especially of the lapis-lazuli. Even as in India the beryl, or at least the word for it, was confounded with the lapis-lazuli, so the beryl in the West designated a variety of gems. In Syriac المُحَدُّ berūlhā means belūrā refers to the beryl, but عَالِيَ berūlhā means "pearl, crystal, gemma alba splendida." Similarly, the Chaldaic has جَارِجُ عَارِجُ اللهِ عَلَيْهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهُ

In the early Mediaeval Latin glosses the beryl is conceived merely as a kind of shining or white stone:

Berillus genus lapidis, Vat. 3321.

Berolus genus gemmae, berillus saxi candidi genus, Aff. Berulus genus gemme, birillus tantum ut aqua splendet, Ampl. I.

Berillus genus lapidis canditi, Sang. 912.

Berillus genus lapidi candidi, Amb.

Berillus genus lapidis candidi, Ab. maj.

Berulus genus saxi candidi, Ampl. II.

Berillus lapis tantum ut aqua splendit, Abac.

Byrillus tamen ut aqua resplendit, Leyd.

Birillus ut aqua splendet, berulus geminae genus, Cant. Coll. Corp. Chr.

The reference to the beryl's shining like water is, of course, taken from Pliny, "probatissimi ex iis sunt qui viriditatem maris puri imitantur," while its being like a white stone has evolved from the idea of its brilliancy. No definite idea can be formed from these glosses as to which gem was really meant by the word. The Keronian and Hrabanian glosses repeat the Latin definition.

Berillus genus saxi candidi (Steinmeyer and Sievers, vol. I, p. 54), which is identical with the definition for the crystal,

Cristallum genus saxi candidi (ibid., p. 88), but which in the Hrabanian gloss appears as

Cristallus lapis splendidissimus.

The beryl and crystal are confused and the stone is called white or splendidus "brilliant." In the Codex S.Galli 299, of the IX. or X. century, berillus is translated by berala (ibid., vol. II, p. 264), which at once shows that the German word is derived from the Latin; but the glosses "margaritis berulon" (ibid., p. 76), "lapillis, i. margaritis unionibus, berre" (ibid., p. 77), "uniones berlin" (ibid., vol. IV, p. 172), "union berelon" (ibid., vol. II, p. 497), "uniones berle" (ibid., vol. III, p. 406), "unio, genus margariti, et dicitur thuitisce, perula" (ibid., vol. I, p. 654), and "calculus perela (ibid., vol. II, p. 397), perala (ibid., p. 405), perula (ibid., p. 579), and those recorded in Graff, "berle uniones," show conclusively that the beryllus was very soon identified with the pearl. It is clear that OHG. perala has developed from berala, and this from beryllus.

At all times the beryl was identified with a shining stone par excellence, hence later berill-, in the apocopated form brill-, lay at the foundation of words for "brilliancy" in the Romance languages. In the XIII.

¹ XXXVII. 76.

century, Arnoldus Saxo,¹ repeating the older sayings about the beryl, adds, "si in oculo solis opponitur et rotundatur ignem accendit," which shows that the beryl was used for crystal lenses, or, what is more correct, a crystal lens was called "beryl." Indeed, Arnoldus says also of the crystal, "hic lapis solis radiis oppositus ignem concipit."² Vincent of Beauvais, in his Speculum naturale, distinctly confounds the beryl with a crystal lens, when he says that it attracts the rays of the sun, which pass through the stone and on the other side attract tow or cloth or anything inflammable and consume them, nay even sting the hand of him who holds the beryl;³ but this statement is derived from those of Marbod, quoted above.

Thus it becomes clear that ultimately beryllus was confounded with any crystal lens, hence berillus, paryll, beriillis, beriil are given by Diefenbach as forms for modern Ger. Brille "eyeglasses." In OFrench we have beric, bericle, baricle, all obviously from beriil for beryl, and berique, bezique for "a kind of ornament," hence Fr. bésicles "eyeglasses." On the other hand, the beryl was considered a cheap, flashy stone, as is evidenced in OFr. berique, hence Ital. brillo "a cheap or false gem," brillare "to flash, scintillate, glitter," and Fr. briller "to shine."

¹ E. Stange, Die Encyklopädie des Arnoldus Saxo, in Program des königlichen Gymnasiums zu Erfurt, No. 278, Erfurt 1905, p. 70; also repeated by Albertus Magnus, De mineralibus, II. 2. 2.

² Stange, op. cit., p. 71. ³ "Berillus est lapis conspicuus: oleo vel aquis marinis colore similis. Radium solis ad se trahit: qui scz radius lapidez sine continuitatis solutõc penetrans. Ex altera parte lapidisque scz remotiorem a sole: stupas et panniculos et quecumque sicca et comburenda facile attrahit et accendit. Manum quoque se tenentis adurere dicitur," IX. 48.

XIII. THE PEARL IN GREEK LITERATURE

In the Atharva-veda there is a prayer in which the pearl and its shell are mentioned as an amulet bestowing long life and prosperity: "Born of the wind, the atmosphere, the lightning, and the light, may this pearl shell, born of gold, protect us from straits! With the shell which was born in the sea, at the head of the bright substances, we stay the Rakshas and conquer the Atrins (devouring demons). With the shell we conquer disease and poverty; with the shell, too, the Sadānvās. The shell is our universal remedy; the pearl shall protect us from straits! Born in the heavens, born in the sea, brought on from the river (Sindhu), this shell, born of gold, is our life-prolonging amulet. The amulet, born from the sea, a sun, born from Vritra (the cloud), shall on all sides protect us from the missiles of the gods and the Asuras! Thou art one of the golden substances, thou art born from Soma (the moon). Thou art sightly on the chariot, thou art brilliant on the The bones of the gods turned into pearl; that, animated, dwells in the waters. That do I fasten upon thee unto life, lustre, strength, longevity, unto a life lasting a hundred autumns. May the amulet of pearl protect thee!"1

In a Sanskrit drama, $Karp\bar{u}ra-Ma\bar{n}jar\bar{\imath}$, written about 900 A. D.,² the jester gives a more detailed account of the life-history of a pearl oyster: "Methinks last night in my vision I fell asleep by the Ganges. Well, then the Ganges put her graceful little foot on

¹ M. Bloomfield, Hymns of the Atharva-veda, Oxford 1897, in The Sacred Books of the East, vol. XLII, p. 62.

² Harvard Oriental Series, vol. IV, p. 264 f.

the head of Civa and washed me clean away with her Then by a cloud that was raining at the autumn season to my heart's content I was absorbed. And then, when the lordly sun had entered the asterism of Chitra, the great cloud went near the place where the Tamraparni empties into the ocean. I, too, as I think, am within the cloud and go with it. the cloud started to rain there with great big drops of water: and the sea-oysters, such as they call pearloysters, emerged from the waters and absorbed me; and I was within them and became a monster pearl. Then in four-and-sixty pearl oysters successively I was a drop of cloud-water, surpassing the opal in hue, and then, in due course, I attained once more to the condition of being a pearl, one of perfect roundness, clear, and flashing."

The Hindu conception of the birth of the pearl from lightning or from raindrops found its way among Greek authors. Arrian has the following story of the pearl: "Other Indians tell this story of Hercules, namely, that when he had travelled through all the earth, and purg'd it of every vice, he found a pearl in the sea. such as the merchants at this day, buy up in India, at a great price, and bring to us; and such as the Greeks heretofore, and the better sort of Romans, at this time, purchase at a vast expense. Hercules was so strangely taken with the lustre of this pearl, that he commanded such to be sought for throughout all the coasts of India, wherewith to adorn his daughter. Megasthenes writes, that the shell wherein this pearl is generated and enclosed, is taken in netts, and that a vast number of other shells surround it, like a swarm of bees, because they have their king or queen as bees have; and if at any time their king happens to be taken by fishermen, they all suffer themselves to be taken with him: but if their king escapes, the rest are not easily

inclos'd. The Indians suffer the meat, or flesh, contain'd between these shells, to putrify but preserve the pearls for their use. Some of these pearls are so much esteem'd by the Indians, as to be valued at three times their weight in gold, tho' gold is also the produce of their country."

This story, as far as it is quoted from Megasthenes, is also contained in the much longer account of the pearl by Aelian: "The pearl is praised and admired by the foolish and the women. It is a product of the Red Sea, and is said by the fabulists to bring forth an issue when the lightning flashes into the opened shells. The mussels, the mothers of these, are caught in good weather and a calm sea. Having caught these, the fishermen take out the pearls, the product of the libidinous mind. In the largest mussel a small pearl may be found, in the smallest a large one, while some have none. One has not more than one; others have many, and some say that twenty have been found in one mussel. The mussel is the flesh, and the pearl clings to it like a fishbone. If one should open a mussel before proper time of bearing, he would find the flesh, but would not get the prize of his fishing. The pearl resembles a porous stone and does not contain the least amount of moisture. Those who sell or buy them value their beauty and price from their whiteness and size, and choose them accordingly, and, in faith, many dealing in them have become very rich. I am not ignorant of the fact that after the stones have been removed, thus, as it were, paying a price for their redemption, the mussels have been thrown back and have produced new pearls. If the mother mussel should die before the pearl has been removed, the latter putrefies and dies together with the flesh. It is by

¹ Indian History, chap. VIII, in Rooke, History of Alexander's Expedition, London 1729, vol. II, p. 218.

124 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

nature round and smooth. Should any one wish to polish and smooth the stone differently from what it is in its natural state, it would betray the artifice, for it does not yield to it and becomes rough and shows that its beauty was obtained by fraud." In another place Aelian says: "The Indian pearl is caught in the following manner. There is a city, by the name of Perimula, over which ruled Soras, a man of royal descent, at the time when Eucratides reigned in Bactria. All about it live the Ichthyophagi, who are said to catch the pearls with nets which are stretched all around the shore. The above-said stones are born from mussels, resembling snails, which swim about in large numbers, led by leaders, just as swarms of bees are led by queens, and these leaders, I understand. excel in beauty and size. The divers make special efforts to catch a leader, because, when he is captured. the swarm is left without guidance and, so to speak,

1«Ό αδόμενος μὲν παρὰ τοῖς ἀνοήτοις, καὶ ἐν ταῖς γυναιξὶ θαυμαστὸς μαργαρίτης θρέμμα μέντοι τῆς ἐρυθρᾶς θαλάττης καὶ οὐτός ἐστι, καὶ τίκτεσθαί τε αὐτὸν τερατολογοῦσιν, ὅταν ταῖς κόγχαις ἀνεφγμέναις ἐπλάμψωσιν αἱ ἀστραπαί. Θηρῶνται δὲ ἄρα αίδε αἱ κόγχαι, αἱ τῶν προειρημένων μητέρες, εὐημερίας τε οὕσης καὶ τῆς θαλάττης λείας οἱ δὲ θηρατοὶ συλλαδόντες, εἰτα ἐξεῖλον τοῦτον δὴ τὸν θέλγοντα τὰς τῶν μάχλων ψυχάς. Εὐρεθείη δ' ἄν καὶ ἐν κόγχη μεγίστη μικρὸς, καὶ ἐν μάχλων ψυχάς. Εὐρεθείη δ' ἄν καὶ ἐν κόγχη μεγίστη μικρὸς, κοὶ ἐν μικραῖς μέγας κάτα ἡ μὲν οὐδένα ἔχει, ἡ δὲ οὐ πέρα τοῦ ἐνὸς, πολλαὶ δὲ καὶ πολλοὺς, εἰσὶ δὲ, οἱ λέγουσι καὶ είκοσι προσπεφυκέναι μιᾶ κόγχη. Καὶ ἡ μὲν κόγχη κρέας ἐστὶν, ἐπιπέφυκε δὲ ἄρα ὡς σκόλοψ ταῦτα. Πρὸ καιροῦ δὲ καὶ τῆς ἀδίνος τῆς ἐντελοὺς, εἶπερ οὖν ἀνοίξαι τις τὰς κόγχας, κρέας μὲν εὕρη, τῆς δὲ θήρας τὸ ἀγώνισμα οὐκ ἔξει. Λίθφ δὲ ἄρα ὁ μαργαρίτης ἔσικε πεπωρωμένο, καὶ ἔχειν ἐν ἑαυτῷ, καὶ στέγειν ὑγρὸν οὐ πέφικεν οὐδὲ ὀλίγον. Δοκοῦσι δὲ ἄρα τοῖς τούτων καιτήλοις, καὶ τοῖς ἀνουμένοις, οἱ ἄγαν λευκοὶ καὶ οἱ μεγάλοι κάλλιστοι καὶ τιμαλφέστατοι, ὥστε ἐκείνοις κρίνειν αὐτούς καὶ πλούσιοί γε ἐξ αὐτῶν ἐγένοντο οὐ μὰ Δία ὀλίγοι, οἶς ἐστιν ἐντεῦθεν ὁ βίος. Οὐκ ἀγνοῶ δὲ οὐδὲ ἐκείνο, ὅτι ἄρα, δὸμοθεύτων τῶν λίθων τῶνδε, ἀρείθησαν αὖθις αἱ κόγχαι, οἰονεὶ λύτρα δοῦσαι τῆς ἑαυτῶν σωτηρίας τὸ σπούδασμα τὸ ἤδη προειοημένον, εἶτα ἐπανέφυσαν αὐθις αὐτό. 'Εὰν δὲ τὸ ζῶον τὸ τρέφον αὐτὸν, πρὶν ἡ ἐξαιρεθῆναι τὸν μαργαρίτην, ἀποθάνη, ὡς που λέγει τις λόγος, τῆ σαρχὶ μέντοι συσσήπεται καὶ ἐκείνος καὶ ἀπόλλυται. Φύσιν δὲ ἔχει τῆς περιφερείας τὸ λεῖον καὶ εὐπερίγραφον. Εἰ δὲ ἐθέλοι τις τῶν πεφυκότων ἐτέρως τινὰ σοφίας τέχνη περιγράψαι τε, καὶ λεῖον ἀποφῆναι τὸ λίθον, ὅδε ἐλέγχει τὴν ἐπιδουλήν· οὐ γὰρ πείθεται, τραχύτητας δὲ ὑπαναφύει, καὶ ὅτι ἄρα ἐπιδουλεύται ἐς κάλλος κατηγόρει ταύτη,» Χ. 13.

without a general, and is captured, just as a flock is left to a hostile fate when it is bereft of its shepherd. If the leader escapes, he rules them wisely and preserves them. The captured mussels are salted down in small vessels, and when the flesh has decayed and deliquesced, the pearls are left. The best Indian pearls are said also to be found in the Red Sea. They are also found in the Western Ocean, where Britain is, but they seem to be there more yellow and less brilliant. Juba says that they are also found in the Sea of Bosporus, but here they are inferior to the British, and not at all to be compared to the Indian or Erythraean. The Indian land pearls are said not to have their own nature, but to be the product of the crystal, which grows, not through cold, but in the earth."

We can observe in these two Greek accounts the origins of two myths connected with the pearl. The story of the king of the pearls arose from the fact that the large round pearl, the chief object of the diver's

¹«'Ο δὲ 'Ινδὸς μάργαρος, ἄνω γὰρ εἶπον περὶ τοῦ 'Ερυθραίου, λαμβάνεται τρόπφ τοιῷδε. Πόλις ἐστὶν, ἡς ἡρχε Σώρας ὄνομα, ἀνὴρ γένους βασίλικοῦ, ὅτε καὶ Βάκτρων ἡρχεν Εὐκρατίδης, ὅνομα δὲ τῆ πόλει Περιμούδα. Κατοικοῦσι δὲ αὐτὴν ἄνδρες 'Ιχθυοφάγοι, ὅθεν ὁρμωμένους σὰν τοῖς δικτύοις φασὶ τούσδε τοὺς προειρημένους περιλαμβάνειν ἀγκῶσι μεγάλοις αἰγιαλοῦ κύκλον εὐμεγέθη. Γίνεσθαι δὲ τὸν προειρημένον λίθον ἔκ κόγχης στρόβω ἐμφεροῦς μεγάλου, νήχεσθαί τε κατὰ ἀγέλας τοὺς μαργάρους, καὶ ἔχειν ἡγεμόνας, ὡς ἐν τοἰς σμήνεσιν αὶ μέλιτται τοὺς καλουμένους βασιλέας ἀκούω δὲ εἶναι καὶ τοῦτον οἰαπρεπὶ καὶ τὴν χρόαν, καὶ τὸ μέγεθος. 'Αγώνισμα δὲ ἄρα ποιοῦνται συλλαβεῖν αὐτὸν οἱ κολυμβηταὶ οἱ ὕφυδροι τούτου γὰρ ἡρημένου, καὶ τὴν ἀγέλην αἰροῦσι πασαν ἐρήμην, ὡς ἄν εἶποι τις, καὶ ἀπροστάτευτον οὖσαν ἀτρεμεῖ γὰρ, καὶ οὐκ ἔτι πρόσεισιν, οἰα δήπου ποίμνη τὸν νομέα ἀφηρημένη, κατά τινα τύχην ἐχθράν· ἔως δὲ διαφείγει, καὶ μάλα γε σοφῶς ἐξελίττει, καὶ προηγείται, καὶ σώζει τὸ ὑπήκοον. Τοὺς δὲ ληφθέντας ἐν πιθάκναις λέγονται ταριχεύειν, ὅταν δὲ ἡ σὰρξ μυδήση καὶ περιρρυή, κατι λείπεται ἡ ψῆφος. ἤλοιστος δὲ ἄρα ὁ Ἰνδικὸς γίνεται, καὶ ὁ τῆς θαλάττης τῆς 'Έρυθρας. Γίνεται δὲ καὶ κατὰ τὸν ἐσπέριον ἀκεανὸν, ἔνθα ἡ Βρετανική νῆσός ἐστι· δοκεί δὲ πως χρυσῷ ὁπότερος ἱδεῖν είναι, τάς τε αὐγὰς ἀμβλυτέρας ἔχων καὶ σκοτωδεστέρας. Γίνεσθαι δέ φησιν Ἰόδας καὶ ἐν τῷ κατὰ Βόσπορον πορθμῷ, καὶ τῷ Βρετανικοῦ ἡττὰσθαι αὐτὸν, τῷ δὲ Ἰνδῷ καὶ τῷ 'Ερυθραίος μηδὲ τὴν ἀρχὴν ἀντικρίνεσθαι. 'Ο δὲ ἀ' Ἰνδία χερσαῖος οὐ λέγεται φύσιν ἔνειν ἰδίαν, ἀλλὰ ἀπογέννημα είναι χρυστάλλου, οὐ τοῦ ἐν τῶν παγετῶν συνισταμένου, ἀλλὰ ἀπογέννημα είναι χρυστάλλου, οὐ τοῦ ἐν τῶν παγετῶν συνισταμένου, ἀλλὰ ἀπογέντημα είναι χρυστάλλου, οὐ τοῦ ἐν τῶν παγετῶν συνισταμένου, ἀλλὰ ἀπογέντημος. Χ. Χ. 8.

pursuit, has been called "royal," and even now the Persians have the word $s\bar{a}hw\bar{a}r$ "royal" for the large pearl. The story that the pearl cannot be polished without betraying the fact that its beauty was obtained by fraud, gives rise, as will be shown later, to the story of the fairy Morgain, whose beauty is of a homely nature.

The fullest Greek account before the Physiologus is found in Athenaeus: "But concerning the ovsters which are grown in the Indian Ocean; (for it is not unreasonable to speak of them, on account of the use of pearls): Theophrastus speaks in his treatise on Precious Stones, and says, 'But among the stones which are much admired is that which is called the pearl, being transparent in its character; and they make very expensive necklaces of them. They are found in an oyster which is something like the pinna, only less. And in size the pearl resembles a large fish's eye.' Androsthenes, too, in his Voyage along the Coast of India, writes in these terms—'But of strombi, and chaerini, and other shell-fish, there are many different varieties, and they are very different from the shell-fish which we have. And they have the purplefish, and a great multitude of other kinds of oysters. There is also one kind which is peculiar to those seas, which the natives call the berberi, from which the precious stone called the pearl comes. And this pearl is very expensive in Asia, being sold in Persia and the inland countries for its weight in gold. And the appearance of the oyster which contains it is much the same as that of the cteis oyster, only its shell is not indented, but smooth and shaggy. And it has not two ears as the cteis oyster has, but only one. The stone is engendered in the flesh of the oyster, just as the measles are in pork. And it is of a very golden colour, so as not easily to be distinguished from gold

when it is put by the side of it; but some pearls are of a silvery appearance, and some are completely white like the eyes of fish.' But Chares of Mitylene, in the seventh book of his Histories of Alexander, savs-'There is caught in the Indian sea, and also off the coast of Armenia, and Persia, and Susiana, and Babylonia, a fish very like an oyster; and it is large and oblong, containing within the shell flesh which is plentiful and white, and very fragrant, from which the men pick out white bones which they call the pearl. And they make of them necklaces and chains for the hands and feet, of which the Persians are very fond, as are the Medes and all Asiatics, esteeming them as much more valuable than golden ornaments.' But Isidorus the Characene, in his Description of Parthia, says that 'in the Persian sea there is an island where a great number of pearls are found; on which account there are quantities of boats made of rushes all about the island, from which men leap into the sea, and dive down twenty fathoms, and bring up two shells. And they say that when there is a long continuance of thunder-storms, and heavy falls of rain, then the pinna produces most young, and then, too, the greatest quantity of pearls is engendered, and those, too, of the finest size and quality. In the winter the pinna is accustomed to descend into chambers at the very bottom of the sea: but in summer they swim about all night with their shells open, which they close in the day-time: and as many as stick to the crags, or rocks, throw out roots, and remaining fixed there, they generate pearls. But they are supported and nourished by something which adheres to their flesh: and this also sticks to the mouth of the cockle, having talons and bringing it food: and it is something like a little crab, and is called the guardian of the pinna. And its flesh penetrates through the centre of the cockle-shell,

like a root: and the pearl being generated close to it, grows through the solid portion of the shell, and keeps growing as long as it continues to adhere to the shell. But when the flesh gets under the excrescence, and cutting its way onwards, gently separates the pearl from the shell, then when the pearl is surrounded by flesh, it is no longer nourished so far as to grow at all; but the flesh makes it smoother, and more transparent, and more pure. And so, too, the pinna, which lives at the bottom, engenders the most transparent sort of pearl; and it produces them also very pure and of large size. But that which keeps near the surface, and is constantly rising, is of a smaller size and a worse colour, because it is affected by the rays of the sun. But those who hunt for pearls are in danger when they hastily put their hand into the opening of the shell, for immediately the fish closes its shell, and very often their fingers are sawn off; and sometimes they die immediately. But all those who put in their hand sideways easily draw off the shells from the rock."1

It appears from the above passages that the Greek accounts of the pearl are entirely based on the Hindu conception of its origin, to which are added a few misconceptions, such as that of the king of the pearls, from the Eastern name of "King Pearl" for the largest specimen. Outside of the Hindu account nothing whatsoever is said about the pearl in any Greek author, and Aristotle, where there are so many interpolations, none the less has nothing whatsoever in regard to it. Nowhere do we find here any reference to the birth of the pearl in the morning, which, it will soon be shown, is of Arabic origin.

¹ III. 45-46.

THE PEARL IN ARABIC LITERATURE.

Probably the oldest Arabic account of the pearl preserved to us is found in an account of the IX. century: "The formation of the pearl is the work of divine wisdom, whose name be praised. The pearl presents itself at first in the form of a grain of asafoetida, of which it has the color, form, size, lightness, fineness and frailty. It moves feebly on the surface of the water and falls upon the sides of the divers' barges. After a while it grows stronger and larger and assumes the solidity of stone. When it has acquired weight, it attaches itself to the bottom of the sea, and God only knows what it feeds on. At first one finds in the pearl nothing but a piece of red flesh which at its root resembles a tongue, and has neither back, nor nerves, nor veins. However, people do not agree as to the formation of the pearl. Some authors have said that the shell rises to the surface of the water when it rains, and opens its mouth in order to receive the rain drops, which are changed into grains. authors maintain that the pearl is engendered by the shell itself, which is the more likely opinion. Indeed, one sometimes finds the pearl in the shell in the form of a plant which adheres to the shell itself. It can be separated. And this the merchants who voyage on the ocean call qala' (قلم). God only knows how it is."

The author knew only the Hindu and Greek accounts of the origin of the pearl, but he also knew the Sanskrit appellation, $mukt\bar{a}$ "separated," for the pearl, which

 $^{^1}$ J. T. Reinaud, Relation des voyages faits par les Arabes et les Persans dans l'Inde et à la Chine dans le $IX^{\rm e}$ siècle de l'ère chrétienne, Paris 1845, vol. I, p. 146 ff.

he translated by the Arabic term قلع qala', from قلع gala'a "he detached, removed from its place." The same cause produced Arab. فريد farīd "pearl," from farada "he became single, sole, one, and no more." But the Arabs had still another term for "pearl," which was due to the misunderstanding of the passage in Aelian, where he tells of the leader of the pearls. The sentence, «νήγεσθαί τε κατὰ ἀγέλας τοὺς μαργάρους. καὶ ἔχειν ἡγεμόνας,» was understood by the Arabs to mean that the very large pearl, the main object of the pearl divers, was called ἡγεμών. Hence they created their ميجانة hiģamānah "precious pearl," which is preserved in Arab. حان ģumān "pearls, beads made of silver, like pearls." But ἡγεμών was in the VII. century pronounced hiemon, and we should expect also such a form in Arabic. This is actually recorded in a large number of Latin glossaries. We read: hianio (Cod. Vat. 3321, Cod. Sang. 912, Lib. Gloss., Ampl. Sec.), hiamio (Ampl. Prim.), hiameo (Corp. Gloss., Epin. Gloss.), hinio (Gloss. Affat.) "margarita pretiosa." Outside of the glossaries this word is totally unknown.

There is another term for "precious pearl," which is due to Christian influence. "Pearl" was early applied to the Virgin, as, for example, in the Coptic Homily upon the Virgin or the Birth of Christ: "Mary is likened to Gideon's fleece, to a well-watered land whence the rod of Jesse springs. Joseph renounced all worldly possessions to obtain Mary. She is a pearl in the midst of other jewels, in a meadow girt about by the sea, the fish in which live all at peace. When the pearl's time is fulfilled, it joins that other pearl which lies below the water in its shell džek, and together they mount up and illuminate the field and trees. The

because both were brought from Ceylon.3

The relation of the agate to the pearl is for the first time brought out in Origen, in connection with a discussion of Matth. XIII. 45, although the agate is not mentioned by name. Origen says: "This is what we have learned about the nature of the pearl from those who have written about the stones, namely, that are land pearls and sea pearls. The land pearls are found only in India. from them seals, rings and necklaces are made." whole of Origen's discussion of the pearl runs as follows: «Πάλιν όμοία έστὶν ή βασιλεία τῶν οὐρανῶν ἀνθρώπω ἐμπόρω ζητοῦντι καλοὺς μαργαρίτας· Ἐπειδὴ ἡ βασιλεία τῶν οὐρανῶν ὁμοία ἐστὶ, πολλῶν ὄντων ἐμπόρων πολλὰ έμπορευομένων, ούδενὶ έχείνων, τῷ δὲ ζητοῦντι τοὺς καλούς μαργαρίτας, καὶ εύρόντι ἕνα ἀντάξιον τῶν πολλῶν, πολύτιμον μαργαρίτην, δυ άντὶ πάντων ήγόρασεν, εὔλογον ήγουμαι τυγχάνειν τὰ περί τῆς φύσεως τοῦ μαργαρίτου έξετάσαι. Παρατήρει δε έπιμελώς, ὅτι οὐκ εἶπεν, ὅτι πέπρακε πάντας οθς είχεν οὐ γὰρ μόνους οθς ὁ ζητῶν καλοὺς μαργαρίτας ἐώνηται πέπρακεν, ἀλλὰ καὶ πάντα ὅσα εἶγεν, ΐνα άγοράση τὸν μαργαρίτην ἐκεῖνον Ευρομεν οὖν παρὰ τοῖς περί λίθων πραγματευσαμένοις περί τῆς φύσεως τοῦ μαργαρίτου ταῦτα, ὅτι τῶν μαργαριτῶν οἱ μέν εἰσι χερσαῖοι, οί δὲ θαλάττιοι καὶ οί μὲν γερσαῖοι παρ' Ίνδοῖς μόνοις

³ Ibid., p. 40.

¹ W. E. Crum, op. cit., p. 36. ² Clément-Mullet, Essai sur la minéralogie arabe, in Journal asiatique, sixième série, vol. XI, p. 30 ff.

γίνονται, πρέποντες σφραγίσι καὶ σφενδόναις καὶ ὅομοις. οί δὲ θαλάττιοι, οί μὲν διαφέροντες παρὰ τοῖς αὐτοῖς Ἰνδοῖς εύοίσχονται, οἴτινές εἰσι καὶ ἄριστοι, ἐν τῆ Ἐρυθρᾶ θαλάσση γινόμενοι. Δευτερεύουσι δὲ ώς ἐν μαργαρίταις οἱ ἐχ τοῦ κατά Βοεττανίαν 'Ωκεανοῦ λαμβανόμενοι' τοίτοι δὲ καὶ ἀπολειπόμενοι οὐ μόνον τῶν ποώτων, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν δευτέοων. οί κατά Βόσπορον περί την Σκυθίαν εύρισκόμενοι. "Ετι δὲ ταῦτα ἐλέγετο περὶ τοῦ Ἰνδικοῦ μαργαρίτου, ὅτι ἐν κόγχοις γίνεται προσεοιχόσι την φύσιν εύμεγέθεσι στρόμβοις ουτοι δὲ ίστοροῦνται οίονεὶ κατὰ ἴλας τὴν θαλάττιον ποιούμενοι νομήν, καθάπεο άγελάργου τινὸς έξηγουμένου, περόπτου την χρόαν, καὶ τὸ μέγεθος, καὶ διαφέροντος τῶν ὑπ' αὐτόν ιστε ἀναλογίαν αὐτὸν ἔχειν τῷ καλουμένω ἐσσῆνι μελισσών. Ίστόρηται δὲ καὶ περὶ τῆς θήρας τών διαφεοόντων, τουτέστι τῶν ἐν Ἰνδία, τοιοῦτον ὅτι περιλαμβάνοντες οί ἐπιγώριοι δικτύοις κύκλον αἰγιαλοῦ μέγαν, κατακολυμβώσιν, ένα έξ άπάντων τὸν προηγούμενον ἐπιτηδεύοντες λαβείν, τούτου γὰρ άλόντος, φασὶν ἄμοχθον γενέσθαι την θήραν της ύπὸ τούτω άγέλης, οὐδενὸς ἔτι ἀτρεμοῦντος τῶν ὑπ' αὐτῆς, ἀλλ' οἶον δεδεμένου ἱμάντι, καὶ ἑπομένου τῷ ἀγελάοχη. Λέγεται δὲ καὶ ἡ γένεσις τῶν ἐν Ἰνδία μαργαριτών χρόνοις συνίστασθαι, τροπάς λαμβάνοντος τοῦ ζώου πλείονας, καὶ μεταβολάς, εως τελειωθη. "Ετι δὲ καὶ τοῦτο ίστόρηται, ὅτι διοίγεται ὁ χόγχος ταραπλησίως, ό του φέροντος τὸν μαργαρίτην ζώου. καὶ διοιχθεὶς τὴν οὐράνιον εἰς ξαυτὸν δέχεται δρόσον. ης έμπλησθείς καθαράς καὶ άθολώτου, περιαυγής γίνεται, καὶ λογεύει μέγαν καὶ εὔουθμον τὸν λίθον. Εἰ δέ ποτε ἐπηγλυμένης, καὶ ἀνωμάλου γειμερίου τε μεταλάβη δρόσου, όμιχλώδη κύει μαργαρίτην, και κηλίσιν ἐπίμωνον. "Ετι δὲ καὶ τοῦτο εὕοομεν, ὅτι εἰ μεσολαβηθείη ὁδεύων ἐπὶ τὴν πλήρωσιν οξ κύει λίθου ύπὸ ἀστραπης, μύει, καὶ ώσπερεὶ τῷ δείματι σχοοπίζει, καὶ διαχεῖ τὸν γόνον εἰς τὰ λεγόμενα φυσήματα. "Εστι δὲ ὅτε καθάπεο ήλιτόμηνα γεννᾶται βοαχέα, καὶ ἀγλύος τι ἔγοντα, πλὴν εὔρυθμα. "Ετι δὲ καὶ τοῦτο

έχει ὁ Ἰνδικὸς μαργαρίτης παρά τοὺς ἄλλους λευκός ἐστι την χρόαν άργύρω διαφανεί προσφερής, αύγην τε ύποχλωρίζουσαν ήρέμα διαλάμπειν, ώς ἐπίπαν δὲ σχημα ἔχει στρογγύλον έστι δὲ καὶ τουφερόχρως, καὶ ἀπαλώτερος ἢ κατὰ λίθον. Ο ύτως δέ έστιν έπιτερπης ίδεσθαι, ώς καὶ παρά τοῖς έμφανεστέροις, καθά ὁ ἀναγράψας ἔλεγε περί τῶν λίθων, άφυμνεῖσθαι. "Ετι καὶ τοῦτο σημεῖόν έστιν ἀρίστου μαργαρίτου τὸ τὴν περιφέρειαν τετορνευμένην ἔχειν, καὶ τὸ γρώμα λευχότατον καὶ διαυγέστατον, καὶ τω μεγέθει μέγιστον. Ταῦτα μὲν οὖν περὶ τοῦ Ἰνδικοῦ ὁ δὲ κατὰ Βρεττανίαν, φασί, χουσωπός μεν έστι την έπίχροιαν, όμιχλώδης δέ τις, καὶ ταῖς μαρμαρυγαῖς ἀμβλύτερος ὁ δὲ ἐν τῶ πορθμῷ τῷ κατὰ Βόσπορον κνεφωδέστερος τοῦ Βρεττανικοῦ, καὶ πελιδνὸς, καὶ τέλεον άμυδοὸς, άπαλός τε καὶ μακρομεγέθης. Καὶ γεννᾶται δὲ ὁ ἐν τῷ κατὰ Βόσπορον πορθμῷ οὐκ ἐν ταῖς πίνναις, ὅ ἐστιν ὀστράκων είδος μαργαριτοφόρον, άλλ' έν τοῖς προσαγορευομένοις μυσί τούτοις δὲ, λέγω δὴ τοῖς κατὰ Βόσπορον, ἡ νομὴ ἐν τέλμασίν ἐστιν. Ἱστό-ρηται δὲ καὶ τέταρτον γένος εἶναι μαργαριτῶν περὶ τὴν Άκαρνανίαν έν ταῖς τῶν ὀστρέων πίνναις οὐ σπουδαῖοι δὲ οὖτοι ἄγαν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἄρουθμοι, καὶ τὸ σχῆμα, καὶ τὸ χρώμα τέλεον διατεθολωμένοι καὶ ουπώντες Καὶ άλλοι δὲ παρὰ τούτους εἰσὶ περὶ τὴν αὐτὴν 'Ακαρνανίαν πάντων ενέχεν απόβλητοι. Ταῦτα δὲ συναγαγών ἐκ τῆς περὶ λίθων πραγματείας, φημί τὸν Σωτῆρα, ἐπιστάμενον διαφοράν μαργαριτών, ὧν έν τῷ γένει εἰσὶ καὶ καλοὶ καὶ ἄλλοι φαῦλοι, είρηκέναι τό 'Ομοία έστιν ή βασιλεία τῶν οὐρανῶν ἀνθρώπφ ἐμπόρφ ζητοῦντι τοὺς καλοὺς μαργαρίτας εἰ γὰρ μη καὶ φαῦλοι ἦσάν τινες ἐν μαργαρίταις, οὐκ ἄν εἴρηται, ζητοῦντι καλοὺς μαργαρίτας. Ἐν τοῖς παντοδαποῖς δὲ ἐπαγγελλομένοις άλήθειαν λόγοις καὶ τοῖς φέρουσιν αὐτοὺς ζητεί τοὺς μαργαρίτας καὶ ἔστωσαν, ἵνα οὕτως ὀνομάσω, οί ἀπὸ τῆς οὐρανίου δρόσου συλλαμβάνοντες κόγχοι, καὶ κύοντες ἐξ οὐρανοῦ λόγον ἀληθείας, προφῆται, οἱ καλοὶ μαργαρίται, οθς ό κατά την προκειμένην λέξιν ζητεί έμπο-

ρος ἄνθρωπος. 'Ο δὲ ἀγελάρχης τῶν μαργαριτῶν, ὧ εύοισχομένω καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ συνευρίσκονται, ὁ πολυτίμητος μαργαρίτης, ὁ Χριστὸς τοῦ Θεοῦ, ὁ ὑπὲρ τὰ τίμια γράμματα καὶ γοήματα τοῦ γόμου καὶ τῶν προφητῶν λόγος, οὖ εύρεθέντος καὶ τ' άλλα πάντα εὐμαρῶς παραλαμβάνονται. Διαλέγεται δὲ ὁ Σωτὴο τοῖς μαθηταῖς πᾶσιν, ὡς ἀνθρώποις έμπόροις, οὐ μόνον ζητοῦσι τοὺς καλοὺς μαργαρίτας, ἀλλὰ καὶ εύροῦσιν αὐτοὺς, καὶ κεκτημένοις, ἐν οἶς φησι Μὴ βάλητε τοὺς μαργαρίτας ἔμπροσθεν τῶν χρίρων. Δῆλον δὲ, ότι τοῖς μαθηταῖς ταῦτα λέλεκται ἐκ τοῦ προτετάχθει τῶν λόγων τό 'Ιδών δὲ τοὺς ὄχλους ἀνέθη εἰς τὸ ὄρος, καὶ καθίσαντος αὐτοῦ προσῆλθον αὐτῷ οἱ μαθηταὶ αὐτοῦ ἐν γὰρ τῷ είομῷ τῶν λόγων ἐκείνων εἴοηται. Μὴ δῶτε τὸ ἄγιον τοῖς χυσὶ, μηδὲ βάλητε τοὺς μαργαρίτας ἔμπροσθεν τῶν χοίρων. Μήποτε οὖν ὁ μη ἔχων μαργαρίτας, ἢ τὸν πολύτιμον μαργαρίτην, οὐδὲ μαθητής ἐστι τοῦ Σωτῆρος, τοὺς μαργαρίτας τούς καλούς, ού τούς νεφώδεις, ούδὲ τούς άχλυώδεις, δποῖοί εἰσιν οἱ τὧν ἑτεροδόξων λόγοι, οὐ πρὸς ἀνατολὰς γεννώμενοι, άλλα πρὸς δυσμάς ή πρὸς βορραν, εί χρη ταῦτα προσπαραλαμβάνειν, δι' ην εύρομεν διαφοράν μαργαριτών έν διαφόροις γινομένων τόποις. Τάχα δὲ οἱ τεθολωμένοι λόγοι καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἔργοις σαρκὸς κατειλιγμέναι αίρέσεις οί άχλυώδεις είσὶ καὶ οί ἐν τέλμασι γινόμενοι μαργαρίται οὐ καλοί. Συνάψεις δὲ τῷ ζητοῦντι καλούς μαργαρίτας τό· Ζητείτε, καὶ εύρήσετε καὶ τό, Πᾶς ὁ ζητῶν εύρίσκει. Τί γὰο ζητεῖτε; ἢ τί πᾶς ὁ ζητῶν εὑοίσκει; ᾿Αποτολμήσας εἴπω, τους μαργαρίτας, και τον μαργαρίτην, δν ο πάντα δους καὶ ζημιωθεὶς κτᾶται, δι' ὅν φησιν ὁ Παῦλος. Τὰ πάντα έζημιώθην, ΐνα Χριστὸν κερδήσω, πάντα λέγων τοὺς καλοὺς μαργαρίτας τνα Χριστον κερδήσω, τον ένα πολύτιμον μαργαρίτην. Τίμιον μεν οὖν λύχνος τοῖς ἐν σκότει, καὶ χρεία λύχνου εως ἀνατείλη ὁ ἥλιος τιμία δὲ καὶ ἡ ἐπὶ τοῦ προσώπου Μωϋσέως δόξα, ἐγὼ δ' οἶμαι ὅτι καὶ τῶν προφητῶν, καὶ καλὸν θέαμα, δι' ής είσαγόμεθα πρὸς τὸ δυνηθήναι ίδείν την δόξαν Χριστού, ή μαρτυρών ο Πατήρ φησιν Ού-

τός έστιν ὁ Υίός μου ὁ ἀγαπητὸς, είς δν ηὐδόκησα. 'Αλλ' οὐ δεδόξασται τὸ δεδοξασμένον ἐν τούτω τῷ μέρει ἕνεχεν της ύπερβαλλούσης δόξης, και χρεία ήμιν πρότερον δόξης της έπιδεχομένης κατάργησιν ύπερ της ύπερβαλλούσης δόξης, ώς χρεία γνώσεως τῆς ἐχ μέρους, ἥτις καταργηθήσεται, όταν ἔλθη τὸ τέλειον. Πᾶσα τοίνυν ψυχὴ ἐοχομένη εἰς νηπιότητα, καὶ όδεύουσα ἐπὶ τὴν τελειότητα δεῖται, μέχρις ένστη αύτη τὸ πλήρωμα τοῦ χρόνου, παιδαγωγοῦ, καὶ οίκονόμων, καὶ ἐπιτοόπων, ἵνα μετὰ ταῦτα πάντα ὁ ποότεοον μηδεν διαφέρων δούλου, Κύριος πάντων ών, απολάδη έλευθερωθείς από παιδαγωγού, καὶ οἰκονόμων, καὶ ἐπιτρόπων τὰ πατοῷα, τὰ ἀνάλογον τῷ πολυτιμήτῳ μαργαρίτη, καὶ τῷ ἐρχομένῳ τελείῳ καταργοῦντι τὸ ἐκ μέρους, ὅταν τὸ ὑ-περέχον τῆς γνώσεως Χριστοῦ χωρῆσαί τις δυνηθῆ, προεγγυμνασάμενος ταῖς, ἵν' οὕτως ὀνομάσω, ὑπερεχομέναις γνώσεσιν ὑπὸ τῆς Χριστοῦ γνώσεως. 'Αλλ' οἱ πολλοὶ, μὴ νοήσαντες τὸ κάλλος τῶν πολλῶν μαργαριτῶν νομικῶν, καὶ την έτι έκ μέρους γνωσιν την πασαν προφητικήν, οἴονται δύνασθαι χωρίς ἐκείνων τρανουμένων καὶ καταλαμβανομένων δι' όλων εύρειν τὸν ένα πολύτιμον μαργαρίτην, καὶ θεωοῆσαι τὸ ὑπερέχον τῆς γνώσεως Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ, οὖ συγκρίσει πάντα τὰ πρὸ τῆς τηλικαύτης καὶ τοσαύτης γνώσεως. ού σκύβαλα τη ίδια φύσει τυγχάνοντα, σκύβαλα άναφαίνεται. απερ έστι τὰ παραβαλλόμενα τάχα τῆ συκῆ ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀμπελουργοῦ κόπρια, αἴτια τυγχάνοντα τοῦ αὐτὴν καρποφορῆσαι. Τοῖς πᾶσι τοίνυν ὁ χοόνος, καὶ καιρὸς τῷ παντὶ πράγματι ὑπὸ τὸν οὐρανὸν, καὶ ἔστι τις καιρὸς τοῦ συναγαγεῖν τούς καλούς μαργαρίτας λίθους, καὶ καιρός μετά την συναγωγην αὐτῶν τοῦ εύρεῖν ενα πολύτιμον μαργαρίτην, ὅτε καθήκει ἀπελθόντα πωλῆσαι πάντα ὅσα τις ἔχει, ἵνα ἀγοράση τὸν μαργαρίτην ἐχεῖνον ισπερ γὰρ πάντα τὸν ἐσόμενον σοφὸν ἐν λόγοις ἀληθείας δεήσει στοιχειωθῆναι ποότερον, καὶ ἐπὶ πλεῖον διαδῆναι τῆς στοιχειώσεως, καὶ περὶ πολλοῦ ποιεῖσθαι τὴν στοιχείωσιν, οὐ μὴν ἀπομένειν ἐν τῆ στοιγειώσει, ώς τιμήσαντα αὐτὴν κατὰ τὰς ἀργὰς, ἀλλὰ

διαβάντα ἐπὶ τὴν τελειότητα, χάριν ἔχειν τῆ εἰσαγωγῆ, ὡς χρησίμω γενομένη κατὰ τὰ πρότερα· οὕτω τελείως νοηθέν τα τὰ νομικὰ καὶ τὰ προφητικὰ, στοιχείωσίς ἐστι πρὸς τελείωσιν νοούμενον τὸ Εὐαγγέλιον, καὶ πάντα τὸν περὶ τῶν

Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ ἔργων καὶ λόγων νοῦν.»1

Here, as in the other Greek writers, there is no reference to the pearl's birth in the morning. Nor is there any reference to it in Pseudo-Athanasius, nor in Theophylactus: «'Ο μαργαρίτης έν τῆ 'Ερυθρᾶ θαλάσση γίνεται' γίνεται δὲ οὕτως. Αἱ ἄκραι τῆς Ἐρυθρᾶς θαλάσσης ξηραί εἰσιν, όλίγου χύσιν ὕδατος ἔχουσαι. Εύρίσκονται δὲ ἐκεῖσε όστοακοδέρματά τινα θαλάσσια έν πάση τῆ Ἐρυθρᾶ θαλάσση έχείνη, πίνναι ὀνομαζόμενα. Ίστανται οὖν αί πίνναι ἀεὶ κεκηνυῖαι, καὶ ἐκδεγόμεναι βοώματα εἰς τροφήν αὐτῶν. Έν γοῦν τῷ ἴστασθαι αὐτὰς κεχηνυίας καὶ ἐκδεχομένας τὴν ἑαυτῶν τροφὴν, συχνῶν γενομένων έκεισε των άστραπων, κατέρχεται ή άστραπή πρὸς την πίνναν, καὶ εύρίσκουσα τὰ τῆς πίννης ὄστρακα άνεωγμένα, εἰσέρχεται εἰς αὐτήν ή δὲ πίννα, εὐθὺς συστελλομένη καὶ συσφιγγομένη καθ' έαυτην, έντὸς αὐτῆς ἀπο κλείει την αστοαπήν. Η δε αστοαπή, τυλισσομένη είς τούς φοοβείους τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν τῆς πίννης, καταλαμπούνει αὐτοὺς, καὶ ποιεῖ αὐτοὺς μαργαρίτας. Ἐξερχόμενοι δὲ μαργαρίται άπὸ τῆς πίννης, πίπτουσι πρὸς τὸν αίγιαλὸν τῆς θαλάσσης ἐκείνης, καὶ οὕτως εύρίσκουσιν αὐτοὺς οἱ γυρεύοντες αὐτούς. Οὕτως μοι νόει καὶ περὶ τῆς σαοχώσεως τοῦ Λόγου. Θάλασσα μὲν ὁ κόσμος, καὶ ἡ Παοθένος κογγύλη. Ίστατο δὲ ἡ Παρθένος καθάπερ πίννα έν τῷ ναῷ, ἐκδεχομένη τὸν οὐοάνιον ἄστον Χοιστὸν τὸν Θεὸν, δς καὶ κατελθών καὶ εἰσελθών ἐν αὐτῆ, ὡς ἀστραπὴ, καὶ έντετυλιχθεὶς έν ταῖς λαγόσι τῆς παρθενίας αὐτῆς, λαμπορειδή κατεσκεύασε την παναγίαν σάρκα, ην προσελάβετο σαρχωθείς καὶ ούτως έγεννήθη έξ αὐτῆς ώς καθαρός καὶ πολυτίμητος μαργαρίτης ὁ Λόγος τοῦ Θεοῦ χωρὶς ἄρρενος

¹ Migne, P. G., vol. XIII, col. 848 ff.

συνουσιασμού. Καὶ ὅσπες ὁ μαργαςίτης οὐςάνιός ἐστι καὶ ἐπίγειος, οὐςάνιος μὲν κατὰ τὴν ἀστραπὴν, ἐπίγειος δὲ κατὰ τὴν συμπλοκὴν τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν τῆς πίννης οὕτω καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς οὐςάνιός ἐστι καὶ ἐπίγειος οὐςάνιος μὲν κατὰ τὴν θεότητα, ἐπίγειος δὲ κατὰ ἀνθρωπότητα. Καὶ ὅσπες ὁ μαργαςίτης κατασκευάζεται ἄνευ συνουσίας ἄρρενος καὶ θήλεος ἐξ ἀστραπῆς καὶ τῆς πίννης, οὕτως καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς ἐγεννήθη ἄνευ συνουσιασμοῦ ἄρρενος καὶ θήλεος ἐκ τῆς θεό-

τητος, καὶ τῆς σαρκὸς τῆς Παρθένου.»1

«"Εμποροι, οἱ διὰ τούτου διερχόμενοι καὶ ζητοῦντες γνῶσίν τινα εύρεῖν. Πολλοὶ μὲν οὖν δοκοῦσι μαργαρῖται, αἱ τῶν πολλῶν σοφῶν δόξαι· εἰς δὲ ὁ πολύτιμος. Μία γὰρ ἡ ἀλήθεια, ἥτις ἐστὶν ὁ Χριστός. "Ωσπερ οὖν ὁ μαργαρίτης ἱστορεῖται γεννᾶσθαι ἐν ὀστρέφ, ῷπερ ἀνοίγοντι τὰς πτύχας, ἐνσκήπτει ἀστραπή· εἶτα πάλιν συγκλείοντος ταύτας, ἐκ τῆς ἀστραπῆς καὶ τῆς δρόσου συλλαμβάνεται ὁ μαργαρίτης, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο λευκότατός ἐστιν· οὕτω καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς ἐν τῆ Παρθένφ συνελήφθη ἐξ ἀστραπῆς τῆς ἄνωθεν, τοῦ άγίου Πνεύματος· καὶ ὥσπερ ὁ μαργαρίτην ἔχων, πολλάκις κατέχων τοῦτον ἐν τῆ χειρὶ, αὐτὸς μὲν οἶδε πόσον πλοῦτον ἔχει, ἄλλοι δὲ ἀγνοοῦσιν· οὕτω καὶ τὸ κήρυγμα ἐν τοῖς ἀφανέσι καὶ εὐτελέσι κρύπτεται. Δεῖ οὖν τοῦτον τὸν μαργαρίτην κτᾶσθαι, πάντα διδόντας.»²

Jerome draws from the same Biblical passage the conclusion that the purchase of the pearl makes all the other gems of less value: "Bonae margaritae, quas quaerit institor, Lex et prophetae sunt. Audi, Marcion; audi, Manichaee: bonae margaritae sunt Lex et prophetae, et notitia veteris Instrumenti. Unum autem est pretiosissimum margaritum, scientia Salvatoris, et sacramentum passionis illius, et resurrectionis arcanum. Quod cum invenerit homo negotiator, similis Pauli apostoli, omnia legis prophetarumque mysteria, et observationes pristinas, in quibus inculpate vixerat,

¹ *Ibid.*, vol. XXVIII, col. 790 ff. ² *Ibid.*, vol. CXXIII, col. 289.

quasi purgamenta contemnit et quisquilias, ut Christum lucrifaciat. Non quo inventio novae margaritae condemnatio sit veterum margaritarum: sed quo com-

paratione ejus omnis alia gemma vilior sit."

We do not possess, it seems, an Arabic version of the Coptic Homily on the Virgin, but that such existed follows from the story of the pearl in The Book of the Marvels of India: "Many sailors have told me of the famous pearl known under the name of yaṭāmah (بتيمة), because it has not its like in the world. The one who knew most about its history told me that there was a man at Oman, by the name of Moslim Ben Bišr. He was an honest and decent man. His business was to fit out the pearl divers. He had a little property, but his business with the divers went so badly that he lost nearly all his property and one day was left without any resources, as he had neither any valuables, nor stuffs, nor any other object which he could turn into money, except his wife's bracelet, worth a hundred dinars. 'Give it to me,' he said to his wife, 'so that I may get with its price enough money with which to fit out a new lot of divers. Maybe God will favor us with some lucky stroke of fortune.' 'Go,' said his wife, 'you have not left us any object of value with which to save ourselves from embarrassment. We are lost and reduced to beggary. Let us at least live on what the bracelet will bring, rather than lose it at sea.' But the husband knew a pawnbroker to whom he took and sold the bracelet. He used all the money to fit out some divers, with whom he went to the fisheries. It was agreed, according to the custom of the place, that the fishery should last two months, and no more. For fifty-nine days the men dived and brought up the shells and opened them, without finding anything.

¹ Ibid., P. L., vol. XXVI, col. 94 f.

On the sixtieth day they dived in the name of Iblis-God curse it—and this time they brought up a pearl of great value. Possibly it was worth as much as Moslim had possessed since the day of his birth. 'See what we have found in the name of Iblis.' Moslim took the pearl, crushed it to powder and threw it into the sea. 'Well,' said the divers, 'is that the way you do? You have nothing now, and you are reduced to dire extremity. You had the luck to find a splendid pearl which was worth thousands of dinars, and you crush it to dust!' 'By the glory of God!' he answered, 'How could I allow myself to draw profit from anything obtained in the name of Iblis? God would not bless it. He simply let this pearl fall into my hands, in order to try me and to give me a chance to prove my faith. If I kept it, you would always follow the example of diving in the name of Iblis, -a sin whose gravity could not be atoned for by the greatest profit. By the One God! Even if I had all the pearls of the sea. I would not want them at this price. Go, dive once more, and say-In the name of God and His benediction!' So the divers dived according to his direction, and the evening prayer of that day, which was the sixtieth, was not vet said, when they laid their hands on two pearls, one of which was the yaţīmah and the other of a much lesser value. Moslim took them both to the Khalif Rašīd, to whom he sold the yatīmah for 70000 dirhems and the small one for 30000, and returned to Oman with 100000 dirhems. He built himself a large house, bought some property, and acquired wealth. His house is well known at Oman. That is the story of the pearl yatīmah."

The finding of two pearls, one of greater value, and one of lesser, the disposing of the bracelet, in order

¹P. A. Van der Lith, *Livre des merveilles de l'Inde*, Leide 1883-1886, p. 134 ff.

to get the priceless pearl, the connection of the priceless pearl with God,—all these are the Christian conceptions of the pearl, as explained in Origen and in others. yatīmah is derived from the root متم yatāma "he was alone, he was orphaned," which shows that it was another translation of Sansk. muktā "separated." In reality this is only popular etymology, for the Christian origin of the story shows that we have here Gr. (μαργαρίτης) τίμιος "the precious pearl." In the story of the Virgin Birth of Christ the Arabs would have naturally used the word & bikr for "Virgin," because this also means "unperforated pearl," which fits the But & bakr also means "one who sense perfectly. rises in the morning," hence this unavoidably led to the birth of the pearl in the morning, with which we shall soon meet in all sources affected by the Arabic.

Mas'ūdī has a sober account of the pearl in his Meadows of Gold: "The pearl fisheries take place in the Persian Gulf from the beginning of April until the end of September. It stops during the other months. In our previous works we have mentioned all the places in this ocean where there are any fisheries, because the pearls are found only in the Sea of Abyssinia, in the country of Kharek, Kotor, Oman, Ceylon, and other points of this region. We also spoke there of the manner in which the pearl is formed, and of the various opinions expressed in regard to this matter, some making them come from rain, and others attributing to it a different origin. We said that the pearls were known as the old and the new, called al-mahār (البليل) and known under the name of al-balbal (البليل). As to the animal itself, it is composed of a conglomeration of flesh and fat which is found in the shell. It fears for the pearl the approach of the divers, as a mother would fear for its child."

We found the balbal before in Athenaeus² as βέρδερι. This is apparently the inanimate Singhalese plural of bellā "oyster," that is, bellāval, which is recorded in the dictionaries as bello, since it is an animate object. We have in Singhalese belisippiya "oyster shell," where sippiya is found in Arabic as "sabiyyah "pearl, as brought up by the diver." But "sabiyyah "pearl, as brought up by the diver." But "alian maḥār, maḥārah "oyster, mother of pearl shell" is the Pers. muhra "a kind of small shell resembling pearls," already discussed. This Arab. "ala maḥār became confounded with "aqr "cymbals," because "ala maḥārah also means "cavity" and "aqr "he hollowed out." Hence we get LLat. nacara "cymbals" and also "mother of pearl," hence OFr. nacaire "small drum, cymbals," and nacle, nacre "mother of pearl," etc.

Arab. της and became, like Margareta, a common name for a woman, as, for example, in the Arabian nights. In this capacity there was not lost sight of the fact that, as we have found it stated in Greek authors, the pearl cannot be polished from what it is in its natural state and "would show that its beauty was obtained by fraud:" hence we have the harz Murŷānah "the Morgan talisman," "so called because it was worn by a king's concubine, which had the power to make her loved by the king, although she was far from being beautiful. When she was dying and was in the hands of the washers of the dead, the king

¹C. B. de Meynard and P. de Courteille, Les prairies d'or, Paris 1861, vol. I, p. 328 f.

² See p. 126.

142 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

wanted to see her for the last time, but he found her ugly. Then the washers took the talisman and put it upon her, and, although she was an old woman, the king found her beautiful and fell in love with her and wanted to marry her. She bore him two sons." It is this talismanic property of the pearl or *Morgan* that produced the *Fée Morgain* of the mediaeval romances.²

¹ E. Doutté, *Magie et religion dans l'Afrique du Nord*, Alger 1909, p. 153. ² I leave it to Mr. Phillips Barry to work out this interesting development of the harz Murganah.

XV. THE PEARL IN THE PHYSIOLOGUS.

The Ethiopic version of the pearl story runs as follows: "When the jeweler wants to find a pearl, he drops a net into the ocean and ties his hook to it, and approaches the place where the pearl is found, and there stops. While allowing the hook to follow the pearl, he makes no vacillating motion, since he knows the uncleanliness of its place, and thus he carefully takes possession of the pearl. But how is the pearl engendered? It is a bird, called $Berg\bar{a}n\bar{o}$, which arises from the sea toward the east, and opens its mouth and swallows the dew of heaven at the rising of the sun and moon and stars. And of all these rays the pearl is made. And Bergānā is a bird which has two wings, with which it surrounds the pearl as in a womb. And this resembles our Saviour who is born without semen. from the Virgin alone, of whom John the Baptist said: 'Behold the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world' (John I. 29). But the pearl removes the uncleanliness of the sea, and the two wings are like the New and the Old Testaments. And the sun, moon, and stars, and dew resemble the Holy Ghost, who gives light to all, and whose might and law fills everything. For the pearl is precious, and whosoever desires it, sells everything which he has, and acquires the same for it. But you, oh free man, sell all your goods and give it to the poor, so that you may acquire the precious pearl, which is Christ, the Sun of justice which enlightens the whole world."1

¹F. Hommel, Die aethiopische Uebersetzung des Physiologus, Leipzig 1877, p. 90.

This Ethiopic version shows not only the influence of the Greek *Physiologus*, but also of a preceding Arabic The bird $berg\bar{a}n\bar{a}$, which corresponds to the Gr. ὄστρεος, is Arab. مرحان marģān "the pearl," which, because of the reference to two wings, was taken to be a bird. There is no mention in this Ethiopic version of the achates, although the title reads "Of the Stone $Ak\bar{u}t\bar{\iota}s$." Apparently the original Arabic story had a sober account of the fetching of pearls by divers who descended by a rope, and the story of the achates grew out, not only from the association of this precious stone with the pearl in Ceylon, but also from the fact that a diver is called in Arabic غطاس gattās "one who dives to the bottom of water to fetch the shells that contain pearls." But the verb غطس gatasa also means "to baptize," and this at once suggested the symbolization of the agate as John the Baptist, who comes to prepare the way for Christ. Indeed, some Latin versions specifically refer to this, and John the Baptist was represented with the ovster shell in his hands.¹

The positive proof that the story of the pearl was originally an Arabic story of the Virgin Mary and the Birth of Christ is given by the account in the Cod. Reg. 2 C. XII: "De mermecolion et de naturis eius. De sancta Maria et filio eius Iesu Christo. Item lapis est in mari, qui dicitur latine mermecolion, grece conca sabea, quia concavus est et rotundus. Est autem in duas partes divisus, ita ut cum voluerit claudat. Hic ergo de fundo maris in matutinis horis ascendere dicitur. Ergo cum ascenderit de loco suo super mare, aperit os suum et suscipit intra se de rore celi et circumfulget eum radiis solis et sic fit intra eum margarita preciosa et splendida valde, quippe que rore

¹ C. Cahier et A. Martin, op. cit., vol. IV, p. 69 f.

celi concepta est et radio solis clarificata. Lapis ergo iste qui dicitur conchus, figuram gerit Sancte Marie, de qua prophetavit Ysaias dicens (11, 1): 'Exiet virga de radice Iesse.' Et iterum ipse (Jes. 7, 14): 'Ecce virgo concipiet in utero et pariet.' De qua virga et virgo Sancta Maria est dicta. Flos vero qui de Sancta Maria natus est, Dominus Deus Noster Iesus Christus est. Sicut enim de mari ascendit ille lapis, sic Sancta Maria ascendit de domo patris sui ad templum Dei et ibi accepit rorem celestem, hec sunt verba, que dicta sunt ad eam ab archangelo Gabriele (Luc. 1, 35): 'Spiritus Domini superveniet in te et virtus altissimi obumbrabit tibi, ideoque et quod nascetur ex te sanctum, vocabitur filius Dei.' Ecce hi sermones sunt ros celestis, sicut ante Iacob patriarcha sanctus benedicens filium suum, significans quia Christus ex semine eius nasceretur, ait ad eum dicens (Gen. 27, 28): 'Det tibi Deus de rore celi et de ubertate terre,' castam atque intactam virginem Mariam significans; matutinis autem horis, quod dixit, tempus orationis in matutinis describit. Quod autem aperit os suum conchus, significat ubi dicit Maria ad angelum (Luc. 1, 38): 'Ecce ancilla Domini, fiat michi secundum verbum tuum' et statim accepit spiritum sanctum in se et virtus altissimi tanquam sol iusticie clarificavit eam atque in eo quod natum est ex ea, vita est et 'lux venit que illuminat omnem hominem venientem in hunc mundum' (Joh. 1, 9). Et Paulus: 'qui est splendor glorie et imago substancie eius' (Hebr. 1, 3). Et alibi: 'in quo complacuit omnem plenitudinem divinitatis inhabitare' (Kol. 1.19). De ista igitur margarita legitur in ewangelio, quia 'simile est regnum celorum homini negociatori querenti bonas margaritas. Inventa autem una bona margarita vendidit omnem substantiam suam et possedit margaritam' (Matth. 13, 45, 46). Iste autem negociator est utique chorus apostolorum.

Omnes enim apostolos unum negociatorem dicit propter unitatem fidei. Etenim 'non est iudeus, neque grecus. neque servus, neque liber, neque [s]citha, neque barbarus, neque masculus, neque femina, omnes enim unum sumus in Christo Iesu' (Kol. 3, 11). Idem ergo bonus et sapiens negociator sanctus chorus apostolorum, querit bonas margaritas, hoc est lex et propheta, sive omnis anima credens in Deum. Querit istas bonas margaritas, hoc est apostolos et prophetas et patriarchas, per quos possit ad illam veram et preciosam pervenire margaritam. Isti sunt lapides sancti, qui volvuntur super terram. Cum ergo istos memoratos ille bonus negociatur invenerit illam preciosam margaritam, id est Dominum Nostrum Iesum Christum, filium Dei vivi, emit venditis omnibus facultatibus suis, id est contempnens et aspernens non solum istius vite substantiam, set eciam uxorem et filios et omnem cognationem carnalem, insuper et corpus suum et animam, sicut veritas dicit (Matth. 10, 39): 'Quicumque perdiderit animam suam propter me, inveniet eam.' Hec omnia videns apostolorum chorus, non aurum accipit, neque argentum, sicut ait beatus Petrus ad illum claudum stipem petentem (Ap. G. 3, 6): 'Argentum, inquid, et aurum non est michi, quod autem habeo, hoc tibi do. In nomine Domini Nostri Iesu Christi surge et ambula.' Et Paulus dicit: 'omnia, inquid, quecumque michi erant lucra, hec propter Christum arbitratus eum dampna, propter eminentem innocentiam Christi' (Phil. 3, 8). Quis ergo consideranter contempserit omnes facultates suas uxoremque et filios et omnem cognationem suam, insuper et corpus et animam propter unius margarite adquisicionem; nisi certissime confisus fuerit et crediderit posse se per unam margaritam adquirere, satis maiores et meliores divitiarum facultates precellentioremque honorem insuper et glorie coronam? Que

omnia ille negociator possidet, qui est apostolorum chorus per unum illum lapidem preciosum Dominum Iesum Christum, qui est vera margarita, via et veritas et vita nostra. Denique audi ipsum in ewangelio dicente (Luc. 10, 19): 'Ecce, inquid, vobis dedi potestatem spirituum inmundorum et calcandi super (omnes) serpentes et scorpiones et super omnem virtutem diabolicam et sanare omnes lang[u]ores et omnes infirmitates.' Et iterum: 'Euntes praedicate, quoniam appropinquavit regum celorum. Infirmos curate, leprosos mundate, cecos illuminate, mortuos suscitate, demonia eicite' (Matth. 10, 1, 8). Videte nunc quam inestimabilis sit ista margarita sanctis martiribus, qui non solum cum in hac vita essent, set eciam post huius vite excessum mira egerunt, sicut nunc videmus quomodo in obsessis corporibus spiritus inmundi illorum virtute et potestate torquentur et cruciantur et invisibilibus flagris verberantur quousque eiciantur et effugentur ab hominibus, sicut ipsi demones audientibus nobis exclamant vociferantes et rogant eos, ut cessent torquere eos, tamen ut sunt varii et multiformes, alii clamantes, alii rugientes, et sicut serpentes sibilant et fugantur ab obsessis corporibus hominum per apostolorum atque omnium sanctorem virtutes, que illis secundum merita sua a Domine date sunt. Honorem vero illum transcendentem et supereminentem omnibus terrenis honoribus sortiti sunt ab illo precioso lapide, pro quo omnia sua dimiserunt, ut illum celestem thesaurum possiderent qui aiunt ad Salvatorem (Matth. 19, 27 f.): 'Ecce nos quidem dimisimus uxores et filios et omnes possessiones propter te, quid facies nobis in regno tuo?' Et ille dicit eis: 'Amen dico vobis, cum sederit filius hominis in sede maiestatis sue ad iudicandum orbem terre, sedebitis et vos super sedes XII iudicantes XII tribus Israel.' Unde satis confidens Paulus apostolus dicit (1. Kor. 6, 2): 'Scitis

quoniam angelos iudicabimus? Et in nobis iudicabitur hic mundus.' Tanta enim gloria et tanto honore remuneratus est apostolorum chorus, ut eciam in hoc seculo adhuc positus legitimus ille athleta Christi Paulus previderit in celis iusticie sue coronam sicut exultans ait (2. Tim. 4, 7 f.): 'Bonum certamen certavi, cursum consummavi, fidem servavi; de cetero reposita est michi corona iusticie, quam reddet mihi Dominus in illa die iustus iudex; non solum autem michi set et omnibus qui deligunt presenciam regni eius.' Talem coronam merentur a Christo beatorum apostolorum chori, talem retributionem recipiunt pro cor[r]uptibilibus.''¹

Mermecolion is, of course, a blunder, since it is a totally different animal. Here it is merely a misread Arab. مرحلن margān, taken as مرحلن margalan, which produced mermecolion. Similarly sabea is Arab. sabiyyah "shell," which I have already discussed. There cannot be the slightest doubt, therefore, that this Homily on the Virgin Mary is based on an Arabic text. This is brought out most strongly in the sentence, "matutinis autem horis, quod dixit, tempus orationis in matutinis describit," which, like the preceding "hic ergo de fundo maris in matutinis horis ascendere dicitur," points to an insertion, since everything else ought to have similarly had "quod dixit" or "dicitur." In reality the first sentence is conditioned by the preceding statement, "castam atque intactam virginem Mariam significans," which in Arabic would have had the word $\lesssim bikr$ "virgin, unperforated pearl."

But the Latin version is also based on a Syriac source, no doubt through an Arabic intermediary. "Iste autem negociator est utique chorus apostolorum.

¹ M. F. Mann, Der Bestiaire divin des Guillaume le Clerc, Heilbronn 1888, in Französische Studien, vol. VI², p. 71 ff.

Omnes enim apostolos unum negociatorem dicit propter unitatem fidei." This is based on Ephraem's Fifth Rhythm of The Pearl: "Oh, gift that camest up without price with the diver! Thou laidest hold upon this visible light, that without price riseth for the children of men: a parable of the hidden One that without price giveth the hidden Day-spring! And the painter too painteth a likeness of thee with colours. Yet by thee is faith painted in types and emblems for colours, and in the place of the image by thee and thy colours is thy Creator painted. O thou frankincense without smell, who breathest types from out of thee! thou art not to be eaten, yet thou givest a sweet smell unto them that hear thee! thou art not to be drunk, yet by thy story, a fountain of types art thou made unto the ears! It is thou who art great in thy littleness, O pearl! Small is thy measure and little thy compass with thy weight, but great is thy glory: to that crown alone in which thou art placed, there is none like. And who hath not perceived of thy littleness, how great it is: if one despiseth thee and throweth thee away, he would blame himself for his clownishness, for when he saw thee in a king's crown he would be attracted to thee. Men with their clothes off dived and drew thee out. pearl! It was not kings that put thee before men, but those naked ones who were a type of the poor and the fishers and the Galileans; for clothed bodies were not able to come to thee; they came that were stript as children; they buried their bodies and came down to thee, and thou didst much desire them, and thou didst aid them who thus loved thee. Glad tidings did they give for thee: their tongues before their bosoms did the poor [fishers] open and produced and shewed the new riches among the merchants: upon the wrists of men they put thee as a medicine of life. The naked ones in a type saw thy rising again by the seashore:

and by the side of the lake they, the Apostles, truly naked, saw the rising again of the Son of thy Creator. By thee and by thy Lord the sea and the lake were ornamented. The diver came up from the sea and put on his clothing! and from the lake too Simon Peter came up swimming and put on his coat: clad as with coats, with the love of both of you, were either party. And since I have wandered in thee, pearl, I will gather up my mind, and by having contemplated thee, would become like thee, in that thou art all gathered up into thyself, and as thou in all times art one, one let me become by thee! Pearls have I gathered together that I might make a crown for the Son in the place of stains which are in my members. Receive my offering, not that Thou art shortcoming; it is because of mine own shortcoming that I have offered it to Thee. Whiten my stains! This crown is all spiritual pearls, which instead of gold are set in love, and instead of ouches in faith: and instead of hands, let praise offer it up to the Highest!"1

This whole Rhythm is based on the punning of slīħā "naked, apostle," which runs through several of the Rhythms. It will be observed that in this hymn there is no reference to the morning conception of the pearl, nor is there a word about it in any other of Ephraem's Rhythms, nor in his very elaborate Sermo adversus haereticos, which is dealing with the pearl. In the Rhythm under discussion there is, however, an important reference to the pearl being "the One," because Christ is one, an idea which was made imperative from Matth. XIII. 45. Several contemporary Greek and Latin Fathers have the same idea. Thus Clement of Alexandria says, «ἐν πολλοῖς γὰρ τοῖς μαργαρίταις τοῖς μικροῖς ὁ εἶς», and, in another

¹ J. B. Morris, Select Works of S. Ephrem the Syrian, Oxford 1847, p. 97 f. ² Stromata, I. 1, in Migne, P. G., vol. VIII, col. 705.

place, he makes it clear that "the one" is Christ: «ὁ δὲ πολυτίμητος μαργαρίτης ὑπερφυῶς τῆ γυναικωνίτιδι εἰσεκώμασε· γίνεται δὲ οὖτος ἐν ὀστρίφ τινὶ παραπλησίφ ταῖς πίνναις· μέγεθος δὲ ἡλίκος ἰχθύος ὀφθαλμὸς, εὐμεγέθης· καὶ οὐκ αἰσχύνονται αὶ κακοδαίμονες, περὶ ὄστριον ὀλίγον τοῦτο τὴν πᾶσαν σπουδὴν πεποιημέναι, ἐξὸν άγίφ κοσμεῖσθαι λίθφ, τῷ Λόγφ τοῦ Θεοῦ, ὃν Μαργαρίτην ἡ Γραφὴ κέκληκέ που, τὸν διαυγῆ καὶ καθαρὸν Ἰησοῦν, τὸν ἐν σαρκὶ ἐπόπτην ὀφθαλμὸν, τὸν Λόγον τὸν διαφανῆ, δι' ὁν ἡ σὰρξ τιμία ὕδατι ἀναγεννωμένη· καὶ γὰρ τὸ ὄστριον ἐκεῖνο ἐν ὕδατι γιγνόμενον, περιστέγει τὴν σάρκα· ἐκ δὲ

ταύτης ὁ μαργαρίτης κυΐσκεται».1

The same thing is implied by Hilary: "Est uia per Movsen, est per Iesum, est per Dauid, est per Esaiam, est per Ieremiam, est per apostolos; et per has omnes necesse est ad eum perueniri, qui dixit: e g o s u m uia et nemo uadit ad patrem nisi per m e. Simile quiddam sub margaritae nomine dictum esse intellegendum est. Multarum enim margaritarum negotiatorem esse oportet, ut unam margaritam multi pretii consequatur. De margaritis cum fit sermo, sufficit ad honorem earum, quod margaritae sunt nuncupatae; una autem illa margarita, quae reperta est, magni esse pretii dicitur; ita et cum de uiis plurimis prophetatur, quod et domini et aeternales sint, dictum est; cum autem in his uiis quaeritur, quae sit utilis uia, ea, quae reperta est, optima praedicatur. Ergo, quamuis uel illae uiae aeternales sunt uel margaritae ipso suo nomine honorabiles sunt, in multis uiis standum est, ut bona uia reperiatur, et uendendae omnes margaritae sunt, ut ea, quae multi pretii est reperta, coematur."2

There is a letter, ascribed to Hilary, in which there is similarly reference to the one pearl, but this letter

¹ Paedagogi, II. 12, ibid., col. 540.

² Tractatus in Psalmum CXVIII, Aleph. 10, in CSEL., vol. XXII, p. 365 f.

is unquestionably of a later date, since it contains the development of the pearl into a life-saving amulet, and so is the predecessor of the harz Muráānah: "Dilectissimae filiae Abrae Hilarius in Domino salutem. (1) Abra patris absentiam dolet.—Accepi litteras tuas, in auibus intelligo desiderantem te mei esse: et certum ita habeo. Sentio enim quantum praesentia horum qui Et quia gravem tibi esse amantur optabilis sit. absentiam meam scirem, ne me forte impium esse erga te existimares, qui tam diu a te abessem: excusare tibi et profectionem meam et moras volui, ut intelligeres me non impie tibi, sed utiliter deesse. Namque cum te, filia, ut unicam, ita, quantum a me est, et unanimem habeam; vellem te pulcherrimam omnium et sanissimam vivere.

"(2) Hilarius abest, ut vestem et margaritam pretiosam filiae conquirat.—Nuntiatum ergo mihi est, esse quemdam juvenem, habentem margaritam et vestem inaestimabilis pretii: quam si quis ab eo posset mereri, super humanas divitias et salutem et dives et salvus fieret. Ad hunc his auditis profectus sum: ad quem cum per multas et longas et difficiles vias venissem, videns eum statim procidi. Adest enim tam pulcher juvenis, ut ante conspectum ejus nemo audeat consistere. Qui ubi me procidisse vidit, interrogari me jussit quid vellem, et quid rogarem: et ego respondi, audisse me de veste sua et margarita, et ob id venisse; et si eam mihi dignaretur praestare, esse mihi filiam quam vehementer diligerem, cui hanc vestem atque margaritam quaererem. Et inter haec prostratus in faciem fleo plurimum, et noctibus ac diebus ingemiscens, rogo uti audire dignaretur precem meam.

"(3) Vestis haec et margarita quanti facienda.— Post quae, quia bonus est juvenis et melius illo nihil est, ait mihi, Nosti hanc vestem atque hanc margaritam, quam a me lacrymis rogas uti eam filiae tuae concedam? Et ego respondi illi, Domine, auditu cognovi de ipsis, et fide credidi: et scio quia optimae sunt, et salus vera est hac veste uti, et hac margarita ornari. Et statim ministris suis praecepit, ut mihi et vestem hanc et margaritam ostenderent: et confestim ita fit. Ac vestem primo vidi: vidi, filia, vidi quod eloqui non possum. Numquid non sericum secundum subtilitatem ejus spartum erat? Numquid candori ejus nives comparatae non nigrescebant? Numquid aurum juxta fulgorem ejus non lividatur? Ipsa enim multicolor, et nibil prorsus comparatum ei poterat aequari. Post quam vidi margaritam: qua visa statim concidi. Non enim potuerunt oculi mei sustinere tantum eius colorem. Nam nec coeli, nec lucis, nec maris, nec terrae species pulcritudini ejus poterat comparari.

"(4) Utriusque commoda et dotes.—Et cum prostratus jacerem, ait mihi quidam de assistentibus, Video te sollicitum et bonum patrem esse, et hanc vestem atque hanc margaritam ad filiam tuam desiderare: sed ut magis desideres, ostendo tibi quid adhuc haec vestis atque margarita boni habeat. Vestis haec numquam tineis comeditur, non usu atteritur, non sorde inficitur, non vi scinditur, non damno amittitur: sed semper talis qualis est permanet. Margaritae vero haec virtus est, ut si quis eam induerit, non aegrotet, non senescat, non moriatur. Nihil omnino in se habet, quod sit noxium corpori: sed utenti ea nihil accidit, quod aut mortem afferat, aut aetatem demutet, aut impediat sanitatem. Quod ubi audivi, filia, exanimari magis desiderio margaritae et vestis istius coepi: et sicut prostratus jacebam, indeficienti fletu et intenta oratione juvenem precari coepi, dicens: Domine sancte, miserere preci meae, et miserere sollicitudini et vitae meae. Si enim hanc vestem mihi et margaritam non concedis, miser

futurus sum, filiamque meam viventem perditurus: ego propter hanc vestem et margaritam peregrinari volo. Scis, Domine, quia tibi non mentior.

"(5) Hilarii filiae promittuntur, modo vana ornamenta abjiciat.—Post quam vocem meam audivit, jubet me levare; et ait mihi, moverunt me preces et lacrymae tuae, et bene est quod hoc credidisti. quia dixisti, te pro hac margarita ipsam vitam tuam velle impendere, non possum eam tibi negare: scire debes propositum et voluntatem meam. Vestis, quam ego dedero, talis est, ut si quis voluerit veste alia colorata et serica et aurata uti, vestem meam capere non possit. Sed illi dabo eam, quae contenta sit, non serico habitu, sed nativis coloribus et insumptuoso textu vestiri: ita ut propter consuetudinem, purpuram perangustam vestis habeat: non etiam purpura ipsa diffundatur in vestem. Margarita vero, quam a me petis, naturae eius est, ut habere eam nemo possit, qui margaritam aliam habuerit: quia aliae margaritae aut de terra aut de mari sunt; mea autem, ut ipse tu vides, speciosa et pretiosa est, incomparabilis et coelestis est, nec dignatur ibi esse ubi aliae sunt. Non enim rebus meis convenit cum rebus hominis: quia qui veste mea et margarita utitur, in aeternum sanus est; non febre exardescit, non vulneri patet, non annis demutatur, non morte dissolvitur; aequalis enim semper et aeternus est. Ego tamen hanc vestem et hanc margaritam meam petenti tibi dabo, ut eam filiae tuae perferas. Sed prius scire debes quid velit filia tua. Si se huius vestis et margaritae meae dignam faciat, id est, si vestes sericas et auratas et infectas habere noluerit, si omnem margaritam alteram oderit; tunc haec quae me rogas tibi praestabo.

"(6) Filiam hortatur ut modestis utatur vestibus.— Post quam vocem, filia, laetus exsurgo, et secretum hoc habens, hanc ad te epistolam feci: rogans te per multas lacrymas meas, ut te huic vesti et margaritae reserves, neque miserum senem tali damno tuo facias. si hanc vestem et hanc margaritam non habueris. Testor autem tibi, filia, Deum coeli et terrae, quia nihil hac veste atque hac margarita pretiosius est; et tui juris est, ut hanc habeas. Tu modo, si quando tibi vestis alia afferatur, vel serica, vel infecta, vel deaurata, dicito ei qui tibi offert: Ego vestem alteram exspecto, propter quam pater meus a me tam diu peregrinatur, quam mihi quaerit, quam non possum habere si hanc habuero. Sufficit mihi lana ovis meae, sufficit mihi color quem natura attulit, sufficit mihi textus insumptuosus: caeterum vestem illam desidero. quae dicitur non absumi, non atteri, non scindi. At vero si tibi margarita offeratur aut suspendenda collo, aut digito coaptandà, dices ita, Non mihi impedimento sint istae inutiles et sordidae margaritae: sed exspecto illam pretiosissimam, pulcherrimam et utilissimam. Credo patri meo, quia et ille ei, qui hanc spopondit sibi, credidit, propter quam mihi significavit se etiam mori velle: hanc exspecto, hanc desidero, quae mihi praestabit salutem et aeternitatem.

"(7) Filiae exspectat rescriptum. Hymnus matutinus et serotinus. Abrae mater.—Ergo, filia, subveni sollicitudini meae, et hanc epistolam meam semper lege, et huic vesti et margaritae te reserva. Et ipsa tu mihi, nullum interrogans, quibuslibet potes litteris rescribe, utrum vesti huic et margaritae te reserves, ut sciam quid juveni illi respondeam: et ut si illam desideras, si exspectas, laetus possim ad te reditum cogitare. Cum autem mihi rescripseris, tunc tibi et ego quis sit hic juvenis, et qualis sit, et quid velit, et quid promittat, et quid possit, indicabo. Interim tibi hymnum matutinum et serotinum misi, ut memor mei semper sis. Tu vero si minus per aetatem hymnum

et epistolam intellexeris, interroga matrem tuam, quae optat ut te moribus suis genuerit Deo. Deus qui te genuit, hic et in aeternum custodiat opto, filia desideratissima."

All the Latin writers who commented upon Matthew XIII. 45, 46 have brought out the same idea, that the pearl is the "One," that is, Christ: "Quaestio est cur a numero plurali ad singularem transierit, ut cum quaerat homo bonas margaritas, unam inveniat pretiosam, quam venditis omnibus quae habet, emat. Aut ergo iste bonos homines quaerens, cum quibus utiliter vivat, invenit unum prae omnibus sine peccato, mediatorem Dei et hominum, hominem Christum Jesum: aut praecepta quaerens, quibus servatis cum hominibus recte conversetur, invenit dilectionem proximi, in quo uno dicit Apostolus omnia contineri; ut, Non occides, non moechaberis, non furaberis, non falsum testimonium dices, et si quod est aliud mandatum, singulae margaritae sint, quae in hoc sermone recapitulantur, Diliges proximum tuum tanquam teipsum. Aut bonos intellectus homo quaerit, et invenit unum illud quo cuncti continentur, in principio Verbum, et Verbum apud Deum, et Verbum Deum, lucidum candore veritatis, et solidum firmitate aeternitatis, et undique sui simile pulchritudine divinitatis, qui Deus, penetrata carnis testudine, intelligendus est. enim ad margaritam ipsam jam pervenerat, quae in tegumentis mortalitatis, quasi concharum obstaculo, in profundo hujus saeculi, atque inter duritias saxeas Judaeorum aliquando latuerat: ille ergo ad ipsam margaritam jam pervenerat, qui ait, Et si noveramus Christum secundum carnem, nunc jam non novimus. Nec ullus

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. X, col. 549 ff.

omnino intellectus margaritae nomine dignus est, nisi ad quem discussis omnibus carnalibus tegminibus pervenitur, quibus sive per verba humana, sive per similitudines circumpositas operitur, ut purus et solidus et nusquam a se dissonans, certa ratione cernatur. Quos tamen omnes veros et firmos et perfectos intellectus unus ille continet, per quem facta sunt omnia, quod est Verbum Dei. Quodlibet autem horum trium sit. vel si aliquid aliud occurrere potuerit, quod margaritae unius et pretiosae nomine bene significetur, pretium ejus est nos ipsi: qui ad eam possidendam non sumus liberi, nisi omnibus pro nostra liberatione contemptis, quae temporaliter possidentur. Venditis enim rebus nostris, nullum earum majus accipimus pretium, quam nos ipsos; quia talibus implicati, nostri non eramus: ut rursus nos ipsos pro illa margarita demus, non quia tanti valeamus, sed quia plus dare non possumus."1

"Rursum coeleste regnum negotiatori homini simile dicitur, qui bonas margaritas quaerit, sed unam pretiosam invenit, quam videlicet inventam, omnia vendens emit, quia qui coelestis vitae dulcedinem, in quantum possibilitas admittit, perfecte cognoverit, ea quae in terris amaverat libenter cuncta derelinquit: in comparatione eius vilescunt omnia, deserit habita, congregata dispergit, inardescit in coelestibus animus, nil in terrenis libet, deforme conspicitur quidquid de terrenae rei placebat specie, quia sola pretiosae margaritae claritas fulget in mente."2

"Inventa una margarita pretiosa, omnia quae habuit vendidit; quia in comparatione coelestis vitae omnia habita vilescunt. Si vero sanctos homines scrutare vis, unum Jesum Christum, qui absque culpa est, omnibus meliorem invenies "3

¹ St. Augustine, Quaestiones septemdecim in Matthaeum, in Migne, P. L., vol. XXXV, col. 1371 f.

² Gregory, Homilia XII, ibid., vol. LXXVI, col. 1115.

³ Bede, In Matthaei evangelium expositio, ibid., vol. XCII, col. 69.

158 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

"Aliis quidem verbis, et alio loquendi genere idipsum repetere ornatissime videtur, non ut immutet eamdem sapientiam, sed ut introducat ad eam variis sententiarum aenigmatibus, audientes. Nisi quod solum videtur negotiatorem longe jam diu in lege versantem amplius designare, quamvis omnes qui fidem sectantur Christi generaliter possit haec parabola evidenter colligere. Nam in eo quod negotiatorem eum vocat, et quaerere bonas margaritas, multum diuque praenuntiat, et eos per speciem unius hominis designat, qui longo ac diutino labore ad margaritarum scientiam venire desiderant. Deinde cum jugi meditatione negotiatus fuerit in lege, et eas quae in lege sunt, deprehenderit intelligentias, tandem aliquando, inter eas quas repererat invenit unam et singularem margaritam Christum quam optabat. In cujus comparatione, licet bona sit lex, bona sit et prophetia, seu quae in his praecipua inveniuntur doctrinae ornamenta, homo negotiator similis Pauli apostoli, omnia legis prophetarumque mysteria et observationes pristinas, in quibus inculpate prius vixerat, quasi purgamenta contemnit et quisquilias, ut Christum lucrifaciat; quia pretiosissimum est margaritum, scientia Salvatoris, et sacramentum passionis illius, et resurrectionis arcanum. Non quia inventio hujus pretiosissimae margaritae condemnatio sit veterum margaritarum, sed quia in comparatione Christi omnis gemma, id est, legis et prophetiae intelligentia, sit vilior. Potest et per hunc gemmarum diligentissimum negotiatorem, universus Ecclesiae Christi ordo designari, ut ostendatur genus in specie, et regnum coelorum ipsa intelligatur Ecclesia, quae longe diu multumque laboravit in patriarchis, quasivit in Prophetis, quamvis latenter; quia necdum erat propalata sanctorum gloria. Tamen in eis multi gemmarum speciem afferebant, et praeornabant praefigurando Christum futuram Ecclesiam. Sed nemo in eis inventus est, qui pretium humanae redemptionis in se afferret, et ideo nemo inventus est lapis tam pretiosus propter quem omnia quae praesentis vitae sunt hic prudens negotiator venderet, tantum ut hanc solam acquireret gemmam, in qua sunt omnes thesauri sapientiae et scientiae, et pretium humanae salutis. Et ideo eo pretio emitur iste pretiosissimus lapis, quo supra in illa alia parabola emptus est ager et thesaurus. Non quod alii lapides quos quaesivit praesens negotiator, et forte invenit, boni non fuerint et pretiosi, sed in comparatione istius nemo bonus, quia omnes ex isto habent ut boni sint et pretiosi. Hic est calculus novus ex Apocalypsi Joannis, in quo nomen novum est conscriptum, quem nemo novit, nisi qui accipit. Unde oportet ut omnis umbra removeatur a sensu legis et Prophetarum, et sola veritas amplectatur; distrahatur praesens vita, et quae praesentiarum sunt, ut haec sola habeatur in qua omnia sunt bona et sempiterna sunt. Et notandum quia non dicitur praesens negotiator quantum emerit eam, sed tantum quicunque invenerit eam, quantum habuerit."1

We have already seen that Jerome similarly called the pearl "the One." Nowhere have we so far met even a distant reference to the pearl as unio. Had such a word existed in the Latin language, Jerome and St. Augustine could not possibly have missed this proof of the oneness of the pearl. St. Augustine quotes Pliny frequently, and Jerome specifically refers to the XXXVII. book of Pliny.² And yet we find unio in one

¹ Paschasius, Expositio in Matthaeum, ibid., vol. CXX, col. 504 f.

 $^{^2}$ "De natura autem duodecim lapidum atque gemmarum, non est hujus temporis dicere, cum et Graecorum plurimi scripserint et Latinorum. E quibus duos tantum nominabo, virum sanctae et venerabilis memoriae episcopum Epiphanium, qui insigne nobis ingenii et eruditionis suae reliquit volumen, quod inscripsit $\pi\epsilon\rho l$ $\lambda l\theta\omega r$; et Plinium secundum, eumdem apud Latinos oratorem et philosophum, qui in opere pulcherrimo naturalis historiae tricesimum septimum librum, qui et extremus est, lapidum atque gemmarum disputatione complevit," Commentarium in Isaiam prophetam, XV. 54, ibid., vol. XXIV, col. 523; "super quibus et vir sanctus Epiphanius

of Jerome's letters, namely to Rusticus Monachus. There can be little doubt that this letter is as much a forgery as the treatise on monks ascribed to Jerome. This CXXV. letter is clearly a cento from Jerome's writings, and the greater part of the introduction is an ill-digested elaboration from Jerome's works, which bears but a distant reference to the matter under discussion. A comparison of a few passages will show the manner in which the letter was composed.

Epistola CXXV

Non mihi nunc per virtutum prata ducendus est rivulus: nec laborandum, ut ostendam tibi variorum pulchritudinem florum: quid in se lilia habeant puritatis, quid rosa verecundiae possideat, quid violae purpura promittat in regno, quid rutilantium spondeat pictura gemmarum (Migne, P. L., vol.

XXII, col. 1073).

Navigantes Rubrum mare, in quo optandum nobis est, ut verus Pharao cum suo mergatur exercitu, multis difficultatibus ac periculis ad urbem Auxumam perveniunt. Utroque in littore Gentes vagae, imo belluae habitant ferocissimae. Semper sol-liciti, semper armati, totius anni vehunt cibaria. Latentibus saxis vadisque durissimis plena sunt omnia, ita ut speculator, et doctor in summa mali arbore sedeat, et inde regendae, et circumflectendae navis dictata praedicet. Felix cursus est, si post sex menses supradictae urbis portum teneant, a quo se incipit aperire Oceanus; per quem vix anno perpetuo ad Indiam pervenitur, et ad Gangem fluvium (quem Phison Sancta Scriptura commemorat) qui circumit totam terram Evila, et multa genera pigmentorum de paradisi dicitur fonte Quarum altera te per prata virentia, et varios divinorum Voluminum flores ducat ad eum qui dicit in Cantico: Ego flos campi, et lilium convallium (Ad Principiam Virginem, in Migne, P. L., vol. XXII, col. 624).

Evila, ubi aurum purissimum (quod Hebraice dicitur zaab et gemmae pretiosissimae, carbunculus, smaragdusque nascuntur. Est autem regio ad Orientem vergens, quam circumit de paradiso Phison egrediens: quem nostri, mutato nomine, Gangen vocant (Liber de

episcopus proprium volumen mihi praesens tradidit. Et XXXVII liber Plinii Secundi, Naturalis Historiae, post multiplicem omnium rerum scientiam, de gemmis et lapidibus disputat," Commentarium in Ezechielem, IX. 28, ibid., vol. XXV, col. 271.

devehere. Ubi nascitur carbunculus, et smaragdus; et margarita candentia, et uniones, quibus nobilium feminarum ardet ambitio (*ibid.*, col. 1073 f.).

Montesque aurei, quos adire propter gryphas, et dracones, et immensorum corporum monstra, hominibus impossibile est: ut ostendatur nobis, quales custodes habeat avaritia

(ibid., col. 1074).

Totum quod apprehensa manu insinuare tibi cupio, quod quasi doctus nauta, post multa naufragia, rudem conor instruere vectorem, illud est, ut in quo littore pudicitiae pirata sit noveris; ubi Charybdis, et radix omnium malorum avaritia; ubi Scyllaei obtrectatorum canes, de quibus Apostolus loquitur: Ne mordentes invicem, mutuo consumamini, quomodo in media tranquillitate securi, Lybicis interdum vitiorum Syrtibus obruamur; quid venenatorum animantium, desertum hujus saeculi nutriat (ibid., col. 1073).

situ et nominibus, ibid., vol. XXIII, col. 892).

Fison, quod interpretatur, caterva: fluvius quem nostri Gangen vocant, de paradiso exiens, epergens ad Indiae regiones, post quas erumpit in pelagus. Dicit autem Scriptura circumiri ab hoc universam regionem Evila, ubi aurum praecipuum nascitur et Carbunculus lapis et Prasinus (ibid., col. 897).

Dicuntur autem dracones in eis locis secretis, et terrarum abditis sinibus, vel maxime commorari, ubi metallum auri sit (Comment. in librum Job, ibid., vol. XXVI,

col. 795).

Et hoc ego, non integris rate, vel mercibus, nec quasi ignarus fluctuum doctus nauta praemoneo; sed quasi nuper naufragio ejectus in littus, timida navigaturis voce denuntio. In illo aestu Charybdis luxuriae, salutem vorat. Ibi ore virgineo, ad pudicitiae perpetranda naufragia, Scyllaeum renidens libido blanditur. Hic barbarum littus, hic diabolus pirata, cum sociis portat vincula capiendis (ibid., vol. XXII, col. 350 f.).

The last extract shows, beyond any possibility of mistake, the eclectic method of the forger. In Jerome the sirens wreck the "pudicitia" and the devil is the "pirata" who carries the fetters. In the letter to Rusticus Monachus the same "doctus nauta" tells the monk on what shore the "pirata pudicitiae" is, without defining either word. Hence the uniones of the same letter, which is found in neither extract from the Liber de situ et nominibus, originally by Eusebius, is a late word, certainly of no earlier date than the VIII. century.

We also have uniones in Tertullian's De cultu foeminarum, and it will be shown, from the comparison of

a passage in this work with a passage in Cyprian's Liber de habitu virginum, that the first, at least in the form in which we have it, is a forgery, based on the second.

Cyprian, Liber de habitu virginum, XIV.

Neque enim Deus coccineas aut purpureas oves fecit, aut herbarum succis et conchyliis tingere et colorare lanas docuit.

Nec distinctis auro lapillis et margaritis contexta serie et numerosa compage digestis monilia instituit, quibus cervicem quam fecit absconderes, ut operiatur illud quod Deus in homine formavit, et conspiciatur id desuper quod diabolus adinvenit.

An vulnera inferri auribus Deus voluit, quibus innocens adhuc infantia et mali saecularis ignara crucietur, ut postea de aurium cicatricibus et cavernis pretiosa grana dependeant, gravia etsi non suo pondere, mercium tamen quantitate?

Quae omnia peccatores et apostatae angeli suis artibus prodiderunt quando, ad terrena contagia devoluti, a coelesti vigore recesserunt (Migne, P. L., vol. IV, col. 452 f.).

Tertullian De cultu foeminarum, II. 10.

Nimirum enim Deus demonstravit succis herbarum et concharum salivis incoquere lanas. Exciderat illi, cum universa nasci juberet, purpureas et coccineas oves mandare: Deus et ipsarum vestium officinas commentus, quae leves et exiles solo pretio graves essent.

Deus et auri tanta opera produxit, complectendis et distinguendis la-

pillis.

Scrupulosa Deus auribus vulnera intulit, et tanti habuit vexationem operis sui et cruciatus infantiae tunc primum dolentis, ut ex illis ad ferrum nati corporis cicatricibus grana nescio quae penderent, quae plane Parthi per omnia quaeque sua bullarum vice inserunt, quanquam et aurum ipsum, cujus vos gloria occupat, cuidam genti ad vincula servire referunt gentilium litterae. Adeo non veritate bona sunt, sed raritate.

Artibus autem per angelos peccatores, qui et ipsas materias prodiderunt, inductis, operositas cum raritate commissa pretiositatem et ex ea libidinem possidendae pretiositatis foeminarum excitavit (Migne, P. L.,

vol. I, col. 1327 f.).

Obviously Tertullian could not have expanded on Cyprian, since the latter became a Christian 27 years after the death of the first. Cyprian could not have remodeled Tertullian, since the passage in Tertullian is silly and impossible in spots, whereas Cyprian's

passage is clear and consistent. Cyprian correctly speaks of the precious gems, "heavy, not in weight, but in costliness." Tertullian stupidly transfers this to the garments, "which are light and delicate, but heavy in cost," and so is compelled to speak of the gems "which are good not by their truth, but by rarity," in order to shine with the pun "veritate raritate."

Cyprian correctly speaks of the torture of infants whose ears are pierced so that they later may wear precious gems in their ears. Tertullian stupidly speaks of the wounds of the body "born for the iron," in which the Parthians insert grana in place of ornaments. One MS. reads, "quae plane Parthi peronibus quoque suis bullarum vice inserunt," "which the Parthians put in their boots in place of ornaments." Nowhere else do we hear of Parthians who do either of these things. The whole is due to a blundering reading of a passage of Solinus, which is itself of the VIII. century, since it refers to the tattooing of the Britons:1 "Regionem partim tenent barbari, quibus per artifices plagarum figuras iam inde a pueris variae animalium effigies incorporantur, inscriptisque visceribus hominis incremento pigmenti notae crescunt: nec quicquam mage patientiae loco nationes ferae ducunt, quam ut per memores cicatrices plurimum fuci artus bibant."2 The forger read partim as Parti and made out a race of Parthians who wore in the scarified wounds grana. whatever that meant to him, in place of ornaments. A later editor of the text misread "per omnia" as "peronibus," and had these gems placed in the boots of the Parthians. The forger of the First Book of the same De cultu foeminarum, who is posterior to the forger of the Second Book, expanded the Parthians

¹ See p. 339. ² XXII. 12.

into Medes and Parthians, and told the following impossible story, which ends with a sentence which makes no sense whatsoever: "Gemmarum quoque nobilitatem vidimus Romae de fastidio Parthorum et Medorum caeterorumque gentilium suorum, coram matronis erubescentem, nisi quod nec ad ostensionem fere habentur. Latent in circulis smaragdi, et cylindros vaginae suae solus gladius sub sinu novit, et in peronibus uniones emergere de luto cupiunt. Nihil denique, tam gemmatum habent, quam quod gemmatum esse non debet, si non comparet; aut ideo comparet, ut neglectum quoque ostendatur." This puts the uniones so late as to be of no use for the earliest determination of its occurrence.

Unio also occurs in the Digest of Justinian. Here I am saved considerable labor, since it has already been shown that the Digest is full of interpolations.2 Gradenwitz assumes that the classical law authorities were interpolated in Justinian's time, that is, in 533. It has also been observed by Cujaz³ that there are interpolations in the Digest which occur in the Novellae, although these appeared ten years later. With easy conscience the writers overcome the difficulty by saying that the laws existed already in 533, but were passed later. This is nonsense, because in this way any irregularity could be explained. The text of the Digest depends upon the very precious Codex Florentinus,4 which is supposed to be contemporaneous with Justinian. But Mommsen⁵ says that it is impossible to determine the exact date of its writing, because of the uncertain condition of the palaeography, although

¹ I. 7, in Migne, P. L., vol. I, col. 1311 f. ² O. Gradenwitz, *Interpolationen in den Pandekten*, Berlin 1887, and F. Hofmann, Die Compilation der Digesten Justinians, Wien 1900.

Gradenwitz, op. cit., p. 9.
Digestorum seu Pandectarum codex Florentinus olim Pisanus phototypice expressus, Roma 1902.

⁶ Digesta Iustiniani Augusti, Berolini 1870, vol. I, p. XXXX.

it is probable that it was written in the VII. century. An inspection of the phototypic reproduction shows such a mixture of uncial and Rustic Capital writings, the latter generally appearing in titles, that there can be little doubt that the writing is not earlier than of the end of the VIII. century. Sometimes the whole caption is in capitals, sometimes only a letter or two. The two-columned text bears a remarkable resemblance to that of the *Utrecht Psalter*, which is placed in the IX. century. Hence the interpolations and the quotations from later laws belong to the school of the editors, who cannot be of an earlier date than the end of the VIII. century.

"Margarita si non soluta sunt uel qui alii lapides (si quidem exemptiles sint), dicendum est ornamentorum loco haberi: sed et si in hoc sint resoluti ut componantur, ornamentorum loco sunt; quod si adhuc sint rudes lapilli uel margaritae uel gemmae, ornamentorum loco non erunt, nisi alia mens fuit testantis, qui haec quoque, quae ad ornamenta parauerat, ornamentorum loco et appellatione comprehendi uoluit."

It is clear that a margarita soluta is a "rudis margarita," and is not yet considered an ornament. But if it is "soluta" for the purpose of being put back into an ornament, it is still an ornament.²

"Seia ab herede Publio Maeuio ita legauit: 'Antoniae Tertullae lego auri pondo tot et unionem cum hyacinthis': postea unionem soluit neque ullum mortis tempore inter ornamenta sua unionem reliquit. Quaero, an heres ex causa fideicommissi aestimationem rei, quae in hereditate non est, praestare debeat. Marcellus respondit non debere. Item quaero, si probari possit Seiam uniones et hyacinthos quosdam in aliam

¹ Dig. XXXIV. 2. 25. 11. ² B. Brisson, Opera minora varii argumenti, Lugduni Batavorum 1749, p. 49 f.

speciem ornamenti, quod postea pretiosius fecit additis aliis gemmis et margaritis, conuertisse, an hos uniones uel hyacinthos petere possit et heres compellatur ornamento posteriori eximere et praestare. Marcellus respondit petere non posse: nam quid fieri potest, ut legatum uel fideicommissum durare existimetur, cum quod testamento dabatur, in sua specie non permanserit, nam quodammodo extinctum sit? ut interim omittam, quod etiam dissolutione ac permutatione tali uoluntas quoque uideatur mutata. Lucius Titius testamento scripsit: 'heredem meum uolo fideique eius committo, ut in patriam meam faciat porticum publicam, in qua poni uolo imagines argenteas, item marmoreas': quaero, an legatum ualeat. Marcellus respondit ualere et operis ceterorumque. quae ibi testator poni uoluerit, legatum ad patriam pertinere intellegi: enim potuit aliquod ciuitati accedere ornamentum."1

Here we have the same story: an unio soluta is the mere pearl, outside of the ornament. We see at once that in this rare acceptation of soluta we have a translation of the Arab. قبل qala' "the pearl, as found in the East," that is, before being perforated or arranged in a set. But the original meaning of قبل is "loco dimovit, evulsit," which precisely corresponds to the specific meaning here attached to soluta. Hence unio once more disappears as an early reference.

We get from Ephraem's Third Rhythm an explanation as to why the agate is used in order to get the pearl. We shall later see that the pearl is classed among the white stones, the agate, among the black stones. This is brought out in the beginning of the Third Rhythm, where "the dark Ethiopic women became pearls for the Son:" "Thou dost not hide thyself in thy

¹ Dig. XXXIV. 2. 6.

bareness, pearl! With the love of thee is the merchant ravished also, for he strippeth off his garments, not to cover thee, [seeing] thy clothing is thy light, thy garment is thy brightness. O thou that art bared! Thou art like Eve who was clothed with nakedness. Cursed be he that deceived her and stripped her and left her. The serpent cannot strip off thy glory. In the mysteries that thou typifiest, women are clothed with Light in Eden. Very glistening are the pearls of Ethiopia, as it is written, Who gave thee to Ethiopia [the land] of black men. He that gave light to the Gentiles, both to the Ethiopians and unto the Indians did His bright beams reach. The eunuch of Ethiopia upon his chariot saw Philip: the Lamb of Light met the dark man from out of the bath. While he was reading, the Ethiopian was baptized and glistened with joy, and journeyed on! He made disciples and taught, and out of black men he made men white [as snow]. And the dark Ethiopic women became pearls for the Son: He offered them up to the Father, as a glistening crown from the Ethiopians. The Queen of Sheba was a sheep that had come into the place of wolves; the lamp of truth did Solomon give her, who also married her when he fell away. She was enlightened and went away, but they were dark as their manner was. The bright spark which went down home with that blessed [Queen], held on its shining amid the darkness, till the new Day-spring came. The bright spark met with this shining, and illumined the place."1

In the Coptic version the pearl in the meadow is called the agate. This arises from Ephraem's Second Rhythm, where Christ is the pearl, and Mary (the pearl), rising from the sea, joins her family who are like gems, but not the pearl, which is "the One:" "Whereunto art thou like? let thy stillness speak to

¹ Morris, op. cit., p. 92 f.

one that heareth thee; with silent mouth speak with us: for whose heareth the stammerings of thy silence. to him thy type uttereth its silent cry concerning our Redeemer. Thy mother is a virgin of the sea; though he took her not [to wife]: she fell into his bosom. though he knew her not; she conceived thee near him, though he did not know her. Do thou being a type reproach the Jewish women that have thee hung upon them. Thou art the only progeny of all forms which art like to the Word on High, Whom singly the Most High begot. The engraven forms seem to be the type of created things above. This visible offspring of the invisible womb is a type of great things. fair conception was without seed, and without marriage intercourse was thy pure generation, and without brethren was thy single birth. Our Lord had brethren and vet not brethren, since He was an Only-Begotten. O solitary one, thou type exact of the Only-Begotten! there is a type of thine in the crown of kings, [wherein] thou hast brothers and sisters. Goodly gems are thy brethren, with beryls and pearls as thy companions: may gold be as it were thy kinsman, may there be unto the King of kings a crown from thy well-beloved When thou camest up from the sea, that living tomb, thou didst cry out, Let me have a goodly assemblage of brethren, relatives, and kinsmen. the wheat is in the stem, so thou art in the crown with princes: and it is a just restoration to thee, as if of a pledge, that from that depth thou shouldest be exalted to a goodly eminence. Wheat doth the stem bear in the field; thee doth the head of the king upon his chariot carry about. O daughter of the water, who hast left sea, wherein thou wert born and art gone up to the dry land, wherein thou art beloved: for men have loved and seized and adorned themselves with

thee, like as they did that Offspring Whom the Gentiles loved and crowned themselves withal."

Ephraem's prose Sermon Against the Heretics,² in Greek, contains a complete discussion of the pearl in its symbolic significance and is of great importance, since it confirms the fact so far brought out that the morning birth of the pearl was totally unknown in the IV. century, as has already appeared from the other Greek sources. Unfortunately, the Sermon is too long for insertion here, so I shall confine myself only to

the passages which bear upon the pearl.

"The precious (τίμιος) pearl, which is found in the sea, is very valuable, because it is hard to find. It does not furnish food, but glory, nor does it produce slaking of thirst, but renown. Much money is ponderous, but this lightens weight. Though it is small, it can do great things, and it is easy to carry, and is easily brought back to its original place. It is easily hidden, and hard to find. Even so is the Kingdom of Heaven. . . The pearl is a stone born of flesh, for it comes from the oyster shell. Who, therefore, would not believe that God was born of the body of man? Not the coitus of the shells form it, but the conjunction of lightning and water. Even so Christ is conceived in the Virgin, beside lust, the Holy Ghost, beside its mass, producing the substance with God. Neither the pearl, nor the mussel is born, nor does it proceed as a spirit in the form. The pearl is born in the hypostasis, and does not produce another stone. Even so Christ is not mixed with the Divinity, nor a pure man, nor mixed with unmixed Divinity, but as though born in a spiritual form. Christ is no other than born of the Father and of Mary. This stone has not only form, but also substance, even so the Son of God is

¹ Ibid., p. 89 f.

² Ephraem Syri Opera omnia quae exslant, Romae 1743, Graece et latine, vol. II, p. 259 ff.

170 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

born in the hypostasis, and not in form. This precious stone partakes of two natures, that it may show Christ. who, since He is the Word of God, is born man of Mary: He did not have a partial nature, for He was no other being, but He has a perfect double nature, lest He love the two. . . Consider the ministry of the imperfect flesh in the pearl, and you will readily believe that Christ was born of a woman. The mussel is not worth an obole, but it has produced a stone more costly than many talents of gold: even so Mary brought forth the Divinity with which nothing can be compared. The shell is not afflicted by any pain while it conceives the pearl, but has only the sense of the accession. And Mary conceived Christ in gladness, feeling the acceding nature. The mussel does not spoil while it conceives and bears, for it brings forth a perfect stone without pain. So does the Virgin conceive without corruption and bear without pain. The pearl is not only conceived, but also lasts while it grows, and even outside of the shell it can show its hypostasis. The precious stone is indivisible, and no one will separate the assumption of the Divinity. Lightning and water are combined, and two opposites are united. Why do you not know what it is that you hold, and why do you curiously examine what you do not hold? From fire—lightning and fire, whence it both illumines and inflames. The shells grow in water, through water. Why does not the corruscation consume the body of the shell? . . The pearl is most showy, on account of its Divinity, and white, on account of its assumption. In the whiteness you see its clarity, in its virtue you see its inherent power. It is hard, on account of its human nature; it is light, on account of celestial It is watery, on account of its terrestrial condition. nature; it is fiery on account of its divine hypostasis. For all things there is a physical consideration, for

everything reflects its nature, as in a mirror. But mirrors are made by art, hence they have a certain fallacy in the perception of a given thing. But the pearl has a natural grace and innate usefulness. There are many other things which become one out of two. but they are not born like the pearl, nor are they conceived of fire and water. Behold, you cannot find the proof in all pearls, for they are not all true, containing all the perfections of which we have spoken, for the greater part of them has something earthy. There are shells that remain in the depth of the ocean; others enjoy mud in humid places; others feed on refuse and rarely produce good pearls. The pearl has also another reason, for if the time of its generation is not perfect, or it is born out of time, it is stony, hence many in the depths are worthless, and if they are not properly polished, they are useless. They do not find many of them, but they take them from the shells, hence they are called perfect, because, while they apparently increase and add the substance to the power of the nature, they are not carried away, but are generated, and these become very precious."

The Latin *Physiologus* discussed above has drawn for the pearl on Arabic and Syriac sources, the latter proceeding directly from Ephraem. All the other *Physiologi* extant are secondary in their composition and do not proceed directly from the Arabic, but, in all probability, from a Greek translation or rifacimento of the Arabic original. The Latin versions differ from one another and contain various accretions from outside sources. Thus the version in the *Liber glossarum*¹ has only the etymology of *conchus* and the story of the morning birth of the pearl: "Conchus, lapis est in mari, graeco vocabulo appellatus hoc, quia convexus est et rotundus. Est autem in duas partes

¹ A. Mai, op. cit., p. 592.

divisus, ita ut cum voluerit aperiat se, et cum voluerit claudat. Hic ergo de profundo maris ascendit matutinis horis, habet enim intra se carnem. Cum ergo ascenderit diluculo super mari aperit os suum, et suscipit intra se de rore caeli, et circumfulgetur radiis solis; et sic fit intra eum margarita pretiosa splendida; quippe rore caeli concepta, et est de radio solis clarificata."

Another Latin version, given by Cahier as A, 1 ends with the statement: "Urinatores qui sursum fuerunt, chorus sanctorum est. Peccatores deorsum eum fuerunt, propter eorum malitiam, quantum adversus ipsius Medio autem cone vel duarum alarum, in his invenitur meus Salvator, hoc est veteris et novi Testamenti: a superibus habens escam. Dixit enim Dominus quia regnum meum non est de istosaeculo, sed a sempiterno Patre." Here the chorus sanctorum corresponds to the apostoli of the previous text, but the whole is set in a new form: the apostles, or saints, are on one scale, the sinners are on another scale, while Christ, the pearl with two wings, is in the middle. This version is followed by the story of the Indian stone, which is practically identical with the scale story, except that the sinners are here the dropsical ones: "Lapis sindicus hanc habet naturam: si fuerit homo aliquis hidropicus, medicorum est ut inquirant lapidem. Si autem invenerint, eum alligant hydropico, et suspendunt lapidem cum homine; modicus lapis adducit corpus hominis in statera, hoc est in pondere. Si autem dimittitur lapis in sole horis tribus, foetidissimam aquam tollit de corpore hydropici, et effudit eam foris ut sit lapis mundus. Lapis est Dominus noster Iesus Christus; quoniam hydropici fuimus, habentes aquas diaboli in corde. Et descendens, ligatus est lapis horis tribus circa cor nostrum, karitas

¹ Op. cit., vol. IV, p. 70.

Surgens autem a mortuis, omnem intellegibieius. lem infirmitatem animae nostrae sustulit, e t i n f i rmitates nostras ipse baiulavit." The same story is told in the Syriac version, where the stone is called don hawan, and where the "theoria" is given more in full: "Quem igitur hunc lapidem depingere judicemus nisi lapidem Christum Dei Filium et verum Deum. Hydropici vero nos homines sumus, qui aquas malas et venenum letale bibimus serpentis illius aspidis nobis hominibus infesti, et in quorum cordibus et ventribus conditi sunt morbus perpetuus et dolor e corde non cessans, sed eo intumuit animae venter, et occidit sol noster in meridie nostro. Venit autem ille lapis salutis et medicinae, et alligatus est cordibus nostris, amore nostro et fide et spe in eo posita, et extulit dolorem nostrum in seipsum et mala nostra suscepit, et sanati sumus a doloribus et malis levati. Ablatus autem est Christus a nobis, morbos nostros auferens ipse innocens, et conditus est in sepulcro per tres dies, et luce resurrectionis gloriosae omne contagium e corpore ejecit, et facta est sanatio maxima iis omnibus qui gustarunt aquas malas Calumniatoris et hydropici facti sunt veneno quod ab illo (infusum) potarunt."2

The Arabic version calls dropsy 'istisqā, i. e. ἀσχίτης, and the "theoria" contains an interesting apocryphal story of Adam: "Et nos quoque aegroti et morbo laborantis similes sumus, quippe qui operibus nostris aegrotamus. Lapis autem in quo est medicina et sanatio hominis aegri similis est Domini Christi, qui daemoniacos sanavit, et leprosos purificavit et coecorum oculos aperuit et mortuos suscitavit. Et quemadmodum lapidem in sole suspendunt in tres horas, ad eundem Dominus Christus in cruce pependit

¹ Ibid., p. 70.

² J. P. N. Land, Anecdota syriaca, Lugduni Batavorum 1875, vol. IV, p. 84.

per horas tres. Et quemadmodum e lapide aqua exit, ad eundem exiit e latere Domini Christi sanguis et aqua, donec perveniret in speluncam patris nostri Adami, eumque peccato suo sanavit et liberavit et vivere fecit, et nos vivere fecit cum illo in aeternum. Et e nobis exire fecit aquam malam, i. e. omnia peccata, et promisit nobis regnum suum coeleste, cujus nullus finis erit. Et quemadmodum lapis suscipit aquae redundantiam, ad eundem Dominus Christus portavit dolores nostros et morbos. Cui sit laus et potestas in saecula saeculorum."

One Greek version calls the stone βατράχιος.² This gives us at once a clue to the whole story. We are dealing here with an Arabic version of a Coptic "dropsy stone," which itself is a philological speculation on ἀχάτης, either the Greek or the homonymous Egyptian word, as we shall soon see.

We have Arab. جبن haban "to have the dropsy, to have a disease in the belly, whereby it becomes large and swollen, the dropsy." We have Ethiop. qabam "to have the dropsy," Bilin qabā "disease, dropsy," which are all variations of a Hamito-Semitic word meaning "to swell." We have Bilin habbā "anything swollen, wind," habhab "to blow up a bag," Saho habab, habhab "to blow up a bag," Arab. \rightarrow habba "a wind blew," etc. From this it follows that the $\ddot{\alpha}\pi c \xi$ λεγόμενον Syr. hawān is due to a borrowing from an Arabic version where haban was used. But the Arabic story itself is due to a Coptic speculation on ἀχάτης, which had entered into the pearl story.

In Egyptian medicine³ we have uzetu', uztu' "to suffer, be ill," uzetī "sick," zat "some belly trouble," zet "belly." Beth are from Egyp. zet "bag." All

³ G. Ebers, Papuros Ebers, Leipzig 1875, vol. II.

¹ Ibid., p. 172. ² J. B. Pitra, Spieilegium solesmense, Parisiis 1855, vol. III, p. 370.

these are represented in Cop. hath "fat, thick," het "stomach, belly, bag, heart, mind," hthe "heart, mind," hthai "fatness," hot "bag," hat "fat," hthai "fatness,"

hot "fat, bag, ἀσκός."

From this it follows that Gr. ἀσχίτης "dropsy" is formed as a translation of an Egyptian word, where we have the same relation. It is also clear that the story of the "Indian stone" depends upon a Coptic philological speculation, in which the Indian stone achates is brought in connection with the dropsy, hat, het, etc., for which it is philologically and, no doubt, gnostically, supposed to be a cure. That the story of the "Indian stone" reached the Greek and Latin Physiologus through an Arabic version follows from the name βατράχιος for it, since it is based on Arab. 'umm-hubain "a reptile of the size of a lizard امحيين or frog," "so called from حبن = largeness of its belly (dropsy)."2 The author of the Greek Physiologus was acquainted with the Arabic etymology when he described the "dropsy stone."

¹ Ad-Damīrī, op. cit., vol. I, p. 664. ² Ibid., p. 663.

XVI. THE PEARL IN PLINY, ETC.

Pliny writes: "In the same degree that people in our part of the world set a value upon the pearls of India—a subject on which we have already spoken on the appropriate occasion at sufficient length—do the people of India prize coral: it being the prevailing taste in each nation respectively that constitutes the value of things. Coral is produced in the Red Sea also, but of a more swarthy hue than ours. found also in the Persian Gulf, where it is known by But the most highly-esteemed the name of 'iace.' of all, is that produced in the vicinity of the islands called Stoechades, in the Gallic Gulf, and near the Aeolian Islands and the town of Drepana in the Sea of Sicily. Coral is to be found growing, too, at Graviscae, and off the coast of Neapolis in Campania: as also at Erythrae, where it is intensely red, but soft, and consequently little valued. Its form is that of a shrub, and its colour green: its berries are white and soft while under water, but the moment they are removed from it, they become hard and red, resembling the berries of cultivated cornel in size and appearance. They say that, while alive, if it is only touched by a person, it will immediately become as hard as stone; and hence it is that the greatest pains are taken to prevent this, by tearing it up from the bottom with nets, or else cutting it short with a sharp-edged instrument of iron: from which last circumstance it is generally supposed to have received its name of 'curalium.' The reddest coral and the most branchy is held in the highest esteem: but, at the same time, it must not be rough or hard like stone; nor yet, on the other hand,

should it be full of holes or hollow. The berries of coral are no less esteemed by the men in India than are the pearls of that country by the females among us: their soothsayers, too, and diviners look upon coral as an amulet endowed with sacred properties, and a sure preservative against all dangers: hence it is that they equally value it as an ornament and as an object of devotion. Before it was known in what estimation coral was held by the people of India, the Gauls were in the habit of adorning their swords, shields, and helmets with it: but at the present day, owing to the value set upon it as an article of exportation, it has become so extremely rare, that it is seldom to be seen even in the regions that produce it. Branches of coral, hung at the neck of infants, are thought to act as a preservative against danger. Calcined, pulverized, and taken in water, coral gives relief to patients suffering from griping pains in the bowels, affections of the bladder, and urinary calculi. Similarly taken in wine, or, if there are symptoms of fever, in water, it acts as a soporific. It resists the action of fire a considerable time before it is calcined. There is also a statement made that if this medicament is frequently taken internally, the spleen will be gradually consumed. Powdered coral, too, is an excellent remedy for patients who bring up or spit blood. Calcined coral is used as an ingredient in compositions for the eyes, being productive of certain astringent and cooling effects: It makes flesh, also, in the cavities left by ulcers, and effaces scars upon the skin."1

^{1 &}quot;Quantum apud nos Indicis margaritis pretium est, de quis suo loco satis diximus, tantum apud Indos curalio; namque ista persuasione gentium constant. Gignitur et in Rubro quidem mari, sed nigrius, item in Persico—vocatur lace (iace)—laudatissimum in Gallico sinu circa Stoechadas insulas et in Siculo circa Aeolias ac Drepana. Nascitur et apud Graviscas et ante Neapolim Campaniae maximeque rubens, sed molle et ideo vilissimum Erythris. Forma est ei fruticis, colos viridis. Bacae eius candidae sub aqua ac molles, exemptae confestim durantur et rubescunt qua corna sativa specie atque magnitudine. Aiunt tactu protinus lapidescere, si vivat; itaque

178 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

The passage is badly interpolated from Arabic sources. Pliny says that a black coral is found in the Red Sea and Persian Gulf, and that it is called iace (lace). The statement is correct as regards the habitat of the black coral, but iace is the Arab. سر yusr "black pearl, a plant, the black kernels of which are made into beads." Arab. يسر yasr means "easy, favorable," hence يسر yusr "facility," whence it would appear that the coral was so called because it was used for warding off harm, even as is mentioned by Pliny and especially by Solinus. But this may only be popular etymology and an afterthought. From the fact that we have also سرة busrah "bead," unrelated to any Arabic stem (which produced Slav. bisir "pearl"), and Pers. busad, Arab. سند busadz "coral," it is most are all some kind of بسره and بسر are all some misreadings.1 However this may be, iace in Pliny represents Arab. بسر yasr, which is of comparatively late origin.

occupari evellique retibus aut acri ferramento praecidi, qua de causa curalium vocitatum interpretantur. Probatissimum quam maxime rubens et quam ramosissimum nec scabiosum aut lapideum aut rursus inane et concavum. Auctoritas bacarum eius non minus Indorum viris quoque pretiosa est quam feminis nostris uniones Indici. Harispices eorum vatesque inprimis religiosum id gestamen amoliendis periculis arbitrantur. Ita et decore et religione gaudent. Prius quam hoc notesceret, Galli gladios, scuta, galeas adornabant eo. Nunc tanta paenuria est vendibili merce, ut perquam raro cernatur in suo orbe. Surculi infantiae adalligati tutelam habere creduntur contraque torminum ac vesicae et calculorum mala in pulverem igni redacti potique cum aqua auxiliantur, simili modo ex vino poti aut, si febris sit, ex aqua somnum adferunt—ignibus diu repugnat—, sed eodem medicamine saepius poto tradunt lienem quoque absumi. Sanguinem reicientibus excreantibusve medetur cinis eorum; miscetur oculorum medicamentis, spissat enim ac refrigerat, ulcerum cava explet, cicatrices extenuat," XXXII. 21–24.

¹ For such blunders see B. Carra de Vaux, L' Abrégé des Merveilles, Paris 1898, in Actes de la Société philologique, vol. XXVI, passim in the notes, and L. Friedlaender, Die Chadhirlegende und der Alexanderroman, Leipzig,

Berlin 1913, p. 294 ff.

Pliny says that the Indian soothsayers and diviners look upon coral as an amulet endowed with sacred properties, and a sure preservative against all dangers, hence it is that they equally value it as an ornament and as an object of devotion. We shall first assume that this correctly refers, as it should, to the coral. In this we are strengthened by Isidore, where we read: "Corallius gignitur in mari, forma ramosus, colore viridi sed maxime rubens. Bacae eius candidae sub aqua et molles: detractae confestim durantur et rubescunt, tactuque protinus lapidescunt. Itaque occupari evellique retibus solet, aut acri ferramento praecidi, qua de causa corallius vocitatus. Quantum autem apud nos margaritum Indicum pretiosum est, tantum apud Indos corallium. Hunc magi fulminibus resistere adfirmant, si creditur." The relation of the last two sentences to Pliny's statement is obvious, even though we have here the specific reference to warding off danger from lightning. That the last sentence, at least, is an interpolation in the original Isidore, appears from the following juxtaposition: "Chelonitis oculus est Indicae testudinis, varius et Hunc magi inpositum linguae futura purpureus. pronuntiare finguntur. Brontea a capite testudinum; e tonitribus cadi putatur, et restinguere fulminis ictus. Hyaenia lapis in oculis hyaenae bestiae invenitur; qui si sub lingua hominis subditus fuerit, futura eum praecinere dicunt. Sed et corallius tempestati et grandini resistere fertur."2 Here the last sentence should have preceded "hyaenia," since it has a meaning only as a continuation of the "brontea." Hence the reference to the coral was, no doubt, written in the margin, and from there wrongly found its way into the text after the "hyena stone," instead of before it.

¹ XVI. 8. 1. ² XVI. 15. 23-25.

The coral being here correlated with the Indian practices, it also found its way into the story of the coral itself.

In Solinus we have a full account of the growth of the coral, after which we are told, as in Pliny, that many "gestamina," amulets, are made from it, since, "as Zoroaster says, this matter has certain salutary "Ligusticum mare frutices procreat, properties:" qui quantisper fuerint in aquarum profundis, fluxi sunt tactu prope carnulento: deinde ubi in supera tolluntur natalibus derogati saxis lapides fiunt: nec solum qualitas illis sed et color vertitur: nam puniceo protinus erubescunt. Ramuli sunt, quales arborum visimus, ad semipedem frequentius longi; rarum est pedaneos deprehendi. Excluduntur ex illis multa gestamina. Habet enim, ut Zoroastres ait, materia haec quandam potestatem, ac propterea quidquid inde sit, ducitur inter salutaria. Curallium alias dicunt: nam Metrodorus gorgiam nominat. Idem quod resistat typhonibus et fulminibus adfirmat."1

Berthelot² places the alchemist Zoroaster in the time of Zosimus, that is, in the III. century A. D. If this date is correct, then a great part of Pliny is a downright forgery, since this Zoroaster is quoted several times by him. The reference to the coral is taken out of "The Book of Zoroaster," which is preserved in the Geoponica, where the title runs, «Περὶ φυσιαῶν συμπαθειῶν καὶ ἀντιπαθειῶν. Ζωροάστρου.» Here we read: Ὁ κ ο υ ρ ά λ ι ο ς λίθος κείμενος ἐν τῆ οἰκία πάντα φθόνον καὶ ἐπιδουλὴν ἐλαύνει τὰ αὐτὰ δὲ ποιεῖ καὶ τὰ κάρφη τῆς ἐ β έ ν ο υ, καὶ αἱ ρίζαι τῆς ἀσπαλάθου, καὶ ἡ εὐώδης ἀναγαλλὶς βοτάνη, καὶ ἡ σκίλλα ξηραινομένη, καὶ ἐν τῷ προθύρφ τῆς οἰκίας κειμένη.» ⁴ The juxtaposition of "coral" and "sprig of

³ H. Beckh, *Geoponica*, Lipsiae 1895, p. 432 ff. ⁴ *Ibid.*, XV. 1. 31, p. 436.

¹ II. 41-43. ² Collection des anciens alchimistes grecs, Paris 1887, vol. I, p. 202.

the ebony" in Zoroaster is not an accidental one, for in Arabic يسر yusr, as we have seen, means "coral" and "very black kernels of a plant, from which necklaces are made,—the more you use them the more do they shine." We can now assert that Arab. يسر yusr, etc., is a misread , انس abnus "ebony," for it is ebony from which black beads were made, and which has the qualities ascribed by Pliny in part to the coral.

We find in Pliny and other authors genuine accounts of the ebony, but it is only in a late interpolation in Solinus that we get the story of the ebony which led to strange confusions in Pliny: "Sed ut piper sola India, ita et hebenum sola mittit; nec tamen universa, verum exigua sui parte silvas hoc genus gignit. Arbor est plerumque tenuis et frequentior vimine raro, in crassitudinem codicis extuberata, hiulco cortice et admodum reticulato dehiscentibus venis, adeo ut per ipsos sinus pars intima vix tenui libro contegatur. Lignum omne atque mediale eadem ferme et facie et nitore, qui est in lapide gagate. Indi reges ex eo sceptra sumunt et quascumque deorum imagines non nisi ex hebeno habent. Iidem ferunt materie ista liquorem noxium non contineri et quidquid maleficium fuerit, tactu eius averti. Hac gratia pocula ex hebeno habent. Ita nihil mirum, si peregre sit in pretio, quod etiam ipsi quibus provenit honorantur." From this account we learn that the ebony was as brilliant as gagates, and that it had certain properties akin to coral, namely, of averting poisons. We also get the statement that ebony was exceedingly precious.

There is in Pliny the following passage: "Exhebenum Zoroastres speciosam et candidam tradit, qua aurifices aurum poliant."2 No such mineral is mentioned in

Mommsen, op. cit., p. 221.
 XXXVII. 159; also Isidore, XVI. 10. 11.

either Latin or Greek literature. At the same time it is not accident that Pliny mentions exhebenus from Zoroaster, who mentions ebony immediately after the coral, and that Solinus mentions the aetites, which immediately precedes the coral in Zoroaster, as being preferred by Zoroaster on account of its properties: "Hunc aëtiten Zoroastres praefert omnibus maximamque illi tribuit potestatem." Neither in Pliny nor Solinus do we have the statements as given in the Greek Zoroaster, since they are, no doubt, due to the Syrian or Arabic expansion of the same. In one of these was some reference to ebony wood, ἐξ ἐβένου in the Greek, which on account of its hardness was used for polishing gold. We have also the statement in Servius, commenting on Georgica II. 116, that with age ebony turned into a stone. From these the forger who assumed the name of Pliny created an exebenum. It is certainly not a mere accident that in Pliny the chapter on coral is immediately followed by one on antipathies and sympathies, while in Zoroaster the coral, ebony, and aetites are all part of the chapter on antipathies and sympathies. From all this follows the late origin of that part of the coral in Pliny which has so far been discussed.

The forger was misled by the Arabic word which means both "bead of ebony" and "coral" into stating that the coral was extremely precious, which it is not and never was. But when he states that in India the coral was as precious as the pearl in Rome, and attributes to the coral properties of averting lightning, which we find in the Vedic amulet attributed to the pearl, he was misled by another Arabic double, namely, margan, murgan, which means both "coral" and

¹ XXXVII. 15.

"pearl," and which has led many a translator into error.1

The sober Arabic writers, such as Idrīsī and Qazwini, gave correct accounts of the coral and its manner of fishing. According to Idrīsī² the coral is a tree which petrifies in the sea. It is fished with implements having numerous hempen nets at the end, which become entangled in the coral and bring it up in great abundance. According to Qazwini the coral was brought up by a cross which was weighted with a stone.3 In the Physiologus, on account of the loose use of مرجان $mar\dot{q}an$, $mur\dot{q}an$, we get the whole transferred to the pearl. In the Latin versions the pearl is fished with a stone, achates. In the Ethiopic version the pearls are caught with nets. According to one version of the Syriac Physiologus⁴ the position of the pearl is indicated by the stone. The pearl oyster itself is an animal which purns into a tree as soon as it contains the pearl, and the diver has to cut it away with a knife. In all of these cases we have distinctly a confusion of the pearl with the coral.

In Solinus we have no reference whatsoever to India, although in Isidore we have the identical statement as in Pliny. But Solinus says: "curallium alias dicunt: nam Metrodorus gorgiam nominat; idem quod resistat typhonibus et fulminibus adfirmat." This is given in Pliny as: "gorgonia nihil aliud est quam curalium; nominis causa, quod in duritiam lapidis mutatur emollitum in mari; hanc fulminibus et typhoni re-

⁵ II. 43.

tait pris dans le sens مرحان "Nous avons vu précédemment que le mot مرحان

de 'parvae margaritae', ce qui a induit en erreur quelques traducteurs," Clément-Mullet, op. cit., p. 201, in note.

² P. A. Jaubert, Géographie d' Edrisi, vol. I, in Recueil de voyages et de mémoires, publié par la Société de Geographie, vol. V, Paris 1836, p. 266 f.

² Clément-Mullet, op. cit., p. 203.

⁴ K. Ahrens, Das Buch der Naturgegenstände, Kiel 1892, p. 80 f.

The following passages in Pliny contain the word unio "pearl."

IX. 106-124. "Principium ergo columenque omnium rerum pretii margaritae tenent. Indicus maxime has mittit oceanus inter illas beluas tales tantasque, quas diximus, per tot maria venientes, tam longo terrarum tarctu et tantis solis ardoribus. Atque Indis quoque in insulas petuntur et admodum paucas. Fertilissima est Taprobane et Stoidis, ut diximus in circuitu mundi, item Perimula, promunturium Indiae. Praecipue autem laudantur circa Arabiam in Persico sinu maris Rubri.

"Origo atque genitura conchae sunt, haut multum ostrearum conchis differentes. Has ubi genitalis anni stimularit hora, pandentes se quadam oscitatione impleri roscido conceptu tradunt, gravidas postea eniti, partumque concharum esse margaritas pro qualitate roris accepti. Si purus influxerit, candorem conspici; si vero turbidus, et fetum sordescere; eundem pallere caelo minante. Conceptum ex eo quippe constare, caelique iis maiorem societatem esse quam maris: inde nubilum trahi colorem aut pro claritate matutina serenum. Si tempestive satientur, grandescere et partus; si fulguret, conprimi conchas ac pro ieiunii modo minui; si vero etiam tonuerit, pavidas ac repente conpressas quae vocant physemata efficere, specie modo

¹ XXXVII. 164.

inani inflata sine corpore; hos esse concharum abortus. Sani quidem partus multiplici constant cute, non inproprie callum ut existimari corporis possit. Itaque et purgantur a peritis. Miror ipso tantum eas caelo gaudere, sole rufescere candoremque perdere ut corpus humanum. Quare praecipuum custodiunt pelagiae, altius mersae quam ut penetrent radii. Flavescunt tamen et illae senecta rugisque torpescunt, nec nisi in iuventa constat ille qui quaeritur vigor. Crassescunt etiam in senecta conchisque adhaerescunt nec his evelli queunt nisi lima. Quibus una tantum est facies et ab ea rotunditas, aversis planities, ob id tympania nominantur. Cohaerentes videmus in conchis hac dote unguenta circumferentibus. Cetero in aqua mollis unio, exemptus protinus durescit.

"Concha ipsa, cum manum vidit, conprimit sese operitque opes suas, gnara propter illas se peti, manumque, si praeveniat, acie sua abscidat, nulla iustiore poena, et aliis munita suppliciis, quippe inter scopulos maior pars invenitur, in alto quoque comitantibus marinis canibus, nec tamen aures feminarum arcentur. Quidam tradunt sicut apibus, ita concharum examinibus singulas magnitudine et vetustate praecipuas esse veluti duces, mirae ad cavendum sollertiae. Has urinantium cura peti, illis captis facile ceteras palantes retibus includi, multo deinde obrutas sale in vasis fictilibus; rosa carne omni nucleos quosdam corporum, hoc est uniones, decidere in ima.

"Usu atteri non dubium est coloremque indiligentia mutare. Dos omnis in candore, magnitudine, orbe, levore, pondere, haut promptis rebus in tantum, ut nulli duo reperiantur indiscreti: unde nomen unionum Romanae scilicet inposuere deliciae, nam id apud Graecos non est, ne apud barbaros quidem, inventores rei eius, aliud quam margaritae. Et in candore ipso magna differentia: clarior in Rubro mari repertis, in

Indico specularium lapidum squamas adsimulant, alias magnitudine praecellentes. Summa laus coloris est exaluminatos vocari. Et procerioribus sua gratia est. Elenchos appellant fastigata longitudine alabastrorum figura in pleniorem orbem desinentes. Hos digitis suspendere et binos ac ternos auribus feminarum gloria est, subeuntque luxuriae eius nomina externa, exquisita perdito nepotatu, si quidem, cum id fecere, crotalia appellant, ceu sono quoque gaudeant et collisu ipso margaritarum; cupiuntque iam et pauperes, lictorem feminae in publico unionem esse dictitantes. Quin et pedibus, nec crepidarum tantum obstragulis, set totis socculis addunt. Neque enim gestare iam margaritas, nisi calcent ac per uniones etiam ambulent, satis est.

"In nostro mari reperiri solebant crebrius circa Bosporum Thracium, rufi ac parvi in conchis quas myas appellant. At in Acarnania quae vocatur pina gignit, quo apparet non uno conchae genere nasci. Namque et Iuba tradit Arabicis concham esse similem pectini insecto, hirsutam echinorum modo, ipsum unionem in carne grandini similem. Conchae non tales ad nos adferuntur. Nec in Acarnania autem laudati reperiuntur, enormes et feri colorisque marmorei. Meliores circa Actium, sed et hi parvi, et in Mauretaniae maritimis. Alexander polyhistor et Sudines senescere eos putant coloremque expirare.

"Firmum corpus esse manifestum est, quod nullo lapsu franguntur. Non autem semper in media carne reperiuntur, sed aliis atque aliis locis, vidimusque iam in extremis etiam marginibus velut e concha exeuntes et in quibusdam quaternos quinosque. Pondus ad hoc aevi semunciae pauci singulis scripulis excessere. In Britannia parvos atque decolores nasci certum est, quoniam Divus Iulius thoracem, quem Veneri Genetrici in templo eius dicavit, ex Britannicis margaritis factum voluerit intellegi.

"Lolliam Paulinam, quae fuit Gai principis matrona, ne serio quidem aut sollemni caerimoniarum aliquo apparatu, sed mediocrium etiam sponsalium cena, vidi smaragdis margaritisque opertam, alterno textu fulgentibus toto capite, crinibus [spira], auribus, collo [monilibus], digitis. Quae summa quadringentiens HS colligebat, ipsa confestim parata mancupationem tabulis probare. Nec dona prodigi principis fuerant, sed avitae opes, provinciarum scilicet spoliis partae. Hic est rapinarum exitus, hoc fuit quare M. Lollius infamatus regum muneribus in toto oriente interdicta amicitia a Gaio Caesare Augusti filio venenum biberet. ut neptis eius quadringentiens HS operta spectaretur ad lucernas! Computet nunc aliquis ex altera parte quantum Curius aut Fabricius in triumphis tulerint, imaginetur illorum fercula, ex altera parte Lolliam, unam imperii mulierculam, accubantem: non illos curru detractos quam in hoc vicisse malit? Nec haec summa luxuriae exempla sunt. Duo fuere maximi uniones per omne aevum; utrumque possedit Cleopatra, Aegypti reginarum novissima, per manus orientis regum sibi traditos. Haec, cum exquisitis cotidie Antonius saginaretur epulis, superbo simul ac procaci fastu, ut regina meretrix lautitiam eius omnem apparatumque obtrectans, quaerente eo, quid adstrui magnificentiae posset, respondit una se cena centiens HS absumpturam. Cupiebat discere Antonius, sed fieri posse non arbitrabatur. Ergo sponsionibus factis postero die, quo iudicium agebatur, magnificam alias canam, ne dies periret, sed cotidianam, Antonio apposuit inridenti computationemque expostulanti. At illa corollarium id esse et consumpturam eam cenam taxationem confirmans solamque se centiens HS cenaturam, inferri mensam secundam iussit. Ex praecepto ministri unum tantum vas ante eam posuere aceti, cuius asperitas visque in tabem margaritas resolvit.

Gerebat auribus cum maxime singulare illud et vere unicum naturae opus. Itaque expectante Antonio. quidnam esset actura, detractum alterum mersit ac liquefactum obsorbuit. Iniecit alteri manum L. Plancus, iudex sponsionis eius, eum quoque parante simili modo absumere, victumque Antonium pronuntiavit omine rato. Comitatur fama unionis eius parem. capta illa tantae quaestionis victrice regina, dissectum, ut esset in utrisque Veneris auribus Romae in Pantheo dimidia eorum cena. Non ferent hanc palmam spoliabunturque etiam luxuriae gloria. Prior id fecerat Romae in unionibus magnae taxationis Clodius, tragoedi Aesopi filius, relictus ab eo in amplis opibus heres. ne triumviratu suo nimis superbiat Antonius paene histrioni comparatus, et quidem nulla sponsione ad hoc producto (quo magis regium fiat), sed ut experiretur in gloriam palati, quidnam saperent margaritae. Atque ut mire placuere, ne solus hoc sciret. singulos uniones convivis quoque absorbendos dedit.

"Romae in promiscuum ac frequentem usum venisse Alexandria in dicionem redacta, primum autem coepisse circa Sullana tempora minutas et viles Fenestella tradit, manifesto errore, cum Aelius Stilo circa Iugurthinum bellum unionum nomen inponi cum maxime grandibus margaritis prodat.

"Et hoc tamen aeternae prope possessionis est; sequitur heredem, in mancipatum venit ut praedium aliquod: conchylia et purpuras omnis hora atterit, quibus eadem mater luxuria paria paene et margaritis pretia fecit."

XII. 2. "Quo magis ac magis admirari subit his a principiis caedi montes in marmora, vestes ad Seras peti, *unionem* in Rubri maris profunda, zmaragdum in ima tellure quaeri."

XXXIII. 40. "Discurrant catenae circa latera et in secreto margaritarum sacculi e collo dominarum auro

pendeant, ut in somno quoque unionum conscientia adsit."

XXXVII. 17. "Tolerabiliorem tamen causam fecit C. principis, qui super cetera muliebria soccos induebat e margaritis, aut Neronis principis, qui sceptra et personas et cubilia viatoria unionibus construebat."

XXXVII. 49. "Uniones capite circumferuntur, gem-

mae digitis."

Pliny says, "in aqua mollis unio, exemptus protinus durescit," which is found in Solinus as "in aqua mollis est unio, duratur exemptus." This is taken out of some Arabic description of the coral, murgān, which became confused with the pearl: "The coral is a plant which, by the will of God, may He be exalted, grows in the sea. When it is removed and separated from it, it petrifies and grows red." This confusion is already found in Arabic in the IX. century Arabic Voyage, where the language is identical with Pliny's. When we find in Pliny that the unio is found in the Red Sea, we have here a confusion of the coral and the pearl, as before.

In IX. 109 Pliny says: "When old, too, the coat grows thick, and they adhere to the shell, from which they can only be separated with the assistance of a file. Those pearls which have one surface flat and the other spherical, opposite to the plane side, are for that reason called tympania, or tambour-pearls. I have seen pearls still adhering to the shell; for which reason the shells were used as boxes for unguents." The reference here is not to pearls, but to excrescences in the mother-of-pearl; and the sentence, "those pearls which have one surface flat and the other spherical, opposite to the plane side, are for that reason called tympania, or tambour-pearls," is wrongly interlarded, because it is the shell that is called "tambour," and this is used for

² See p. 129.

¹ Clément-Mullet, op. cit., p. 201 f.

unguents. We have already seen that the Arab. in aqar produced LLat. nacara "mother-of-pearl" and "drum." Here we have merely a retranslation into Greek. In the interpolated part of the Digest (XXXIV. 2. 32. 9) we find tympanis margaritis, which only shows that the Digest, as we have it, was written after the interpolations had been made in Pliny.

"There is no doubt that pearls wear with use, and will change their colour, if neglected. All their merit consists in their whiteness, large size, roundness, polish, and weight; qualities which are not easily to be found united in the same; so much so, indeed, that no two pearls are ever found perfectly alike; and it was from this circumstance, no doubt, that our Roman luxury first gave them the name of 'unio,' or the unique gem: for a similar name is not given them by the Greeks; nor, indeed, among the barbarians by whom they are found are they called anything else but 'margaritae.' Even in the very whiteness of the pearl there is a great difference to be observed. Those are of a much clearer water that are found in the Red Sea. while the Indian pearl resembles in tint the scales of the mirror-stone, but exceeds all the others in size. The colour that is most highly prized of all, is that of those which are thence called alum-coloured pearls. Long pearls also have their peculiar value; those are called 'elenchi,' which are of a long tapering shape, resembling our alabaster boxes in form, and ending in a full bulb. Our ladies quite glory in having these suspended from their fingers, or two or three of them dangling from their ears. For the purpose of ministering to these luxurious tastes, there are various names and wearisome refinements which have been devised by profuseness and prodigality; for after inventing these earrings, they have given them the name of

'crotalia,' or castanet pendants, as though quite delighted even with the ratiling of the pearls as they knock against each other; and now, at the present day, the poorer classes are even affecting them, as people are in the habit of saying, that 'a pearl worn by a woman in public, is as good as a lictor walking before her.' Nay, even more than this, they put them on their feet, and that, not only on the laces of their sandals, but all over the shoes; it is not enough to wear pearls, but they must tread upon them, and walk with them under foot as well."

Here nearly everything is wrong and due to a misunderstanding of the Arabic sources. The ancients did not know our alum. What the Romans called alumen was an iron sulphate or aluminum sulphate. When we are told that the color that was most highly prized in the pearl was the "exaluminatum," we have here a compound error, due to a misunderstanding of The Arabic term for certain alchemists' terms. "vitriol" is šabb, and the best, which was white and glistening, was obtained from Yemen, hence its name, شب يماني šabbun yamānī. In the mediaeval alchemy this was known as alumen jacmini, gemini.2 There was also a salt, sal gemme, which was confused with this alumen. At the same time it was called dara, that is, Arab. درة durrah "large pearl;" hence the confusion in Pliny that the best pearl was called "exaluminatum."

Elenchi is found in the interpolated part of the Digest, XXXIV. 2. 32. 8: "item eum inaures, in quibus duae margaritae elenchi et smaragdi duo, legasset et postea elenchos eisdem detraxisset et quaereretur, an nihilo minus detractis elenchis inaures deberentur: re-

¹ IX. 112-114.

² Berthelot, *La chimie au moyen âge*, Paris 1893, vol. I, pp. 211, 212, 213, 217, etc.

³ Ibid., p. 217.

spondit deberi, si maneant inaures, quamuis margarita eis detracta sint." It is clear from this passage that "soluta," as connected with unio before, was correctly interpreted by me, since here we have another equivalent, "detractus," which is precisely that of Arab. قلم qala'a. At the same time it is made clear that "elenchus" is a pearl and is in some way connected with an earring. Elenchus is also found in Juvenal VI. 459, "auribus extentis magnos commisit elenchos," to which the X. century Glossae Iuvenalianae say "elenchos gemmas non longiores." But Juvenal, in whose work many interpolations have been suspected, is particularly bad in the half dozen lines following this word: VI. 460 has been shown to be a forgery, and the next five lines made no sense whatsoever as they stood and so had to be transposed before admitting of any interpretations. This disarrangement was evidently caused by slipping in the line about the elenchi.

Elenchi goes back to a Coptic source. We have Copt. holk "manner of plaiting the hair, ring," alak "circle, ring," which is already recorded in Demotic hlq in the II. century B. C.² This Coptic word entered the Arabic as halqah "ring," حلق halq "a narrow passage between two mountains, garganta de monte (in Alcalá), earring." In the sense of "narrow passage," however, the Arab. حلق halq is not of Coptic, but of Greek origin, for it originally means "the place of slaughter in an animal," hence "the fauces, the place of the غلصة galṣamah (γλώττωμα), epiglottis," and we have also حلقه halqamah "he slaughtered him,"

¹ Goetz, vol. V, p. 656. ² F. Ll. Griffith, Catalogue of the Demotic Papyri in the John Rylands Library, Manchester 1909, vol. II, nos. XX and XXII.

لاتور halqūm "the windpipe, passage of breath, the confused parts of a country, straits." All these are from Gr. ἔλκω "to make an incision," ἔλκος, ἔλκωμα "wound, incision." It is only through a confusion of the two خات halq words that there could have developed "elongated earring," which we find represented in LLat. elenchus. This, then, places the Digest far beyond the year 711.

When we are told in Pliny that the elenchi dangled by twos or threes from the women's ears, and that they were called crotalia, because they rattled against each other, we once more get a Coptic-Arabic name for the earrings. In the IX. century Arabic Voyages mentioned above, we read, "The Kings of India are in the habit of wearing earrings (الاقراط 'al-'agrāt) of precious stones set in gold." Arab. قرط qurt, pl. اقراط 'agrāt, means "thing that is suspended to the lobe of the ear, such as a silver bead fashioned as a pearl, or pendant of gold." This is found in Syriac as معترياً kūrcå "earring," and this brings us back to Arab. خرص hurs "a ring of gold, earring with one bead of the kind called قرط qurt." This, again, brings us to Copt. kros, korks "ring," from Egyp. kerker 'circle, cylinder." When the forger made crotalia out of Arab. 'agrāt and said that the earrings were so named because the pearls rattled against each other, he said what was impossible, since pearls rapidly deteriorate when they strike against an object.

We find *crotalia* in a passage in Petronius: "inde duo *crotalia* protulit et Fortunatae in vicem consideranda dedit et 'domini' inquit 'mei beneficio nemo

¹ Reinaud, op. cit., vol. I, p. 151.

habet meliora." This alone would stamp the Cena Trimalchionis as the work of the VIII. or IX. century. But we have at least one independent proof that it was not written before the VIII. century. The Cena Trimalchionis is found only in a MS. of the XV. century, and was first published in the XVII. century. The authorship and probable date rest on purely circumstantial evidence. The language of the work bears a striking resemblance to that of Vergil Maro the Grammarian, although it is in no way identical with it. There is at least one Arabic word in the Cena, and this I shall discuss here.

In the cookbook of Apicius Caelius, or, more correctly, ascribed to Apicius Caelius, which cannot be of an earlier date than the IV. century, but has come down to us in a MS. of the X. century, there are the following passages: "patina ex lagitis et cerebellis: friges ova dua, cerebella elixas et enervas, cizeria (cizema, cirema) pullorum coquis;"2 "gigeria pullorum, aucellas, isicia coques ex iure;"3 "iscinera, gizeria (ginzeria, gyzeria, zizeria) pullorum in caccabum mittis."4 It would seem that in these words we had the Lat. viscera, which had given way to "interanea" and "vitalia" in the popular language, and so was taken in France or Spain for a foreign word, and, as was frequently the case with initial v or w, was written instead with a producing aizera for viscera. What the author meant is clear: not the viscera, but the gizzard and liver of the chickens were to be used, since it is unlikely that then, any more than now, the guts of the chickens were ever cooked. This change of writing could not possibly have happened before the V. century, in all probability much later.

¹ Cena Trimalchionis, 67. ² Chr. Th. Schuch, Apici Caeli De re coquinaria libri decem, Heidelbergae 1874, IV. 141, p. 80. ³ Ibid., IV. 181, p. 97. ⁴ Ibid., V. 200, p. 108.

In the VIII. century, when the vocabularies were formed, this "qizeria pullorum" of Caelius caused trouble enough. Paulus, in his edition of Festus. entered: "gizeria ex multis obsoniis decerpta," "found in many food preparations," and thus avoided giving any explanation which would compromise him. interpolator of Nonius mistook "Caelius" for "Lucilius" and wrote: "gigeria, intestina gallinarum conhisetita cocta. Lucilius lib. VIII (9): qizerini sunt sive adeo hepatia" (p. 119). Having found gizeria, gigeria invariably connected with "pullorum" in Caelius, the interpolator quite correctly wrote "intestina gallinarum." and what follows should be corrected to "cum isicia cocta," as we actually find in the cookbook. His "gizerini sunt sive adeo hepatia" is merely another gloss, picked out from a vocabulary, and should be "qizeria intestina sive adeo hepatia."

The last word is found in Petronius: "hepatia in catillis," only a few lines below gizeria, which occurs in the following combination: "habuimus tamen in primo porcum botulo coronatum et circa saviunculum et gizeria optime facta" (66). The combination "intestina sive adeo hepatia" follows from the Graeco-Latin gloss "κοιληπαταοονιθος gequaria," where the Greek stands for «χοιλία, ηπατα σονιθος» "chickens" gizzard and liver," which is correct. Gizeria got only into French, originally as a book word, as the forms of the word show. We have OFr. ginsier, guisier, juisier, leading to Fr. gésier, Eng. gizzard. In OFrench guisier means both "gizzard" and "liver." Another Latin-Greek gloss wrote "qileriis gallinarum τωναχοῶτων" ορνιθων," corrected much later to «τὰ ἔντερα τῶν οονίθων.» Gileriis for giseriis shows that we are dealing here with a book word, since the palaeographic con-

¹ Goetz, vol. II, p. 351. ² Ibid., p. 33.

fusion of s and l is very common. The Glossae Bernenses could not make out the meaning of the previous gloss and wrote foolishly "akraton geseria," which is also found in the Glossae Salomonis.2

Wherever we find the word, it is invariably connected with the chicken, because it was so originally connected in Apicius Caelius. In the languages which have not borrowed the strange word gizeria there does not exist a special word for the stomach of a bird, except in Arabic.

There is a Coptic root kons, kons "to slaughter, cut the throat," which seems to lie at the foundation of Arab. قنص ganas "what is taken, captured, caught, hunted, chased." By the side of Copt. knos "putrefaction," which apparently is identical with the first, we have also χōns "the putrified thing smelled badly." Similarly we have Arab. حنش hanaš "any bird that is hunted," حنش hanaša "he hunted." The uncertainty of the first and last letter at once indicate a borrowing. Now we have Arab. قانصة gānisah "intestines, bowels of a bird, triple stomach, gizzard," all of which develop from the Copt. knos "putrefaction." But in Arabic it has acquired the specific meaning of "the parts of the bird which are not the cadaver," that is, "giblets," for we have قونصة gānisah, قونصة gaunisah, recorded in Alcalá as "molleja en las aves, gizzard" and "obispillo del ave, the rump of the bird."

In the Germanic languages the word entered but sparingly. We have Goth. hunbs "captivity, chase," frahinban "to capture." AS. hentan "to pursue," gehentan "to take, seize," hunt "hunting," do not seem to be recorded before the X. century. They certainly

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Ibid., vol. III, p. 488. $^{\rm 2}$ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. IV, p. 179, note.

are not so recorded in the early vocabularies. But we have in the Kentish glosses hūđe "praedam," and OHG. herihunta, herihunda "praeda" only twice, and these are apparently borrowed from the Gothic. Otherwise there is no trace of the word in the other Germanic languages, and this absence from them, together with the irregular correspondence of Goth. b and AS. t and d and the peculiar treatment of n in ASaxon, marks these words as borrowings. They must have arisen from some Frankish vocabularies where a form something like qunz existed. Indeed, we have already met with LLat. ginzeria, OFr. ginsier "gizzard, liver," as in Arab. قانعة gānisah. But we have also a whole series of French words, in which the form ginzeria was read as quizeria, qivceria, producing LLat. qibicere "to hunt (birds)," hence OFr. gibecier, gibesser, gebecier "to hunt," hence gibeciere "hunting bag," gibier, jebier, gibiez, etc., "the hunt, more particularly, bird hunting," gibelet "some preparation from the bird," hence Eng. giblet.

If we now turn back to the Latin-Greek glossary, we find that "gileriis τωναχοῶτων όρνιθων" should have read "giseriis των άχοων των όρνίθων," that is, ginseria was the extremities, legs, wings, neck, rump, including also the gizzard, liver and heart, of the chicken; and thus gizeria of Petronius and Apicius Caelius turns out to be what it should have been, the giblets, which even now are prepared as an especial delicacy in many countries. This places Petronius' Cena incontestably in the VIII. or IX. century.2

In Pliny the word dos occurs twice in connection with the pearl: "dos omnis in candore, magnitudine,"

¹ *Ibid.*, vol. I, p. 287.

There are a number of other Arabic words in the Cena: "tangomenas facere, to go on a spree" is unquestionably Arab. tanāḍamān "to go on a spree;" "mufrius, non magister" should be corrected to "muftius, non magister," from Arab. muftī "teacher of law;" "bacalusiae, sweetmeats" is from Arab. baqal "vegetables."

etc..1 and "cohaerentes videmus in conchis hac dote unguenta circumferentibus."2 In the second case "hac dote" is forced, unless it means "by this gift," which, even so, does not make it less forced. In the first case dos is translated by "all its merit," which is not any less objectionable. As a matter of fact, the forger had in mind Pseudo-Hilary's dotes of the pearl, namely that whoever puts on "this" pearl, that is, Christ, "does not grow ill, or old, or die. It has nothing in itself which harms the body, and to him who uses it nothing befalls which brings death, or advances age, or interferes with health." But this is not the only reason why the forger used dos in this connection. The "precious pearl" is in Arabic درة شمة durrah yatīmah, and Arab. درة durrah at once suggested the Syr. Ιός dūra δωρεά "dower," which forms the subject of so many Graeco-Syrian laws. The "pearl" was naturally considered as the precious dower for man.

This found its way as a curious interpolation into Isidore: "Donatio est cuiuslibet rei transactio. Dictam autem dicunt donationem quasi doni actionem, et dotem quasi do item. Praecedente enim in nuptiis donatione, dos sequitur. Nam antiquus nuptiarum erat ritus quo se maritus et uxor invicem emebant, ne videretur uxor ancilla, sicut habemus in iure. Inde est quod praecedente donatione viri sequitur dos uxoris." The original Isidore could only have had "dicta autem est donatio quasi doni actio," after which followed "donatio usufructuaria ideo dicitur," etc. But the interpolator wanted to bring in the durrah yaṭīmah, that is, the dos item, and this he could only do by etymologizing on the accusative case of dos; so he changed the whole into the accusative and produced the im-

¹ IX. 112. ² IX. 109. ³ Migne, P. L., vol. X, col. 550. See p. 153. ⁴ V. 24. 25, 26.

possible "dictam autem dicunt donationem," although for pages back and afterwards we have only etymologies on the nominative case.

That the interpolator got his do item from an Arabic source is shown by the mixture of the Arabic conception of the donatio by the groom, which is followed by the European conception of the dos of the bride. There is no provision in either Roman or Germanic law for a "donatio ante nuptias," in order to make the marriage valid, whereas in Moslem law a marriage is not valid until the groom has paid the mahr to the bride's $wal\bar{\imath}$, and this was, indeed, a continuation of the pre-Moslemitic marriage by purchase. We shall later see to what tremendous consequences this do item led in the so-called Germanic laws.

Solinus says that uniones were introduced in the time of Sulla, "Sullanis primum temporibus Romam inlati sunt uniones," and gives the same explanation as to the origin of the name, "numquam duo simul reperiuntur, inde unionibus nomen datum." But Pliny specifically quotes Aelius Stilo as an authority for the origin of the word or thing during the Jugurthine war, meaning by it that the pearl had been in use much longer; since according to Aelius Stilo the uniones, not the small pearls, were introduced about 111 B. C., whereas at that time Sulla was but 27 years old and hardly known. If so, it is extremely strange that Solinus, who is supposed to have quoted Pliny, should not have told the same story. There are some spurious quotations from Aelius Stilo4 and this one in Pliny is obviously impossible.

¹ Th. W. Juynboll, *Handbuch des Islamischen Gesetzes*, Leiden, Leipzig 1910, p. 209 ff.
² LIII. 30.

⁴ F. Mentz, De Lucio Aelio Stilone, in Commentationes philologicae Ienenses. vol. IV, p. 35 ff.

XVII. UNIONES IN MARTIAL.

Uniones are twice mentioned in Martial, and it will now be shown that certain poems of Martial, like Petronius' Cena, are of no earlier date than the VIII.

century.

Bardocucullus occurs in Martial in the following two "Sic interpositus villo contaminat uncto Urbica Lingonicus Tyrianthina bardocucullus," I. 53. 5: "Gallia Santonico vestit te bardocucullo. | Cercopithecorum paenula nuper erat," XIV. 128. The latter is obviously a borrowing from Juvenal's "Tempora Santonico velas adoperta cucullo." It is not likely that the writer misread -perta cucullo as one word, though this may have helped in associating barda with "cucullus." He had in mind the Arab. بردة burḍah "a kind of garment which is wrapt around the body, an oblong piece of thick woolen cloth, generally brown or of a dark or ashy dust-color, and either plain, or having stripes so narrow and near together as to appear at a little distance of one color, used both to envelop the person by day and as a night-covering." Augustan History, which for many other reasons will be shown to be a VIII. or IX. century product, has "bardocucullum unum." But we also have "cuculli Bardaici," where the word is brought in harmony with Juvenal's "calceus Bardaicus," whatever this may have been.

Bascauda. "Barbara de pictis veni bascauda Britannis, | Sed me iam mavolt dicere Roma suam."5

² Claudius, XVII.

⁴ XVI. 13-14.

¹ VIII. 145. ³ Pertinax, VIII. ⁵ Martial, XIV. 99.

word is found in Juvenal, "adde et bascaudas (bascaldas, bastaudas, bascaulas, pascaudrias),"1 to which the scholia reads "mascaudas uasa ubi calices lauantur." Of Juvenal's XII. satire Friedlaender says: "eine der schwächsten Arbeiten Juvenals." The least one can say of bascauda in Juvenal is that it is an interpolation. Martial says that the bascauda came from the tattooed Britons, because he found the word in an ASaxon vocabulary. We have in the Epinal and Erfurt Glossaries "uescada mundleu," and in the Corpus Glossary "mundleu" is the translation of both uescada and "conca." Thus it is certain that a layer is meant. This is also brought out in the corrupt glosses, "bascuudas concas aereas," Lib. gloss.,2 "bascaudas concas hereas," Glossae AA.,3 "barcanda conca aerea," Gloss. Scal., 4 "bascaudas concas ereas," Gloss. Cod. Vat. 3321,5 but the important Sang. 912 has "vascaudes concas ereas."6 Thus it appears that the oldest, VIII. century form is vescada or vascauda, and through the Spanish betacism we get "bascauda بلت ," that is, "washbasin" in the Latin-Arabic glosses.7

This is the Arab. فسقية fasqiyyat, fisqiyyat from Lat. "piscina," "basin in which the religious ablution is performed, a basin with a jet of water in it." The exceedingly strict observances of cleanliness enjoined by the Moslem religion, brought into use the lavers, which spread over Europe with the Arabic invasion. But the Arabs got the idea from the Greeks, who changed the Latin word piscina to φισχίνα, βισχίνα:8

¹ XII. 46.

² Goetz, vol. V, p. 170.

³ Ibid., p. 442.

 ⁴ Ibid., p. 592.
 5 Ibid., vol. IV, p. 24.
 6 Ibid., p. 294.
 7 C. F. Seybold, op. cit., p. 42.
 8 Ducange, sub φισκίνα "fountain, basin."

but it never assumed the meaning of laver in Greek. Ital. vasca may still represent Gr. βισχίνα, through a pronunciation βασχίνα, of which it is, as it were, an augmentative; but it is far more likely already the Arab. fisqiyyat, of which, to judge from the Gr. βισχίνα, there must also have been a form wisqiyyat or wasqiyyat. It is in the south of Italy that vasca is best preserved: Sicil. vasca "basin of a fountain," of which we have the diminutive vaschetta, Neap. vasca "basin," and here we also have vasillo and vacile "laver." But vasca "basin of the fountain" is fairly universal throughout Italy. It is only the vac, vas forms, the latter because of its association with Lat. vas, that have spread in the Romance countries in the sense of "basin, laver," such as Port. bacia, Span. bacin, Fr. bassin, etc.

In the Germanic languages this vasca, or, rather, the LLat. vascauda, vescada very slowly assumed citizenship in ASaxon, where waescern "lautorium" is found in Alfric's vocabulary, and waescan, waxan, waxsan occur only sporadically. In OHGerman ultimately wascan completely obliterated the older word, while in Gothic

only bvahan is found for "to wash."

It is, therefore, clear that we are dealing here with the evolution of the Arabic "laver" words, and that bascauda of Martial is a late VIII. century word, which was unknown before the arrival of the Arabs.

Covinnus. "O iucunda, covinne, solitudo, | Carruca magis essedoque gratum | Facundi mihi munus Aeliani": What a covinnus is, is made clear from various authors. Mela says: "dimicant (Britanni) non equitatu modo aut pedite, verum et bigis et curribus, Gallice armati: covinnos vocant, quorum falcatis axibus utuntur." In Lucan's Pharsalia we read:" "Et docilis rector rostrati Belga covinni | Arvernique

² III. 6.

¹ Martial, XII. 24. 1-3.

ausi Latio se fingere fratres | Sanguine ab Iliaco populi," to which the scholiast says: "Quovenna genus vehiculi, cuius usum Galli primum invenerunt." Paulus Festus has it: "benna lingua Gallica genus vehiculi appellatur, unde vocantur conbennones in eadem benna sedentes."

All these passages are due to an unfortunate statement in Pseudo-Berosus:² "Comerus more Scythico unde venerat docuit suos Italos urbem curribus componere. Et idcirco Veii appellati sunt vocabulo Sago. qui Veias plaustrum appellant, et urbem ex his compositam si parva sit Veitulam, si magna Vlurdum, si metropolis Cy Ocholam ad haec quoque tempora Scythae plaustris et curru pro domibus utuntur. Et sub solario quidem stabulum, supra vero habent officinas domus. Concludit et loca a se cognominata Tyras, postquam Tyrum fundavit, cum principibus coloniarum littora maris tenuit, fundavitque Thraces Archadius Archadiam, Emathius Emathiam tenuit. Anno .xlv. huius Beli Ianus pater posuit colonias in Arabia Foelice, et a suo nomine unas vocavit Noam, et a cognomine Ianineas. Qui vero ex posteritate Comeri erant Galli ab avito cognomine illos appellavit Gallos." Pseudo-Berosus made Comerus the founder of the Gauls and located him in Italy, where he let him found Veii and, in Scythian manner, build it of chariots; hence they call a wagon Veias. Of course, Pseudo-Berosus had in mind Lat. vehiculus, and, most likely, he wrote Vehi and Vehias. The Scythians were especially known as the Hamaxobii,4 and Pseudo-Berosus' statement is correct as far as the Scythians are concerned. But the glossators were misled by Pseudo-

¹ I. 426 ff

² See my Contributions, vol. III, p. 174 ff.

³ J. Annius, Antiquitatum variarum volumina XVII, 1512, lib. XV, fol. CXXI.

⁴ See Herodotus, IV. 46, and the note to it in Creuzer and Baehr's edition.

Berosus, and, misreading vehias as vennas, assumed it to be a Gallic word, whence the first part of Paulus' But the first part in Pseudo-Berosus, "et idcirco Veii appellati sunt vocabulo Sago," was understood by Paulus to refer to "Italos," that is, the Gauls, and reading "idcir(co) covenni appellati sunt, qui vennas plaustrum appellant," he called those who traveled in a venna or benna the conbennones. From this horrible confusion of a bit of forgery arose the covinnus "a Gallic chariot" and covinnarius "a charioteer." The confusion of vehia and venna, more especially the word convenna as understood by Paulus, was due chiefly to the use of convena by Pseudo-Berosus in connection with the Italian Gauls.1

But the case is far worse still. In Britain the ASaxon glossators knew (!) that "more scythico" was derived from AS. side, with which "falx" is glossed in the Corpus Glossary, or sigde, as it is found in the Evinal Glossary,—presto! The Britons employed scythed chariots in warfare. Scythed chariots are frequently mentioned in the East, but there is not a particle of evidence that there had ever been any in Gaul or Britain. If we now turn to the reference to scythed chariots in Britain, the passages all turn out to be interpolations or forgeries.

In Tacitus' Agricola occur these two passages: "Media campi covinnarius eques strepitu ac discursu complebat,"2 and "interim equitum turmae, fugere covinnarii, peditum se proelio miscuere."3 In the first. covinnarius is distinctly a gloss to "eques;" if not, an et has to be supplied, and this has been done by many editors, since a "covinnarius eques" is mere nonsense, the horses not having been ridden by the charioteer.

<sup>See my Contributions, vol. III, p. 190.
XXXV. 3.
XXXVI. 3.</sup>

In the second case, "fugere covinnarii" is obviously interpolated, for no chariots were present; hence at least one editor has bracketed it as a glossarial note. Other editors try to read "interim equitum turmae fugere, covinnarii peditum se proelio miscuere," which is bad, since no covinnarii are mentioned before.

Just such an interpolation of two lines is found in Silius Italicus' Punica, where, without rhyme or reason, the hand to hand fight of the Romans is compared with the scythed chariot charge of Thule: "Caerulus haud aliter, cum dimicat, incola Thyles | Agmina falcigero circumuenit arta couinno." With the omission of these two lines the story gains in unity. In Lucan's Pharsalia the line containing the word covinni follows two lines which have already been proscribed, and ten lines further down another five lines have been long declared spurious. Indeed, the whole intervening part is a forgery, since it contains references to bards and Druids.² Mela has similarly been declared interpolated and need not even be discussed. Nor need we turn to the vocabularies, where conuinna, couinnus, xoibivos are given with the meaning "genus vehiculi."

Flodoardus writes: "haec omnia vehiculo, quod vulgo benna dicitur, imposuit." This shows that in the X. century the benna was identified with a wicker cart, quite a deterioration from the dreaded scythed chariots of old. Hence we get Fr. banne "cart," which is found in a variety of forms in the dialects. In Italy benna is a wicker basket used in transporting goods over the mountains. OFr. banastre, bennastre, etc., "osier basket, carriage shade," is found in Span. banasta "basket," and it is most likely that LLat. venna "wicker weir for catching fish" and AS. binn "bin,"

¹ XVII. 416, 417.

² This will be brought out in Mr. Phillips Barry's work. ³ Historia Remensis ecclesiae, Reims 1854, vol. I, p. 154 (I. 20).

as well as Welsh benn "wagon," men, menn, Bret. mann "wicker basket," etc., are all derived from the assumed Gallic benna, that is, from Pseudo-Berosus'

"veias plaustrum appellant."

No doubt, more such words can be discovered in Martial. Of his urus and bubalus I spoke elsewhere. This much is certain,—if there ever was a poet Martial who wrote in the day of Domitian, the poems under discussion are VIII. century forgeries. Incidentally, this forgery about the scythed chariots of the Britons adds another proof to the statement that Jordanes' Getica is a forgery. Here we read "(Brittani) non tantum equitatu vel pedite, verum etiam bigis curribusque falcatis, quos more vulgare essedas vocant." Jordanes not only quoted the previous forgery, but even succeeded in adding a blunder by calling the scythed chariot an "esseda."

Uniones occurs twice in Martial.3 From what we have already found in him in the way of words foreign to any century previous to the VIII., we conclude that these poems are equally spurious. Uniones occurs in the Augustan History, but this work has long been suspected. It occurs in Seneca: "Video uniones non singulos singulis auribus conparatos; iam enim exercitatae aures oneri ferundo sunt: iunguntur inter se et insuper alii binis superponuntur; non satis muliebris insania viros superiecerat, nisi bina ac terna patrimonia auribus singulis pependissent. Video sericas vestes, si vestes vocandae sunt, in quibus nihil est, quo defendi aut corpus aut denique pudor possit, quibus sumptis parum liquido nudam se non esse iurabit; hae ingenti summa ab ignotis etiam ad commercium gentibus accersuntur, ut matronae nostrae

¹ See Holder, Alt-celtischer Sprachschatz, Leipzig 1891-, sub benna.

VIII. 81 and XII. 49.

ne adulteris quidem plus sui in cubiculo, quam in publico ostendant." Elsewhere in Seneca we find only margarita.² Especially interesting is the De remediis fortuitorum liber, whether it is Seneca's genuine work or not, because here we find repeated the statement that the women wear two fortunes in each ear; but the word used with it is margarita, which makes it certain that in De beneficiis this word was originally used, and not uniones: "Duc bene institutam nec maternis inquinatam vitiis, non cuius auriculis utrimque patrimonia bina dependeant, non quam margaritae suffocent, non cui minus sit in dote quam in veste, non quam in patente sella circumlatam per urbem populus ab omni parte aeque quam maritus inspexerit, cuius sarcinis domus non sit angusta."3 The whole is a paraphrase of the passage in De beneficiis.

We also have uniones in Ammianus, who has already been shown to be a forgery.4 "Restat ut super ortu lapidis huius, pauca succinctius explicentur. Indos et Persas, margaritae repperiuntur in testis marinis robustis et candidis, permixtione roris anni tempore praestituto, conceptae. Cupientes enim uelut coitum quendam, humores ex lunari aspergine capiunt, densius oscitando. Exindeque grauidulae, edunt minutas binas aut ternas, uel uniones, ideo sic appellatas, quod euisceratae conchulae singulas aliquotiens pariunt, sed maiores. Idque indicium est aetheria potius deriuatione, quam saginis pelagi hos oriri fetus et uesci, quod guttae matutini roris isdem infusae, claros efficiunt lapillos et teretes, uespertini uero flexuosos contra et rutilos, et maculosos interdum. Minima autem uel magna pro qualitate haustuum figurantur, casibus uariatis. Conclusae uero saepissime metu

¹ De beneficiis, VII. 9. 4, 5. ² Dialogi, XI. 16. 3, De beneficiis, II. 12. 1.

⁴ See my Contributions, vol. III, pp. 151, 275.

fulgurum inanescunt, aut debilia pariunt, aut certe uitiis diffluunt abortiuis. Capturas autem difficiles et periculosas, et amplitudines pretiorum illa efficit ratio, quod frequentari sueta litora propter piscantium insidias declinantes, ut quidam coniciunt, circa deuios scopulos, et marinorum canum receptacula delitiscunt. Quod genus gemmae etiam in Brittanici secessibus maris gigni legique, licet dignitate dispari non ignoramus." The whole is obviously post-Arabic, since we have here the story of the origin of the pearl from the morning dew.

There is an inscription in Spain, apparently a dedication to Isis, which begins with the words "in basilio unio et margarita \(\bar{n}\) VI," and which is ascribed to the II. century and was given in 1623 to the Museum at Lorilla by Marquis Adam Centurion de Estepa. The words unio et are no longer legible. If they really existed on the stone, then the unique inscription is a forgery. Indeed, "unio et margarita \(\bar{n}\) VI" is ridiculous, since in all the writings discussed above "unio" and "margarita" are interchangeable, even though now

and then unio is "a large pearl."

We have seen that there was a warrant in the VIII. century writers to correct hianio of the vocabularies, which did not mean anything, to unio, through its association with "the One," Christ, as brought out in all the patristic writers. Lat. unio, however, does not mean "the One," but "a union, set." For this the Coptic word is $\delta \bar{o}nf$, and this was taken over by the Arabs in the form δand δand or δand "the upper earring, a pendant suspended from the upper part of the ear." This Copt. δand is itself from Gr. δand "conjunction, connection," which is translated in the glosses by "conjunction, copula, series." When the

¹ XXIII. 6. 85-88.

² Corpus inscriptionum latinarum, vol. II, p. 459 (No. 3386).

Arabs took over the Coptic word, they knew that it referred to the set of pearls or gems worn in the ear, but Arab. Δάρη lost this meaning, and means only "the upper pendant." On the other hand, neither Gr. συναφή nor Copt. šonf ever acquired the meaning of "pendant." Hence Lat. unio arose at a time when the meaning of Copt. šonf was not yet lost in Arab. Δάρη, that is, not later than the VIII. century, when the connection of the Arabs with Egypt was still felt.

XVIII. THE PEARL IN THE GERMANIC LAWS.

Arab. يتيمة yaṭīmah "the precious pearl," Isidore's do item, found its way into the Corpus Glossary, where we read "dos uuituma uel uuetma." In the Laws of Alfred, of the end of the IX, century, there are introduced the Hebrew laws from Exodus. Exodus XXI. 7-11 runs as follows in ASaxon: "Deah hwa gebycgge his dohtor on beowenne, ne sie hio ealles swa đeowu swa odru mennenu: nage he hie út on eldeodig folc to bebygganne. Ac gif he hire ne recce, se de hie bohte, laete hie freo on eldeodig folc. Gif he donne alefe his suna mid to haemanne, do hiere gyfta: locige baet hio haebbe hraegl; 7 baet weord sie hiere maegdhades, baet is se weotuma (wituma), agife he hire bone. Gif he hire bara nan ne do, bonne sie hio frioh."1 is se weotuma" is clearly a gloss to "pretium pudicitiae" of the Vulgate. Weotuma occurs again in Exodus XXII. 17: "Gif hwa faemnan beswice unbeweddode 7 hire midslaepe, forgielde hie 7 haebbe hi siddan him to wife. Gif daere faemnan faeder hie donne sellan nelle, agife he daet feoh aefter þam weotuman." Here "aefter bam weotuman" is a translation of "iuxta modum dotis, quam virgines accipere consueverunt" of the Vulgate.

The word is not otherwise recorded in the ASaxon laws or documents and is distinctly a Bible gloss. It must have been picked up by an Anglo-Saxon in Central France at the end of the VIII. century, since it is found in the Burgundian laws. Here the law from Exodus

 $^{^{1}}$ F. Liebermann, Die Gesetze der Angelsachsen, Halle a. S. 1898, vol. I, p. 30. $_{2}$ Ibid., p. 38.

XXII. 16 is found in the following form: "Si quis puellam rapuerit, pretium, quod pro puella daturus erat, in novigildo cogatur exsolvere, et multae nomine solidos XII." Elsewhere pretium puellae, pretium nuptiale is used in similar combinations: "Si vero puella, quae rapta est, incorrupta redierit ad parentes, sexies puellae pretium raptor exsolvat, multae autem nomine solidos XII;"2 "si vero puella sua sponte expetierit virum et ad domum illius venerit, et ille se cum illa miscuerit, nuptiale pretium in triplum solvat; si autem incorrupta redierit ad domum suam, remota omni calumnia revertatur:"3 "et quoniam Aunegilde post mariti prioris obitum in sua potestate consistens se antedicto Fredegisclo non solum ex parentum consensu, verum etiam proprio arbitrio et voluntate donaverat, et maiorem nuptialis pretii partem sponso adnumerante perceperat, fidemque placiti libidinis ardore succensa disrumpens ad Baltamodi non tam vota cucurrit, quam ad consuetum flagitium remeavit, atque ob hoc non aliter tantum crimen tantumque dedecus libertatis quam sanguinis sui effusione debuerit expiari, tamen districtioni publicae dierum reverentiam praeponentes iubemus, ut Aunegilde divino humanoque dehonestata iudicio pretium, hoc est CCC solidos, Fredegisclo coacta dissolvat;"4 "quaecumque mulier natione barbara ad viri coitum spontanea voluntate furtim convenerit, nuptiale pretium in simplum tantum eius parentibus dissolvatur; et is, cui adulterii dicitur societate permixta, alterius postmodum coniugio si voluerit societur." If we compare these laws with the corresponding Roman law, "De raptibus virginum et viduarum," we at once perceive that the conception of a "nuptiale pretium" is foreign to it and merely results from Exodus.

¹ MGH., Leges, sect. I, vol. II¹, p. 51 (XII. 1). ² Ibid., XII. 2 (p. 51). ³ Ibid., XII. 4 (p. 51). ⁴ Ibid., LII. 3 (p. 85 f.). ⁵ Ibid., LXI (p. 93).

212 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

In the later Burgundian laws wittimon takes the place of "nuptiale pretium:" "Puella, quae marito traditur, patrem et fratres non habens nisi patruum et sorcres, de wittimo tertiam partem patruus accipiat, et alteram tertiam sorores sibi noverint vindicandum. Si vero puella sine patre maritum accepit, fratres non habens, placuit, ut de wittimo tertiam partem mater accipiat et alteram tertiam proximiores parentes;" "mulier quae ad secundas nuptias traditur, wittimon eius a prioris mariti parentibus vindicetur. Si vero tertium maritum accipere voluerit, wittimon, quod maritus dederit, mulieri proficiat;"2 "de wittimon vero si demandaverit pater, ut non queratur, demandatio eius non valeat; sed sicut lex alia expressit, proximus parens accipiat, ita ut de eo, quod acceperit, tertium solidum in ornamentis puella accipiat;"3 "de wittimon. Quicumque Burgundio alicuius obtimatis aut mediocris sine ordinatione patris cum alicuius filia se copulaverit. iubemus, ut tripla solutione obtimatis ille qui fuerit patri ipsi, cum cuius filia se copulavit et eum ante scire non fecit nec consilium petiit. CL solidos ei cogatur exsolvere, et multae nomine solidos XXXVI. Leudis vero si hoc praesumpserit facere, similiter in tripla solutione, hoc est solidos XLV, et multae nomine solidos XII."4 The last case makes it perfectly clear that in the Burgundian, as in the ASaxon, laws the wittimon is the "pretium pudicitiae" or "dos quam virgines accipere consueverunt" of Exodus.

In the Arabic version of Exodus, as given by Saadya, and unquestionably similarly in earlier translations, the end of XXII. 17 is "secundum dotem virginum kamahrin 'al-'abkārin.'' 'Abkār is the plural کمهر الایکار

¹ *Ibid.*, LXVI. 1, 2 (p. 94 f.). ² *Ibid.*, LXIX. 1, 2 (p. 95 f.). ³ *Ibid.*, LXXXVI. 2 (p. 108). ⁴ *Ibid.*, CI. 1, 2 (p. 114).

of either & bikr "virgin, unperforated pearl" or L bakar "morning." We have already seen from the previous discussion that & was glossed somewhere as ويتيمة , if not marked ويتيمة , if not marked with vowel signs, would be read witimah, and it is this that entered into the ASaxon and Burgundian laws; but the reading مهر المرخان mahr 'al-muráān has led to far more weighty consequences.

The Gothic forger who composed a poetical donation, dating it in the year 615, has the lines: "Ecce decem inprimis pueros totidemque puellas | Tradimus atque decem virorum corpora equorum, | Pari mulos numero damus inter caetera et arma, | Ordinis ut Getici est et morgingeba vetusti." Morgingeba is an exact rendering of مهر المرخان mahr 'al-murgan, geba corresponding to mahr, and morgin being the untranslated مرحان muráan. No such word is found in the Visigothic laws, and it is nothing but the Hebrew "dos virginum," as passed through an Arabic translation. There was no escape from the result. Through the Arabic the pearl became the one born from the morning dew; hence also morgin is not only the pearl, as found in at least one Latin version of the Physiologus, but also "the morning," that is, the morgingeba was conceived as the gift to the bride after the nuptial night. This is neither Roman nor Germanic law, but Hebrew law as passed through an Arabic gloss. Thus arose Goth. maurgins, AS. morgen, OHG. morgan, etc., "morning."

Alas, even geba is a Germanic ghost word! Jerome's Onomastica we find "Zebdi dotis meae. Zebedaeus dotatus siue fluens iste, Zabadia dotata

¹ Ibid., sect. V, Formulae, p. 584.

domini," all from Heb. Tall zebed "gift." Cornus Glossary gives "Zebedeus dotatus," and the Keronian glosses have "Zebedeus donatus kepo," where the German form is already established. But AS. gifede "datus, concessus," gifte "dowry," hence ONorse gipta "to marry," show that Zebede was read as 3ebede and changed into gebede, just as gizeria was changed into

aigeria.1

It has already been shown that Gregory of Tours is, to say the least, highly interpolated.² In his history is given a pact which contains the sentence, "De civitatibus vero, hoc est Burdegala, Lemovecas, Cadurcus, Benarno et Begorra, quae Gailesuinda, germana domnae Brunichilde, tam in dote quam in morganegyba, hoc est matutinale donum, in Francia veniens certum est adquisisse." Either the reference to the morganeguba is an interpolation or the whole document is a forgery. The word occurs in the Lex Ribuaria (XXXVII. 2), the Leges Alamannorum (LIV. 2), and frequently in the Langobard laws, where we also find the form morgincapud. It follows from my investigation that all these laws were codified in the VIII. century, and that they were composed in some such way as the Pseudo-Isidorean decretals. Much is probably genuine, but a considerable amount was made up to suit the fancy of the codifier.

I have already shown that the Lex salica contains material which did not exist before the end of the VI. century, and this, too, in such a corrupt form that in reality it cannot be of an earlier date than the VIII. century. This is confirmed by the presence of the pearl story in it, although the morgangeba itself is absent. In a capitulary ascribed to Chlodowec we

² See my Contributions, vol. III, p. 262 f. ³ Historia Francorum, IX. 20, in MGH., Scrip. rer. merov., vol. I, p. 376.

read: "De muliere vidua qui se ad alium maritum donare voluerit. Si quis mulier vidua post mortem mariti sui ad alterum marito se dare voluerit prius qui eam accipere voluerit reibus secundum legem donet et postea mulier si de anteriore marito filios habet parentes infantum suorum debet consiliare. Et si in dotis XXV solidos accepit III solidos achasium parentibus qui proximiores sunt marito defuncto donet; hoc est si pater aut mater desunt frater defuncti aut certe nepus fratris senioris filius ipsis achasius debetur. si isti non fuerint tunc in mallo judici hoc est comite aut grafione roget de ea in verbum regis mittat et achasium quem parentibus mortui mariti dare debuerant parti fisci adquirat. Si vero LXIII solidos in dotis accipiat solidi VI in achasium dentur hoc est ut per decinus solidos singuli in achasium debentur sic tamen ut dotem quem anterior maritus dedit filii sui post obitum matris sine ullum consorcium sibi vendicent ac defendant de qua dotem mater nec vendere nec Certe si mulier de anteriore donare praesummat. marito filios non habuerit et cum dote sua ad alias nuptias ambulare voluerit sicut superius diximus achasium donet. Et sic postea scamno cooperiat et lecto cum lectaria ornet et ante novem testes parentibus defuncti invitat et dicat: Omnis mihi testis scitis quia et achasium dedi ut pacem habeam parentum et lectum stratum et lectaria condigna et scamno coperto et cathedras quae de casa patris mei exhibui hic dimitto. Et hoc liceat cum duas partes dotis sui alio se dare marito. Si vero istud non fecerit duas partes dotis perdat et insuper fisco solidos LXIII culpabilis judicetur. De puellas militurias vel litas haec lex medietate servetur." Here the woman retains her dower by giving for it the achasium; that is, just as the precious pearl, the $mur\dot{q}\bar{a}n$, is obtained by giving

¹ R. Behrend, Lex salica, Weimar 1897, Capitulare I. 7, p. 133 f.

216 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

up the stone achates, so the morgangeba is retained by abandoning a small part of it, the achasium. This achasium is the origin of Fr. achat "purchase," acheter "to buy," which, on the one hand, becomes confused with LLat. adquisitum "that which is acquired," and, on the other hand, is explained as LLat. accaptare, although there is no warrant for such a word in any of the early documents, and produces Ital. accattare, OFr. achapter, OSpan. acabdar, OPort. achatar "to buy."

XIX. THE WHALE.

To Hosea XII. 11 Jerome has the following commentary: "Si Galaad idolum, ergo frustra erant in Galgal bobus immolann a m e t altaria eorum acervi super sulcos agri. LXX: Si non Galaad est, ergo falsi erant in Galgala principes immolantes, et altaria eorum quasi testudines super desertum agri. Pro eo quod nos transtulimus, bobus, qui Hebraice appellantur surim, LXX interpretati sunt, principes, qui vocantur sarim, verbi similitudine atque ambiguitate decepti. Rursum ubi nos posuimus, acervos, qui Hebraice appellantur gallim et proprie θῖνας significant, hoc est, ex arena tumulos congregatos, qui maxime in deserto, et in littoribus flante vento, vel augentur, vel minuuntur, LXX transtulerunt. testudines (χελῶνας): pro Symmachus, acervos lapidum, interpretatus est: Theo-Et revera si θῖνας respicias, habent dotio colles. similitudinem magnarum testudinum in deserto agro, vel in ripis atque littoribus paululum humo eminentium. Quod ergo dicit, hoc est, si in Galaad, de qua scriptum Galaad civitas operantium idolum, supplantata sanguine, falsi sunt dii et perversa religio, et est trans Jordanem ubi duae tribus habitant, Ruben et Gad, et dimidia tribus Manasse, ergo et Galgal de qua in hoc eodem propheta legimus: Omnis malitia eorum in Galgala, quae est post tergum Bethaven; quicumque idola colunt, non boves diis immolant, sed bobus offerunt sacrificia, imitantes errorem Samariae. Eo enim tempore quo haec prophetabantur, Galaad in regno decem tribuum erat; et Galgal sub imperio duarum tribuum, quae appellabantur Juda. Ergo et decem tribus et duae pari idololatriae errore deceptae sunt, et altaria eorum sicut acervi et tumuli de lapidibus congregati, sive de arenis. Cumque et illi et hi in captivitatem fuerint abducti, arae quondam eorum absque cultoribus testudinum vel tumulorum habebunt similitudinem. Quia vero Galaad interpretatur, translatio testimonii, et Galgal, volutabrum, hoc dicere possumus, quod principes haereticorum testimonia veritatis transferant in mendacium, et quidquid colunt, idolum sit, et sacrificia eorum habeant similitudinem, vel acervorum de lapidibus congregatorum, vel testudinum. Quomodo enim θίνες, et acervi hinc atque illine de lapidibus et sabulo congregantur: ita et haeretici de sapientia saeculari et argutiis hominum. fraude atque mendacio simulacra componunt. Et cum hoc fecerint, tardis gressibus in uno moventur loco, et totum orbem occupare non possunt. Testudo tardigrada et onerata, immo oppressa pondere suo, non tam ambulat quam movetur, haereticorum gravissima peccata significans, qui suis in coeno et volutabro luti erroribus immolant, adorantes opera manuum suarum. et instar boum cuncta pro terrenis frugibus laborantes."1

In the Onomastica sacra Jerome added a new interpretation to Galaad, namely "aceruus testimonii," "aceruus testis." In the Syriac ½½ gålå means "acervus, testudo," the latter in the double sense of "protection, rampart" and "tortoise," and this Syriac word found its way into a Graeco-Latin glossary as "cheloni golai." From here it found its way into other

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. XXV, col. 928 f.

² VII. 4. ³ XIII. 17.

⁴ Hermeneumata monacensia, in Goetz, vol. III, p. 189.

glossaries: "testudo coniunctio scutorum; testudo quam uulgo testudinem alii qolaiam dicunt grado lento graditur secum domum suam portans dorso picta et es uenenosa; testudo glarea depauimentum." One glossary quoted only the reference to the turtle and gravel: "testudo quam uulgo quleiam uocant est in mari alia est quadrupedum in terra; testudo glarea idest pauimentum:"2 another left out the word guleia, but otherwise quoted the same lemmata: "testudo ordo militum in modum corone interius terga habentes uel duritia glarea; testudine genus quadripedi est; testudo grarea idest pauimentum."3 That all this is based on the Hebrew and Syriac is shown by the specific meaning "glarea," which is recorded in the Syriac and Hebrew. The Corpus Glossary has the readings "testudo densitas ramorum" and "duritia, gallacia," where the last is a corruption of golaia of the other vocabularies.

It can now be shown that the Syriac or Hebrew word golaia for "turtle" came in through the Arabic. We have Arab. المنافع sulahfa, also silhafa, etc., "the tortoise, called in Persian منافع bāḥah and المنافع sulhāfah, and منافع suhlafā. Dozy has pointed out that this must be the same as Alcalá's çulfāka "landre que mata, a tumor of a pestiferous nature," and points to the double meaning of Span. galapago "tortoise, cleft." The Spanish word arose unquestionably from the hybrid word gala + bāḥah, both of which mean "tortoise." This is confirmed from the Latin glosses, where we read "golaia idest gala pagomarinosiueriano" and "golaia i.

¹ Codex Vaticanus 3321, ibid., vol. IV, p. 184.

² Codex Vaticanus 1468, ibid., vol. V, p. 516.

³ Glossae A A, ibid., p. 486.

⁴ Glossae Cassinenses, ibid., vol. III, p. 539.

galapacomagrinos siue finocreta." These two corrupt glosses become at once clear from the ASaxon glosses. We have in the Corpus Glossary "testudo borddeaca," and in the Epinal Glosses "testudo borohaca & sceldreda l faenucae." The last word is AS. fen + \bar{y} ce, lit. "swamp + toad," hence Aldhelm's "testudo palustris" is translated in the ASaxon Riddles by fenūce. Finocreta of the Latin Glossary is, therefore, AS. fenychrēda "the covering of the testudo;" hence the glosses containing galapago have gone through an ASaxon source, and should be corrected to "golaia .i. galapago marino siue fenychrēda." But "galapago," which is the Syrio-Arabic term galabāhah, has entered the Spanish as galapago "tortoise, cleft." That golaia was known in Spain is shown by the Latin-Arabic glossary, where we read "testudo animal quod testam gerit i. e. goloia item testudo coniunctio scutorum cithara concameratio templi uel glarea i. e. pulmentis." Ducange also records "testudo, quam vulgo guolatiam vocant; testudo, quam vulgo golia dicunt." Indeed, Alcalá records "tortuga galapago calapag," which shows that the Arabs knew the word and that Arab. culfāka in Alcalá and the other Arabic tortoise words are corruptions of the same.

For Syr. gålå "acervus," however, a native Arabic word was used in Spain. We have Arab. نبر nabara "he raised, elevated a thing," hence نبرة "nibr "heaps," عنبار "anbār "magazine, chamber," عنبار nabrah "a swelling in the body," منبر minbar "the pulpit." It became necessary for the Arabs to maintain the "testudo" glosses, but no exact idea was connected with the marine animal, except that it was something like a shield. The Arabic "heaps" glosses by a slight

¹ Hermeneumata Codicis Vaticani Reginae Christinae 1260, ibid., p. 564.

change admitted of such a transference. It was taken to be the sperm whale, عنبر 'anbar, which, therefore, had also to be ''a shield;'' hence we have عنبر 'anbar ''ambergris, an excrement found in the belly of a certain great fish which is called by the same name, shields are made of its skin." Thus we have the "testudo" gloss preserved in all its amplitude.

There can be little doubt that عنبر 'anbar is an Arabic word, and that the original meaning, still preserved for it, was "saffron," for which we get also the form غيير 'abīr "a certain mixture of perfumes compounded with saffron, saffron itself." When the Arabs discovered the sperm whale along the East coast of Africa and obtained from it the ambergris, which lent itself to the same purposes as the saffron, the latter name was transferred to it, and the whale itself was named عنبر 'anbar. The meaning "shield" is, in all likelihood, not derived from the animal, since it is not likely that the whale skin could have been so used.

Already in classical times Lat. scutum had the meaning of "protection." In the Bible it is quite frequent in this acceptation: "scutum auxilii tui," Deut. XXXIII. 29; "Deus scutum meum," 2 Reg. XXII. 3; "scutum est omnium sperantium in se," 2 Reg. XXII. 31; "ut scuto bonae voluntatis tuae coronasti nos," Psal. V. 13; "scuto circumdabit te veritas ejus," Psal. XC. 5. In the Langobard law we read "omnes waregang, qui de exteras fines in regni nostri finibus advenerint, seque sub scuto potestatis nostrae subdederint, legibus nostris Langobardorum vivere debeant." In the ASaxon laws and elsewhere scildan is several

¹ Roth. 367, MGH., Leges, ed. Pertz, vol. III, p. 85.

times used for "protegere, preuidere, noxium dimittere," even as in the Slavic language Lat. scutum has produced ščit, štit "shield, protection."

There is an OHGerman gloss to 1 Maccab. IV. 6. "tegumenta schuta," although in other MSS. we read "tegumenta scilti, scilta." The first is not a mistake for the second, but is simply the Lat. scuta, with which, without warrant, tegumenta in Maccab. was confused, although the Gr. καλύμματα indicates that "a covering, a cloak" was meant. Now "covering" is given in the Latin glosses as "pallas sindones uel coopertorium altaris," and "sindonis amictoria linea, quibus operiuntur humeri," and we have the ASaxon glosses "scyte sindo," from which we ultimately get Eng. sheet. In the Corpus Glossary is found the gloss "sandalium scete loda," but this is obviously a blunder, since loda is frequently glossed by "lacerna" or "stragulum," as it should be, while "sandalia" is glossed in the Epinal Glossary as "calciamenta que non habent desuper corium," and the Corpus Glossary has "sandalia calciamenta." As lođa indicates, scēte belongs to a lemma "lacerna" or "stragulum," or, what is more likely, "sandalium" is a misread "sindonem." Thus we are left for the ASaxon with only the meaning "cloak, sheet" for scēte, scyte.

In Isidore we read: "Paenula est pallium cum fimbriis longis. Lacerna pallium fimbriatum quod olim soli milites utebantur; unde et in distinguenda castrensi urbanaque turba hos togatos, illos lacernatos vocabant. Inde autem lacernae quasi amputatis capitibus fimbriarum, neque ita laxis ut sunt paenularum."3 Isidore confused lacerna with lacinia, even as Apuleius regularly used "lacinia" for the whole garment; hence

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. IV, p. 285. $^{\rm 2}$ Ibid., vol. I, p. 691. $^{\rm 2}$ XIX. 24. 14.

he jumped to the preposterous conclusion that a "lacerna" was, as it were, a garment with the tassels cut off. From this confusion of "lacerna" and "lacinia" we get the Goth. skauts for "fimbria." The corresponding ASaxon glosses are instructive: sceat, sceata are used for "garment, cloak, cloth, napkin, bosom, lap, bay, nook, corner, projection." In Alfric's vocabulary sceat is the translation of "nebris heortes hyd, hart's hide." Even in the X. or XI. century we find "mantile, gausape" for it, but, at the same time, we get "sceata pes ueli, sceatline propes," where the meaning is transferred to the edge of the sail. Thus there is no doubt possible as to the identity of Goth. skauts, etc., with Lat. scutum.

In OHGerman we get but late $sc\bar{o}za$ "lacinia, plaguncula, gremium," $-sc\bar{o}z$ "cornered." As we have AS. $-sc\bar{t}te$ "cornered," we once more get an identity of all the words so far discussed. MHG. $sch\bar{u}tzen$ "to protect" is apparently a late introduction from LGerman, since we have MD. scutte "sluice, dam," schutten "to shut up, let pass through a sluice." That these are all borrowed words, follows from the phonetic variations, MLG. beschutten, beschutden "to shut up, protect, cover by pouring on," schut "protection." Side by side with Ger. $sch\bar{u}tzen$ we have $sch\bar{u}tt$ "damming of water," $sch\bar{u}tte$ "alluvial hills, circumvallation, place for heaping things."

AS. scyttan "to shut, shoot a bolt, cause rapid movement" is clear enough in the first acceptation, since "to shut" follows easily enough from "to protect." But AS. scyttel "bar, bolt," scytel "dart," scutel "dart, arrow" show that "to shoot a bolt, cause rapid movement" relates scyttan to scūdan "to shake, tremble," in spite of the change of final consonant. Here we have not only a borrowed word, but also a borrowed etymology. In Isidore we read: "scutum appellatum

eo quod a se excutiat telorum ictum," "the shield is so called because it shakes out the darts." The Codex Sangallensis 912 has the gloss "vibrare asta intorquere militare (minitare)." This runs in the Keronian glosses as follows:

Uiberare scuten hnuttē asta ast scaft intorquire pincā huuennen.

Just as ast is a repetition of Lat. asta, so scuten is here a reminiscence of Isidore's "scutum" etymology, no matter whether it represents scutum or excutere. As a matter of fact, there is another, more weighty reason why scutum should have been used to express the idea of shooting. "Cum scuto et fuste contendere" is the usual IX. century formula for a judicial duel. In the Langobard law we have similarly "sub uno scuto per pugnam dimittere," where "sub uno scuto" means "merely by duelling," hence it was natural to think of "scutare" or "scutere," which is recorded only as scuten in the Keronian glosses, as referring to shooting.

It can now be shown that some of the connotations of scutum are due to Arabic influence. During and after the conquest of Spain the Arabs invited the Spaniards to become Mohammedans or to pay the غزية ģaziyyah "a capitation tax" which assured them of full protection, خنة dzimmah, and, "by virtue of the pact and the payment of the tribute, the lives and persons of the dzimmis or subjects were ipso facto placed under the

¹ Goetz, vol. IV, p. 295.

² See MGH., Leges, sect. II, Vocabulary, sub scutum.

³ Roth. 164-166.

⁴ Simonet, Historia de los Mozárabes de España, Madrid 1897-1903, p. 40 ff.

safety and defense of the Moslem law and government."1

The Christian rulers were not slow in adopting the Arabic method of protection, since it insured them a new source of extortion from the subject nations. In the Cortes of Barcelona of 1064 we already find this protection, called emparamentum, in full swing.2 The frequent phrases "recipio et emparo te sub mea custodia et defensione," "recipio in mea emparanza ac defensione,"4 make it clear that we are dealing here with the same kind of protection as offered by the Arabic conquerors and independently referred to in the Langobard law as being under the "scutum potestatis."

It has already been shown that Rothar's Edict was composed by someone acquainted with Gothic sources, and in the case of the waregang who comes under the "scutum potestatis" we fortunately have a corresponding reference in the year 974 in Spain. In the Fuero of Castrojeriz it says: "Damus foros bonos ad illos Caballeros, ut sint infanzones, et firmitur super infanzones deforas Castro, et populetur suas hereditates ad avenientes, et escotos et habeant illos sicut infanzones et si sue gentes aleves fuerint, deshereditent illas." The Spanish translation of it reads: "Et que puedan poblar sus heredades de homes forros, é avenedisos, é que los puedan haber por vasallos así como los han los fijosdalgo de Castiella."6 The per-

² "Simili modo firmissime observetur treuga et securitas quam perceperit princeps inter inimicos teneri, quamvis ipsi inimici eandem treugam ei non auctorizaverint, et emparamentum quod fecerit princeps per se vel per nuncium suum vel per suum sagionem vel per suum sigillum, nemo sit ausus ei desemparare nisi primum fatigaverit se de directo in principem ad consuetudinem ipsius curie," Cortes de los antiguos reinos de Aragón y de Valencia y Principado de Cataluña, Madrid 1896, vol. I, p. 25*.

³ J. Balari y Jovany, Origenes históricos de Cataluña, Barcelona 1899, p. 485.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 486. ⁵ T. Muñoz v Romero. Coleccion de fueros municipales. Madrid 1847. vol. I, p. 37. 6 Ibid., p. 44.

mission is given to settle on the land certain newcomers and escoti, who may be treated as vassals. Spanish translation "forro" for escotus shows that it means "a free man," from Arab. > hurr "free man," but the connection with "avenientes" shows that we are dealing here with the waregang, who, having come under the "scutum," becomes like a free man; hence escotus is from "scutum," although the regular Spanish word for "to protect" is escudar. Henry the First in 1115 freed London from the scot and danegild, which would indicate that it was originally due to the Danes and had grown to be a burdensome tax.

In order to show the overwhelming influence of Arabic institutions upon the Germanic ideas in the VIII. century, especially as referring to the "scutum" words, it is necessary first to touch upon a vastly greater and more important family of words, originally connected with Arabic magic, but ultimately forming the founda-

tion of Germanic religious and legal words.

There is an ONorse word háls-boc "a book to swear upon." In the ASaxon versions of Matth. XXIII. 5 halsbec is used for "philacteria:" "hig to-braedad hyra heals-baec (hals-baec, heals-bec)." We have here a derivative of Arab. اخلاص 'iḥlāṣ, the name of the CXII. sura of the Koran, which runs as follows: "Say, God is one God; the eternal God; he begetteth not, neither is he begotten; and there is not anyone like unto him." This sura was used as one of the chief phylacteries in Arabic magic. It had as much merit as one third of the Koran, and was employed against all kinds of sorcery.3 This Arab. اخلاص 'iḥlāṣ is derived

³ E. Doutté, op. cit., p. 216 f.

^{1 &}quot;Et sint quieti de scot (eschot, schot) et de danegildo et de murdre," Liebermann, op. cit., vol. I, p. 525.
2 "Peir scolo taca cros ihönd ser eða boc þa, er meire se en háls boc," Grágás, Copenhagen 1852, vol. I, p. 76.

from خاص halaṣa "he was or became pure, safe, free, genuine." From this a large number of Germanic words are derived. AS. halsbec means decidedly "amulet writing," hence the early sources report halsere, haelsere "augur, exorcist," halsian "to exorcise, conjure, implore, beseech," haelsian "to foretell," haelsung "divination, augury," and, side by side with it, the abbreviated hael "omen, auspice." That $h\bar{a}\bar{e}lan$ "to heal, cure, make safe," $h\bar{a}\bar{e}l$ "health, happiness, salvation," $h\bar{a}\bar{e}lend$ "the Saviour," $h\bar{a}lor$ "salvation," $h\bar{a}l$ "whole, hale, safe" belong here follows not only from the semantic relationship, as in Arabic, but also from $h\bar{a}ls$ "health, salvation."

The forms in OHGerman are even more instructive. Here we have heilison "to divine, conjure," heilisunga, heilisod "omen, auspice." The very uncommon form heilisod, obviously from an original hālisod, is a very close rendering of Arab. خالصات ḥāliṣat, which is used for اخلاص 'ihlās, the CXII. sura, the sovereign amulet. While in ASaxon there seems to be no precise line of demarcation between the "augur" and "safety" words, the shorter OHG. heil, Goth. hails "well, sound" are abbreviated from the longer form of the Arabic on the basis of a misunderstood etymology in the Onomastica sacra. Here we read "Elisaeus dei mei salus," "Elisa deus meus uel eius salus uel ad insulam uel dei mei saluatio," "Elisue dei mei salus." The etymology of "Elisaeus" is repeated in the Corpus Glossary. The Gothic Bible, following the Gr. Έλίσαιος, spells the word Haileisaius, of which hails seems to be an abbreviation, the borrower not having been aware of the fact that the first part, hailei, refers to "deus meus," and only eisaius to "salus."

228 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

The Arabic Christians used خلص halasa for the Christian acceptation of "to save," and the Christian Redeemer was, therefore, مخلص muhallīs. In Psalm CXV. 25 osanna of the Latin version is translated by Saadya تخلصنا tuḥalliṣnā, literally "save us." "Osanna" has played an enormous part in the liturgy, as is evidenced by Jerome's letter to Damasus, in which he explains the Hebrew origin of the word and points out the importance of Psalm CXV, in that it foretells the coming of Christ. While the Gothic Bible did not have the courage to translate osanna, yet in Mark XV. 18 it translated "χαίρε ave" by hails. It is clear that here we have the original word, from which the adjective hails was formed, with apocopated s. That this hails was the usual Gothic word for an exclamation is proved by a Latin poem, De conviviis barbaris, which consists of two lines, "Inter eils goticum 'scapia matzia ia drincan' | Non audet quisquam dignos edicere versus." Eils means "hail!" Massmann put the Codex Salmasianus, in which this poem is placed, in the VII. century; Riese placed it in the VII. or VIII. century, and Quicherat and Delisle put it in the VIII. century.4 An inspection of a MS. page reproduction in Wattenbach and Zangemeister shows that the uncials, with their capitals and red rubrics, are more likely of the beginning of the IX. century. There can be little doubt that the Arab. خلص halaşa "to save" is responsible for the "osanna" meaning, hence we get once more the confirmation of the fact that the Gothic Bible was translated from a bilingual Graeco-Arabic original.

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. XXII, col. 375 ff.

² A. Riese, Anthologia latina, Lipsiae 1894, vol. I¹, p. 221 (No. 285).

³ Zeitschrift für deutsches Alterthum, vol. I, p. 379.

⁴ Riese, op. cit., p. XIII.

John VIII. 36, "si ergo vos filius liberaverit, vere liberi eritis," has led to the extension of Christ's attribute as not only "the Saviour," but also "the Liberator." In St. Augustine's Tractatus XLI this idea is expressed as follows: "Liberat ergo ab hac servitute solus Dominus: qui illam non habuit, ipse de illa liberat; solus enim in hac carne venit sine peccato. Nam quos videtis in manibus matrum parvulos ferri, nondum ambulant, et jam sunt compediti; traxerunt enim de Adam quod solvatur a Christo. Pertinet etiam ad ipsos, cum baptizantur, ista gratia quam Dominus pollicetur; quia de peccato solus liberare potest, qui venit sine peccato, et factus est sacrificium pro peccato." "Mane in servitute Dei, in libertate Christi; mente servi legi Dei tui. Noli te dare concupiscentiis tuis: sequendo eas, vires eis addis: dando eis vires quomodo vincis, quando contra te inimicos nutris viribus tuis? Quae igitur libertas plena atque perfecta in illo Domino Jesu qui dixit, Si vos Filius liberaverit, tunc vere liberi eritis; quando plena et perfecta libertas erit? Quando nullae inimicitiae, quando novissima inimica destructur mors."2 "Dominus noster etiam in forma servi non servus, sed in forma etiam servi Dominus (fuit quippe illa carnis forma servilis, sed quamvis esset similitudo carnis peccati, non erat caro peccati), libertatem promisit credentibus in se."3 Moreover, the Lord, Dominus, is the Liberator, and. although a slave in form, is Himself free.

This led in the Germanic languages to the creation of a word for "Lord" from a root "free." We have Goth. frauja "lord," fraujinon "to be a master," AS. freá and the indeclinable freo "lord, master," the

Migne, P. L., vol. XXXV, col. 1694 f.
 Ibid., col. 1699.
 Ibid., col. 1700.

latter also meaning "woman, mulier ingenua," frowe "lady, the Virgin Mary." In OHGerman we have chiefly in Otfrid fro "dominus," otherwise frawa "domina, matrona," and the indeclinable fron, frono "dominus, dominicus," hence "publicus." That frono meant "one of high degree, magnificent" is proved by the adjective fronisc "distinguished, famous, elegant, mystical, secret." All these come from Arab. hurr, which produced Span. forro, horro "free," while OSpan. horra, Arab. => hurrah were the usual words for "lady, queen, princess, empress." It is not only in Spanish that the initial letters interchanged, for we have also غ furr, nominative furrun "the best, choice of men," although this is, no doubt, from a different The latter aided the change of Arab. root. hurriyyah "freedom, free persons collectively, the eminent, elevated, noble persons of the Arabs and of the foreigners" into AS. freá, freo, while Arab. في furr, furrun led to Goth. frauja, OHG. fron, etc., "master." The first produced OHG. frī, Goth. frei "free," etc.

Goth. frei-hals, AS. freols "freedom," OHG. frī-hals, ONorse frjals "free," have been explained as having in its second part hals "neck." Kluge, in his Etymological Dictionary, says: "it signifies with a free neck,—a ring around the neck was an OTeut. mark of a slave." All very well, but hals is the Arab. خلص halaşa "to set free," which I have already discussed. It is true, hals assumed the meaning "neck," because the Arabic expression for "to emancipate" was "to free a neck," حرد وقبة "he freed a neck," حرد وقبة "he freed a neck," one of the

¹ Simonet, op. cit., p. 115.

² Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon, sub حر.

Arabic words for "neck" is عنى "unq, and we have also "anaq" length of the neck." Apparently there was a Gothic gloss frei-hals=frei-'unq, which produced the curious Goth. hals-agga "neck." But the other Germanic languages were more fortunate: they split the composite gloss hals-agga into two parts, and so we get OHG. hals and hnac, nac, in Swabian-Frankish anke, genick, MHG. genick "nape of the neck." AS. heals, hals "neck" did not maintain itself in English, but hnecca produced Eng. neck.

In the Langobard laws frea "liberta" occurs but twice: "Si quis fream alienam sine volontatem de mundoald eius movere de casa, ubi inhabitat, presumpserit, et alibi duxerit, conponat ille qui in caput est, pro inlecita presumptione ad mundoald eius solidos numero octonta. Et si liberi homenis cum ipso fuerent. conponat unusquis per caput solidos 20; servi autem in conpositione domini sui conpotentur. Nam si forte ille homo liber, qui ipsam fream de casa ubi est tolerit, et sibi uxorem duxerit, sic conponat, sicut gloriose memorie Rothari rex in anteriore edicto instituit," Liut. 94:1 "contenit autem anterior edictus de fream suam, qui eam male tractaverit, ut amittat mundium ipsius; et non dicit, qualis sit ipsa mala tractatio," Liut. 120.2 The first refers back to Roth. 186, 187, the second, to Roth. 182, but here "libera" and not "frea" is used.

In all other cases we find fulcfrea for "the liberated slave woman." Liut. 140 runs as follows: "Si quis homo liber habuerit servum vel ancillam, haldium aut haldiam coniucatus, et insticantem inimicum humani generis cum ipsa ancilla, que servus eius maritum habit aut cum haldia qui cum haldione eius copulata est,

¹ MGH., Leges, ed. Pertz, vol. IV, p. 146 f.

² Ibid., p. 157.

adulterium perpetraverit; ita statuimus, ut perdat ipsum servum aut haldionem, cuius uxorem adulteravit, et ipsa mulier insimul, ut vadant liberi et absoluti fulfrealis, tamquam si thingati fuissent, ubi voluerint: quia non est placitum Deo, ut quilevit homo cum uxore aliena debeat fornicari. Tamen de ipsa libertatem dicimus, quia non possunt sic sine vera absolutione veri liberi esse, nisi sicut edictus contenit, aut per thinx, aut circa altare, sicut nos instituimus: ideoque veniant ad palatio ad nos aut qui pro tempore princeps fuerit terrae istius. eos absolvat et faciat eorum preceptum, et sint postea certissimi liberi et absoluti." According to this law, a fulcfreal is an "absolutus liber," a "verus liber," one who has been absolved in a prescribed legal fashion. Liut. 9 similarly points out that the complete manumission is absolute in the case of those who are "fulcfreal thingati:" "Si quis servum suum aut ancillam in manum regis dederit, et ipse princeps eos per manos sacerdotis circa sacrum altarem liberos dimiserit, sic permaneant liberi, sicut illi qui fulcfreal thingati sunt."2 The same is implied in Liut. 23 and 55. Roth. 224 gives the procedure for such a manumission: quis servum suum proprium aut ancillam suam liberos dimittere voluerit, sit licentia qualiter ei placuerit. Nam qui fulcfree, et a se extraneum, id est haamund, facere voluerit, sic debit facere. Tradat eum prius in manu alteri homines liberi et per gairthinx ipsum confirmit; et ille secondus tradat in tertium in eodem modo, et tertius tradat in quartum. Et ipse quartus ducat in quadrubium, et thingit in gaida et gisil, et sic dicat: de quattuor vias ubi volueris ambulare, liberam habeas potestatem. Si sic factum fuerit, tunc erit haamund, et ei manit certa libertas; postea nullam repetitionem patronus adversus ipsum aut filius eius

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 169 f. ² *Ibid.*, p. 111.

habeat potestatem requirendi. Et si sine heredes legetimus ipse qui haamund factus est, mortuus fuerit, curtis regia illi succidat, nam non patronus aut heredes patroni."

Whatever the origin of these laws may be, the non-Latin terms used in them show that they were codified under Arabic influence. We have Arab. talg, tulug "loosed from his bond, set loose or طلق طلق free," طلت talīq "a man freed from slavery, emancipated." Langobard fulcfreal, therefore, is a misreading for tulc-freal, literally "free by an act of emancipation," or, as the Langobard laws say, "verus, absolutus, certissimus, confirmatus liber." That we have in fulcfreal a misspelling is proved by Goth. tulgus "firm," tulgjan "to confirm," tulgiba "confirmation, security," OS. tulgo "very," AS. tylg "propensior;" but the Latin glossaries explain "propensior" as "qui incumbit animo ad pergendum siue bene siue mala." A fulcfreal, that is, tulcfreal, is "a more firmly established freedman," one of those who becomes an amund "a se extraneus," that is, "one completely free from his patron;" and this is again an Arabic word, namely, امون 'amūn 'secure, free from fear." from زما 'amina "he was or became secure, in a state of security and safety."

The Langobard laws repeatedly say that the freedman comes into "manus, votum regis," and we have similarly found "scutum regis." We have also observed that in Spain the usual term for coming under the protection of the king was "in amparamentum regis." This amparamentum, from Arab. عنبر 'anbar "shield," very soon deteriorated into blackmail, hence throughout western Europe words derived from this began to mean

¹ Ibid., p. 54 f.

"molestation, hindrance, embarrassment." Hence amparamentum is also recorded in the sense of "usurpation, what is unjustly held," and the clause was used, "donec totum amparamentum vel omnia amparamenta, quotiescumque facta fuerint, in integrum vobis sint restituta." Similarly we get amparare "to protect" and "to invade, carry off, occupy." Generally these words were conceived as derived from in + parare, hence imparamentum "protection," and, in Aragon, imparantia "sequestration."

In the Romance languages we find, side by side, the contradictory meanings. Thus we have OProv. ampar, ampara, empara "protection," hence emparo "rampart, defence," and empar, ampar "opposition, contestation," emparamen "prohibition, confiscation," hence emparar "protect, forbid, take possession, learn, receive, seize." But we have also the phonetically more correct embarrar "to surround, protect" and embarc "impediment, indebtedness," embarguier "burden." Similarly, the same Arabic word is responsible for Fr. emparer, embarras, and Span. embargo, etc. In Spanish we have both amparar and mamparar "to protect," the latter from an Arabic participial form of

While occasionally LLat. embargum was used for "hindrance," "in illa medietate, Grimardus non debet deinde mittere ullum embargum" (1160),² the writers of documents were tempted to confuse amparicare with the common amaricare "to provoke, exasperate" of the patristic writers, and so wrote for the legal phrase "without let or hindrance" "sine omni ammaricatione:" "Dare et atducere debeatis omni annue in sancte marie de augusto mensis idest triticum bonu siccum modia dua tractum paratum usque ante regia domui nostre

¹ Ducange, sub amparamentum. ² C. Douais, Cartulaire de l'Abbaye de Saint-Sernin de Toulouse, Paris, Toulouse 1887, p. 280.

mensuratum at modium iustum de commedendum sine omni ammaricatione" (981);1 "intus portas memorati sancti et venerabilis vestris monasterii mensuratum at modium iustum de commedendum et at quarta iusta: sine omni ammaricatione" (985);2 "et in sanctum pasce similiter paria dua sine omnia maricatione" (988);3 "at modium iustum da comedendum et at quarta iusta, absque omni amaricatione" (990);4 "usque intus memorato sancto et benerabili nostro monasterio sine omni amaricatione insuper dedi tibi in beneficium et integrum unum modium de terra iusta ipsum" (990);⁵ "idest auri tare unum bonum expendibile; et unum modium de fasioli rubei: et senium una quarta de nuce mundate: sine omni amaricatione" (992);6 "et si alia chartula exinde inbenta dederit mittere illa debeamus aput vos sine vestra dapnietate aut amaricationem" (1014).7

As early as 766 Ato, a notary of St. Gall, wrote for this "sine ulla marricione:" "Et si filius meus post me voluerit, ipsud faciat, sin autem, ipsas res sine ulla marricione ad ipsum monasterium revertantur."8 The identical phrase in the same clause is used again by Ato and by Hartker.9 "Absque ulla contradictio vel

¹ Regii neapolitani archivi monumenta, Neapoli 1849, vol. III, p. 1.

² Ibid., p. 47.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 81. ⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 104.

⁵ Ibid., p. 106.

^{*} Hota., p. 100.

**Ibid., p. 117.

**M. Camera, Memorie storico-diplomatiche dell' antica città e ducato di Amalfi, Salerno 1876, vol. I, p. 226.

**H. Wartmann, Urkundenbuch der Abtei Sanct Gallen, Zürich 1863, vol. I, p. 51 (No. 50).

**Si genuerit filium de legetima uxore, hoc id ipsum faciat, sin autem post biture cius incor receive und propost postine de legetima uxore, noc id ipsum faciat, sin autem post biture cius incor receive und propost postine de legetima uxore, noc id ipsum faciat, sin autem post propost postine und propost po

obitum ejus ipsas res sine ulla marricione ad nos revertantur," ibid., p. 35 (No. 32); "si genuerint filium, hoc ipsud faciat, sin autem, post opidum amborum ipsorum ipsas res sine ulla marricione ad ipsum monasterium revertantur" (769), ibid., p. 54 (No. 55); "si filius meus aut frater meus id ipsud facere voluerint, faciant, sin autem, ipsas res sine ulla marritione ad ipsum monasterium revertantur" (770), ibid. p. 55 (No. 56) ad ipsum monasterium revertantur" (770), ibid., p. 55 (No. 56).

marritione" occurs in a later document and "absque ulla marritione" in another, where other documents read simply "sine ulla contradictione" or add "vel minuatione."3 The word entered into the Cartae Senonicae4 and Formulae Augienses,5 and became a regular term in Carolingian documents.⁶ But maracio also means "truck, everything which aids in the business." In this sense it is used in the documents of the north of France in connection with salt works, We have here the double meaning of Span. ampara as found everywhere, e. g., Basque enparantza "shed," enparau "surplus," enparü "obstacle, impediment," Catal. ampara "detention, embargo," amparo "protection," embarger, embrassar "to disturb, impede, embarrass." In the other languages one form has survived for "protection," another for "impediment," as, for example, Prov. empara "to aid," embarga "to sequestrate."

The Arab. عنبر 'anbar underwent all kinds of transformations. The Span. amparar was taken to be a Lat. imparare, and produced Prov. apara, as though from Lat. adparare "to defend, protect," hence Ital. para-petto, para-occhi, para-sole, in which para means

³ Ibid., p. 60 (No. 60).

⁴ "Propterea omnino tibi rogamus, ut, si veritas est, integra iustitia sine ulla maratione ei facias exinde," MGH., Leges, Sect. V, Formulae, p. 193.

⁵ "Presumptor, qui eam marrire presumpserat, partibus fisce sit obnoxius," ibid., p. 345; "post discessum eius sine aliqua marritione ad supradictum traditione revertantur perpetualiter," ibid., p. 350.

⁶ "Et nemo per ingenium suum vel astutiam perscriptam legem, ut multi solent, vel sibi suam iustitiam marrire audiat vel prevaleat," ibid., Sec. II, Capitularia, vol. I, p. 92; "ut nullum bannum vel preceptum domini imperatori nullus omnino in nullo marrire praesumat," ibid., p. 93.

⁷ See Ducange, sub maracio, and Cartulaire de Saint-Jean d'Angély, in Archives historiques de la Saintonge et de l'Aunis, vols. XXX and XXXIII, passim.

8 Of course, this is mere popular etymology. The real root of the word is παραπετάσια of the Codex Theodosianus: "Aedificia quae vulgi more parapetasia nuncupantur, vel si qua aliqua opera publicis moenibus vel privatis

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 97 (No. 103). ² *Ibid.*, p. 174 (No. 185). ³ *Ibid.*, p. 60 (No. 60).

"protection," and riparo "protection, shield," Rum. apĕra "to protect." While Arab. عنبر 'anbar "granary" entered the Slavic languages as ambar, no doubt through the Turkish, it is found chiefly in an apocopated form as parcus, paricus, though we have also the verb imparcare and the noun imparcamentum in the English laws. In the Bavarian laws parc is "a granary," "de illo granario quod parc appellant," but in the Ripuarian and English laws parcus, parricus is "an enclosure." In the Corpus Glossary we have "clatrum pearuc," and in the Epinal Glossary "clatrum pearroc." That the original meaning everywhere was "that which encloses" is proved by dial. Eng. par, parr "to enclose, confine."

An original LLat. ambar has, however, produced more derivatives. We have LLat. ambarium, ambarrum "enclosure," and this has led to barra "enclosure, bolt, bar," with an endless number of derivatives in the Romance languages. The most interesting LLatin derivatives are barrare "to enclose, obstruct, deceive," barrium "hamlet, enclosure." If LLat. embargum was taken to be im + bargum, the Italian also formed an ex + barrare, namely, sbarrare "to shut up, barricade" and sbarra "bar, obstacle, barrier." This produced late OHG. sperran and late AS. besparrian "to shut in," 1 and OFr. esparre, OHG. sparro, etc., "spar, rafter."

We have already met with the LLatin form ammaricare, which, though parallel with amaricare of the patristic writers, was conceived as admaricare. is little doubt that this arose orally from amparicare, embargare of the rest of France. Just as amparicare

sociata cohaerent, ut ex his incendium, vel insidias vicinitas reformidet, aut angustentur spatia platearum, vel minuatur porticibus latitudo, dirui ac prosterni praecipimus," XV. 1. 39.

But we have already in the Corpus Glossary "oppilatae bisparrade," apparently a late correction, since the Epinal Glossary has "oppilauit".

ã scdae."

gave parcus and embargare barra, etc., so ammaricare produced marrire, maratio, marricio, which have already been discussed. From these we get OFr. maremance, marance "affliction, deception," Wallon. marmense, Namur marimense "annoyance, embarrassment," marement, marrement "pain, affliction," marissement, marisson "displeasure," marir "to lose one's way, be afflicted," hence mar "badly, unfortunately." The Germanic languages have preserved the original meaning of the word, which is that of "to impede," namely, OHG. marrjan, merran, AS. merrian "to hinder, annoy;" but the Gothic formed its word from maricio of the documents, hence marzjan "to annoy." The word has not survived in German, but we have Eng. mar.

Whether Arab. عنبر 'anbar is of native origin or from the Persian,¹ it was brought into Spain by the Arabs, and gave rise throughout Europe to a very large number of words which developed out of the idea of "shield, protection" and its abuse, "obstacle, impediment," and formed three distinct roots, par, bar, and mar. The relation of the Arabic word to the homonymous words meaning "whale" and "amber" led to the story in the Physiologus of the whale who attracted his booty by the odor exhaled by it and was called "the shield." In the Syriac version² the fish $q\bar{e}t\bar{e}$ is called 'espes. All the other versions are taken from the Greek and give the name ἀσπιδοχελώνη. The Syriac version shows that all proceeded from the Arab. عنبر 'anbar, which means both "whale" and "shield."

We find ἀσπιδοχελώνη in Basil's De contubernalibus, «τί τὴν τῆς ἀσπιδοχελώνης ὕπουλον, ἀγάπην προτιμᾶς τῆς τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ γνησίας ἀγάπης, why dost thou prefer

¹ P. de Lagarde, Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Leipzig 1866, p. 12.
² Ahrens, op. cit., p. 73.

the feigned love of this aspidochelone to the genuine love of thy brother." This reference to the feigned love of the aspidochelone shows that the writer had in mind that part of the *Physiologus* story which speaks of the animal which exhales a pleasant odor only to catch the unwary fish, and that this story is the parallel to Prov. V. 3, "for the lips of a strange woman drop honey and her mouth is smoother than oil."

The whale story in the *Physiologus* consists of two independent parts, one, the account of the large marine animal which is like an island that submerges, the other, the account of the whale which catches the small fish by enticing them with the odor which he exhales. It is this second story, generally given first, which is based on the Arabic homonym with aims are 'anbar' whale, ambergris, shield," and produced the *Physiologus* myth. Hence the account in Basil's *De contubernalibus* can have arisen only after the middle of the VII. century, when the Arabs came in contact with the Greek learning in Egypt, and *De contubernalibus* is, *eo ipso*, a late forgery. 'Ασπιδόεσσα χελώνη occurs also in Oppian's 'Αλιευτικά (I. 397), which similarly marks this work as a forgery, but here we have many other proofs of its spuriousness, as has already been shown.

¹ Migne, P. G., vol. XXX, col. 824. See also A. S. Cook, The Old English Elene, Phoenix, and Physiologus, New Haven, London 1919, p. LXXXIV.

XX. THE UNICORN.

In Isidore's Etymologiae there is a description of the unicorn which presupposes the story in the *Physiologus*. It runs as follows: "Rhinoceron a Graecis vocatus. Latine interpretatur in nare cornu. Idem et monoceron, id est unicornus, eo quod unum cornu in media fronte habeat pedum quattuor ita acutum et validum ut quidquid inpetierit, aut ventilet aut perforet. Nam et cum elephantis saepe certamen habet, et in ventre vulneratum prosternit. Tantae autem esse fortitudinis ut nulla venantium virtute capiatur; sed, sicut asserunt qui naturas animalium scripserunt, virgo puella praeponitur, quae venienti sinum aperit, in quo ille omni ferocitate deposita caput ponit, sicque soporatus velut inermis capitur." It will now be shown that at least the second half of the story is an VIII. century interpolation.

Jerome remarks to Isai. XXXIV. 15, "illuccongregati sunt milvi, alter ad alterum: illuc congregari milvos, rapacissimam avem, quae Hebraice appellatur dajoth, sive ut LXX transtulerunt cervos." The dayyah here mentioned is the kite, the smallest of the vulturine birds, known among the Arabs as the مداة hida'ah, about which Ad-Damīrī has the following story: "The relaters of narratives and the chroniclers of memorable events assert that it was one of the birds of prey in the possession of Sulaiman b. Dā'wūd, but that it is not now tamed or possessed (by any body), because it was a part of 'the kingdom

 $^{^{1}}$ XII. 2. 12, 13. 2 Migne, *P. L.*, vol. XXIV, col. 373.

which was not to be seemly to any one after him (Solomon).' The reason of this bird crying out at the time of treading is that a male bird (once) disacknowledged the young one which it had begotten by a female bird, so the latter said (to Solomon), 'O prophet of God, the male bird has trodden me, but after my hatching the egg and after my young one had come forth out of it, he has disacknowledged me.' Solomon said to the male bird, 'What dost thou say?' It replied, 'O prophet of God, she roams about in lands, and does not withhold herself from (other) birds, so I do not know if it is my young one or that of any other bird.' Solomon then ordered the young bird to be produced, and finding it resembling its male parent, handed it over to the male bird and said to the female bird. 'Never allow it to tread thee without getting other birds to bear witness against it, so that it may not deny it after that.' The female bird has therefore taken to crying out when the male treads it, and saying 'O birds, bear witness that he has trodden me.' The kite says in its cry, 'Everything is perishable but His face." The significant points in this account are these: the kite lived in the palace of King Solomon; the male kite must be caught by a stratagem to acknowledge his fatherhood: the female kite is chaste and has witnesses to her marital faithfulness.

In the Septuagint the kite is changed into ἔλαφος, a stag, but the stag, which goes to water, is caught with its horn in the forest; it is the τραγέλαφος, the bos cervi figura with one horn, with which we have already met. This demanded a transference of apparently a Talmudic story, to suit the Greek text. So the kite becomes the unicorn, "a small animal resembling a kid, μιχοὸν ζῶόν ἐστιν, ὅμοιον ἐρίφω», which fits neither the

¹ Op. cit., vol. I, p. 514 f. ² Lauchert, op. cit., p. 254.

kite nor the unicorn. Obviously there is something wrong here. The whole becomes clear from the Latin versions, one of which runs as follows: "Item est animal quod graece dicitur rinoceros, latine vero unicornis. Physiologus dicit unicornum hanc ejus naturam; pusillum animal est, simile hedo, acerrimum nimis, unum cornu habens in medio capite; et nullus omnino venatorum capere potest, hoc argumento capitur: Puellam virginem ducunt in loco illo ubi ipse moratur, et dimittunt eam in silva solam. Rinoceros vero, ut viderit illam, insilit in sinum virginis, et anplectitur eam, et sic capitur."

Here "simile hedo" is due to Arab. حداة hida'ah "the kite." The Arabic version of the Physiologus story deals similarly with the unicorn, but it is called دى dayyah, as in the Bible, and this is the kite, and not the unicorn: "Est animal quod dayyah vocatur, valde modestum, quod non possunt venatores prehendere propter ejus robur: et est ei in medio capite cornu longum unum tantum."2 But the transformation was made peremptory by the Septuagint version, where the dayyah is a stag. We find in the Syriac account of the unicorn the transitional stage, where the unicorn is identified with the stag, for here we read: "How is it caught? They send a chaste maiden to the place where it is wont to go to drink water." Just as the stag of the Esopic fable goes to water, so does here the unicorn. And just as the ἔλαφος was merged into the τραγέλαφος and the "bos cervi figura" with one horn, so the dayyah ultimately became the unicorn.

The "bos cervi figura" comes itself from this unicorn story, for one Latin version has it. "Et in Deuteronomio, Josue benedicens tribum Joseph: Primitivos

¹ Cahier, op. cit., vol. II, p. 221 f.

² Land, op. cit., p. 146 f. ³ Ahrens, op. cit., p. 43.

tauri species ejus, cornua ejus tamquam cornua unicornis. Quod autem unum cornu habet in capite, significat hoc quod dicit Salvator: Ego et Pater unum sumus."1 The "tauri species ejus" was wrongly identified with the unicorn, "quod autem unum cornu habet in capite." It can now be seen that the "bos cervi figura" of Caesar is a bold interpolation, which could have come only from the Physiologus, that is, not earlier than in the VIII. century. When the dayyah was transformed into a unicorn, the virtuous female kite became a chaste maiden who assuages the beast, which is captured and brought to the king's court, even as the female kite went to Solomon to get justice.

The connection of the kite with the court and the identification of the unicorn with the stag that goes to water led at once to the Merovingian myth.2 In Fredegar's Chronicle there is an account of the origin of the Merovingians: "Fertur, super litore maris aestatis tempore Chlodeo cum uxore resedens, meridiae uxor ad mare labandum vadens, bistea Neptuni Quinotauri similis eam adpetisset. Cumque in continuo aut a bistea aut a viro fuisset concepta, peperit filium nomen Meroveum, per co regis Francorum post vocantur Merohingii."3 The unicorn which goes to water here becomes "bistea Neptuni Quinotauri similis," that is, the unicorn is turned into the narwhale, which in the Bundehesh is called māhi ariz, literally "the fish unicorn." That a corrupt form of the word, sounding something like meroeus, was known to the Arabs is proved by the presence of ariz of the Bundehesh in Arabic lore. Ad-Damīrī gives under حريش harīš a

¹ Cahier, op. cit., vol. II, p. 222. ² I already dealt with it in my Contributions, vol. III, p. 294 ff., to which I refer the reader. I repeat here only so much as is needed for the context. ³ MGH., Scrip. rer. merov., vol. II, p. 95.

version which is already under Christian influence and presupposes the existence of the Physiologus: certain speckled species of serpents, so al-Jawhari says. He states after this that it is also a certain beast having claws like those of a lion and a horn on its head and that the people call it الكركدن (al-karkaddan—the rhinoceros). Abū-Hayyān at-Tawhīdī states that it is a certain small animal of the size of a kid or lamb and very quiet, but it has such strength of body and swiftness of motion as to baffle a hunter: it has in the middle of its head a solid and straight horn with which it strikes all animals; nothing can subdue it. It is necessary to use a stratagem for seizing it, namely, to expose to its view a virgin young woman or a young girl, whom when it sees, it jumps into her arms as though intending to suck her milk, which is a natural mark of affection ingrained in its nature. When it jumps into her arms, it sucks her breasts, though there is no milk in them, with such a gusto that it is overpowered by intoxication like the intoxication from wine; while it is in that state, the hunter comes and ties it up firmly with a rope, itself being motionless on account of this dodge. Al-Kazwīnī states in al-Ashkāl that al-harīsh is a certain beast of the size of a kid or lamb, possessing great power of running and having on its head a horn like that of the rhinoceros: it runs mostly on its two hind legs, and nothing can overtake it in running; it is found in the forests of Bulgaria and Sijistān."1

The Merovingian myth is post-Arabic, and Fredegar's *Chronicle* is therefore of the VIII. century. We also have the *Physiologus* story of the unicorn in Gregory's *Moralia*. To Job XXXIX. 9, "n u m q u i d v o l e t r h i n o c e r o s s e r v i r e t i b i?," Gregory says: "Per rhinocerotem intelligendi elati et

¹ Op. cit., vol. I, p. 525.

potentes a Christo domiti, et Ecclesiae servire coacti. Eorum superbiam miraculis fregit. Rhinoceros enim indomitae omnino naturae est; ita ut si quando captus fuerit, teneri nullatenus possit. Impatiens quippe, ut fertur, illico moritur. Ejus vero nomen Latina lingua interpretatum sonat, in nare cornu. Et quid aliud in nare nisi fatuitas, quid in cornu nisi elatio designatur? Nam quia in nare fatuitas solet intelligi, Salomone attestante didicimus, qui ait: Circulus aureus in naribus suis, mulier pulchra et f a t u a. Haereticam namque doctrinam nitore vidit eloquii resplendere, nec tamen sapientiae apto intellectu congruere, et ait: Circulus aureus in n a r i b u s s u i s, id est pulchra et circumflexa locutio in sensibus mentis stultae, cui ex eloquio aurum pendet, sed tamen ex terrenae intentionis pondere, more suis, ad superiora non respicit. Quod secutus exposuit, dicens: Mulier pulchra et fatua; id est, doctrina haeretica; pulchra per verbum, fatua per intellectum. In cornu vero, quia elatio frequenter accipitur, Propheta attestante didicimus, qui ait: Dixi iniquis, nolite inique agere, et delinquentibus, nolite exaltare Quid ergo in rhinocerote hoc nisi potentes cornu. hujus saeculi designantur, vel ipsae in eo summae principatuum potestates, qui typho fatuae jactationis elati. dum falsis exterius inflantur honoribus, veris miseriis intus inanescunt? Quibus bene dicitur: Quid superbis, terra et cinis? In ipsis vero initiis nascentis Ecclesiae, dum contra illam divitum potestas extolleret, atque in ejus necem immensitate tantae crudelitatis anhelaret, dum tot cruciatibus anxia. tot persecutionibus pressa succumberet, quis tunc credere potuit quod illa recta et aspera superborum colla sibi subjiceret, et jugo sancti timoris edomita. mitibus fidei loris ligaret? Diu quippe in exordiis

suis rhinocerotis hujus cornu ventilata, et quasi funditus interimenda percussa est. Sed divina gratia dispensante, et illa moriendo vivificata convaluit, et cornu suum rhinoceros iste feriendo lassatus inclinavit: quodque impossibile hominibus fuit, Deo difficile non fuit, qui potestates hujus mundi rigidas non verbis, sed miraculis fregit. Ecce enim quotidie servire rhinocerotes agnoscimus, dum potentes mundi hujus, qui in viribus suis fatua dudum fuerant elatione confisi, Deo subditos jam videmus. Quasi de quodam indomito rhinocerote Dominus loquebatur, cum diceret: Dives difficile intrabit in regnum coelorum. Cui cum responsum esset: Et quis poterit salvus fieri? Illico adjunxit: Apud homines hoc impossibile est, apud Deum autem omnia possibilia sunt. diceret: Rhinoceros iste humanis viribus mansuescere non potest, sed tamen divinis subdi miraculis potest."1

There can be little doubt that here we have Gregory's genuine writing. Everything is in keeping with the conception of the rhinoceros as found in St. Augustine and the other patristic writers previous to Gregory. According to this account, the rhinoceros cannot be held if caught, because it dies on the spot. Only God can tame him. Had Gregory known anything about taming the rhinoceros by means of a chaste virgin, he could not have failed to mention it here, and he could not have said that only God can tame it. And yet he repeats the same idea a little further on, in commenting upon verse 10, "Nunquid alligabis rhinocerotem ad arandum loro tuo?": "Saeculi principes divina praecepta quibus alligantur, praedicant et servari curant. Lora sunt Ecclesiae praecepta disciplinae. Arare vero est per praedicationis studium humani pectoris terram vomere linguae pro-

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. LXXVI, col. 571 ff.

scindere. Hic igitur rhinoceros quondam superbus ac rigidus jam nunc loris fidei tenetur ligatus; atque a praesepi ad arandum ducitur, quia eam praedicationem qua ipse refectus est innotescere et aliis conatur. Scimus enim rhinoceros iste, terrenus videlicet princeps, quanta prius contra Dominum crudelitate saevierit. et nunc agente Domino cernimus quanta se ei humilitate substernit. Hic rhinoceros non solum ligatus, sed etiam ad arandum ligatus est, quia videlicet disciplinae loris astrictus, non solum se a pravis operibus retinet. sed etiam in sanctae fidei praedicationibus exercet. Ecce enim, sicut superius dictum est, ipsos humanarum rerum rectores ac principes dum metuere Deum in suis actionibus cernimus, quid aliud quam loris ligatos videmus? Cum vero eam fidem, quam dudum persequendo impugnaverant, nunc prolatis legibus praedicare non cessant, quid aliud faciunt, nisi aratri laboribus insudant?"1

The commentary goes on to verse 18, when, as is sometimes the case in the Moralia, we are brought a considerable distance, this time back to verse 9. We get the reference to verse 19, "Sequitur nunquid praebebis equo fortitudinem, aut circumdabis collo ejus hinnitum?" but. instead of a discussion of this, we have the following apology: "Sed fortasse prius quam hujus equi fortitudinem hinnitumque disseramus, ab aliquibus quaeritur ut aliter etiam moralitate postposita, et rhinocerotis virtus, et struthionis hujus fatuitas exponatur. Manua quippe est verbum Dei, et quidquid bene voluntas suscipientis appetit, hoc profecto in ore comedentis sapit. Terra est verbum Dei, quam quanto labor inquirentis exigit, tanto largius fructum reddit. Debet ergo intellectus sacri eloquii multiplici inquisitione ventilari, quia et terra, quae saepius arando vertitur, ad frugem

¹ Ibid., col. 574.

uberius aptatur. Quae igitur et aliter de rhinocerothe ac struthione sentimus, sub brevitate perstringimus, quia ad ea quae obligatiora sunt, enodanda properamus. Rhinoceros iste, qui etiam monoceros in Graecis exemplaribus nominatur, tantae esse fortitudinis dicitur, ut nulla venantium virtute capiatur; sed sicut hi asserunt, qui describendis naturis animalium laboriosa investigatione sudaverunt, virgo ei puella proponitur, quae ad se venienti sinum aperit, in quo ille omni ferocitate postposito caput deponit, sicque ab eis a quibus capi quaeritur, repente velut inermis invenitur. Buxei quoque coloris esse describitur, qui etiam cum elephantis quando certamen aggreditur, eo cornu quod in nare singulariter gestat, ventrem adversantium ferire perhibetur, ut cum ea quae molliora sunt vulnerat, impugnantes se facile sternat. Potest ergo per hunc rhinocerotem, vel certe monocerotem, scilicet unicornem, ille populus intelligi qui dum de accepta lege non opera, sed solam inter cunctos homines elationem sumpsit, quasi inter caeteras bestias cornu singulare gestavit. Unde passionem suam Dominus, Propheta canente, pronuntians, ait: Libera me de ore leonis, et de cornibus unicornium humilitatem meam. Tot quippe in illa gente unicornes, vel certe rhinocerotes exstiterunt, quod contra praedicamenta veritatis de legis operibus, singulari et fatua elatione confisi sunt."1

It is quite impossible for Gregory to have said that those who have labored greatly in the investigation of the nature of the animals have declared that a chaste maiden is put in his way and that she opens to him her bosom, in which he lays down his head and thus is suddenly found harmless by those who want to catch him. Gregory told us before that the animal can never be tamed, except by God. But the editor or interpola-

¹ Ibid., col. 589 f.

tor of the Moralia, in the VIII. century, had another version of the rhinoceros story, and so he had to add an apology before introducing it. Then follows the version which is not Gregory's: "Nunquid volet rhinoceros servire tibi? Ex Judaeis ferox. Saulus a Christo domitus. Ac si aperitus dicatur: Nunquid illum populum, quem superbire in nece fidelium stulta sua elatione consideras, sub jure tuae praedicationis inclinas? Subaudis ut ego, qui et contra me illum singulari cornu extolli conspicio, et tamen mihi cum voluero protinus subdo. Sed hoc melius ostendimus, si de genere ad speciem transeamus. Ille ergo ex hoc populo et prius in superbia, et postmodum praecipuus testis in humilitate nobis ad medium Paulus ducatur, qui dum contra Deum se quasi de custodia legis nesciens extulit, cornu in nare gestavit. Unde et hoc ipsum naris cornu per humilitatem postmodum inclinans, dicit: Qui prius fui blasphemus, et persecutor, et contumeliosus; sed misericordiam consecutus sum, quia ignorans feci. In nare cornu gestabat, qui placiturum se Deo de crudelitate confidebat, sicut ipse postmodum semetipsum redarguens dicit: Et proficiebam in Judaismo supra multos coaetaneos meos in genere meo, abundantius aemulator existens paternarum mearum traditionum. Hujus autem rhinocerotis fortitudinem omnis venator extimuit. quia Saulis saevitiam unusquisque praedicator expavit. Scriptum namque est: Saulus adhuc spirans minarum et caedis in discipulos Domini, accessit ad principem sacerdotum, et petiit ab eo epistolas in Damascum ad synagogas, ut si quos invenisset hujus viae viros ac mulieres, vinctos perduceret in Jeru-

s a le m. Cum flatus nare reddendus trahitur, spiratio vocatur, et illud saepe per odorem nare deprehendimus, quod oculis non videmus. Rhinoceros ergo iste nare gestabat cornu quo percuteret, quia minarum et caedis in Domini discipulos spirans, postquam praesentes interfecerat, absentes quaerebat. Sed ecce omnis ante illum venator absconditur, id est omnis homo rationale sapiens opinione timoris eius effugatur. Ut ergo hunc rhinocerotem capiat, sinum suum virgo, id est secretum suum ipsa per se inviolata in carne Dei sapientia expandat. Scriptum quippe est quod cum Damascum pergeret, subito circumfulsit illum die media lux de coelo, et vox facta est, dicens: Saule, Saule, quid me persequeris? Qui prostratus in terra respondit: Quis es, Domine? Cui illico dicitur: Ego sum Jesus Nazarenus, quem tu persequeris. Virgo nimirum rhinoceroti sinum suum aperuit, cum Saulo incorrupta Dei sapientia incarnationis suae mysterium de coelo loguendo patefecit; et fortitudinem suam rhinoceros perdidit, quia prostratus humi, omne quod superbum tumebat amisit. Qui dum, sublato oculorum lumine, manu ad Ananiam ducitur, patet jam rhinoceros iste, quibus Dei loris astringitur, quia videlicet uno in tempore caecitate, praedicatione, baptismate ligatur. Qui etiam ad Dei praesaepe moratus est, quia ruminare verba Evangelii dedignatus non est. Ait enim: Ascendi Jerosolymam cum Barnaba, assumpto et Tito. Ascendi autem secundum revelationem, et contuli cum illis Evangelium. Et qui prius jejunus audierat: Durum est tibi contra stimulum calcitrare: mira postmodum virtute praesidentis pressus, ex verbi pabulo vires obtinuit, et calcem superbiae amisit. Iste rhinoceros ad agrum dominicum arandum ligatus. Loris quoque Dei non tantum a feritate restringitur, sed quod magis sit mirabile, ad arandum

ligatur, ut non solum homines crudelitatis cornu non impetat, sed eorum etiam refectioni serviens, aratrum praedicationis trahat. Ipse quippe de evangelizantibus quasi de arantibus dicit: Debet en im in spequi arat arare; et qui triturat, in spefructus percipiendi. Qui igitur tormenta prius fidelibus irrogaverat, et pro fide postmodum flagella libenter portat, qui scriptis etiam epistolis humilis ac despectus praedicat, quod dudum terribilis impugnabat; profecto bene ligatus sub aratro desudat ad segetem, qui vivebat in campo male liber a timore." 1

The exposition of the harnessing of the rhinoceros to the plow is practically identical with the previous version, except that it is by another hand. It would have been absurd for Gregory to repeat himself in this manner. The editor, however, could do no better than weld the two versions together by means of the apology. One need only compare the two versions of the ostrich eggs, in order to convince oneself that the ill-disguised borrowing of the second from the first cannot have proceeded from Gregory, who had no reason to repeat himself. What really seems to have happened is this. As a rule the allegorical explanation follows the moral exegesis of a Bible quotation. this particular case there was no allegorical part for the verses 9-18. This was supplied by someone in the VIII. century who knew the Physiologus, and the VIII. century editor of the Moralia added what to him seemed to be written as an improvement on Gregory, and himself supplied the apology for doing so, quite unaware of the contradiction in the nature of the unicorn which he thus created.

As a matter of fact, the story of the unicorn is more complicated than so far shown. From the story of the kite only the reference to hedus and the presence 1 Ibid., col. 590 f.

252 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

at the court are all that definitely belong to the Arabic prototype. The rest is all due to a misunderstanding of the Esopic stag story in a Latin translation. We have already observed that the stag's horn getting caught in the branches gave rise to the one horn of the autolops. The autolops, after drinking from the Euphrates, goes into the woods and there plays with the branches, virgae, when he is caught and trapped by the hunters. The Physiologus or its source read virgo, instead of virgae, and thus produced the story of the unicorn which plays with its horn in the bosom of the virgo, maiden, and thus is caught. This, then, shows beyond a chance of doubt, that the unicorn story arose only after the Arabs came in contact with Latin, which was after 711, and thus the earliest date of the Physiologus is established.1

¹ For the story of the narwhale see my Contributions, vol. III, p. 295 f.

XXI. THE LION.

St. Augustine, in his Quaestiones de Genesi, did not discuss that part of Chap. XLIX which dealt with the Benediction of the Patriarchs, and confined himself merely to the statement: "Quod ita benedicit nepotes suos Israhel, ut dexteram manum minori inponat, maiori autem sinistram, et hoc filio suo Ioseph uolenti corrigere quasi errantem atque nescientem ita respondet: scio, fili, scio; et hic erit in populum, et hic exaltabitur. Sed frater eius iunior maior illo erit et semen eius erit in multitudine gent i u m, hactenus de Christo accipiendum est, quatenus etiam de ipso Iacob et fratre eius dictum est qui a maior seruiet minori. Secundum hoc enim significauit aliquid prophetice hoc faciendo Israhel, quod populus posterior per Christum futurus generatione spiritali superaturus erat populum priorem de carnali patrum generatione gloriantem." But in his Contra Faustum he elaborated upon the Benediction of Judah, because this gave him an opportunity for an allegorical interpretation as to the coming of Christ. However, this passage is not so much an original exposition of Augustine's, as a free restatement of Ambrose's elaborate account of the same event in his De patriarchis, as may be seen from a comparison of the two.

Ambrose, De Patriarchis, chap. IV.

"Et quia per admixtionem generis Iuda et Leui tribus iunctae sunt, ideo Matthaeus ex tribu Iuda ¹ Quaestionum in Heptateuchum libri VII. in CSEL., vol. XXVIII², p. 86 f.

discribit eius familiam. Et apostolus ait: quonia m de Iuda ortus est dominus noster, ut ex tribu Leui sacerdotalis et plena sanctitatis adnumeretur hereditas, ex tribu autem Iuda, ex qua Dauid et Solomon et reliqui reges fuerunt, regalis successionis splendor effulgeat, ut idem rex et sacerdos scripturarum testimonio demonstretur. Meritoque se circa gratiam Iudae Iacob sanctus effudit dicens: I u da, te la udabunt fratres tui: manus tuae super dorsum inimicorum tuorum, adorabunt te filii patris tui. Catulus leonis Iuda. Ex germine, fili, mihi ascen-Recumbens dormisti ut leo et tamquam catulus: quis suscitabit eum? Non deerit iudex ex Iuda et princeps de femoribus eius, donec uenit cui repositum est, et ipse exspectatio gentium: alligans ad uitem asinam suam et cilicio pullum asinae suae lauabit in uino stolam suam sanguine uuae anaboladium suum: hilares oculi eius a uino dentes candidiores quam lac. Iudam quidem patriarcham dirigi uidetur alloquium, sed Iuda ille posterior uerus confessor exprimitur, qui ex ea tribu natus est, qui solus laudatur a fratribus, de quibus dicit: narrabo nomen tuum frameis, dominus per naturam, frater tribus per gratiam, cuius manus, quas expandit ad populum non credentem, super dorsum inimicorum. enim manibus atque eadem passione suos texit et subiugauit aduersarias potestates omnesque fidei et pietatis exortes subditos sibi fecit. De quibus ait pater ad filium: et dominaberis in medio inimicorum tuorum. Quos inimicos sua fecit malitia, non Christi uoluntas. In quo magna gratia

domini. Etenim spiritales nequitiae, quae ante ceruicem nostram iugo solebant captiuitatis inflectere, ita ut Dauid ipse manus quodammodo super se triumphantium sentire se scriberet dicens: super dors um meum fabricauerunt peccatores, ipsae nunc subditae Christi triumpho et quibusdam manibus eius, id est factis operibusque subiectae per petuae subeunt captiuitatis aerumnam. Ipse est utique, qui adoratur a filiis patris sui, quando adoratur a nobis, quibus ipse permisit ut patrem uocemus, cuius seruum esse uirtutis est.

"Catulus le onis Iuda. Nonne euidenter et patrem expressit et filium declarauit? Quid tam euidens, quo unius naturae filius deus cum patre esse doceatur? Leo ille, hic catulus leonis. Uili conparatione eiusdem naturae aut potentiae unitas intellegitur. Rex ex rege processit, fortis ex forte. Quia futuros praeuidebat qui filium adsererent iunioris aetatis, occurrit his subiciens: ex germine mihi ascendisti. Recumbens dormisti ut leo et tamquam c a t u l u s. Et alibi habes quia catulus ipse est l e o de tribu Iuda. Ergo quia catulum dixerat, bene statim leonem posuit, hoc est divere: non capiantur aures uestrae, quia catulum audierunt; filium expressi, non dixi minorem. Et ipse est leo sicut pater. Audiant quia et leonem et catulum appellauit, leonem quasi perfectae plenaeque uirtutis, catulum quasi filium, ne quis cum audiret, aequalem patris non putaret filium. Non sic laudatur filius, ut separetur a patre. Ille probat aequalem qui filium confitetur. Mirifice autem et incarnationem eius expressit dicens: ex germine mihi ascendisti, eo quod tamquam frutex terrae in aluo uirginis germinauerit et ut flos boni odoris ad redemptionem mundi totius maternis uisceribus splendore nouae lucis emissus ascenderit, sicut Esaias dicit: exiet uirga ex radice Iessae et flos ex radice asc e n d e t. Radix familia Iudaeorum, uirga Maria, flos Mariae Christus. Recte uirga, quae regalis est generis de domo et patria Dauid, cuius flos Christus est, qui factorem mundanae conluuionis aboleuit, odorem uitae infudit aeternae. Habes ergo incarnationem, accipe passionem. Recumbens inquit dormisti ut leo, quando iacuit in sepulchro uelut quodam corporis sui somno quietus, sicut ipse ait: ego dormiui et requieui et surrexi, quoniam dominus suscipiet me. Unde et Iacob ait: quis suscitabit eum? Hoc est: quem dominus suscipiet. Quis est alius qui resuscitet, nisi ipse se sua patrisque resuscitet potestate? Uideo natum auctoritate propria, uideo mortuum propria uoluntate, uideo dormientem potestate propria. Qui omnia suo fecit arbitrio cuius alterius ut resurgat egebit auxiliis? Ipse igitur resurrectionis suae auctor est, qui mortis est arbiter, qui expectatur a gentibus. Ideo, donec ille ueniat, non deficiet dux ex Iuda. Ut usque ad eius ortum regalis successionis fides incorrupta seruetur. Postea enim, ut docuimus tractatu habito in euangelium, per Herodem adulterata successio praerogatiuam dignitatis amisit. Etenim quia uerum regem negarunt, falsos habere coeperunt. Ergo hoc dicit patriarcha: seruabitur in iudicibus uel regibus Iudaeorum intemeratae successionis hereditas ducta per reges, donec ueniat cui repositum est, ut ecclesiam dei congreget ex conuentu nationum omnium et gentilium deuotione populorum, hoc est ipsum manet, ipsi debitum reservatur, ipsi tantae gratiae praerogativa defertur.

"Et ipse est expectatio gentium. Plus dixit quam si dixisset: ipsum expectant gentes, quod omnis spes ecclesiae in ipso recumbat. Ideo

dicitur Movsi: solue calciamentum dum tuorum, ne ipse sponsus ecclesiae crederetur, qui dux plebis eligebatur. Ideo soluit calciamentum suum Iesus Naue, ut uenturo tanti muneris gratiam et ipse seruaret. Ideo dicit Iohannes: post me uenit uir, cuius non sum dignus soluere corrigiam calciamenti eius, ideo dicit: qui habet sponsam sponsus est; amicus autem sponsi, qui stat et audit eum, gaudio gaudet, hoc est: ipse est solus uir ecclesiae, hic est expectatio gentium, huic deferentes copulam gratiae nuptialis calciamentum suum soluerunt prophetae. Hic sponsus est, ego sponsi amicus: gaudeo. quia uenit, quia uocem audio nuptialem, quia iam non dura peccatorum supplicia, dura legis tormenta, sed remissionem criminum, uocem laetitiae, sonum iucunditatis, exultationem festi nuptialis audimus. Hic est ille alligans ad uitem asinum suum et cilicio pullum asinae suae, ut feruorem spiritus sancti congregatio habeat nationum ante remissa et neglegens, set iam deuota per Christum et uiti illi perpetuae, hoc est domino Iesu, qui ait: sum uitis, pater meus agricola, uelut fructuosi palmitis quibusdam inexsolubilis fidei uinculis alligemur. Hoc est illud mysterium, quod pullum asinae solui iussit in euangelio et ipse dominus Iesus sedit eum, ut alligatus ad uitem perpetua sanctorum suauitate requiesceret.

"Lauabit inquit in uinostolam suam. Bona stola est caro Christi, quae omnium peccata operuit, omnium delicta suscepit, omnium texit errores, bona stola, quae uniuersos induit ueste iucunditatis. Lauit hanc stolam in uino, quando cum baptizaretur in Iordane, descendit spiritus sanctus sicut columba et mansit super eum, quo significatur quod plenitudo spiritus sancti indiuidua in eo fuerit nec recesserit.

Unde et euangelista ait quia plenus spiritu sancto dominus I esus regressus est ab I ordane. Lauit ergo Iesus stolam suam, non ut suam quae non erat, sed ut nostram quae erat sordem ablueret. Denique addidit: et in sanguine uuae anaboladium suum, hoc est in passione corporis sui diluit gentes suo sanguine. Etenim uerbi anaboladium gentes sunt, sicut scriptum est: uiuo ego, dicit dominus, nisi omnes eos induam sicut uestimentum et alibi: sicut amictum mutabis eos, et mutabuntur. Non ergo sanguine proprio sua peccata quae non erant, sed nostra quae fecimus delicta mundauit. Et bene uuam dixit, quia sicut uua pependit in ligno. Ipse est uitis, ipse uua: uitis ligno adhaerens, uua, quia lancea militis apertum latus emisit aquam et sanguinem. Sic enim dixit Iohannes quia e x i u i t de eo aqua et sanguis, aqua ad lauacrum, sanguis ad pretium. Aqua nos abluit, sanguis nos redemit. Et ideo ait propheta: hilares oculi eius a uino et dentes candidiores quam lac significans prophetas et apostolos. Alii enim sicut oculi Christi praeuiderunt et adnuntiauerunt eius aduentum, de quibus ipse dicit: Abraham diem meum uidit et gauisus est, et unus de prophetis dicit: uidi dominum Sabaoth, quem uidentes spiritali laetitia conplebantur: alii uero, hoc est apostoli, quos dominus ab omni peccatorum labe mundauit, candidiores super lac facti sunt, quos macula postea nulla fuscauit. Etenim lac temporale est, gratia autem apostolorum perpetua manet, qui nobis spiritalia illa atque caelestia conficientes alimenta mentis internae uiscera saginarunt. Sunt etiam qui mandata domini lucida, quae diuino ore deprompta sunt, sicut lac nobis facta arbitrentur, quibus nutriti ad panis caelestis peruenimus alimoniam.

Unde et Paulus ait: lacte u obis pot u m de di, non escam; nond u m enim poteratis. Corinthius in principio fidei potu lactis inbuitur, sancti illi quorum fides adnuntiatur in uniuerso mundo tamquam ablactati esca solidiore firmantur."

Augustine, Contra Faustum, lib. XII, cap. 42.

"Uellem scire, immo melius nescierim, qua caecitate animi legerit Faustus, ubi uocauit Iacob filios suos et dixit: congregamini, ut nuntiem uobis, quae occursura sunt uobis in nouissimis diebus; congregamini et audite, filii Iacob; audite, Israhel, patrem uestrum. Hic certe nemo dubitat prophetantis personam esse dilucidatam. Audiamus ergo, quid dicat filio suo Iudae, de cuius tribu Christus uenit ex semine Dauid secundum carn e m. Sicut apostolica doctrina testatur. I u d a, inquit, te laudent fratres tui; manus tuae super dorsa inimicorum tuorum, adorabunt te filii patris tui. Catulus leonis Iuda, de germinatione filius meus, ascendisti recumbens, dormisti ut leo et ut catulus leonis, quis suscitabit eum? Non deerit princeps ex Iuda et dux de femoribus donec ueniant quae reposita ei; et ipse expectatio gentium alligans ad uineam pullum suum et cilicio pullum asinae: lauabit in uino stolam suam et in sanguine uuae amicsuum; fulgentes oculi eius a et dentes candidiores lacte. Falsa sint ista, obscura sint ista, si non in Christo

¹ CSEL., vol. XXXII², pp. 133-139.

euidentissima luce claruerunt, si non eum laudant fratres eius apostoli et omnes coheredes eius, non suam gloriam quaerentes, sed ipsius; si non sunt manus eius super dorsa inimicorum eius; si non deprimuntur atque curuantur ad terram crescentibus populis christianis, quicumque illi adhuc aduersantur: si non eum adorauerunt filii Iacob in reliquiis, quae per electionem gratiae saluae factae sunt; si non ipse catulus est leonis, quoniam nascendo paruulus factus est—propter hoc additum: de germinatione filius meus. Causa quippe reddita est, quare catulus, in cuius laude alibi scriptum est: catulus leonis fortior iumentis, hoc est etiam paruulus fortior maioribus—si non ascendit in cruce recumbens, cum inclinato capite reddidit spiritum; si non dormiuit ut leo, quia et in ipsa morte non est uictus, sed uicit, et ut catulus leonis—inde enim mortuus unde et natus—si non ille eum suscitauit a mortuis, quem nemo hominum uidit nec uidere potest-eo enim, quod dictum est: quis suscitabit eum? Satis expressa est tamquam ignoti significatio—si defuit princeps ex Iuda et dux ex femoribus eius, donec uenirent oportuno tempore, quae promissa tamquam reposita fuerant. Sunt enim litterae certissimae historiae ipsorum quoque Iudaeorum, quibus ostenditur primum alienigenam Herodem regem fuisse in gente Iudaeorum, quo tempore natus Christus est. Ita non defuit rex de semine Iuda, donec uenirent, quae reposita erant illi. Sed quia non solis Iudaeis fidelibus profuit, quod promissum est, uide, quid sequatur: et ipse expectatio gentium; ipse alligauit ad uineam pullum suum, id est populum suum in cilicio praedicans et clamans: a gite paenitentiam; adpropinguauit enim regnum caelorum. Populum autem gentium illi subditum cognoscimus pullo asinae conparatum, in quo etiam sedit ducens

eum in Hierusalem, id est in uisionem pacis, docens mansuetos uias suas. Si non lauat in uino stolam suam: ipsa est enim gloriosa ecclesia, quam sibi exhibet non habentem maculam aut rugam; cui dicitur etiam per Esaiam: si fuerint peccata uestra sicut phoenicium, tamquam niuem dealbabo. Unde nisi de dimissis peccatis? In quo ergo uino nisi illo, de quo dicitur, quod pro multis effundetur in remissionem peccatorum? Ipse est enim botrus ille, qui pependit in ligno. Propterea et hic uide, quid adiungat: et in sanguine uuae amictum suum. Iam uero fulgere oculos eius a uino, illa in corpore eius membra cognoscunt, quibus donatum est sancta quadam ebrietate alienatae mentis ab infra labentibus temporalibus aeternam lucem sapientiae contueri. Unde quiddam paulo ante commemorauimus dicente Paulo: si u e enim mente excessimus, deo. Hi sunt fulgentes oculi a uino. Sed tamen quia sequitur: siue temperantes sumus, uobis, nec paruuli relinguuntur adhuc lacte nutriendi, quia et hic sequitur: et dentes candidiores lacte."1

That the second is based on the first follows from Augustine's crabbed references to the lion and the lion cub, which cannot be understood without Ambrose's explanation. Ambrose says that the juxtaposition of the lion and the cub is due to this, that both the Father and the Son are designated, "the lion representing perfect and full Virtue, the cub, the Son, lest one hearing this should understand the Son not to be equal to the Father." "Recumber of a cumber of the cub, the Son is the cub it a cub, the son is the cub it a cub, while "quis suscitabit eum?" is similarly made to refer to Christ, Who

¹ CSEL., vol. XXV, pp. 367-370.

"was born, died and slept of His own free will and is the author of His own resurrection, even as He is the arbiter of His own death."

All the commentaries that deal with the Benediction. whether before or after the writing of Ambrose's disquisition, have understood the allegory of the lion and the cub in a similar manner. Jerome did not even discuss the matter, being satisfied with the statement, "licet de Christo grande mysterium sit, tamen juxta litteram prophetatur, quod reges ex Juda per David stirpem generentur, et quod adorent eum omnes tribus." Cyril of Alexandria wrote: "Siguidem c a t u lus leonis est Christus ex Juda, cum sit Filius Dei omnipotentis, qui et sine pugna vincit, et solo verbo potest perterrefacere eos qui ipsi resistunt, sicut propheta ait: Leo rugiet, et quis timebit? Catulus itaque leonis est Christus, sicut et ex germine et nobili radice ortus est, ex sancta Recumbens dormivisti Virgine. . . . u t leo; hoc est, non praeter voluntatem sustinuisti mortem, sed, etiamsi omnibus ut leo pavorem incutere potes, et venatorum manus subterfugere, tua sponte te submisisti: neque ut ii qui te crucifixerunt cogitabant, mortis vinculis detentus; sed veluti somno usus, atque exiguo temporis spatio occlusus fuisti. Quis igitur ipsum excitabit? inquit; quasi dicat: Decubuit quidem volens, ita tamen ut nullius opera indigeret ad resurgendum: quippe qui in se omne robur contineat, tanguam Dei Patris potentia: neque quidquam in ipso desideratur, quominus possit, et quidem facile admodum, suum ipsius templum vivificare. Idcirco dicebat ad Judaeos: Solvite templum hoc,

¹ Liber hebraicarum quaestionum in Genesim, in Migne, P. L., vol. XXIII, col. 1006.

et in tribus diebus excitabo illud."1 Theodoret has similarly: "Decumbens dormisti ut leo, et velut catulus leonis. Quemadmodum enim leo etiam dormiens terribilis est: sic mors Domini formidabilis fuit et morti et diabo-Leonem porro et catulum leonis ipsum vocavit, tanquam regem et regis filium, et tanguam Deum et Dei Filium. Nam et secundum humanitatem ex Davide ortus est, et tanquam Deus ante saecula ex Deo Patre genitus est. Hoc autem: Quis excitabit illum? ineffabilem ejus potentiam declarat. Ipse enim se suscitavit, secundum praedictionem suam: Solvite templum hoc, et in tribus diebus excitabo illud."2 Most of the Commentary to Genesis of Procopius of Gaza has been preserved in a Latin translation. Here we read: "Ut appareat imperatoria dignitas, scribitur: Catulus leonis Juda. . . . Accubans ut leo dormivisti, etc., dicitur ob audaciam et animosos adversus hostem spiritus. Deinde significat tanta firmitate eum insedisse regio solio, quod haereditario jure sit adeptus, ut inde avelli et deturbari nequeat. Leonis nomen imponitur illi tribui, quod leo. si cubet, terribilior, propter pectoris amplitudinem, conspiciatur. Quapropter admiratur Judam, ut terribilem et fortem, quasi nemo facile inveniatur, qui ipsum sit excitaturus, et regio solio regiaque dignitate dejecturus. Hunc paulo post refert fore exspectationem gentium, qui non compariturus sit prius, quam defuerit princeps et dux in Israel. . . Siquidem Pater est in Filio, et in Filio Pater. Scriptura autem insuevit somnum appellare mortem. Verum per dictionem, a cc u m b e n s, etc., significatur nobis voluntaria mors

² Quaestiones in Genesim, ibid., vol. LXXX, col. 218.

¹ Glaphyrorum in Genesim Lib. VII, Migne, P. G., vol. LXIX, col. 354. I shall generally quote the Latin translation, so as to furnish more easily comparisons with our Latin texts.

Christi. At Christus omnes, ceu leo fortis et vigens pollensque viribus quiverat devincere. Nec a morte detentus est, quemadmodum putaverunt illi, qui ipsum in crucem egerunt. Imo volens cubuit. Quemadmodum leo non solum vigilans, sed et dormiens terribilis est, sic Christus non ante crucem, sed etiam in cruce terribilis erat, magnaque designabat miracula: ait, dormies, etc., sed dormivisti. Tanta enim prophetiae est certitudo, ut illa, quae nondum facta sunt, effecta data praesumant sancti Dei homines. siquidem id, quod factum est, fieri infectum nequit. At Deo id quod futurum est, jam jam factum est, tempusque omne penes ipsum certum et praesens est. Huic affine est et illud Scripturae eloquium: Foderunt manus meas et pedes meos. Numeraverunt omnia ossa mea. ait: Quis excitabit eum? mirabili modo significat resurrectionem, et eum, qui nos citat et provocat ad inquirendum Deum. Indicat quoque eum volentem cubuisse, nec indigere alterius Pater namque suscitabit eum secundum Scripturam dicentem: Quem Pater suscitavit a mortuis. Ipse vero semetipsum excitavit, juxta id, quod dicit: Solvite templum hoc, et in tribus diebus suscitabo illud."1

In the whole range of genuine patristic literature there is not a suggestion of the extravagant story of the *Physiologus*, according to which the cub is born dead and is revived by the lion's breath on the third day. Epiphanius of Cyprus, who reveled in animal stories and who has been cited as an example of a fourth century author drawing on an ancient *Physiologus*, knows absolutely nothing of such a story: "Leaenam

¹ *Ibid.*, vol. LXXXVII¹, col. 496 ff. ² A. Karnyeev, *op. cit.*, p. 26.

itaque ferunt nonnisi semel parere, cujus rei hanc esse rationem: Quod animal istud voracissimum sit, colore fulvum, validissimo robore, atque, ut uno verbo dicam, regia quadam dignitate caeteris antecellat, porro ex uno conjuge concipiat fetumque sex et viginti totos menses in utero gerat: adeo ut ille prae longinquitate temporis adultus dentibus omnibus, atque unguibus cum justa corporis statura, praeditus sit, antequam in lucem prodeat; atque et claviculares, quos vocant, et caninos dentes ac molares habeat, et alia omnia, quae animali huic a natura concessa sunt. Dum igitur matris utero continetur, subsultando, movendoque sese, aut alio quovis modo matris uterum laniare unguibus ac discerpere narrant. Quare cum ad partum mater venerit, eadem illa die ab omni parturiendi conditione ac molestia ventrem illius liberari. Siquidem in ipso partu uterum simul ac matricem excerni naturalium rerum indagatores asserunt: adeo ut nulla in posterum veneris cupiditate tangatur, nisi aliqua vis adhibeatur. Quod si marem experiri cogatur, non amplius tamen fetum gestare posse, utpote quae matrice careat. Qua quidem ex narratione ad id, de quo agimus, similitudinem quamdam licet transferre, quae utilitatem potius quam damnum aliquod continere videatur. Etenim si catulum leonis Judam Jacob nominans, Christum quadam sermonis figura adumbravit, cum eoque illud ex Joannis Apocalypsi congruit: Ecce vicit leo de tribu Juda, et de stirpe David: cum leoni Dominus assimuletur, non natura quidem, sed similitudinis adumbrationisque gratia, quod regia quaedam insit in leone dignitas, sitque animalium omnium audacissimum, ac fortissimum, et in caeteris omnibus aspectu jucundissimum, non absurde matrem illius leaenam appellabimus. Qui enim leo generari potest, nisi mater ejus leaena nominetur? Caeterum uti leaena secundo non parit: ita sacrosancta virgo Maria alterius partus expers fuit, ac nullum corporis commercium exercuit." Ildefonsus, who lived after Isidore of Seville, and who is also cited as an example of an author who has drawn largely on the *Physiologus* in his chapters especially devoted to the animal allegories in his *Liber de itinere deserti*, and who should have known Isidore's allegorical reference to the lion, if this were genuine, simply says: "De significantia utriusque leonis. Saeviat licet leo, qui circuiens quaerit quem devoret, nobiscum tamen semper ille est ex tribu Juda victor leo, qui tam libenter nos de illo defendit quam illum ipse potentialiter vicit."

Augustine wrote of the cub, "inde enim mortuus unde et natus," a clause which could not be understood without Ambrose's "video natum auctoritate propria, video mortuum propria voluntate, video dormientem potestate propria," of which it is an abbreviation. Augustine meant to say that the cub allegorizes the birth of Christ of his own free will, even as the lion allegorizes his death of his own free will, as is perfectly clear from the preceding reference to the lion as allegorizing Christ's invincibility in death. The unnatural history of the cub's birth and resurrection was as foreign to him as it was to the rest of the patristic writers. Indeed, in several of the patchwork Benedictions, ascribed to Jerome, Augustine, and Alcuin, and in reality composed after 600, in all probability considerably later, not a word is said about the allegory of the cub. Grial³ has already shown that the expanded Benediction, ascribed to Isidore of Seville, is composed of bits of passages from Augustine, Jerome, and Gregory; but as he has also assumed a few passages to originate in Rufinus' De benedictionibus patriarcharum and

¹ Adversus haereses, Migne, P. G., vol. XLII, col. 718.

² Migne, P. L., vol. XCVI, col. 185. ³ Divi Isidori Hispalensis Episcopi Opera, Madriti 1599.

has failed to identify other borrowings, I must reopen the subject.

In a letter to an unknown person, Alcuin refers to a disquisition of his upon the Benedictions of the Patri-"De Benedictione Patriarcharum, de quibus archs: rogare tibi quoque placuit, composui olim epistolam sub nomine tuo Samuelisque condiscipuli tui. Nescio si de ea postulasti, sive de quolibet alio auctore. De epistola interrogasti, quid esset? Nam ἐπὶ super, στόλα habitus Graece dicitur. Unde Adrianus imperator Epictetum philosophum inter alias inquisitiones interrogavit, quid esset cinctum? At ille videns eum epistolam manu tenentem, respondit: Quod manu Volens intelligere, quasi supercinctorium esset epistolae sigillum, quo a foris vestiatur chartula. Hanc habeto interpretationem, donec meliorem invenias vel veriorem." The absurd etymology of epistola was apparently occasioned by "lavit in vinum stolam suam" of Genesis XLIX. 11, which is discussed in the Benediction of Judah. In any case, Alcuin's etymology should be kept in mind for the investigation of the genuineness of Rufinus' Benedictions. De benedictionibus patriarcharum, which most likely Alcuin sent to the recipient of the letter, is attached as Interrogatio 281 to Alcuin's Interrogationes et responsiones in Genesim. Only the Interrogatio and Responsio of the introduction and a few connecting clauses belong to Alcuin. rest is chiefly a reproduction from Jerome's Quaestiones in Genesim, followed by a cento from Ambrose, Jerome, Augustine's Contra Faustum and De civitate Dei, and Gregory's Moralia.2 With slight variations the cento is reproduced in a Benediction ascribed to Augustine

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. C, col. 460.

² See Migne, P. L., vol. LXXXIII, col. 276 ff., notes.

and Jerome. and, with certain additions, to Eucherius. 2 Isidore of Seville,3 Bede,4 Rabanus Maurus.5 etc.

Obviously the earliest author of the cento, which is a part of the whole commentary to Genesis, can only be Isidore, since Gregory's Moralia appeared during his This is made a certainty, not only by Ildelifetime. fonsus' words, "collegit etiam de diversis auctoribus auod ipse cognominat secretorum expositiones sacramentorum, quibus in unum congestis, idem liber dicitur Quaestionum,"6 but also by the explicit statement of Isidore in the preface to the commentary, where he tells us, "has autem rerum gestarum figuras de mysticis thesauris sapientium, ut praediximus, depromentes, in unam formam compendio brevitatis contraximus; in quibus lector non nostra leget, sed veterum releget. Quod enim ego loquor, illi dicunt; et vox mea ipsorum est lingua. Sumpta itaque sunt ab auctoribus Origene, Victorino, Ambrosio, Hieronymo, Augustino, Fulgentio, Cassiano, ac nostri temporis insigniter eloquenti Gregorio." The only question is whether the De benedictionibus patriarcharum, as found in Isidore, is the original. or an expanded version, made some time after Isidore's death. That the latter is the case is made certain by Alcuin's version, in which in the Benediction of Judah the story from the Physiologus is absent, whereas in Isidore it is clearly an insertion.

Requiescens accubuisti ut leo. Manifestissime Christus accubuit in passione, quando inclinato capite tradidit spiritum, sive quando in sepulcro [securus] velut quodam corporis somno quie-

Recumbens dormisti, euangelista exponit, ubi dicit: et inclinato capite tradidit spiritum; aut certe sepultura eius agnoscitur, in qua recubuit dormiens, Augustine, *De civ. dei*, XVI. 41, *CSEL*., vol. XL², p. 199.

¹ Ibid., vol. XXIII, col. 1307 ff.

³ *Ibid.*, vol. L, col. 1038 ff.
³ *Ibid.*, vol. LXXXIII, col. 276 ff.
⁴ *Ibid.*, vol. XCI, col. 273 ff.
⁵ *Ibid.*, vol. CVII, col. 655 ff.
⁶ *Ibid.*, vol. LXXXIII, col. 207 f.

⁷ Ibid., col. 209.

Ascendit in cruce recumbens, cum inclinato capite reddidit spiritum, Augustine, Contra Faustum, XII. 42, CSEL., vol. XXV, p. 368.

Habes ergo incarnationem, accipe passionem. Recumbens inquit dormisti ut leo, quando iacuit in sepulchro uelut quodam corporis sui somno quietus, Ambrose, De Patriarchis, IV. 20, CSEL., vol. XXXII², p. 135.

Mors Christi praedicta est uerbo dormitionis et non necessitas, sed potestas in morte nomine leonis. Quam potestatem in euangelio ipse praedicat dicens: Potestate metc., Augustine, De civ. dei, XVI. 41, CSEL., vol. XL², p. 198.

Et ut catulus leonis— inde enim mortuus unde et natus, Augustine, Contra Faustum, XII. 42, CSEL., vol. XXV, p. 369.

Non dormiuit ut leo, quia et in ipsa morte non est uictus, sed uicit, *ibid*.

Quis suscitabit eum? hoc est, quia nullus hominum, nisi se ipse, qui etiam de corpore suo dixit: Soluite templum hoc, et in triduo resuscitabo illud, Augustine, De civ. dei, XVI. 41. CSEL., vol. XI.², p. 199.

illud, Augustine, De civ. dei, XVI. 41, CSEL., vol. XL², p. 199.

Ut usque ad eius ortum regalis successionis fides incorrupta seruetur. . Seruabitur in iudicibus uel regibus Iudaeorum intemeratae successionis hereditas ducta per reges, done cueniat cui repositum est, Ambrose, De Patriarchis, IV. 21, CSEL., vol. XXXII², p. 136.

Isidore's version reads: "Quod vero addidit, et u t c a t u l u s l e o n i s, inde enim mortuus, unde et natus. Physici autem de catulo leonis scribunt, quod cum natus fuerit, tribus diebus et tribus noctibus dormit. Tunc deinde patris fremitu, vel rugitu, veluti tremefactus cubilis locus, suscitare dicitur catulum dormientem.

Sed quare ut leo et [velut] catulus leonis? In somno suo leo fuit, quoniam non necessitate, sed potestate hoc ipsum implevit, sicut ipse dicit: Nemo tollet a me animam meam, sed ego ponam eam. Quod vero addidit: Et ut catulus leonis; inde enim mortuus est, unde [et] natus. Bene [ergo] Christus ut leo requievit, qui non solum mortis acerbitatem non timuit, sed etiam in ipsa morte mcrtis imperium vicit. Quod autem dicit: Quis suscitabit eum? Quid est aliud nisi quod ipse dicit: Solvite templum hoc, et in triduo suscitabo illud. Non deficiet dux de Juda [et reliqua]. Hoc manifestissime ad Judam refertur. Diu enim fuit ex semine illius intemerata apud Judae-

os successio regni, donec Christus nasceretur, Alcuin, Migne, P. L., vol.

C. col. 563.

Quod valde convenienter de passionis morte aptatur in Christo, qui, tribus diebus et tribus noctibus in cubili sepulcri jacens, somnum mortis implevit. Bene ergo Christus, ut leo, requievit, qui non solum mortis acerbitatem non timuit, sed etiam in ipsa morte mortis imperium vicit. Bene idem iterum ut catulus leonis, quia die tertio resurrexit." The insertion is found in Pseudo-Eucherius, etc., and is also given in Isidore's Etymologiae, XII. 2. 5: "Cum genuerint catulum. tribus diebus et tribus noctibus catulus dormire fertur: tunc deinde patris fremitu vel rugitu veluti tremefactus cubilis locus suscitare dicitur catulum dormientem." Physici is used in the Etymologiae in another place (VIII. 6. 4): "physici dicti, quia de naturis tractant; natura quippe Graece φύσις vocatur." The whole passage in Isidore is taken bodily out of Rufinus' Benedictio Judae, which reads as follows: "Sed multo convenientius aptabitur huic loco mystica expositio, in qua catulus leonis, Christus, non solum φυσιχώς, verumetiam τοοπικώς designatur. Nam Physiologus de catulo leonis haec scribit. Quod cum natus fuerit, tribus diebus ac tribus noctibus dormiat: tum deinde patris fremitu vel mugitu, tanguam tremefactus cubilis locus, suscitet catulum dormientem. . . Recumbens dormisti ut leo, et sicut catulus leonis. Manifeste recubuisse et dormisse, dictum de passione mortis ostenditur. Sed videamus quare ut leo, et ut catulus leonis, dormit. De catuli quidem somno jam superius dictum est, quod valde convenienter adaptatur Christo, qui tribus diebus et tribus noctibus in corde terrae sepultus, somnum mortis implevit." It will now be shown that this Benedictio of Rufinus is a forgery, written after Isidore's death, in fact, after 711, and that the entries in Isidore's works are interpolations.

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. LXXXIII, col. 279. ² Ibid., vol. XXI, col. 302.

We have but two references to Rufinus' De benedictionibus patriarcharum. In Gennadius¹ we read: "Disseruit et Benedictionem Iacob super patriarchas triplici, id est, historico, morali et mystico sensu." But since a number of chapters in Gennadius are now bracketed as of later origin, it is impossible to draw from the reference to Rufinus' work any conclusion as to its genuineness. The second time we find a chapter in De viris illustribus liber, ascribed to Isidore of Seville, which runs as follows: "Toranius Ruffinus scripsit ad quemdam Paulinum presbyterum de benedictionibus patriarcharum triplici intelligentia librum satis succinctum et clara brevitate compositum. Hic autem iuxta mysticum sensum ea, quae de Dan, filio Iacob, scripta sunt, non recte de Domino nostro interpretatur, dum proculdubio ad Antichristum eadem pertinere sanctorum Patrum probet assertio."2 The genuineness of a number of chapters in this work, including the one on Rufinus, has long been doubted.3 Działowski's defence of this work does not prevail over Ebert's assertion that there are interpolations in it.4 Besides, the information in regard to the Benedictions is given in the identical words as in regard to a similar work ascribed by him to Paulinus.⁵ Either one or both statements are interpolations.

¹ Liber de viris inlustribus, ed. by E. C. Richardson, in Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altehristlichen Literatur, vol. XIV, Leipzig 1896, p. 68.

² G. von Działowski, *Isidor und Ildefons als Litterarhistoriker*, Münster i. W. 1898, p. 11.

³ Ibid., p. 81 ff.

⁴ A. Ebert, Allgemeine Geschichte der Literatur des Mittelalters im Abendlande, Leipzig 1889, vol. I, p. 601 f.

⁵ "Paulinus presbyter explicuit in benedictionibus patriarcharum triplici intelligentiae genere librum, satis succincta brevitate compositum," op. cit., p. 27.

272 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

We know of no triple interpretation of the Benedictions by Paulinus. There is only one work which is attributed to Paulinus of Milan,¹ and this has only two interpretations, the historical and the allegorical. This forgery is based entirely on Alcuin's version, of which it is a paraphrase and expansion. Alcuin says that the Benediction has both a historical and allegorical meaning, and that the allegory refers to what will happen in future time. "But first the historical foundation must be laid, so that the superstructure of allegory may safely rest upon it." Precisely the same is said by Paulinus.

Alcuin.

Quid intelligendum est de benedictionibus quibus Jacob patriarcha benedixit filios suos: an historice vel allegorice intelligendae sunt, dum dixit: Congregamini filii Jacob [audite, Israel, patrem vestrum], ut annuntiem vobis quae ventura sunt in novissimis diebus; et videtur ex his verbis magis allegoriam sonare quam historiam?—Utrumque [vero] et historiam et allegoriam. Historiam, de divisione terrae promissionis, quae [divisiones] dividendae erant nepotibus illorum. Item allegoriam, de Christo et Ecclesia in novissimis quid futurum temporibus. Sed prius historiae fundamenta ponenda sunt, ut aptius allegoriae culmen priori structurae superponatur, Migne, P. L., vol. C, col. 558 f.

Paulinus.

Sacrosancta atque praesaga sanctorum Patriarcharum benedictio, quae per Spiritum sanctum atque os beati Jacobi singulis est competenti qualitate distributa, nec per omnia sensu litterario potest intelligi, maxime cum idem beatus Patriarcha dicat: Ut annun-tiem vobis quae ventura sunt in novissimis diebus: nec ita extenuanda per sensum allegoricum, ut omnino evacuari debeat sensus historicus: quia et quaedam, uti post videbimus, sic eis praedicta sunt, ut quaedam in proximo, quaedam multo post venerint: tamen plura ex illis in finem futura servata sunt. Quae ergo historialiter in ipsis verbis intelligere possumus, primum, quasi fundamenta jaciendo, donante Domino, strictim pandamus: quae autem omnimodis litteram refugiunt, ea per spiritalem intelligentiam, sicut et spiritali sunt intellectu carpenda, discutiamus, Migne, P. L., vol. XX, col. 715.

Alcuin treats all the Benedictions together, at first historically, then allegorically. Paulinus combines the two, but the matter is always the same. The allegorical

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. XX, col. 715 ff.

interpretation of the Benediction of Ruben in both runs as follows:

Alcuin.

Spiritualiter autem [in] Ruben prioris populi Judaeorum ostendit personam, cui a Domino per prophetam dicitur: Israel primoge nitus meus. Etenim juxta quod primogenitis debebatur, ipsius erat accipere sacerdotium et regnum. Additur: Tu virtus mea. Utique quod huic populo fundamentum fidei ex ipsa virtute Dei, qui est Christus, advenit.

Quomodo autem ipse sit principium dolorum, nisi dum Patri Deo semper irrogat injurias, convertens ad eum dorsum [et] non faciem?

Iste prior in donis, quia ipsi primum credita sunt eloquia Dei, et legislatio, et testamentum [sive promissio].

Iste major imperio, utique pro magnitudine virium, quia copiosius caeteris in hoc saeculo regnavit.

Effusus est autem sicut aqua, peccando in Christo, quae vasculo non tenetur; voluntatis effusus est impetu: et idcirco addidit ultra non crescas. [Quia peccavit, et Christum negavit], quapropter ipse postquam in universum orbem dispersus est, valde imminutus est.

Paulinus.

Typice autem Ruben iste primogenitus populum designat Judaicum, qui primogenitus fuit Dei, juxta illud quod de illo Dominus ad Moysem ait: Filius primogenitus meus Israel; et beatus Hieremias: Sanctus Israel Domino, primitiae frugum ejus. Qui fortitudo illius fuit, quando in Patriarchis et Prophetis, et caeteris quibuscumque fortissimis viris contra idolatriam, et vitiorum omnium impuram catervam fortiter dimicavit.

Qui tamen principium doloris ejus postea exstitit, quando adveniente Salvatore in incredulitate permanens doctrinam illius suscipere mente tumida recusavit. Unde Dominus videns civitatem Hierusalem, flevisse dicitur, et in Lazari resuscitatione pro ejus populi caecitate lacrymatus esse perhibetur.

Cum autem dicitur prior in donis, ostenditur quidem praecessisse eumdem populum in muneribus a Deo collatis: subsecuturam vero gentium Ecclesiam, quae eisdem et multo potioribus esset donanda charismatibus. Cum vero subjungitur major imperio, non mirum si honoretur eadem gens, quae prima credidit praerogativa patrum, quando beatus Paulus apostolus multum perom nem modum amplius esse Judaeo dicat.

Addidit interea: Effusus es sicut aqua, effrenationem illius populi mente considerans, qui nequaquam vase legis ac pracecptorum divinorum coercitus est mensura; sed abjectis omnium praeceptorum Dei vinculis, in Salvatoris necem toto conatu locutionis suae rivos effudit. Nam quod per aquam locutio bona vel mala significetur, Scriptura testis est divina, quae in

Sed quare talia meruit, ita subjecit: quia ascendisti cubile patris tui, et maculasti stratum ejus; quando corpus Dominicum, in quo plenitudo Divinitatis requiescebat, raptum in cruce suspendit, et ferro commaculavit, Migne, P. L., vol. C, col. 562.

bono dicit: A qua profunda verba ex ore viri; itemque in malo: Qui dimittit aquam, caput est jurgiorum. Quod vero subjungit: non crescas, ostendit eumdem populum, sicut et oculis cernimus, inter cunctas gentes paucissimo numero diminutum.

Quare autem ista perpessus sit, subjuncta verba testantur: Quia ascendisti cubile patris tui, et maculasti stra-Per cubile patris tum ejus. carnem Salvatoris insinuamus. Nec mirum. Cubile patris ejus gentes, et corpus dominicum intelligit, quia ab eodem idem populus creatus est: nam scriptum est de eo: O m n i a Per i p sum facta sunt.
Per stratum vero idem corpus intelligitur, quod quasi a Judaeis maculatum est, quando eorum aclamatione Dominus et ir communicatione sunt in communicatione sun clamatione Dominus et in cruce suspensus, et lancea transverberatus est, atque cruore proprio perfusus est, Migne, P. L., vol. XX, col. 717 f.

The allegorical interpretation of Judah is the longest in Alcuin, hence we should expect here a full account of the lion's whelp awaking after three days, but it is precisely here that Paulinus has the extension. Alcuin follows St. Augustine closely, while Paulinus introduced the story from the *Physiologus*.

Alcuin.

Paulinus.

Juda te laudabunt fratres tui.

Juda, te laudabunt fratres tui: manus tuae in cervicibus inimicorum tuorum. Adorabunt te filii patris tui. His verbis modestia hujus viri secundum litteram pariter, et virium copia demonstratur: quia videlicet ea civiliter tractando cum fratribus, et modestia visus est, ut a cunctis laude dignus haberetur: quod difficile est; inter tot scilicet fratres omnium animos habere conciliatos. Sed et fortitudine adeo abundavit,

Per hunc Judam verus confessor exprimitur Christus, qui ex ejus tribu secundum carnem est genitus.

Ipsum laudant fratres sui, apostoli scilicet et omnes cohaeredes ejus, qui per adoptionem Filii Dei Patris et Christi fratres effecti sunt per gratiam, quorum ipse est Dominus per naturam.

Manus tuae in cervicibus inimicorum tuorum. Iisdem enim manibus et eodem ut cervices suorum flectere valeret inimicorum. Quod autem eloquentia plurimum valuerit, testatur oratio, qua suam suorumque coram Joseph allegavit necessitatem. Narrat praeterea Josephus historiographus, verbis eum potuisse quam plurimum. Porro autem quod robore inter fratres suos eximius haberetur. testantur Verba dierum, quae hoc modo referunt: Porro Judas qui erat fortissimus inter fratres suos de stirpe ejus principes germinati sunt. Quod autem subjicit: Adorabunt te filii patris tui, aperte regnum quod Ruben abstulerat, Judae concredidit dicens, eum a reliquis fratribus suis adorandum: quod regiae utique congruit digni-

Mystice autem per Judam ille jam tunc praefigurabatur, qui de ejus stirpe secundum carnem natus est, Dominus et Salvator noster; quem laudant fratres sui omnes, videlicet in eum credentes unde ipse primus in illis qui in eum crediderunt, a monumento per mulieres mandatum direxit, dicendo: Ite, et dicite fratribus meis. Ne autem putemus, hanc dignitatem solis apostolis attributam, ut fratres ejus vocentur; ipse hac dignitate donandos omnes qui in eum credunt, testadicendo: Quicumque fecerit voluntatem Patris mei qui in coelis est, ipse meus frater, soror et mater est. Ipsum ergo laudant fratres; videlicet omnis Ecclesia, quae adhuc a coelesti haereditate exsulat in terris, sive quae iam praecessit ad coelos. Unde Laudent illum psalmus: coeli et terra. Et in Apo-Joannes: Laudem calypsi dicite Deo nostro, omnes servi ejus, et qui timetis eum, pusilli et magni. Cujus manus in cervicibus inimicorum ejus sunt; quia, secundum crucis trophaeo et suos textit, et inimicas adversariasque potestates devicit.

Juxta quod et Pater promittit ei dicens: Sede ad dexteram meam, donec ponam inimicos tuos scabellum pedum tuorum.

Adorabunt te filii patris tui, quoniam multi ex filiis Jacob adorantes [eum] per electionem gratiae salvi facti sunt.

Catulus leonis Juda. quoniam nascendo parvulus factus est, sicut scriptum est: Parvulus natus est nobis. Ad praedam fili mi asc e n disti, id est, ascendens in crucem, captivos populos redemisti [et] quos adversarius ille invaserat tu moriens eripuisti. Denique rediens ab inferis as-cendisti] in altum, captivam duxisti captivitatem. Requiescens accubuisti ut leo. Manifestissime Christus accubuit in passione, quando inclinato capite tradidit spiritum, sive quando in sepulcro [securus] velut quodam corporis somno quievit. Sed quare ut leo et [velut] catulus leo-nis? In somno suo leo fuit, quoniam non necessitate, sed potestate hoc ipsum implevit, sicut ipse dicit: Nemo tollet a me animam meam, sed ego ponam eam. Quod vero addidit: Et ut catulus leonis; inde enim mortuus est, unde [et] natus. Bene [ergo] Christus ut leo requievit, qui non mortis acerbitatem non timuit, sed etiam in ipsa morte mortis imperium vicit.

Evangelii testimonium: Omnia dedit ei Pater in manus. Ipse etiam his verbis consentit, dicendo: Data est mihi omnis potestas in coelo et in terra. Et Pater ad eum loquitur: Sede a dextris meis, donec ponam inimicos tuos scabellum pedum tuorum. Quem adorant filii patris ejus; omnes videlicet per eum adoptati in filiorum dignitatem, juxta quod Apostolus loquitur: Ut in nomine Jesu omne genu flectatur, coelestium, terrestrium e t. infernorum.

Sequitur: Catulus leonis Juda: ad praedam, fili mi, ascendisti: requiescens accubuisti u t leo, et quasi leaena: quis suscitabit eum? Quantum attinet ad superficiem litterae, fortitudo regum a stirpe Juda descendentium per haec verba praefiguratur: qualem beatum David fuisse legimus, et beatum Ezechiam, et caeteros quosque qui divinitati humillime famulantes, vires hostium, eo donante, instar leonis et leaenae subegerunt, et adeo terrori hostibus exstiterunt, ut nullus eos lacessire ad pugnam quasi quiescentes praesumeret: cuius rei testes sunt libri Regum.

Typice autem catulum leon is Dominum Salvatorem appellat, tamquam leonina ortum prosapia; videlicet regia stirpe progenitum. Bene autem catulus leonis vocatur, cujus natura esse dicitur, ut nascens tribus diebus dormiat, deinde rugitu paterno excitatus assurgat. Quae figura pulcherrime arridet Dominicae dormitioni; qua tribus diebus dormiens ad Patrem clamat: Tuautem, Domine, miserere mei, et resuscita me. Sed resuscitatus ad praedam ascendit; quia exspolians infernum, justos quosque ad superna secum tamquam Quod autem dicit: Quis suscitabit eum? Quid est aliud nisi quod ipse dicit: Solvite templum hoc, et in triduo suscitabo illud, Migne, P. L., vol. C, col. 562 f.

praedam egregiam triumphando evexit. Qui ut leo, et quasi ut lea e na a c c u b uit; quia qui dormire per carnem in sepulcro voluit, quasi leo victor de hostibus triumphavit. Quod autem subjungit: Quis suscitabit e u m, subauditur, nisi Pater? Nullus enim non dicam hominum caducorum, sed nec quilibet Angelorum, nisi is de quo Petrus apostolus dixit Judaeis: Quem Deus suscitavit a mortuis, cujus nos testes sumus, Migne. P. L. vol. XX. col. 720 f.

Rufinus' De benedictionibus begins with a letter of Paulinus to Rufinus, asking him to explain to him the Benediction of Judah, especially the sentence, "alligans ad vitem pullum suum, et ad cilicium pullum asinaesuae." Rufinus answers in a letter that "cilicium" is a blundering translation of ελιξ, and finishes with the statement that he will treat the Benediction of Judah from the historical and mystical standpoints: "Quaedam referentur ad Judam; sicut in complurimis caeteris, etiam in hoc capitulo sentiendum est, ut alterno intellectu expositio dirigatur, et interruptio Historialis intelligentiae Mystici sensus prodat arcanum." Then follows the Benedictio Judae, which ends, not only with a spiritual interpretation, but also with a moral interpretation: "Verum quoniam Scriptura divina non solum sacramentorum debet scientiam continere, verum etiam mores, gestaque informare discentium (sic enim et Sapientia per Salomonem dicit, Describe tibi haec dupliciter et tripliciter in corde tuo: et arca quae construebatur a Noe, bicamerata et tricamerata fieri jubetur), conemur et nos, posteaguam dupliciter ista, pro ut potuimus sentire, descripsimus, id est. secundum Historiam et secundum Mysticum intellec-

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. XXI, col. 299.

tum, nunc in quantum recipere locus potest, jam Moralem, in eo discutere sermonem, ut Scripturarum studiosi, non solum quid in aliis, vel ab aliis gestum sit, sed etiam ipsi intra se, quid gerere debeant, doceantur."¹ The other Benedictions are preceded by a letter of Paulinus to Rufinus and an answer by Rufinus, who informs Paulinus that he wrote the latter part at the monastery of Pinetum, where he was situated.

The previous Benediction is ascribed to Paulinus of Isidore, in chapter XVII of De viris illustri-Milan. bus, 2 mixes him up with Paulinus of Nola, but he knows nothing of this man's activity except that he wrote a Benediction and a life of Ambrose. It is inconceivable that Isidore should have known so little of so great Besides, the blunder "triplici intelligentiae a man. genere" instead of "duplici intelligentiae genere," stamps the whole as the product of a later, ignorant writer. In chapter VI³ the ignorance is even greater, because the author knows nothing whatsoever about Rufinus, except that he wrote a Benediction. this it is clear that we have in these two chapters not the words of Isidore, but of a later forger. This can be shown from another consideration.

We have already seen that the story of the lion cub in the *Physiologus* is absent from Alcuin's version, although it is found in the related versions, ascribed to Eucherius, Bede, Isidore of Seville, and Rabanus Maurus. In Isidore's *Etymologiae* it is present as an insertion, for in the margin of a Codex Toletanus mentioned by Migne⁴ the story of the lion is repeated in full as follows: "Leo enim Graece, Latine vero rex dicitur. Tres naturas habet, id est, cum ambulat, et iter facit per arenam odor venantium, et cauda sua

¹ *Ibid.*, col. 307.

² Działowski, op. cit., p. 27.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 11.

⁴ P. L., vol. LXXXII, col. 757.

operit vestigia, ut non possint eum venatores sequi. Ita et Salvator noster de tribu Juda, missus a Patre operit vestigia sua, id est, deitatem, ut appareret omnibus dum . . cum Patre descendens in uterum Virginis, ut salvaret, quod perierat, et Verbum caro factum est, et habitavit in nobis. Et hoc ignorantes homines, dum descenderet de coelo, et ascenderet, dicebant: Quis est iste rex gloriae? Secunda natura; dum dormierit, oculi ejus vigilant, aperti enim sunt. In Cantica Canticorum de eo dicitur: Ego dormio, et cor meum vigilat. Non enim dormit, neque obdormitat, qui custodit Israel. Tertia; cum genuerit catulum suum, mortuum eum generat, et leaena filium custodit, donec veniat pater ejus tertia die, et insufflat in faciem ejus cum ingenti rugitu, et suscitat eum. Sic omnipotens Pater suscitavit tertia die Unigenitum suum; unde per Jacob dicitur Catulus Leonis Juda." There is still another marginal addition in this Codex, namely about the charadrius, which is taken from the Physiologus, as we shall later see. It is plain, therefore, that the only two additions about animals from the Physiologus in the margin are due to a later hand; but, while the charadrius did not find its way into the text, the extremely popular lion story of the eighth century had already found its way into the text, and the later editor, who knew a still different version of the same story, apparently in another copy of the Etymologiae, hastened to add it in the margin, as belonging to Isidore. But the story of the lion cub is taken out of the Benediction ascribed to Rufinus.

First, as to the manuscripts in which the Benedictions ascribed to Rufinus are found. Only two of these have come to light. Vind. 847, formerly Theol. 682, is

described¹ as a quarto volume written in majuscule in the sixth or seventh century and containing on the first page a mystic representation of the cross, then four pages of the Eusebian canons of the Concordia of the four Gospels, on the sixth a Greek title page of the Gospels, on the seventh again a mystic representation of the cross, and on the eighth begins the letter of Paulinus. Lambecius gives a reproduction of pages one, six, seven and eight, so that an idea may be formed of the palaeography. The second MS. is an eighteenth century apograph from a ninth century copy of the first.²

A comparison of the palaeography of the letter to Rufinus with Planche X. 2 of L. Delisle's Le Cabinet des manuscrits, but more especially with the writing of the Ada MS.,3 shows that palaeographically the text may be of the eighth or ninth century, while the two marginal decorations are identical in substance with those employed in the imitative Carolingian Gospels. What makes it certain that we have before us a late eighth or early ninth century document, is the presence of the Eusebian canon and a title page of the Gospels. which are absurdly out of place here, but which are frequently found in the Carolingian Gospels. The still more absurd Greek contents page gives further proof that we are dealing here with a forgery. The forger copied from a Greek original the Eusebian canon and the title page of a Gospel, and stupidly attached these to the Benedictions. That the whole forms one volume is proved by the illustrated pages one and seven, which are of the same type, although each is intended to head the separate parts. It is to be assumed, therefore, that

¹ Petri Lambecii Hamburgensis Commentariorum de augustissima bibliotheca Caesarea Vindobonensi liber secundus, Vindobonae 1769, col. 624 ff. ² CSEL., vol. XXIX, p. XX.

³ K. Menzel, P. Corssen, etc., Die Trierer Ada-handschrift, Leipzig 1889.

the forger took the Greek, which represents an older

stage, as a sample for his Latin forgery.

The very arrangement of the matter in the Benedictions shows that it is due to Carolingian scholarship. There is a Liber generation is Jesu Christi¹ which is attributed to Alcuin, and which is arranged according to literal, allegorical and moral interpretations. Here we find the sentence: "Sed sciendum est quia horum omnium nomina allegorici et moralis sensus pleniter in se contineant intellectus." Of course, we have any amount of allegorical explanations from the earliest time on, but this seems to be the only occasion where a work is divided into "interpretatio litteralis, allegorica, moralis." There can be little doubt that the forger was acquainted with Alcuin's work, when he made a similar division in his Benedictions. But we have also these very Benedictions in Alcuin's version. which are arranged according to the historical and allegorical divisions, for which purpose he added to Isidore's medley Jerome's Quaestiones. This division of the Benedictions into two parts is based on Ambrose's and Augustine's specific references to the double value of the Benedictions. Ambrose says: "Meritoque repetitam magis adnuntiationem eorum quae posterioribus essent euentura temporibus quam benedictionem conferre se dicit. Denique sic coepit: Ruben primitiuus meus, tu uirtus mea et initium filiorum meorum, durus portari et durus temerarius, adfecisti contumelia; sicut aqua non efferueas; ascendisti enim in cubile patris tui: tunc polluisti torum, quo ascendisti. Nonne redargui magis quam benedici uidetur? ideo prophetia magis quam benedictio est. Prophetia etenim adnuntiatio futurorum est, benedictio autem

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. C, col. 725 ff.

sanctificationis et gratiarum uotiua conlatio." Also, "gesta igitur patriarcharum futurorum mysteria sunt." Augustine writes: "Nobis autem quisquis dixerit non ideo alia uel gesta uel scripta, ut Christus in eis intellegatur, excepta ipsa tanta consonantia rerum praefiguratarum et nunc inpletarum aliis praesagiis propheticis apertis manifestisque ferietur." One need only read the passage in Rufinus' introduction to the Benediction of Ruben, in order to see that we have here an adaptation of Alcuin's tripartite division and an elaboration of Ambrose's and Augustine's historical and allegorical explanations.

The passages which betray the forger more particularly are those which deal with the lion cub: "C a tulus leonis Juda, de germine filius meus ascendisti: recubans dormisti sicut leo, et sicut catulus leonis: quis suscitabit eum? In his versiculis, non jam concludimur, sed pene excludimur; nam catulum leonis si exponamus, Judam dici posse pro virtute bellandi, quomodo exponetur, quod de germine filius dicitur ascendisse? Germen autem hic in Graeco βλαστὸν dicitur, quod magis virgultum, vel ramus recte interpretatur, qui de radice repullulare, vel de ipso robore arboris solet. Ex quo ergo virgulto, vel ex quo ramo Judas ascendisse dicetur, vel quomodo recumbens dormisse ut leo, et ut catulus leonis, ita ut quaeratur, quis cum suscitet? Nisi forte aliquis vim faciens, velit ita adseverare: Ex germine processisse Judam, id est, ut superius diximus, ex virgulto vel ex ramo, quod de ipsa patris fortitudine et virtute significet: quique virtutis confidentia recubet et quiescat, ut leo et ut catulus leonis; nec eum ausus sit aliquis

¹ De Patriarchis, CSEL., vol. XXXII², p. 127 f.

² De Joseph, ibid., p. 122. ³ Contra Faustum, XII. 41, CSEL., vol. XXV, p. 367.

suscitare, dum pro magnitudine virium, et potentia beatorum suscitare eum nullus audeat ad praelia. Sed multo convenientius aptabitur huic loco mystica expositio, in qua catulus leonis, Christus, non solum φυσιαώς, verumetiam τροπιαώς designatur. Physiologus de catulo leonis haec scribit, Quod cum natus fuerit, tribus diebus ac tribus noctibus dormiat: tum deinde patris fremitu vel mugitu, tanquam tremefactus cubilis locus, suscitet catulum dormientem. Iste ergo catulus ascendit ex germine: ex Virgine enim natus est, non ex semine, sed ex virgine absque concubitu virili, et absque semine naturali Christus. Velut virgultum, sive ramus, in quo manifestissime et veritas carnis adsumtae ex Virgine declaratur in sacrosancto germine, et a contagio carnalis et humani seminis excusatur. Recumbens dormisti ut leo. et sicut catulus leonis. Manifeste recubuisse et dormisse, dictum de passione mortis ostenditur. Sed videamus quare ut leo, et ut catulus leonis, dormit. De catuli quidem somno jam superius dictum est, quod valde convenienter adaptatur Christo, qui tribus diebus et tribus noctibus in corde terrae sepultus. somnum mortis implevit. Ut leo autem, hoc modo debere intelligi arbitror: Mors Christi oppressio et triumphus daemonum fuit, omnem namque praedam, quam leo ille contrarius invaserat, prostrato homine et dejecto, hic leo noster eripuit: denique rediens ab inferis, et ascendens in altum, captivam duxit captivitatem. Hoc ergo modo, et in somno suo leo fuit vincens omnia et debellans, et destruxit eum, qui habebat mortis imperium. Et velut catulus leonis, die tertia suscitatur. Quis suscitabit Recte quasi inquirentis prophetae personam, quae suscitet Christum, sermo significat, quia Apostolus quidem dicit: Quia Deus illum suscitavit a mortuis. Et, Qui suscitavit Chris-

tum a mortuis, suscitabit et mortalia corpora vestra propter inhabitantem spiritum ejus in vobis. Et iterum ipse Christus dicit in Evangeliis, Solvite templum hoc, et ego in tribus diebus suscitabo illud: hoc autem dicebat de templo corporis sui. Quia vero ipse dicit, suscitasse templum suum, et Deus illum dicitur suscitasse: recte Propheta stupore tantae Patris et Filii unitatis, atque indiscretionis attonitus dicit, Quis suscitabit eum?" "Catulus leonis Juda, ex germine, fili mi, ascendisti. Merito catulus leonis appellatur, qui Christo concrucifixus est et conresurrexit, sicut et Paulus dicebat, qui et ipse merito in Judam accipitur, confitebatur enim peccatum suum dicens, Non sum dignus vocari Apostolus, quia persecutus sum Ecclesiam Dei. Et iterum quod Christus est. esse dicit seipsum, cum ait: Christoconcrucifixus sum: vivo vero jam non ego, Christus in me. vivit vero catulus leonis, qui dormit cum Christo, dum mortuus est peccato, et resurrexit cum Christo, dum vivit Deo."2

The second extract, which is merely the "moral" explanation, is purely the forger's development of the subject and is found nowhere else. The first extract betrays itself in the phrase, "Physiologus scribit," since Physiologus, in the singular, is found in no writer whatsoever before the appearance of the book Physiologus in the eighth century. We find "physiologi, physici" quite frequently, but there is no one "physiologus" who is ever so mentioned. The oldest mythological account of the type referred to by the forger is

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. XXI, col. 301 ff.

² Ibid., col. 308.

found in Ad-Damīrī:1 "The authors of books treating on the subject of natures of animals say as regards the well known beast of prey,—the lion,—that its female gives birth to only one whelp at a time, which at its birth is only a mass of flesh without any sense of feeling or movement; she watches it in that state for three days, at the end of which period the male parent comes to it and blows into its mouth several times till it begins to breathe and move: its limbs then become loose and it takes the appearance of the male parent. The dam then comes and suckles it, but it does not open its eyes until after seven days from its birth. When it is six months old it has to learn to obtain its own prey." Pliny records the fact that the lioness is able to bear young no more than once and that the young ones. when first born, are shapeless and extremely small in flesh and are unable to walk for six months.2 Hence the Arabic account is a mixture of the traditional classic statement and a deallegorization of the resurrection of Christ, Who is brought to life by the Father, as given in the Benediction of Judah. The juxtaposition of the resurrection of Christ and the lion and cub led to the assumption that the cub is awakened by his father, as Christ is by His Father. A Syriac, Greek, or Latin source may be responsible for the Arabic story, but it is clear that the Arabic version is older than any recorded in the Physiologus or in Pseudo-Rufinus, since it does not yet possess the confusion of the story with the clause in Augustine, "inde mortuus unde et natus," which led to the further development that the cub is born dead, to be revived three days later by the father. Since the Arabic has the older version, all the versions of the Physiologus, every one of which

¹ Op. cit., vol. I, p. 6.

² VIII. 45. For other similar accounts see F. Lauchert, op. cit., p. 6.

is based on the Benediction of Judah, cannot have arisen before the arrival of the Arabs into Spain.

What more than anything else condemns the Benedictions of Rufinus, is the fact that, although the allegorical interpretation of Reuben's Benediction and most other allegorical interpretations in the versions ascribed to Alcuin and Jerome are identical in wording with those ascribed to Rufinus, the story of the lion cub is significantly absent from Alcuin and Jerome, but is complete in Rufinus. If Rufinus' Benedictions existed before those of Alcuin, then the story of the lion cub must have been absent from it, for Alcuin could not possibly have omitted it. If, however, the identity of wording in Rufinus and all the other versions, except that of Paulinus, is due to a common source, then Rufinus' version is a downright forgery. In any case, the lion cub story found its way into the Benedictions from the *Physiologus* in the eighth century, and not earlier. In all the Physiologi the lion occupies the first place. So it does in Ad-Damīrī, where the animals are arranged in alphabetical order, and اسد āsad "lion" begins with the first letter of the alphabet. It is this phonetic accident chiefly which has given the lion the first place among animals in the Middle Ages.

¹ See Karnyeev, op. cit., p. 161 ff.

XXII. THE SAW.

Syriac dūlfīn means not only "dolphin," but also "crocodile;" hence we have in the Syriac Physiologus in masārā "dolphin," where the word is due to its confusion with Copt. msah "crocodile," which has also produced Arab. τimsāḥ "crocodile," where the initial t is the Coptic feminine article. But Syriac in masārā, from the root in msar "to cut," also means "saw," and in the Biblical passages in which this word occurs the corresponding Greek equivalent is πρίων "saw."

The Physiologus tells of the serra, πρίων, that it is an animal of the sea with large fins or wings. It runs a race with boats, but it gets tired and draws in its wings and returns to its old place. It has been observed by all who have written on the Physiologus that the description fits the dolphin and not the sawfish. Indeed, the Arabic versions refer the story to the دلفين, where the Syriac version has مُدْسُرُة masårå. From this it follows that the Greek, Latin and other versions were made either directly from the Syriac, or from an Arabic version where is was understood as "saw." Whichever way we take it, the story could have arisen only after the Syrians became acquainted with the Coptic word for "crocodile," that is, after the middle of the seventh century, when the Syrians followed the Arabs through Egypt. In the

¹ Land, op. cit., vol. IV, p. 150 f.

288 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

middle of the eighth century the story is already recorded in the Glossa glossarum in Spain, thus making it once more clear that the Physiologus is of Syriac origin and reached the West through an Arabic version. This is made absolutely certain by the juxtaposition of the autolops and the serra. The autolops was declared to have serrated horns, which, of course, is wrong, since it refers to the stag with its spreading horns. This mistake is due to the Arabic verb نشر našara, which means both "he spread out, pricked his ears" and "he sawed wood." Thus the autolops, yāmūr, was declared to have "serrated" horns, when it should have been "spreading" horns. At the same time it suggested the juxtaposition of the marine animal with the autolops, because it was called "saw." Only the Arabic version could have produced this result.

XXIII. THE FIREBEARING STONES.

The same Arabic source is the cause of the welding together of the story of the autolops with the firebearing stones, as may be seen from the last paragraphs of several of the versions. In some versions this forms a separate chapter. Here the juxtaposition is due to the fact that the Arab. شحرة šaģarat "tree" mediately suggested "the flint." We have already seen that šaģarat is, according to an Arabic tradition, the tree of Paradise. The same tradition unites with it the مخة sahrat "the stone of Paradise, the rock of Jerusalem." But صخر şaḥr is "hardstone," and the "two horns" of the autolops at once suggested the "two stones," of which Pliny says: "Aëtitae lapides ex argumento nominis magnam famam habent. periuntur in nidis aquilarum, sicut in decumo volumine diximus. Aiunt binos inveniri, marem ac feminam."2 This became confused with the description of the lightning which was likened to the striking together of two firebearing stones, as fully described by Origen in his homily on Jeremiah: «Καὶ ἀστραπὰς είς ύετὸν ἐποίησεν. Λέγουσιν οί περὶ ταῦτα δεινοί, ὅτι ἡ γένεσις τῶν ἀστραπῶν ἀπὸ τῶν νεφελῶν γίνεται ἀλλήλαις προστριβομένων ὅπερ γὰρ συμβαίνει περὶ τοὺς πυροβόλους λίθους ἐπὶ γῆς, ἵνα δύο λίθων προσχρουσάντων πῦρ γενηθη, τοῦτο γίνεσθαι καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν νεφελῶν φασιν προσκουομένων των νεφελών κατά τούς χειμώνας γίνεται ή άστραπή. διὸ ώς ἐπίπαν ἡ ἀστραπὴ ἄμα βροντῆ γίνεται, τῆς μὲν βρον-

¹ In Lane, sub شجر •

² XXXVI. 149.

HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

τῆς ἐμφαινούσης τὸν ἦχον τοῦ συγκρουσμοῦ τῶν νεφελῶν, τῆς δὲ ἀστραπῆς γεννώσης τὸ φῶς». Τhe Gr. πυροβόλος would be written in Arabic بربول, and if the diacritical marks are misread, we get تربول terbul, as, indeed, we get in the oldest Latin version: "sunt in quodam monte Orientis lapides igniferi qui graece dicuntur terrobuli (terebolim, thereboleni)," further corrupted to caerobolim, chiroboli.3

3 Ibid.

¹ Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten drei Jahrhunderte, Leipzig 1901, vol. III, p. 60. ² Cahier, op. cit., vol. II, p. 126.

XXIV. THE CHARADRIUS.

The Liber glossarum has the following account of the charadrius: "Charadrius, avis quae in Deuteronomio praecipitur non manducari. Physiologus dicit de hoc, si tamen credendum est, quia totus albus est, nullam partem habens nigram, cuius interior fimus curat caliginem oculorum: istud in vasis regum invenitur."1 This is the original version. In the Greek and the Latin Physiologus we have only expanded material. The Berne MS. 233 reads: "Est volatil quae dicitur caladrius. Hoc scriptum est in Deuteronomio: manducandum. Fisiolocus dicit de hoc quia totus albus est, nulla partem habens nigram; cujus interius femus currat caliginem oculorum. Studi natrius regnum invenitur. Si quis autem est in egretudine constitutus, ex hoc caladrio cognoscitur si vivat ut moriatur. Si ergo est infirmitas hominis ad mortem, mox ut viderit infirmum avertit faciem suam ab eo caladrius, et omnes cognoscunt quia moriturus est. Si autem infirmitas ejus non pertinet ad mortem, intendit faciem eius caladrius et adsumet omnes egritudines infra se: et volat in aera solus, et conburet infirmitates eius, et dispergit eam; et erit salvus infirmus. Caladrius igitur personam accepit Salvatoris nostri: totus est candidus Dominus noster, nullam habet egritudinem, sicut de se cestatus est, quoniam venit princeps hujus mundi, et in me non invenit quicquam; quippe qui peccatum non fecit, nec inventus est dolus in ore ejus. Veniens autem de excelsis coelis

¹ Mai, op. cit., p. 591 f.

suis ad infimum populum Israel, avertit faciem suam ab eis propter incredulitatem eorum; convertit se ad nos Gentes, tollens infirmitates nostras; et peccata nostra portans, exaltatus est in tigno crucis. A s c e n dens enim in alto, captivam duxit captivitatem, dedit dona in hominibus. Etenim qui non crediderunt, non receperunt; quotquod autem receperunt, dediteis potestatem Filius Dei fieri, his qui credunt in eum. forsitan dicis quia caladrius secundum Legem immundus est. Certum est; nam et serpens inmundus est, et Johannis testatur de eo dicens quoniam sicut Moyses exaltavit serpentem in deserto, sic exaltare oportet filium hominis. Et alibi prudentior datus omnium bestiarum. Similiter etiam et leo et aquila inmunda sunt, sed illi ferarum rex est, et illa volatilium. Secundum regnum ergo Christo adsimilata sunt, secundum rapacitatem vero diabolo. Et alia multa sunt in creata habeat duplicem intellectum: alia quidam laudabilia, alia vero vituperabilia; et deferentia inter se atque discreta, sive moribus sive natures." The matter in the Liber glossarum shows that we are dealing with the falcon and not the charadrius, hence charadrius, caladrius, etc., are only misrenderings of Arab. مقرة şaqraţ "the hawk," pl. suqūrat, of which the white مقارة species is called ماهين šā $h\bar{e}n$ "the royal falcon." This is all white, is kept in palaces, and, according to the Syriac popular medicine, its gall is used to cure blear eyes: "The Hawk. Smear with its gall the eyes of the man who hath blearedness. Its blood will make the

¹ Cahier, op. cit., vol. II, p. 130 ff.

hair grow. He who eateth its heart shall shine greatly. He who eateth its spleen shall have a healthy spleen."

The change of sagrat to sacharad, and hence to charadrius, suggested the matter about the charadrius in Pliny and the hermeneutic explanation.

¹ E. A. W. Budge, Syrian Anatomy, Pathology and Therapeutics, or "The Book of Medicines," London, etc., 1913, vol. II, p. 702.

XXV. THE PELICAN.

The Liber glossarum says: "Pelicanus. Physiologus dicit, quoniam amator filiorum nimis est: si autem genuerit natos, et modicum creverint, percutiunt se in faciem parentum; parentes autem colaphizant eos et occidunt: deinde misericordia ducti parentes duobus diebus lugent filios suos, quos occiderunt, et tertia die venit eorum pater, corripit latus suum: sic sanguis eius adspargitur super mortuorum corpora pullorum, et ex ipso sanguine calefacti mortui suscitantur."1 The story is told by Ephraem as follows: "Ferunt repertam avem, quae pullis suis statim a partu extinctis spiritum iterum refundat. Facta nimirum mater, dum natos complexatur et premit, suffocat. Postquam vero illos nec spirare videt, nec moveri, agnoscitque prorsus extinctos, tantum ajunt, inde dolorem suscipere, ut per triduum omni cibo potuque abstineat. nec a nido abesse sustinet, sed eodem perstans loco, natorum cadavera custodit: postremum inflicto vulnere fundit sanguinem, qui simul ac pullos tetigit, animat, divino sic jubente praecepto. Jam si avis suos novit suscitare natos, disce peccator, vitam animae tuae reddere tuis ereptam peccatis. Caeterum, si pellicani calamitate eo tangitur Deus, ut praeter naturae ordinem ejus pullos vivificet, quam opido ipsi graviorem fore putas tuam in procuranda animae tuae resurrectione socordiam. Nam, si avis natos moeret extinctos, adeo ut aegritudinis suae impatiens sponte sibi mortem consciscat, sique ipsius Conditor dolorem ejus dolet, et relevat, perverso naturae ordine; intelligis, credo,

¹ Mai, op. cit., p. 594.

illum animae tuae sentire interitum, et ejusdem separationem: sentit utique et moeret, imaginem suam ab ipso se sponte dividere, quin ergo tu pariter doles et ploras, quando ille tui causa moeret, quasi mater super

unigenitum suum."1

The genuineness of Ephraem's exegetic article, in which this story is told, depends upon the fact whether رُمُو gågå, translated in Latin by "pelicanus," was really the pelican or some other bird. If it means "the pelican," the writing cannot belong to Ephraem. Unfortunately it is not possible to ascertain the precise meaning, though Ephraem says: "Graculus et Pica homines agrestes et garrulos notant. Hocce siquidem avium genus reptilia in locis palustribus nascentia persequitur, eisque vescitur; et in desertis locis moratur: crocitat et perpetuo strepitat,"2 where the word translated by "graculus" is also عُمُ qåqå. From the fact that the bird lives on reptiles in swampy places, one would think of some variety of the pelican, and if so, the exegetic writing is not Ephraem's, because the description of the Liber glossarum and in the Syriac is not that of the pelican, but of the vulture.

Aristotle treats the vulture, eagle and raven together. "The so-called phene, or lämmergeier, is fond of its young, provides its food with ease, fetches food to its nest, and is of a kindly disposition. It rears its own young and those of the eagle as well; for when the eagle ejects its young from the nest, this bird catches them up as they fall and feeds them. For the eagle, by the way, ejects the young birds prematurely, before they are able to feed themselves, or to fly. It appears to do so from jealousy; for it is by nature jealous, and

¹ Sancti patris nostri Ephraem Syri opera omnia quae exstant, Romae 1740, vol. II, Syriace et latine, p. 346 f.

² Ibid., vol. I, p. 276.

is so ravenous as to grab furiously at its food; and when it does grab at its food, it grabs it in large morsels. It is accordingly jealous of the young birds as they approach maturity, since they are getting good appetites, and so it scratches them with its talons. young birds fight also with one another, to secure a morsel of food or a comfortable position, whereupon the mother-bird beats them and ejects them from the nest: the young ones scream at this treatment, and the phene hearing them catches them as they fall."1 "Birds of the eagle species are not alike in the treatment of their young. The white-tailed eagle is cross, the black eagle is affectionate in the feeding of the young; though, by the way, all birds of prev, when their brood is rather forward in being able to fly, beat and extrude them from the nest. The majority of birds other than birds of prey, as has been said, also act in this manner, and after feeding their young take no further care of them; but the crow is an exception. This bird for a considerable time takes charge of her young; for, even when her young can fly, she flies alongside of them and supplies them with food."2 According to Horapollo, the vulture when feeding its young, lacerates its thigh and feeds them on its blood.³ This φήνη or γύψ, the lämmergeier of the English translation, is the mut of the Egyptian religion, the mother par excellence:4 "He cometh to his two mothers. these two vultures, with the long hair and the hanging

C. Leemans, op. cit., p. 17.

4 R. V. Lanzone, Dizionario di mitologia egizia, Torino 1883, vol. III, p. 330 ff., where the vulture is represented with two human beings in its claws.

¹ Historia animalium, IX. 34, in The Works of Aristotle, ed. by J. A. Smith and W. D. Ross, Oxford 1910, vol. IV, p. 619 b.

² VI. 6, ibid., p. 563 b.

^{3 «}Έν αις τά ξαυτής ξετρέφει τέκνα, ἐπὶ πλεῖον οὐ πέτεται, περὶ δὲ τοὺς νεοσσοὺς καὶ τὴν τούτων τροφὴν ἀσχολεῖται, ἐν αις ἀπορήσασα τροφής, ἣν παράσχηται τοῖς νηπίως, τὸν ἑαυτής μηρὸν ἀνατεμοῦσα, παρέχει τοῖς τέκνοις τοῦ αιματος μεταλαμβάνειν, ὡς μὴ ἀπορήσαντα τροφῆς ἀναιρεθῆναι, ➤ C. Leemans, op. cit., p. 17.

breasts, which are on the mountain of Seḥseḥ, and they put their nipples into the mouth of Pepi straightway, and they are with him for ever;" "she is like a vulture in effecting thy protection" (Pyramid Texts).

Out of this Egyptian myth arose the story of the vultur maximus, which is found in the Parsee Bundehesh as karkas and in Syriac as proper qraqsa, for which the manuscript Syrio-Arabic Dictionary gives the Arabic translation foundaries equal partial parti

The Arab. بلتن 'ablaqu, fem. ابلتن balqā'u, pl. بلتن bulqun, means "black and white, white in the hind legs as high as the thigh, which the Arabs apply to a beast of the equine kind." We have also بلتن balaqun "blackness and whiteness (together, generally in horses); the extension of whiteness in the hind legs, any color with which white is mixed." The original meaning of the root is "to break forth," but the special meaning, as referring to horses marked white and black, seems to originate in the Berber country, where we have

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{E.}$ A. Wallis Budge, Osiris and the Egyptian Resurrection, London 1911, vol. II, p. 319.

² Ibid., p. 55.

³ Hommel, Die aethiopische Uebersetzung des Physiologus, p. 49.

universally the root brk "black." In Kabyl we have aberkan "black, somber," berrik "to be black;" and we have also the root bergec "to be speckled," which may be related to this root, especially since aberkan means "a kind of plum," and aberquq "plum." From the latter comes our "apricot." Now, this Berber brk produced the Arab. 'ablagu, etc., which spread throughout Europe soon after the arrival of the Arabs. We have early recorded AS. blaec, blac "ink, black, swarthy," but also blāēc "pale, livid," because the Arabic term led both to "black" and "white." AS. blāēcba "leprosy" we have the term referred to the form of leprosy which showed itself in white and black patches. We have the same confusion in ONorse, where we have blakkr "dead, dusky black, pale," bleikr "pale, color of ashes, color of death," and in OHGerman, where we have plach "ink" and bleih "pale." But the Gothic lacks the term entirely. The nasalized OHGerman form blanc is several times recorded with ros "horse" as "white," blanc ros "candidus equus," which confirms the supposition that it was the introduction of the Arabic horses that led to the dissemination of the word among the Germanic and Romance peoples, hence Ital. bianco, Span. blanco, etc.

The Arabic term $gur\bar{a}b$ 'ablaqu led to the confusion of the lämmergeier with the pelican, 'ablaqu being mistaken for a form of "pelicanus," hence the totally unwarranted transference of the vulture characteristics, as conceived by all ancient writers, to those of the pelican, as conceived only after the arrival of the Arabs, that is, in the eighth century. Wherever we have the pelican story in any author reputed to be of an earlier

¹R. Basset, Les noms des métaux et des couleurs en berbère, Paris 1895, p. 27 f. See also his Étude sur la Zenatia du Mzab, de Ouargla et de l'Oued-Rir', Paris 1892, p. 190, and his Étude sur la Zenatia de l'Ouarsenis et du Maghreb Central, Paris 1895, p. 117 f.

date,¹ we have an interpolation, if not a downright forgery. In Ephraem, if the writing is genuine, وَعُومُ is distinctly a later correction for the older وَأُومُ وَالْمُعُومُ "vultur maximus."

¹ As, for example, in Isidore, XII. 7. 26.

XXVI. THE LIZARD.

In the Gothic Bible we have malo in Matthew VI. 19 and 20: "parei malo jah nidwa frawardeip . . . parei nih malo nih nidwa frawardeib." The Greek has here «ὅπου σὴς καὶ βοῶσις ἀφανίζει.» All the modern commentators, taking σής to mean "moth," translate malo by "moth," a gratuitous assumption, because the Latin has "aerugo aut tinea," in which βρῶσις is apparently "tinea," while σής is intended for "aerugo." But, even assuming a transposition of the words, the case is not yet clear for Goth. malo, because Rabanus Maurus speaks of "tinea" as "worms or rot," and distinctly identifies it with "caries, dry rot." The glosses2 give "robigo, tinea βρῶσις ἡ ἀπὸ σκωλήκων ἤτοι σητῶν," which bears out Rabanus' statement that it is not so much "moth" that we have in mind with "tinea" as "dry rot, dust." We have also «σής ή σῆψις,» that is, σής means "putrefaction, rot." This identification with σήψις introduces a confusion which leads to strange results in OHGerman. Σήψις is confused with σήψ, which Aristotle calls a serpent whose bite causes putrefaction, but others identify it with the lizard or with some kind of insect.3

The Gothic translator distinctly opposes malo "dry rot" to nidwa "wet rot." His malo is another word for malma, and as malo indicates the root malw-, Goth. aamalwian is to be explained as another formation

^{1 &}quot;Quia aliae res sunt quas non vermes, sed rubigo, vel obfuscat, vel consumit, ut est aurum, argentum et caetera metalla; aliae vero quae a vermibus vel putredine solvuntur, ut sunt vestes et vasa lignea, quae carie dispereunt," Migne, P. L., vol. CVII, col. 834.

2 Goetz, op. cit., in the Index.

³ See Stephanus, sub σήψ.

from μάλαγμα, which has also produced malma. We have AS. melu, gen. melwes, OHG. melo, gen. melawes "meal, dust," which are obviously formed from μαλαγ-. This appears from the fact that OHG. molt, molm, malm, mol and even olm are translated by "stellio, poisonous lizard," while olmoht means "cariosus," precisely the same meaning being attached to wurmmelo "caries." Thus we see that the words which originally meant "dust" were explained as in the Greek and Latin writers as "some kind of animal causing dust, presumably the poisonous lizard." This, then, shows that OHG. melo "flour" with malan, muljan "to grind" are, like the other forms, various kinds of contaminations, into which also enters OHG. muli "mill." But this, like AS. miln, ONorse mulna, is a borrowing from the Lat. mola, molina. The relation of OHG. malan to μάλαγμα is still better brought out in ougmale "collyrium." but the confusion with Lat. molere is proved by the fact that the latter has also the meaning "to paint," like OHG. malon.

We have in Sanskrit musala "the house-lizard," which is related to Kan. mosale, musali, Malayalam mudale "crocodile," all of which go back to Assyr. muš-gallu, lit. "big snake," from Sum. muš "snake" and gal "large." Muššu in Assyrian means "the sun, the disc of the moon, flashing of Šamaš." This is quite unnecessarily referred to the root našu "the wand of Šamaš," because, wherever we have a meaning "snake," we also have that of "fire, flashing." Thus Assyr. maššu "shining, bright," mašū "be light, shining," maši "stars," and the derivatives mašahu "rise, flash up" (said of stars), mešhu "intense brillianey," cannot

¹ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. II, p. 323. This is not by any means a mere miswriting, for we find the word later in German. We have MGH. ulmic "carious," LG. ulmig, olmig, etc. See E. Verwijs and J. Verdam, Middelnederlandsch Woordenboek, 's-Gravenhage 1885–1912, sub olm

be separated from this group. In Egyp. msah "crocodile" we have, no doubt, a corrupted form of the same mušgallu, or, rather, a combination of which the first part is Sum. muš "snake," even as we have in Sumerian mushuš, mušmah "some kind of snake." From the Egyp. msah or Copt. emsah is derived Arab. تساح timsāḥ "crocodile."

It is interesting to observe how the crocodile got into the Germanic languages. The Latin glosses have "corcodillus animal in Nilo est, bestia in Nilo flumine," while Walafrid Strabo, excerpting Rabanus Maurus' commentary to Leviticus, quotes Pliny's account of the crocodile, "crocodilum habet Nilus," etc.,1 which in the Codex Carolsruh. Aug. CCXXXI² reads "crocodrillos ut dicit plinius. habet nilus." All the later German glossators to the same passage in Leviticus copy an original blunder of a glossator who mistook "habet" for "Plinius habet," i. e., "Pliny calls it." Reading nilus as nihus, he took this to be the equivalent given by Pliny for the crocodile. Thus the outlandish nihus, nichus³ became the name for the crocodile in OHGerman. In the AS. glosses the crocodile is not mentioned. The few times that nicor, nicer occurs outside of the Beowulf, it is the translation of "hippopotamus,"4 while in the Beowulf it is some kind of sea-monster. Similarly, ONorse nykr is a hippopotamus, and then a sea-monster. The further development of Ger. nixe, Eng. nick need not detain us. the whole mythological superstructure arose from the misread passage in Pliny.5

¹ VIII. 89.

² Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, p. 341.

³ Nihus, nihhus, nichus, ibid., p. 348; nihus, p. 354; nichus, nich hus, p. 355; nihhus, p. 802, vol. III, p. 81; nichis, niches, p. 84; nikes, p. 202; niches, p. 366; nichus, p. 456; nihhus, nichis, p. 675.

⁴ See Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, vol. IV, p. 197.

⁵ The misreading of l is apparently due to a Visigothic text with its characteristic capital l, which does not differ much from a small h.

Most of the "lizard" words in the European languages are derived from an Assyrian word, represented by hulmittu "some kind of serpent." This is apparently already a reduction by popular etymology, so as to derive it from Assyr. hullu "bad, evil." It is more likely that it is derived from halū "to shine," hālu "to quake, tremble," that is, that the lizard and the snake, as usual, are related both to rapid motion and to fire. In that case, Assyr. halalu "to creep," hallūlāia "an insect living in caves," would belong to this group. However this may be, the derivatives of hulmittu are very numerous.

The Heb. מֹמֵים hōmet, Chald. אַטְּאָח humtāh "lizard," which stand quite alone, are obviously derived from the Assyr. hulmittu, as though from Assyr. hamaţu "to hasten, burn, shine, flicker," hamtu "swift," so that it is not impossible that a similar form may have existed in Assyrian for the lizard. In Syrian we have hūlmåtå "chameleon," with a closer adherence to the Assyrian. The Chald. אַקְּבְּאָבָּ agmětā, Syr. أُكِمِيْكُمْ åmqatå, possibly Heb. المُعَمِّدُ anāgā, seem to be deteriorations of the same word. But quite certainly Gr. καλαμώτης, καλαδώτης, χαλαδώτης, ἀσκαλαδώτης, ἀσκάλαδος, γαλεώτης, κωλώτης are various corruptions of the same Semitic word. The latter, γαλεώτης, κωλώτης, show how Heb. לְּמְאָה lětāā, Chald. הַּצְּטְאָה haltāā, សព្ទម្ភា hāltaṭā are mere deteriorations of the same Assyrian word; and here the corresponding Arab. luḥakat, حلكة hulkat show how the Syr. åmqatå, Chald. aqmětā, Heb. anāqā must have arisen. But they tell even more, for they show that Lat. lacerta cannot be separated from Ionic Gr. ποοκόδειλος,

304 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

and Sansk. $krikul\bar{a}sa$, $krikal\bar{a}sa$ "lizard." Similarly, the Turko-Tatar keler, $kel\check{a}sk\ddot{a}$, kelas show unmistakably that all these lizard words are related to hul- in Assyr. hulmittu.

In Syrian we have also a transformed landsom samåmīţå "poisonous lizard." It is not possible to ascertain whether this already existed in Assyrian, though that is most likely, since the Assyrian root šam corresponds closely to ham, from which hamtu "swift." etc., is derived. This root šam produces Assyr. šamū "to burn, boil," šumū "roasted meat," most likely šamu "heaven, sky," but, above all, šamu "plant, herb."1 Küchler has shown² that šammu is a generic name for "medicinal plant, medicine," hence also "poison." From the fact that it is frequently referred to as "the plant of the god of joy,"4 it is to be inferred that an intoxicating drink was included among the medicines. This shows at once that the Vedic soma, in spite of the assumed etymology from su "to press," is derived from the Assyr. šamu; and the Vedic divinity Soma, who "caused the sun to shine, caused the lights of the sky to shine, and produced the sun in the waters, caused the sun to rise, impelled it, obtained and bestowed it, and caused the dawns to shine,"6 is no other than Assyr. šamšu "the sun, sunrise," šamaš "the sungod," derivatives of šamū "heaven, sky" and related to šamu "plant, medicine."

⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 109 f.

I cannot enter here into the semantic development of the root. I shall only point, as an example, to Sum. $m\bar{u}$ "go forth, sprout. shine, hear, name." The latter meanings show that Assyr. *semu "to hear," *sumu "name" also belong here. Of these I shall speak in another place.

² Beiträge zur Kenninis der Assyrisch-Babylonischen Medizin, Leipzig 1904, in Assyriologische Bibliothek, vol. XVIII, p. 66.

³ Ibid., p. 137. ⁴ L. W. King, Babylonian Magic and Sorcery, London 1896, p. 62, etc. ⁵ A. A. Macdonell, Vedic Mythology, in Grundriss der Indo-Arischen Philologie und Altertumskunde, vol. III. 1, A, p. 114.

We do not seem to have recorded in Assyrian a word for "lizard" directly derived from it, but the other Semitic languages prove abundantly that the correlation of "lizard" with this particular group of "to shine, sun" did exist. I have already spoken of Syr. samåmīţå. Its relation to Syr. am "medicine, pigment" is obvious. Although we have in Syrian šmayå "heaven" and šmeš "sun," it is only the reduced sam that means "medicine," which would tend to prove that the šamu plant was introduced at a later time from Assyria. This is borne out by Heb. sammūm "fragrance," which stands perfectly alone by the side of שָׁמֵיִי šamaim "heaven" and שֹׁבֶשְׁ šemeš "sun." It is only in the Talmud that we get p sam "aromatic plant, spice, medicinal powder, poison," אָבְּיִ sammān "spices," אַבְּיִי sammānā "spices, dyestuff," and it is here that we also get קַּמָּמִית sěmāmīt, for the Biblical שָׁמָּמִת šěmāmīt "lizard."

In the Arabic we have شمر خمس خمس "he smelt," شمر خمس خمس "high, elevated," شمن خمس خمس "it was high," مسام خمس خمس "the sun," and مسام samm "poison," مسام samām "light, swift," مام sāmm "poisoning, lizard," in which case both خمس and sam- refer to the aromatic plant, while only خمس, as in the other Semitic languages, gives the "sun" and "sky" words. But we have also مام sām "veins of gold, an ingot of gold and silver," obviously with the underlying meaning "shining," which is identical with Egyp. asem "electrum." But this word may in reality be of a different origin.

The Egyptian, too, has sam "herb," and šem "heat," šemu "the crop, summer," šemem "hot wind, samoom," and šemt, yemt "an intoxicating drink," šemem "poison." Thus, whether the Egyptian is older or younger than the Assyrian, we have the identity of ham-, sam-, *šam*- in Semitic and in Egyptian, with the underlying meaning "to be hot." But the Egyp. χmm , χrm shows that here, as in Assyrian, the original word for heat was a derivative from γr and δr , by a suffix m. This would lead us at once to the "warm" words in the Indo-European languages, which need not be discussed here. It is, however, interesting to observe here that the transposed ymr produces Ethiop. 'amīre "day. sun," with similar derivatives for "clear, brilliant, illustrious." This at once explains Gr. ἡμέρα, derived, no doubt, from an Egyptian source. Indeed, it is quite impossible to derive Copt. ameri, meri, meere "midday, day" from the Greek, for the Greek word stands perfectly alone, while the Coptic and Ethiopic cannot be separated from the χrm , χmm words.

Thus, throughout Asia and in Africa, we have distinct derivatives from Assyr. šamu "the medicinal plant;" and in Syr. samāmīṭā we have a reference to the poisonous quality of the reptile, though originally, no doubt, the reference was to "fire," with which the lizard, especially the salamander, is related. It is well known that the snake and the lizard have been associated with the sun-worship, but it is not easy to tell the precise manner in which the two were brought together. Not only have we the constant story about the salamander coming unscathed through the fire, but we have also the name in the *Physiologus* σαύρα ἡλιαχή, "sun lizard" for the lizard, because in its old age it is supposed to become blind and bask in the sun. It may be that the well-known tendency of the saurians

¹ The Chinese name for the salamander is huo shie "fire snake."

to stay in the sun had something to do with the relation between snake and sun-worship.

In Persian we have the Arab. sam "gold and silver," samm "distressingly hot and pestilentially windy, death," sāmmi abras "a large and venomous kind of lizard," and the apparently native sam "fire" and samandar, samandir, samandur, samandal, samandūn, samandūk, samandūl "salamander," which are obviously derived from some such form as Syr. samåmītå. The Gr. σαλαμάνδοα is, therefore, derived from the East, either directly from the Assyrian, or through Syriac or Persian. The Greek and Roman conception of the salamander as a highly poisonous lizard that by its moisture puts out the fire, is quite in keeping with the semantic and morphological group from sam "poison, fire." The Gr. χαμαιλέων is, in all probability, only a popular transformation of a word represented by Assyr. hulmittu.

The salamandra has had a rich development in the Romance countries. For France alone there are recorded¹ such forms as salamandro, alabranda, lebrando, labreno, brune, blanda, while in Italian² we have salamita, sarmandola, marasandola. Ablinda, abelindea, as the name of a creeping animal, occurs in the Laterculus, but it is not possible to ascertain whether the salamander is meant by it. The origin of Lat. stellio "lizard" is by Pliny ascribed to its spotted skin; but it is more likely that it is some corruption of Gr. ἀσχάλαδος, for we have from the same Greek source such Coptic corruptions as hakelf, hankelf, hamkelf, and telfi, which shows that a form ἀστάλαβος also existed.

On the other hand, Lat. stellio is related to Gr. άστερία, άστερίων. The words are rare in Greek literature, but Saumaise is right in assuming their gen-

¹ E. Rolland, op. cit., vol. XI, p. 21 ff.

² *Ibid.*, p. 24. ³ *MGH.*, *Auct. antiq.*, vol. IX, p. 544.

uineness from the juxtaposition of ἀστερία with γαλεός in a comic poet. Besides, the Slavic languages and Modern Greek have many derivatives from this ἀστερία. OBulg. jašteru, from which come Čech. ještěr, Pol. jaszczur, Russ. jaščerica, Pruss. estureyto, etc., OBulg. gušteru, with similar forms in the Servian and other Slavic languages, and MGr. γούστερα, γουστερίτζα, βόστερος, βοστερίτζα, are all derived from it, even as OBulg. jašturŭ "a precious stone" is Gr. ἀστερίων.

In the Slavic languages we have the late Greek word for "lizard;" the Romance languages naturally show developments from the Latin. What gave to the Germanic languages the word for "lizard" which leads to Ger. Eidechse? In OHGerman the form varies between edehsa, 2 euuithessa, 3 and egidehse, 4 but it is not recorded in the Keronian glosses. In Anglo-Saxon we get from early times adexe, adexa, but also the exclusive efete, which is not recorded outside of England. The Germanic words for "lizard" stand completely alone among the Indo-European languages, but they certainly must be related to similar appellations somewhere. Since the word occurs in Leviticus and elsewhere in the Bible, it may have come in as a Gothic gloss, and in that case we should suspect an Arabic origin. Now we have a large number of Arabic words for the "lizard," which apparently are corruptions of a Berber word. We have عضوط 'iswadd, عصود 'udzfūt, عدفوط 'iswadd, عضم فوط ' $udf\bar{u}t$, عذافیط ' $udzf\bar{u}t$, pl. عضافیط ' $ad\bar{a}f\bar{\imath}t$, عذافیط ' $adz\bar{a}f\bar{\imath}t$

¹ Claudii Salmasii Plinianae exercitationes, Trajecti ad Rhenum 1689, p. 532 f.

² Edehsa, Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, p. 802; eithesa, p. 343; aedehse, achsel, p. 349; eidochso, p. 332; adexta, vol. II, p. 598; eidechsun, p. 671; heidehsse, vol. III, p. 692; endechse, eudechs, edechs, p. 48.

³ Euwithessa, ibid., vol. I, p. 354; euwidehsa, p. 355; ouwedehssa, vol. IV,

⁴ Egidehsa, egidehse, egidesse, etc., vol. I, pp. 349, 802; vol. II, pp. 348, 598, 606; vol. III, pp. 55, 82, 202, etc.
⁵ See Hessels, A Late Eighth-Century Latin-Anglo-Saxon Glossary, p. 136.

"the male of the lizard called عطسا 'ātsā'." The Berber words for "lizard" are Tuareg agezzeram, Tamazirt azermum, Djebel Nefusa ašermešan, Mzab tašimšeramt, Tomahek agezzerana, Redames ujizzam, Warsenis thazermumith. In all of these words one may perceive a lengthening of a word adša or adšera, which actually is found in Copt. ašira "chameleon." But this Coptic word is obviously itself a lengthening of Egyp. āš "lizard," or, rather, this Egyp. āš may itself be a shortening of a longer asarm, which is found in most of the Berber languages. If this is so, we are at once brought back in Egyptian to the same word which produced Syr. samåmītå, and the identity of the "lizard" words in all the languages is established. But Copt. ašira, Egyp. Arab. سحلة sahliyyah, would indicate an Egyptian word of a similar form, from which Gr. σαύρα would have been derived.

It is obvious that OHG. edehsa, egedehsa, AS. adexa, must be related to the Berber words, through an Arabic source, for which the Arabic literary form is 'ātsā'. But the lengthened Arab. 'adāfīt, or a similar form, is responsible, by its latter part, for AS. efete "newt." The transference of the words from Arabic into Anglo-Saxon and OHGerman must have taken place at a time when the Berber influence in Arabic was most active, that is, in the earliest part of the eighth century. If we now turn once more to Gr. ἀστερία, Lat. stellio, we see that the two represent mere corruptions of the original "lizard" word, and in the light of the African development of the group, it becomes increasingly difficult to arrange the older deteriorations in any chronological order. But the absence of ἀστερία in Greek literature points to a later period, and Copt. ašira may have no immediate representative in Egyptian beyond the recorded \bar{a} , and may be an evolution

310 HISTORY OF ARABICO-GOTHIC CULTURE

of the same Gr. ἀστερία. But the Berber words go unquestionably back to a much older source, possibly to an old Egyptian word which is directly related to the Asiatic "lizard" words.

XXVII. THE WEASEL.

The *Physiologus* tells of the weasel that she conceives through the mouth and, remaining a virgin, bears her young ones through her ears. The great majority of the versions about the weasel finish with a reference to the asp that closes her ears to the enchantment of the snake-charmer, which in later Bestiaries is developed into a separate chapter. As the mythical *Marsi* were in Latin antiquity considered to be snake-charmers, it was only natural to connect the charming of the asp with the *Marsi*; while the juxtaposition of the weasel and asp is due to traditional enmity of the two, although in the *Physiologus* the relation is not clearly brought out.

The weasel by tradition was a virgin, hence we have Ger. fräulein, jungferchen, Dan. brud, Ital. donnola, Port. doninha, Sardinian dona de muru, Ruman. nevastuica (bride), MGr. νυμφίτζα, Turk. gelindžik (little fiancée), Magy. hölgy-menyét (bride-weasel), Bulg. nevestulka (little bride), popadijka (priest's wife),

¹ A. Karnyeev, op. cit., p. 288 ff.

² Ibid., p. 290.

³ M. Goldstaub and R. Wendriner, Ein Tosco-Venezianischer Bestiarius, Halle a. S. 1892, p. 298.

⁴S. Bochart, op. cit., vol. II, col. 384 ff.

^{5 &}quot;Mustella quippe, sicut physici perhibent, per os quidem concipit, sed per aurem parit. . . . Nam cum eam (the asp) ad os speluncae marsus, ut egrediatur, incantat, illa protinus unam aurem terrae strictius imprimit, alteri caudam velut impenetrabile sufflamen opponit," Petrus Damianus, De bono religiosi status, cap. XVII, in Migne, P. L., vol. CXLV, col. 777 f. « "Οφις δὲ γαλῆ καὶ τῦ πολέμιον,» Aristotle, p. 609 b; «μάχεται δὲ καὶ τοῖς ὅφεσι μάλιστα τοῖς μυοθήραις διὰ τὸ καὶ αὐτὴν τοῦτο τὸ ζῷον θηφεύειν,» ibid., p. 612 b.

^{6 &}quot;Sicut in terra mustela serpentes (persequitur)," Pliny, XXXII. 25.

⁷ Archivio glottologico italiano, vol. II, p. 50 f.

Gipsy borí (bride), Albanian nus e ljaljese (brother's bride), Arab. ابن عرس 'ibnu 'irsin (son of a woman, cf. عروس 'arūs "bride"). It is this identification of the weasel with a young woman which has led to the many words of endearment in which it is represented as a pretty maid, such as Ger. schönthierlein, schöndinglein, Armoric coantic (pretty one), caerell (little pretty one), propic (neat one), and the vast number of Romance words derived from OFr. bele "pretty," such as OFr. belette.3

Marsus very early came to mean "snake-catcher." The Graeco-Latin glosses have Marsus θηοιοδήκτης "biter of animals," Mars ἀσπιδοθήρας, ὀφιοδιώχτης, Marsio ὀφιοδιώχτης. That this marsio ὀφιοδιώχτης was taken to be the weasel is well brought out by "ophiomachus" of Leviticus XI. 22, which the Germanic glosses generally translate by "stork," once by "sea otter," and once by "migale," that is, "shrew-mouse."5 Mars naturally led to the diminutive martola "weasel," recorded in the Hermeneumata Einsidlensia⁶ as maptola, apparently from a Greek original. What aided in the transference of marsa to marta, was the fact that in the Graeco-Latin glosses marita is translated by νύμφη; hence the universal appellation of the weasel as "the bride," which led in Greek to νυμφίτζα, in Arabic to 'ibnu 'irsin, at once produced the form marta, Spanish the "weasel." name for The diminutive martola produced in Arabic the hybrid

¹ G. Meyer, Etymologisches Wörterbuch der albanesischen Sprache, Strassburg 1891, p. 52.

purg 1891, p. 52.

² J. G. v. Hahn, Albanesische Studien, Jena 1854, part III, p. 86.

³ E. Rolland, op. cit., vol. I, p. 51 ff., vol. VII, p. 114 ff., and Archivio glottologico italiano, vol. II, pp. 46-52.

⁴ Goetz, in the Index. Also marsa in Ducange.

⁵ "Opimachus .i. ciconia stork," Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, p. 343, similarly p. 348, vol. III, pp. 23, 248, 463, "merinadra, nadaruuinda," vol. IV, p. 206, "simil. migal. hauuigrimmila," vol. I, p. 802.

⁶ Goetz, vol. III, p. 259.

'arūsāllah "mustela," where the derivation عروسالة from عروس 'arūs "bride" is obvious. This Arab. 'arūsāllah seems to mean "God's bride." but Dozy² is probably right in assuming that we have here a Spanish ending. It is not impossible that Arab. عربة 'arsah, عربة 'arūsah "ferret" arose in Spain from Lat. marsa ὀφιοδιώκτης by popular etymology, marsa being considered an m derivative of عرس. This is most probable, since we have also the very "gard "ferret," مقرض gard "ferret," which seems to have been formed inversely, in order to get a parallel to the older word. The very denomination "bride" for the weasel must have arisen through the Arabic etymology of marsa, for we have no older reference to "bride" than in Arabic. The Gr. νυμφίτζα is responsible for the "bride" words in Gipsy and the Balkan languages; but νυμφίτζα is not recorded before the tenth century, and the name for the "ermine" κακούμ is certainly Arabic, which leads to the assumption that it itself owes its origin to the Arabic etymology. All the other "bride" words have similarly spread over Europe from the Arabs in Spain. In any case Spain is the country where the word marta entered LLatin in the sense of "weasel," or, more correctly, of "ferret." Here, again, we have not only Span. marta, martaraña "weasel," but also garduña, from the Arab. gardun, which once more shows that the Spanish قرض etymology produced Span. marta, from LLat. marita νύμφη.

¹ Ch. F. Seybold, op. cit., p. 327. Supplément aux dictionnaires arabes, Leyde 1881, sub عرسي.

Ducange records martha, martarus, martalus, martores, martures, martira, martrae, martrinae, mardolum, mardores, mardrinus, mardubina for "weasel" or "weasel fur." The earliest mention, in the eighth century, is, however, in the Anglo-Saxon form mearth, meard, meard as the translation of "furuncus, furunculus." "Furo," apparently as the name of the ferret, occurs for the first time in Polemius Silvius, supposedly of the fifth century,² and later in Isidore. Most likely it is a transference of the meaning "thief," for which furo was the Low Latin word, to the weasel, for the usual conception in patristic literature was that the weasel was a thief.3 The diminutive furetus produced Fr. furet, while furuncus has remained without any derivatives. The Anglo-Saxon word, which seems to rest on a Gothic prototype, to judge from its final consonant, did not become popular in OHGerman. Mard, marth is recorded only in the XIII. century, while the longer form mardero, glossed by Lat. martus, martarus, itself a rare word,4 at once betravs its borrowing from the Low Latin form.

The Lat. mustela "weasel" is of unknown origin, but it is not impossible that we have here a combination of mus + tela, as in Gr. μυγάλη "shrew-mouse" we probably have μῦς + γαλῆ. It is also quite probable that tela and γαλῆ are derived from the same Mongol origin as Pers. dala "weasel, fitchet, stoat," dalla "ermine," Arab. خلت dalaq "weasel." G. Jacob has already observed that the Arabic and Persian words

² MGH., Auct. Antiq., vol. IX, p. 543.

¹ J. H. Hessels, A Late Eighth-Century Latin-Anglo-Saxon Glossary, p. 118.

² "Mustela est furti figura, ut in Levitico demonstratur," Rabanus Maurus, De universo, VIII. 2, in Migne, P. L., vol. CXI, col. 226.

⁴ H. Palander, Die althochdeutschen Tiernamen, Darmstadt 1899, p. 58 f. ⁵ Welche Handelsartikel bezogen die Araber des Mittelalters aus den nordischbaltischen Ländern?, Berlin 1891, p. 37 f.

are identical with Tunguz dsölöki, Manchu soloki "ermine," Mongol. solongga, solonggo "Siberian polecat," Manchu jelken "marten." To these may be added Tunguz (near Baikal) jelek, Lamut deliki "ermine" and Vogul Solsi "ermine."

Here we have distinctly a Tunguz word, and the Greek, Latin, and Arabic words refer to a time when the chief source of the ermine was the region of the Yenisev. even as we know that the Chinese received their furs from that locality.4 It is, therefore, highly probable that both Gr. γαλη and Lat. mustela are derived from a Tunguz dželaki.

The common weasel, according to Pallas, 5 is called at the Yenisey tschoel-ass, which he translates as "ermineum viaticum." As is, indeed, the usual Tatar word for "weasel," and tschool-ass may be the original of Tunguz dželaki, etc., or is a popular transformation of the word. As we proceed westward the word becomes more and more corrupt. We have Jukagir alatschawò. Kazan Tatar lahtschae,6 Esthonian lahits, laits, lazits, laznits "ermine, marten," Permian lassiza, Syryenian laschiza,8 OBulg. lasica,9 Russ. lastka, etc. From a thirteenth century document we learn that Bulgaria, that is, the region of the Volga, was the country from which ermine, sable, and letisse were obtained; 10 but

¹ "Dželaki, džeeleki, jeloky, jeluky, A. V. Starčevski, Sibirski perevodčik, S.-Peterburg 1893, p. 243.

² P. Pallas, Zoographia Rosso-Asiatica, Petropoli 1831, vol. I, p. 91.

³ A. Ahlquist, Wogulisches Wörterverzeichnis, Helsingissä 1891, p. 53.

⁴ G. Jacob, op. cit., Supplement, p. 7 f.

⁵ Op. cit., p. 95.

⁷ F. Wiedemann, Ehstnisch-deutsches Wörterbuch, St. Petersburg 1893. ⁸ Pallas, op. cit., p. 95; but the last two may be mere Russian words. So in J. Kalima, Die russischen Lehnwörter in Syrjänischen, Helsingfors 1911, p. 75.

⁹ The confusion of γαλη with γαλεώτης leads to a similar confusion in OBulgarian, where lasica is also recorded as "lizard."

^{10 &}quot;Dou royaume de Bougerie vient vairs et gris, hermine, sable et letisse," K. Höhlbaum, Hansisches Urkundenbuch, Halle 1882-1886, vol. III, p. 420.

OFr. letice, lectice, laitisse, lestote¹ are the names of the "weasel." We have also MLG. lasten, lassicz, lassiczin,2 lasteken³ "the Siberian weasel," while OFr. lestote has

produced Eng. stoat, stote "weasel, polecat."

Although the usual Tatar appellation for the ermine is ak-as, lit. "white weasel," we just as frequently have kara-kuijerok, kara-kuzuruk "black tail," because the main characteristic of the ermine is its black-tipped tail, which is always preserved in the fur. The Tatar kuzuruk, kuzruk, especially the western forms kujruk, kūruk, köjörök, are unquestionably responsible for Gr. κέρκος "tail." I. Bekker⁵ quotes from a Greek glossary a scholium to the Σοφισταί of Plato, the comic poet, a contemporary of Aristophanes, to the effect that κέρχος is a barbarous. Asiatic word, 6 and this assumption is borne out by the fact that it stands quite unrelated in Greek.

We have no evidence that the Greeks knew anything of Siberian furs, but we are acquainted with the Tatar name of the weasel long before the Arabic trade made it common in Europe. We have in the Talmud אַנְּרַנוּשְׁתָּא karkūštā, Syr. אַ בּבּב " kåkūštå "weasel." the Syriac Physiologus the latter is the translation of γαλη. The Semitic word is apparently a corruption of Tatar kara kuzruk "black tail," which passed from the east to the west in a large number of variations. We have Yakut kurunas, which seems to be a popular transformation, as though from kyry "grey" and Tatar as "weasel." The Yakut country is still the chief source

⁵ Anecdota graeca, Berolini 1814, vol. I, p. 103. * «Κέρχους τὰς οὐρὰς οὐν οἴονται δεῖν λέγειν. Ἐπιχωριάζει δὲ κατὰ τὴν

¹ E. Rolland, op. cit., vol. VII, p. 145, vol. I, p. 63.

² K. Höhlbaum, op. cit., vol. III, in Vocabulary. ³ Schiller and Lübben, Mittelniederdeutsches Wörterbuch, Bremen 1875-81, L. Stieda, Ueber die Namen der Pelzthiere, in Altpreussische Monatsschrift, vol. XXIV, p. 629.

4 N. F. Katanov, op. cit., p. 69.

^{&#}x27;Ασίαν, βάρβαρον μέντοι νομίζεται.»

of the supply of ermine. Kyrynas itself aided in the formation of Russ. gornostaj, gornostal, Čech hranostaj, chramostýl, etc., "ermine." We have Vogul kunasi, küinus, quinus "marten," which would indicate that Pol. kuna, Russ, kunica are really the same word as gornostai, with which the animal is confounded. Esthonian nirk, nirgi, nürk "weasel," as the quality of the r indicates, may have originally been nišk, and so may itself be a corruption of the Yakut word. This Esthonian nirk produced Russ. norok, norka, Pol. nurka "mustela nivalis," Ger. norz, nerz, nörz, nürz, nurz "fishotter." Of course, the Russian may be the original, while the Esthonian is borrowed from it. But we have a large number of Uro-Altaic words in which the first part of the Yakut kyrynas has been preserved. Such are Esthonian käŕp, käŕk, käŕbi, kärt, kardi "weasel," Koibal kyrrol, Ostyak kur, kor, kurru, Kamassinian khürö, Finnish kärppä, Yenisey Ostyak kulap, Kottian hulup "weasel, small ermine," Votyak jürmäk "weasel," Russ. chorek, chorjuk, Pol. tchórz. Čech tchoř "polecat."

We have no means of ascertaining the Bulgar word for the "weasel" at the time the Arabs traded with the peoples along the Volga, but the great variety of corresponding words in Arabic would indicate that it was as variable as the Esthonian word. We have قرقنون qard, قرقنون qard, قرقنون qard, قرقنون qard, قرقنون qard, قرقنون qard, مناسب qard, q

¹ Ahlquist, op. cit., pp. 18, 22.

² R. P. A. Dozy, Dictionnaire détaillé des noms des vêtements chez les Arabes, Amsterdam 1845, p. 287.

³ Dozy, Supplément.

⁴ K. Ahrens, op. cit., p. 62.

⁵ G. Jacob, op. cit., p. 39.

for "ermine" wherever the Arabic language was known. This latter word is in Georgian qarqumi, which, no doubt, is the older form. The forms beginning with qar show the Tatar kara, as is plainly brought out in the plural of Arab. قراقدین qarqadan, which is قراقدین qaraqadan.

Kluge says that Ger. hermelin "ermine" is identical with OHG. harmo, AS. hearma "weasel," that the word is lacking in the other Old Germanic languages, but that on account of Lith. szermů "weasel, ermine" (Lith. sz for Sansk. ś, Indo-Ger. k, hence Ger. h), its pure Germanic origin cannot be doubted. The Fr. hermine, Ital. ermellino are, according to him, derived from LLat. mus armenius, for the older mus ponticus, while Raeto-Romanic carmun proves the existence of an older European carmōn.

Nearly everything is wrong in that statement. OHG. harmo, AS. hearma are not once rendered by "weasel," but always by "shrew-mouse." There is no use adducing a phonetic correspondence in Sanskrit or Indo-Germanic for a word that, like the animal for which it stands, was not found in any southern latitude. The Romance languages cannot have chosen an identical name for the Siberian weasel from another source than did the Germans. Armenia has nothing to do with the weasel, since it is not found there and since the mus ponticus is not a weasel, and the weasel is referred to as the mortal enemy of the mus ponticus.

The first datable mention of harmo is found in the ninth century commentary of Walafrid Strabo to Leviticus, where the Biblical "migale" is glossed "quidam dicunt haramo." Walafrid's gloss is based on a misunderstanding of Rabanus Maurus' definition of

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 38 f.

² Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, p. 341.

"migale." Rabanus says: Mustela enim animal est dolosum et valde furti vacans. Mus propter ventris ingluviem multa quotidie pericula sustinet.... Migale animal est compositum, non natura sed vitae conditione ad deridendum aptum, id est, ut dolos faciat, ea rapiat, ventremque repleat: propter quod compositum nomen accepit ex utroque animali, ex quo haec habet vitia."1 Rabanus did not tell what the "migale" was, because he probably did not know himself. He only expatiated on the composite nature of the word from uvc and γαλη, and so ascribed to it the double nature of a mouse and weasel. Walafrid epitomized the statement in two sentences: "Mygale quidam dicunt haramo.... Mygale dicitur quasi mus gulosus."2 It is obvious that he identified the "mygale" with the γαλη, and so quoted haramo, which he knew from hearsay or from some literary reference. But all the glossators, with one single exception, both in German and Anglo-Saxon, understood Walafrid to identify the haramo with the μυγάλη "the shrew-mouse," and so invariably glossed it by "mygale" or "nitedula."4

The one exception is Codex St. Gall. 295 and its copies,5 where we get the full history of the blunder perpetuated by Walafrid and all the later glossators. Here we have: "Migale quadrupes sed tamen ignotum nobis, aut aliter migale mus longa, haramo, ut quidam uolunt." In Codex St. Gall. 9 it simply says: "Migale. mus longa. haramo. ut quidam uolunt." These codices

¹ Migne, P. L., vol. CVIII, col. 361 f.

² Ibid., vol. CXIV, col. 816.

³ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, pp. 348, 352, vol. III, pp. 35, 53, 55, 201, 247, "quasi gulosus" 320, 444, 445, 446, 453, 626, 627, 674, 685, vol. IV, p. 205.

⁴ Ibid., vol. II, p. 377, vol. III, pp. 36, 674, 692. For the AS. hearma words see J. H. Hessels, A Late Eighth-Century Glossary, p. 154.

⁵ Steinmeyer and Sievers on cit. vol. I. p. 341 ff. H. Hattemer, Denk-

⁵ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. I, p. 341 ff., H. Hattemer, Denkmahle des Mittelalters, St. Gallen 1844-1849, vol. I, p. 224 ff.

⁶ Hattemer, op. cit., p. 227.

⁷ Ibid., p. 233.

are ascribed to the IX. century, and obviously are the sources of Walafrid's statement. The writers of these codices could not identify the "migale," but thought that it might be the "mus longa," which, according to Isidore, is the meaning of "mustela," and so they quoted haramo, "which others identified with the migale." These glossators themselves did not advance beyond the Anglo-Saxon glosses of Codex St. Gall. 254, where under "migale" we have "modicus quasi cattae," "a small animal of the size of a cat," and "igno nisi similis est camelioni. camelion similis est lacertae tamen sub aspectu motat colores."1

It is only in the IX. century that haramo makes its appearance at St. Gall. Now it is significant that it is in the neighborhood of St. Gall, at least in the Raeto-Romanic part of Switzerland, that karmun "ermine, weasel" is preserved. This karmun is obviously a transformation of Arab. قاقم $q\bar{a}qumun$ (Georgian qarqumi), the mercantile term for the "ermine." even as the variation قرض gardun garqadūn has produced Span. garduña. But the Raeto-Romanic karmun, which in Arabic would be, without the article, garm, produced OHG. charmo or harmo. It remained for a long time a puzzle to the Germans, until the Latinized forms "hereminae, arminiae, armerinae pelles" of the XII. century caused them to consider "migale" as the equivalent of "weasel"2 and to adopt harm definitely as the term for the imported "ermine."

Although the wide distribution of the words derived from harm is unquestionably due to the Arabic trade, they could have been derived directly from the Slavic,

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 10. ² See Ducange, sub *megalina*.

such as Čech chramostyl, by apocopation, but there is no evidence that they were gotten in that manner. The introduction of Lith. $szarm\mathring{u}$, to prove the antiquity of the word in Europe, is quite useless, for we have also the longer form szarmonys, which is obviously a corruption of Lett. sermulis, sarmulinsch, itself a corruption of ermelihns, borrowed from the German. The sz is not an ancient correspondent to Ger. h, etc., but Lett. sarmulinsch, Lith. szarmonys are mere popular etymologies, as though the words were derived from Lett. sarma, Lith. szarma "white frost," on account of the color of the ermine.

XXVIII. GLAESUM.

We have in Solinus: "Nam Glaesaria dat crystallum, dat et sucinum, quod sucinum Germani gentiliter vocant glaesum. Qualitas materiae istius summatim antea, Germanico autem Caesare omnes Germaniae oras scrutante conperta: arbor est pinei generis, cuius mediale autumni tempore sucino lacrimat. Sucum esse arboris de nominis capessas qualitate: pinum vero, unde sit gignitum, si usseris, odor indicabit. Pretium operae est scire longius, ne Padaneae silvae credantur lapidem flevisse. Hanc speciem in Illyricum barbari intulerunt: quae cum per Pannonica commercia usu ad Transpadanos homines foret devoluta, quod ibi primum nostri viderant, ibi etiam natam putaverunt. Munere Neronis principis adparatus omnis sucino inornatus nec difficulter, cum per idem tempus tredecim milia librarum rex Germaniae donum ei miserit. Rude primum nascitur et corticosum, deinde incoctum adipe lactentis suis expolitur ad quem videmus nitorem. Pro facie habet nomina: melleum dicitur et Falernum, utrumque de similitudine aut vini aut utique In aperto est quod rapiat folia, quod trahat quod vero medeatur multis vitalium incommodis, medentium docuit disciplina. Et India habet sucinum, sed Germania plurimum optimumque. Quoniam ad insulam Glaesariam veneramus, a sucino coeptum." This passage is based on the following passages in Pliny: "Contraria huic causa crystallum facit, gelu vehementiore concreto. Non aliubi certe reperitur quam ubi maxime hibernae nives rigent, glaciemque

¹ XX. 9-13.

esse certum est, unde nomen Graeci dedere. Oriens et mittit, quoniam Indicae nulla praefertur."1 "Certum est gigni in insulis septentrionalis oceani et ab Germanis appellari glaesum, itaque et ab nostris ob id unam insularum Glaesariam appellatam, Germanico Caesare res ibi gerente classibus, Austeraviam a barbaris dictam. Nascitur autem defluente medulla pinei generis arboribus, ut cummis in cerasis, resina in pinis erumpit umoris abundantia. Densatur rigore vel tempore ac mari, cum ipsum intumescens aestus rapuit ex insulis, certa in litora expellitur, ita volubile, ut pendere videatur atque non sidere in vado. Arboris sucum esse etiam prisci nostri credidere, ob id sucinum appellantes. Pinei autem generis arboris esse indicio est pineus in adtritu odor et quod accensum taedae modo ac nidore flagrat. Adfertur a Germanis in Pannoniam maxime provinciam, et inde Veneti primum, quos Enetos Graeci vocaverunt, famam rei fecere proximique Pannoniae et agentes circa mare Hadriaticum. Pado vero adnexa fabula est evidente causa, hodieque Transpadanorum agrestibus feminis monilium vice sucina gestantibus, maxime decoris gratia, sed et medicinae; creditur quippe tonsillis resistere et faucium vitiis, varie genere aquarum iuxta Alpis infestante guttura hominum. DC Mp. fere a Carnunto Pannoniae abesse litus id Germaniae, ex quo invehitur, percognitum nuper, vivitque eques R. ad id comparandum missus ab Iuliano curante gladiatorium munus Neronis principis. Qui et commercia ea et litora peragravit, tanta copia invecta, ut retia coercendis feris podium protegentia sucinis nodarentur, harena vero et libitina totusque unius diei apparatus in variatione pompae singulorum dierum esset e sucino. Maximum pondus is glaebae attulit XIII librarum. Nasci et in India

¹ XXXVII. 23.

certum est." "Glaesaria a sucino militiae appellata, barbaris Austeravia, praeterque Actania."

The first passage in Pliny is apparently all genuine and was known to the Arabs, with whom it gave rise to a curious error. "Unde nomen Graeci dedere," of course, refers to "crystallum," since Gr. κούσταλλος means "ice," hence "crystal;" but the preceding "glaciemque esse certum est" led the incautious reader to assume that glacies was the Greek word for "crystal." In the German glosses we read "vitrum, electrum glas," and it can be shown that this is derived through an Arabic source. The original meaning of glas was "amber," as stated in Pliny, and only the juxtaposition, "Glaesaria dat crystallum dat et succinum," of Solinus led to the expanded meaning of glas.

In Arabic we do not have the word recorded as "amber," but as "amber-colored." We have غلس galas "the darkness of the last part of the night, when it becomes mixed with the light of dawn, blackness mixed with whiteness and redness, like the dawn." That this is not originally an Arabic word follows from the fact that it was misread غبس gabas "the darkness of the end or last part of the night, whiteness in which is duskiness or dinginess, a color between black and yellow," and غبش gabaš "the remains of darkness mixed with the whiteness of daybreak." Indeed, Qazwīnī says of the amber, "it is a yellow stone which shades into white and sometimes into red." The main idea of Arab. galas is "black passing into white, dawn color," etc. We have this represented in OHG. "glaucus

¹ XXXVII. 42-46.

² IV. 97.

³ In Graff, sub glas.
⁴ K. G. Jacob, Neue Beiträge zum Studium des kaspisch-baltischen Handels im Mittelalter, in Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XLIII, p. 373.

glasa," in Ir. glas "green, blue, grey," Bret. glas "green, blue, white, pale," glisi "sickliness." That glas was not a Germanic word, any more than it was Celtic, appears from the misreading gler, found in all the Germanic languages. We have in OHGerman: "bdellium, ipsius naturae quae gummi gler," where it obviously means "amber." We have also ON. glas and gler "glass," AS. glaes "glass," glaer "amber." It is clear from the variations, both in sound and meaning, that we have here originally a book word. That this gler was originally glas, appears from its survival in OProv. glassa, classa "gum arabic."

But we have far more urgent proofs of the greater antiquity of the Arabic word. Arab. galas means also "daybreak, cockerow," and the verb from it means "to perform the prayer at daybreak." There is also tagallus "calamity, misfortune, تغلس tagallus "calamity" evil." The origin of this derivative is, according to the Arabic lexicographers, explained from the fact that a predatory incursion took place early in the morning. This derivation is justified, for the poet El-Kumait says, "and we gave as a morning-drink to the people of Nejran a troop of horsemen making a raid against them." As this poet lived in Arabia in the early part of the VIII. century, we certainly have here described an Arabic, and not a foreign, custom. Hence the application of the verb غلس galasa to the terrible raids in the West can be only of Arabic origin.

Now, we have OFr. a glais, a glas to represent terror. We have similarly Prov. aglay, as in "gieton cristias a glay, they threw the Christians into terror." That

¹ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. II, p. 716.

² Ibid., vol. I, p. 319.

غلس Lane, sub غلس.

See Godefroy for quotations.

aglay, aglas were originally one word is proved by Valencian aglay, Span. aglayo "sudden terror." From this developed the shorter forms OFr. glas, glais, glage "noise," glai, glay, gloi, clai "noise, confused sounds of joy, joy," OProv. clas "cry, noise," glay "terror, pain, fright," Cat. glay "sudden terror." Aglay was understood to be a compound, giving rise to OFr. ad un glais, ad un glat, OProv. ad un glay, ad un clas "all at once, unanimously." Aglay also gave rise to Cat. esglay, eslay, OProv. esglai "terror, fright, pain," esclat, esglat "terrible fall, noise," esglayer, esglaziar, englaziar "to frighten, torment," hence OFr. esclace, esclache, esclat, esclice "eclat, terrible noise," hence glatir "to make a noise, bark." It is not necessary to go into the enormous mass of derivatives from this family. The almost total absence of such words from Italian shows that they are not of Romance origin. About the eleventh century there arose a confusion with Lat. classicum "the sound of the tuba," which led to a series of LLatin words, glassum, classum etc., which mean "ringing of the bells at a funeral or in alarm," for which one may find ample quotations in Ducange.

In the Germanic languages the Arab. galas became confused with another Arabic word, and led in each language to a totally different development. In Gothic we have aglus "heavy, oppressive," aglō, agliþa "oppression, sorrow," aglaitei "impropriety," which is also found in AS. egle "oppressive," eglan "cause pain." Here, as in the Romance languages, the final s dropped off or gave way to t. But in ASaxon and OHGerman there arose a confusion with the Arabic word which led to the "physician" words.

The Egyptian has rek, lek "to cease, stop, stop pain, cure," hence we get the Coptic words lōž, lōži "cessare, convalescere," lo "desistere, cessare, sanari, convales-

cere." Greek medicine considered the glutinous plaster¹ as one of the chief methods for stopping pain of an inflammatory character, and this, no doubt, goes back to an Egyptian practice. Hence, in Coptic ložt means "adhaerens, agglutinatus," lazte "lutum, coenum." For the Arabic forms we must also consider the Coptic forms ložf in afložf "desistit se, cessavit, convaluit," alok "cessa, abstina te," etc. From these are derived in Arabic, and in Arabic only of all the Semitic languages, and in Arabic only of all the Semitic languages, wila \acute{g} "a thing with which one treats a patient medically or curatively," hence, as an infinitive, "to labor, strive, struggle, contend, prepare for use, treat," alā \acute{g} "strong, robust, barbarian, one who abandons himself to criminal passions, an infidel."

As the ASaxon has the most interesting forms from this Arabic word, I shall treat them first. Arab. 'alāģ we have aglāēca, aglēca, etc., "a miserable being, wretch, miscreant, monster, fierce combatant," aglāc "misery, grief, trouble, sorrow, torment," while Arab. 'ilāģ produces early lāēce "medicus." The OHG. "aigilaihi, eikileihhi, eingilihi falanx," already found in the Keronian glosses, shows how Arab. a'lāģ "a lot of brutal combatants" was misunderstood by the glossator. It was treated as a compound, leih arising from it in the sense of "combat;" for later we find the compounds rangleih "palaestra" and sancleih "carmen," originally "a song contest," hence leih "modus cantandi." Corresponding to AS. lāēce "medicus," we have Goth. lēkeis, OHG. lāhhi, ONorse laeknari "physician," OBulg. lěků "medicine," OIrish líaig "physician." The Arab. $a'l\bar{a}\dot{g}$ is also represented in ASaxon as orlag "war, fate," AS. orlaeg, orleg "fate,"

¹ Claudii Galeni opera omnia, in Medicorum graecorum opera quae exstant, Lipsiae 1826, vol. XI, p. 634 ff.

orlege "war, strife, a place of hostility," OHG. urlac "fate," urliugi "bellum, tempestas," ONorse örlög "fate." Hence we have also the shorter ASaxon form lāc "battle, struggle" by the side of lāc "medicine," and lācan "to swing, wave about, play," Goth. laikan "to jump," laiks "dance," bilaikan "to scorn," OBulg. likŭ "dance," lěkŭ "kind of game."

The secondary meanings, lāc "offering, sacrifice, oblation, gift, favor, service," illustrate the fact, so often met with in the vocabularies, that the connotations, as well as the forms, are frequently due to blunders pure and simple. An old ASaxon vocabulary has "elogia laac." The preceding word is "elogiis verbis," which makes it certain that laac here meant "song," as in OHGerman. Indeed, the Latin vocabularies give "elogia pars carminis, genus versuum, laudes electae." It may even be that Lat. elogia, elegia has something to do with the turning of leih from "combat" to "song." But we shall soon see that even without such an influence the Arabic word led to such a change. The ASaxon glossator, however, misunderstood elogia as eulogia, which I have shown to have had the meaning of "housel, gift," etc.1 Hence, in another part of the same vocabulary he wrote "exenium laac." Thus arose the meanings which are totally strange to the word.

It can now be shown by documentary evidence how the Arabic word which meant "barbarous warriors" came to be so widely accepted in the Germanic languages.

The Morris dance, as practised in England in the sixteenth century, is identical with the *moresque* or *morexe* of France of the fifteenth century, when men dressed in furs and masks performed warlike dances. The name shows conclusively that the game was supposed to be of Arabic origin. Now, we have an account

¹ Contributions, vol. I, p. 205 f.

of the Arabic practice of going to combat in masks in the Pseudo-Turpin. We are told there (cap. XVIII) how Charlemagne's cavalry was met by the enemy's infantry, which advanced "having very much bearded, horned masks, just like demons, and holding in their hands tympanums which they beat mightily." The horses became so frightened that they could not be restrained, and only after they were blindfolded could they be induced to go forward.

When we next hear of the Arab battle mummery, we hear of it as a Gothic game. Constantinus Porphyrogenitus¹ tells how the Gothic game is played at the court during the Christmas holidays. The Master of the Venetian faction stands to the left of the hall, surrounded by musicians. Behind him are two Goths in fur mummery and masks, with shields in their left hands and sticks in their right hands. The same is done by the Green faction, on the right. They rush in with a great noise, striking their shields, and shout Tul tul. They form into battle array and recite the so-called Gothic songs, accompanied by music. The song is antiphonal and abecedarian, consisting of stanzas of four lines, through the letters of the alphabet. At the end of the song, they say, "God make your reign long." Then they again strike their shields with their sticks, and calling Tul tul, make their exits on the run, the Venetians from the left, the Greens from the right. It is not easy to determine the words which the Goths are using. Most of them, like gauzas, the first mentioned. seem to be Latin or corrupt Latin words. It is possible, therefore, that the language used by them is that of the Spanish Mozarabs, that is, Catalan, with an admixture of Arabic words. This is made the more plausible, since the tul tul of the Goths, which they say in the

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ De cerimoniis aulae byzantinae, I. 83, in Corpus scriptorum historiae byzantinae, vol. VIII, p. 381 ff.

However it may be, we see that the war game, as mentioned in Pseudo-Turpin, by the tenth century was changed into a mummery, in which antiphonal songs and dancing played an important part. That such a change already existed at the end of the eighth century is proved by the meanings which Arab. ' $al\bar{a}\dot{q}$ led to, through "a band of sturdy fighters" to "dance, song," etc.

Just as we find OFr. glat developing from glas, and semantically briller from beryllus, 2 so we get in ASaxon glaed "shining, bright" from glas "vitrum." This leads to "glad, cheerful." But we get also, side by side, glisian and glitinian "to glisten, shine," while Gothic has glitmunjan "to shine." It is generally assumed that the latter is derived from a noun glitmuni, even as we have lauhmuni "lightning." But muni does not occur elsewhere, and it is most likely that lauhmuni, though derived from a Germanic root, is formed in analogy with Arab.

Lahabun "flash, flame." Similarly the phonetically very irregular de-

¹ De officiis, cap. VII, in Corpus scriptorum historiae byzantinae, vol. XXXVI, p. 57. ² See p. 114 ff.

rivatives from the Arab. galas are, most likely, due to the fact that here we have only the word as recorded in the dictionaries, whereas the original gloss, representing Lat. glaciem, should have been غلظم or غلظم, as may be seen from غلصم "the epiglottis," unquestionably from an accusative glottim for "glottida," which should have been غلطم galtamun.¹ It may even be that this "glottis" word crowded out the longer word for "daybreak, dawn" from the Arabic vocabulary. Such an Arabic word would have given Goth. glitmun, hence glitmunjan "to shine."

In OHGerman we have glīzemo, gliz, glīzzo "luster," gleimo "gleam, lightning bug," glimo "lamp," glanz, glat "shining," the latter leading to Ger. glatt "smooth." But by the side of AS. glāēm "brightness, splendor" and gleām "a joyous noise," we have glōm "twilight, darkness," which brings us back to the Arabic word

with which we began.

At first sight it might appear as though AS. gliw, glig "glee, joy, minstrelsy, mirth, jesting, drollery," gleóman, glīman "a gleeman, histrio, seurra, mimus, pantomimus," were related to OFr. glay "joy." In reality there is no connection whatsoever between the two. AS. gliw is a ghost word. The Erfurt Glossary² has the lemma: "In mimo ingluuiae quod tm ad mimarios uel mimigraphos pertinet," where the Epinal Glossary³ reads ingliuuae. Of course, the reference is to the voracity of the juggler, but the ASaxon glossator took in gliuuae to be an ASaxon gloss, and in the very Erfurt Glossary wrote "facitiae gliu," thus creating a nominative to what he took to be a dative. It is not necessary to

¹ See also p. 333.

² Amplonianum primum, Goetz, vol. V, p. 367.

O. B. Schlutter, Das Epinaler und Erfurter Glossar, Hamburg 1912, p. 12. Goetz, vol. V, p. 359.

follow up the later uses of gliw, as they all proceed from "in mimo ingluuiae." Now, a fifteenth century vocabulary has the gloss "glimo to be gyle." As "to beguile" means "to amuse," we have here a latinized verb glimo, formed from glīman "a jester." This got into ONorse as glīma "wrestling," glīminn "able, alert as a wrestler." We have also in ONorse gleyma "to make a merry noise," gleymr "pranks, jollity," glaumr "a merry noise, joy, merriment," in which, as in the case of AS. gleām "a joyous noise," the ghost word gliw and derivatives from glas overlap. None of the other Germanic languages have either the ASaxon or the ONorse words, but the Edda has also gly "glee, gladness." We also have glyjari "a gleeman, jester," $gl\bar{y}ja$ "to be gleeful." That the reference in ONorse was to the juggler is proved by the Slavic derivatives from the ONorse glaumr. We have OBulg. glumŭ "scena," Serb. glumac "actor," Russ. glumit "to jest." Ruman. glumë "jest."

In the Philargyrius gloss to Bucolics IV. 44 we have "croceo idest glas. (murice) rubida tinctura vel purpura vel flos," followed by "sandyx idest genus herbae rubeum, cuius radices infantes cum coxerint, ceram tingunt," in one MS. "sandyx genus herbae rossei coloris idest glaus." Here we see that in the VIII. century (for it is clear from the Arabic origin of glas that we are dealing with glosses whose composition, in the form in which they appear, cannot go back any farther), glas still referred to a yellow or reddish, and not to a blue, color. Both the Epinal and Corpus glosses give "sandix weard," which is found in a large number of OHGerman glosses as "sandix uueith, wehte, weuth, wend, waido." All these are due to a

¹ Wright and Wülcker, op. cit., vol. I, col. 586.

² Thilo, op. cit., vol. III², p. 85. ³ Ibid., p. 86.

⁴ Zeitschrift für deutsche Wortforschung, vol. II, p. 230.

confusion of madder with the newly introduced woad in Western Europe.

The Latin translation of Oribasius several times refers to woad: "Isatis herva, quam tinctores herba vitrum vocant et Goti (Gubti) uuisdile (ovisdelem)," "isates vero herba quae a tinctoribus herba bitrus, a Gotis uuisdil (quisdil) dicitur." This has been used as a proof that the Latin translation was made in the beginning of the VI. century, when there were still Goths But we have Arab. عظلم 'izlim, dial. عظلم 'azlam "the expressed juice of a species of tree or plant the color of which is like indigo, green inclined to duskiness, a certain red dye." There can be little doubt that عظلم is a miswritten عظيم 'izim, that is isatim, and is derived from the Greek or Latin. But Arab. whatever its origin, would be written in Latin wisdelem or guisdelem, as found in Oribasius. Therefore, if the reading Goti is correct, we have a reference to Spanish Goths, and the Latin translation of Oribasius. as preserved in the manuscript, is of the VIII. or IX. century. But it may be that the reading Gubti is correct. In that case we have here a reference to a Copt. izatim, otherwise not recorded.

The AS. weard is unquestionably a misreading of wasd, for we have recorded guastum for glastum, and there can be little doubt that the original meaning was, as "sandix" indicates, that of "madder." This leads us at once to the Romance words for "madder." An OHGerman gloss reads "vuarencibla et uarix et coccinum vuarca." to which the editor says that this is the only

¹ Bussemaker and Daremberg, Oeuvres d'Oribase, Paris 1876, vol. VI,

pp. 131, 132.

² Ibid., p. 142, and again pp. 153, 481.

³ Ibid., p. XXV, and V. Rose, Anecdota graeca et graecolatina, Berlin 1870, vol. II, p. 117.

⁴ Steinmeyer and Sievers, op. cit., vol. III, p. 429.

place where "coccinum" and "vuarencibla" refer to "pustules." But the editor is mistaken. We have here three words for "coccinum," vuarencibla, uarix, vuarca, the latter two misreadings of ward, the first a nasalisation on account of the writing ward; and bla et is, in all probability, a corruption of glas. That such must be the case is shown by the Oribasius juxtaposition of vitrum and wisdilem, the first being a retranslation into Latin of glas in the sense of "crystallum." That we have only one word explained is shown by the Capitulare de villis, of the beginning of the IX. century, where we read "waisdo, vermiculo, warentia." Here we have three words, not for separate substances, but for the same substance. "Vermiculus" is a translation of πόππος in Exodus XXXV. 25, hence here it is a translation of "coccinum" of our previous gloss and means "purple, red." We have also a X. century gloss "isatis i. uuas dus unde tingunt persum." "Persum" means originally "dark purple," and the oldest dated document, of the year 1070,3 writes perset. This identifies it with Arab. فرساد firsād "a red dye, redness," and we see that "isatis," like "sandix," "glas," "weid," was used for "dye," generally "red dye," by the side of its legitimate reference to blue. But was dus, guastum, weard arose from a confusion of glas with wisdilem. It must not be forgotten that Arab. عظيم was a misreading of عظيم or عظلم, either of which would lead to a reading wasdum, guastum. As in the case of Arab. glas, which survived in books for an original glsm, so Arab. 'izlm survived for a form izm or iztm. The original two words which disappeared would explain both glastum and wasdum, hence wasd,

MGH., Leges, Capitularia, vol. I, p. 87.
 Goetz, vol. III, p. 583.
 Ducange, sub Persus.

waisd, waid, weard, etc. But it is not at all necessary to have recourse to the original Arabic forms, to explain the vagaries of the vocabularies. This much is certain, beyond a shadow of a doubt. The vocabulary words for "madder," more especially for "woad," are due to the intensive dyeing processes introduced or fostered by the Arabs in the VIII. century. At first the terms were loosely used for "amber color," but later, with the more extensive use of woad for the older madder, and the introduction by the Arabs of indigo from the East, they were more and more applied to colors of which the fundamental color was blue.

"Indigo" is in Arabie نيل $n\bar{\imath}l$, from the Sanskrit $n\bar{\imath}la$ نيل "blue." It is well known that Span. $a\tilde{n}il$ is due to Arab. النيل $aln\bar{\imath}l$, pronounced $an-n\bar{\imath}l$. We have also Arab. النيل $l\bar{\imath}l$, which ultimately leads to "lilac," etc. In the Latin translation of Serapion $n\bar{\imath}l$ is given as dili. With the article it would be aldili or andili, to judge from the form $n\bar{\imath}l$. This andili led to indicum as the name for indigo.

Indicum is mentioned in Pliny: "non pridem adportari et Indicum coeptum est, cuius pretium * VII. Ratio in pictura ad incisuras, hoc est umbras dividendas ab lumine." "Ab hoc maxima auctoritas Indico. Ex India venit harundinum spumae adhaerescente limo. Cum cernatur, nigrum, at in diluendo mixturam purpurae caeruleique mirabilem reddit. Alterum genus eius est in purpurariis officinis innatans cortinis, et est purpurae spuma. Qui adulterant, vero Indico tingunt stercora columbina aut cretam Selinusiam vel anulariam vitro inficiunt. Probatur carbone; reddit enim quod sincerum est flammam excellentis purpurae

¹ P. Guigues, Les noms arabes dans Sérapion "Liber de simplici medicina," Paris 1905, p. 44.

² XXXIII. 163.

et, dum fumat, odorem maris. Ob id quidam e scopulis id colligi putant. Pretium Indico * XX in libras. In medicina Indicum rigores et impetus sedat siccatque ulcera." This is repeated in Isidore, "Indicum in Indicis invenitur calamis, spuma adhaerente limo: est autem coloris cyanei, mixturam purpurae caerulique mirabilem reddens; est alterum genus in purpurariis officinis, spuma in aereis cortinis innatans, quam infectores detrahentes siccant,"2 while Vitruvius has "item propter inopiam coloris indici cretam selinusiam aut anulariam vitro, quod Graeci ισατιν appellant, inficientes imitationem faciunt indici coloris."3 "Ισατιν is a modern correction, for the manuscripts read insallim, insallum, salsim, visallin, visalsin. No further discussion is necessary here, for it is obvious that in the Vitruvius MSS. we have the Arab. عظلم 'izlim, and that the reference to loatis is interpolated and of no value. But the rest of the passage is clearly from Pliny, and so only the latter need be considered. Similarly the words "herba, quam nos vitrum, Graeci isatida vocant, quo infectores utuntur" in Marcellus' De medicamentis liber6 are of no use, since they are almost identical with the interpolated gloss in Oribasius, hence a late interpolation. Isatida is here given correctly, but we have not one MS. of Marcellus, and nothing can be said of the original form of the word. This leaves us with Pliny; but this is identical with Dioscorides: «τοῦ δὲ λεγομένου Ίνδικοῦ τὸ μὲν αὐτομάτως γίνεται, οἱονεὶ ἔκδοασμα ον των Ίνδικων καλάμων το δε βαφικόν έστιν έπανθισμός πορφύρας, έπαιωρούμενος τοις χαλχείοις, δυ αποσύραντες ξηραίνουσιν οί τεγνίται άριστον δε ήγητέον το χυανοειδές

¹ XXXV. 46. ² XIX. 17. 16.

VII. 14. 2.
 Ed. F. Krohn, Lipsiae 1912, p. 169.

⁵ Ed. J. G. Schneider, Lipsiae 1808, vol. III, p. 87. ⁶ XXIII, 10.

τε καὶ ἔγχυλον, λεῖον ἔστι δὲ τῶν ψυχόντων ἐλαφρῶς καὶ ουσούντων φλεγμονάς καὶ οἰδήματα άνακαθαίρει δὲ καὶ καταστέλλει έλκη». That this is a highly interpolated passage follows from the quotation in Paulus Aggineta. who wrote in the VII. century and who said: «μέλαν Ίνδικόν, ώς φησι Διοσχουρίδης, τῶν ψυχόντων ἐλαφρῶς έστι.» and referred the last statement, not to indigo. but to Indian ink, which is not described in the passage in Dioscorides. It is described separately in Pliny as "atramentum indicum," which is correctly explained by Isidore in a separate paragraph under "atramentum." and this coincides with Dioscorides' description of μέλαν. But we have a better proof yet that the whole is an interpolation. Oribasius repeats a sentence from Dioscorides in the Synopsis, «ἰνδικὸν ἄριστόν έστι τὸ χυανοειδές τε καὶ ἔγχυλον λεῖον», but neither in the larger work of Oribasius, nor in the Euporistes, where the lists are much more complete, is there any reference to ἰνδικόν. As the quotation from Dioscorides is in that part of Oribasius which is literatim et verbatim a copy from Dioscorides, it follows that Oribasius knew nothing of indicum, and that the compiler added the interpolated Dioscorides to Oribasius. Now, the first four books of Dioscorides exist in Greek originals of the VI. and VII. centuries, while book V. in which the passage under discussion is found, goes back only to a MS. of the IX. century. It is clear, therefore, that the latter is, to say the least, interpolated. With Dioscorides falls the passage in Pliny.

¹ V. 92.

¹ V. 92.

² XXXV. 43.

³ XIX. 17. 17, 18.

⁴ V. 162. It was also known to the Arabs as Indian ink: "He brought from India a black paint, called *hindī*, which colored the hair to the very roots a brilliant, ineffaceable black" (Mas'ūdī, op. cit., vol. II, p. 203).

⁵ Op. cit., vol. V, p. 79.

⁶ Ed. M. Wellmann, Berolini 1907, vol. I, p. V.

It is interesting to observe that here we have twice a reference to the late introduction of indigo, which fits the VIII. century completely.

Obviously glaesum, Glaesaria of Pliny and Solinus are, to say the least, interpolations. But we have also in Pliny "simili plantagini—glastum in Gallia vocatur— Britannorum coniuges nurusque toto corpore oblitae quibusdam in sacris nudae incedunt. Aethiopum colorem imitantes." Here again glastum is an interpolation, but not only the word,—the whole passage is due to a series of blunders.2 "Plantago" is "plantain" and is nowhere recorded as a dye plant. The interpolator, or forger, knew of the use of glas as a dye, and he mistook the prolific gloss in the vocabularies "plantago arnoglossa" as an equation of plantago and glas. This was, no doubt, done through an Arabic source, since Gr. ἀρνόγλωσσα is frequently recorded in Arabic as "lisen alhamel," literally "tongue of the lamb," where γλῶσσα suggested glas, and glas, glastum was given as the translation of "plantago."

The absolute proof of this is found in Caesar. Here the same story is told in connection with vitrum, where the dye is correct, and the word wrong,—so here the story is again an interpolation. We read:4 "Omnes vero se Britanni vitro inficiunt, quod caeruleum efficit colorem, atque hoc horridiores sunt in pugna aspectu." Pomponius Mela has the same interpolation, "incertum ob decorem, an quid aliud, vitro corpora infecti,"5 and Jordanes has it as "ob decorem nescio an aliam quam ob rem ferro pingunt corpora."6

1 XXII. 2.

³ E. g. in Serapion, op. cit., p. 80. ⁴ De bello gallico, V. 14.

² I leave it to Ph. Barry to treat the myth of the painted Britons in his Celtic Antiquity.

⁵ III. 6. 5. ⁶ II (14).

The last two quotations are clearly of the same origin, and Jordanes' is the older, since it is based directly on a misconception of a passage in Herodianus. Here we read: "Sed ilia et colla (τὰς μὲν λαπάρας καὶ τοὺς τραγήλους)(Britanni)ornant ferro, decus id ac divitiarum indicium existimantes, sicut aurum caeteri barbari: corpora autem compungunt notis variorum animalium imaginibus; quocirca nec induuntur, ne operiant corporis picturas." The forger known as Jordanes misunderstood or purposely misquoted the passage and made the Britons adorn their bodies by tattooing them with iron, whereas Herodianus says that they adorned their bodies with iron, and also tattooed their bodies. Claudianus similarly writes:

"Inde Caledonio velata Britannia monstro, Ferro picta genas, cuius vestigia verrit Caerulus Oceanique aestum mentitus amictus."2

Oudendorp³ correctly interprets the last line as meaning that the woad covers the tattoomarks, as is still the case among the Arabs. This shows that Claudianus does not quote from Herodianus, but from the same source as Jordanes. I have already pointed out suspicious matter in Claudianus,4 whom I take to be an VIII. century forger; and I am convinced that Herodianus is similarly an VIII. century forger, of a piece with Ammianus Marcellinus and Jordanes. However this may be, it is clear that the quotation in Mela is even later than that in Jordanes, and, with Pliny's reference to glastum and Caesar's to vitrum in Britain, belongs to the VIII. century. Strabo knows only of Thracians and Scythians who tattooed themselves, and Vergil

¹ III. 14. 13.

² De consulatu Stilichonis, II. 247 ff.

³ C. Julii Caesaris . . . Commentarii, Lugduni Batavorum, Rotterodami 1737, p. 228.

4 Contributions, vol. III, p. 116.

refers similarly to Agathyrsi and Geloni. To Aeneid IV. 146 "pictique Agathyrsi" Servius writes "populi sunt Scythiae, colentes Apollinem hyperboreum, cuius logia feruntur; 'picti' autem, non stigmata habentes, sed pulcri, hoc est cyanea coma placentes;" but the interpolated Servius reads "picti autem, non stigmata habentes, sicut gens in Britannia, sed pulcri," etc. The reference to British tattooing is an afterthought, due to the account in Herodianus.

It is only natural to find glesum in Tacitus' Germania, since the whole is a base forgery. Here we read:2 "Sed et mare scrutantur ac soli omnium succinum, quod ipsi glesum vocant, inter vada atque in ipso littore legunt. Nec, quae natura quaeve ratio gignat, ut barbaris, quaesitum compertumve. Diu quin etiam inter cetera ejectamenta maris jacebat, donec luxuria nostra dedit nomen: ipsis in nullo usu: rude legitur, informe perfertur, pretiumque mirantes accipiunt. Succum tamen arborum esse intelligas, quia terrena quaedam atque etiam volucria animalia plerumque interlucent, quae implicata humore, mox, durescente materia, cluduntur. Fecundiora igitur nemora lucosque, sicut Orientis secretis, ubi thura balsamaque sudantur, ita Occidentis insulis terrisque inesse, crediderim; quae vicini solis radiis expressa atque liquentia in proximum mare labuntur, ac vi tempestatum in adversa littora exundant. Si naturam succini admoto igne tentes, in modum taedae accenditur, alitque flammam pinguem mox ut in picem resinamve lentescit." et olentem: The chief interest in this passage lies in the fact that it is paraphrased in Cassiodorus' Variae3 and definitely ascribed to Tacitus, thus showing the Variae to be a forgery, as I have long suspected it to be. The passage

¹ Thilo, op. cit., vol. I, p. 490.

² XLV. ³ V. 2.

runs as follows: "Et ideo salutatione vos affectuosa requirentes indicamus sucina, quae a vobis per harum portitores directa sunt, grato animo fuisse suscepta. Quae ad vos Oceani unda descendens hanc levissimam substantiam, sicut et vestrorum relatio continebat, exportat: sed unde veniat, incognitum vos habere dixerunt, quam ante omnes homines patria vestra offerente suscipitis. Haec quodam Cornelio describente legitur in interioribus insulis Oceani ex arboris suco defluens, unde et sucinum dicitur, paulatim solis ardore coalescere. Fit enim sudatile metallum, teneritudo perspicua, modo croceo colore rubens, modo flammea claritate pinguescens, ut, cum in maris fuerit delapsa confinio, aestu alternante purata vestris litoribus tradatur exposita." Here the chief interest lies in the words "modo croceo colore rubens, modo flammea claritate pinguescens," which give an exact definition of Arab. غلس galas.

¹ MGH., Auct. Ant., vol. XII, p. 143 f.

XXIX. THE HERCYNIAN FOREST.

The Hercynian Forest, like the animals contained therein, is a myth. It all grew out of a misunderstood passage in Aethicus, who himself was none too honest in his quotations. This forger, in his Third Book, described the nations which were not mentioned in the Old Testament. He placed in the north the nation of the Griphes, from whom came the Saxons. They lived in the Hyperborean mountains, where the Tanais begins. This region produces no useful fruit, though it has useful beasts more numerous than are the monkeys and panthers elsewhere. Here crystal, amber, precious stones, and gold are found. Here are also the aves hircaniae and fibrae, whose wings are of a great size and shine in the night: "habet aves hircanias et fibras quarum pinnae nocte mirae magnitudinis luceant."

The aves hircaniae are mentioned in Pliny: "in Hercynio Germaniae saltu invisitata genera alitum accepimus, quarum plumae ignium modo conluceant noctibus." Of the Hercynian Forest Pliny knows nothing very real. It occurs in the same passage with the Istuaeones, which has already been shown to be an interpolation, "introrsus vero nullo inferius nobilitate Hercynium iugum praetenditur," is barely mentioned in another passage, and is explained at length in an impossible story, which is of a piece with the zoology of Caesar's Hercynian forest: "Another marvel,

¹ H. Wuttke, Die Kosmographie des Istrier Aithikos, Leipzig 1853, cap. XXXI.

² X. 132. ³ See my *Contributions*, vol. III, p. 216 ff.

⁴ IV. 100. ⁵ IV. 80.

too, connected with the forests! They cover all the rest of Germany, and by their shade augment the cold. But the highest of them all are those not far distant from the Chauci already mentioned, and more particularly in the vicinity of the two lakes there. The very shores are lined with oaks, which manifest an extraordinary eagerness to attain their growth: undermined by the waves or uprooted by the blasts, with their entwining roots they carry vast forests along with them, and, thus balanced, stand upright as they float along, while they spread afar their huge branches like the rigging of so many ships. Many is the time that these trees have struck our fleets with alarm. when the waves have driven them, almost purposely it would seem, against their prows as they stood at anchor in the night, and the men, destitute of all remedy and resource, have had to engage in a naval combat with a forest of trees! In the same northern regions. too, is the Hercynian Forest, whose gigantic oaks, uninjured by the lapse of ages, and contemporary with the creation of the world, by their near approach to immortality surpass all other marvels known. Not to speak of other matters that would surpass all belief. it is a well-known fact that their roots, as they meet together, upheave vast hills; or, if the earth happens not to accumulate with them, rise aloft to the very branches even, and, as they contend for the mastery, form arcades, like so many portals thrown open, and large enough to admit of the passage of a squadron of horse."1

In Solinus the *Hercynian Forest* is used only to introduce the light-birds, on whom the author expatiates at greater length: "saltus Hercynius aves gignit, quarum pennae per obscurum emicant et interlucent, quamvis obtenta nox denset tenebras; unde homines loci illius

¹ XVI. 5-6.

plerumque nocturnos excursus sic destinant, ut illis utantur ad praesidium itineris dirigendi, praeiactisque per opaca callium rationem viae moderentur indicio plumarum refulgentium."1

Isidore mentions the light-birds twice,—once, summarily as coming from Germany, where also the wild beasts come from, and here not a word is said about the Hercynian Forest; and again, where the same etymology is given as in Pliny: "Terra dives virum ac populis numerosis et inmanibus; unde et propter fecunditatem gignendorum populorum Germania dicta est. Gignit aves Hyrcanias, quarum pinnae nocte perlucent; bisontes quoque feras et uros atque alces parturit. Mittit et gemmas, crystallum et sucinum, callaicum quoque viridem, et ceraunium candidum;"2 "Hercyniae aves dictae ab Hercynio saltu Germaniae, ubi nascuntur, quarum pinnae adeo per obscurum emicant ut quamvis nox obtenta densis tenebris sit, ad praesidium itineris dirigendi praeiactae interluceant, cursusque viae pateat indicio plumarum fulgentium."3 In the first case we have an agreement with Aethicus, in the second, with Pliny, and the total absence of any other mention of the Hercunian Forest in Isidore is fatal for its existence before the VII. century.

One will in vain look elsewhere for the aves hircaniae, except as quotations from the above authors. No such birds exist in previous literature, though we have any amount of references to fire-flies. are known in Latin as cicindela, and of them Pliny says: "lucentes vespere per arva cicindelae—ita appellant rustici stellantes volatus, Graeci vero lampyridas."4 Aethicus, by using fibra together with aves hircaniae shows that he had in mind the fire-fly: for in the Latin-

² XIV. 4. 4. ³ XII. 7. 31. ⁴ XVIII. 250.

Arabic vocabulary fibra is translated by حيط وقضب, and means not only "thread," but also "the true dawn, light entering from an aperture in the wall, atoms that are seen in the rays of the sun entering from an aperture in a wall into a dark place when the sun is Aethicus got his aves hircaniae from Arab. ابو حعران 'abū ģi'rān, which, in the Latin-Arabic glossary, is given as the equivalent of "cicindela." The word is not originally Arabic, and is, most likely, Copt. ab yrom, literally, "beetle of fire." The Arabic word was by Aethicus transformed to aves hircanae, most likely under the influence of "Hyrcanius saltus" and of Arab. حرق haraq "kindle, ignite, burn." whether Aethicus did, as usual, indulge in such composite etymology or not, fibra sufficiently identifies the aves hircaniae as "fire-motes" or "fire-flies." Once these were identified as birds, we find the word incorporated in Isidore as aves Hyrcaniae, only later to be changed to aves Hercyniae, when such a Hercynian Forest was created in literature for Germany.

The Hercynian Forest is partly due to the existence of a wild Hyrcania in Asia, but chiefly to a series of formal blunders. In the chapter on the autolops it is shown how the Arab. ἐκάβαταṭαπ "the thickly growing trees" produced the tree ἐρεκίνα, etc., of the Greek text. This is given in the Latin Physiologus as "et est ibi frutex, qui dicitur graece herecina, hericine." The marvelous story of the autolops, which has played such an important rôle in locating more impossible animals in the same region, led to the extension of herecina, hericina as a region of densely growing trees where all kinds of animals are found.

¹ A. Karnyeev, op. cit., p. 353.

In Caesar the Hercynia silva is mentioned only in connection with the animals found in it. This in itself shows that the reference is not genuine, for in the previous five books, where the Germans are mentioned again and again, not a word is said about such a forest. He claims to get the information from Eratosthenes and other Greeks who called it Orcynia: "Ac fuit antea tempus, cum Germanos Galli uirtute superarent, ultro bella inferrent, propter hominum multitudinem agrique inopiam trans Rhenum colonias mitterent. Itaque ea, quae fertilissima Germaniae sunt, loca circum Hercuniam siluam, quam Eratostheni et quibusdam Graecis fama notam esse uideo, quam illi Orcyniam appellant, Volcae Tectosages occupauerunt atque ibi consederunt; quae gens ad hoc tempus his sedibus sese continet summamque habet iustitiae et bellicae laudis opinionem. Nunc quidem in eadem inopia, egestate patientiaque Germani permanent, eodem uictu et cultu corporis utuntur: Gallis autem prouinciarum propinquitas et transmarinarum rerum notitia multa ad copiam atque usus largitur, paulatim adsuefacti superari multisque uicti proeliis ne se quidem ipsi cum illis uirtute comparant. Huius Hercyniae siluae. quae supra demonstrata est, latitudo nouem dierum iter expedito patet: non enim aliter finiri potest, neque mensuras itinerum nouerunt. Oritur ab Heluetiorum et Nemetum et Rauracorum finibus rectaque fluminis Danubii regione pertinet ad fines Dacorum et Anartium: hinc se flectit sinistrorsus diuersis ab flumine regionibus multarumque gentium fines propter magnitudinem adtingit; neque quisquam est huius Germaniae, qui se laut audissel aut adisse ad initium eius siluae dicat, cum dierum iter LX processerit, aut, quo ex loco oriatur, acceperit: multaque in ea genera ferarum nasci constat, quae reliquis in locis uisa non

sint; ex quibus quae maxime differant ab ceteris et memoriae prodenda uideantur, haec sunt."

According to Caesar the Hercynian Forest was originally occupied by the Volcae and Tectosages, two Gallic tribes. This is also told by Livy, who gives an apocryphal story of Ambigatus, who sent his nephew Segovesus to the Hercynian Forest, which was given to him by lot: "Ambigatus is fuit, virtute fortunaque cum sua tum publica praepollens, quod in imperio eius Gallia adeo frugum hominumque fertilis fuit, ut abundans multitudo vix regi videretur posse. magno natu ipse iam exonerare praegravante turba regnum cupiens Bellovesum ac Segovesum sororis filios, inpigros iuvenes, missurum se esse in quas dii dedissent auguriis sedes ostendit: quantum ipsi vellent numerum hominum excirent, ne qua gens arcere advenientes Tum Segoveso sortibus dati Hercynei saltus: Belloveso haut paulo laetiorem in Italiam viam di dabant. Is. auod eius $\mathbf{e}\mathbf{x}$ populis abundabat. Bituriges, Arvernos, Senones, Aeduos, Ambarros, Carnutes, Aulercos excivit." Livy has come down in a very bad text, and Madvig's Emendationes Livianae³ give in more than 700 pages only a part of the puzzling errors contained in it. The story of Segovesus is purposeless as it stands, since Livy is only dealing with the Gauls of Italy. Segovesus is, no doubt, the same as Segimerus of the Arminius episode, a person that played an important genealogical part in it.4 The transformation from a German to a Gaul will become clear later on.

The Hercynian Forest is due to a confusion with the Hyrcanian Forest of Julian. The history of Ammianus, in the form in which it has come down to us, is not

¹ VI. 24-25.

² V. 34. 2-5.

³ Hauniae 1877.

⁴ See my Contributions, vol. III, p. 173.

genuine, but must be based on some previous work, for many of the incidents connected with Julian are certainly historical and not due to the Julian romance. Ammianus, in connection with Julian, describes Hyrcania at great length, and especially emphasizes the wildness of the country and its animals: "Interius uero pergenti occurrunt Hyrcani, quos eiusdem nominis adluit mare. Apud quos, glebae macie internecante sementes, ruris colendi cura est leuior. sed uescuntur uenatibus, quorum uarietate inmane quantum exuberant. Ubi etiam tigridum milia multa cernuntur, feraeque bestiae plures, quae cuiusmodi solent capi commentis, dudum nos meminimus rettulisse. Nec ideo tamen stiuam ignorant, sed seminibus teguntur aliquae partes, ubi solum est pinguius, nec arbusta desunt in locis habilibus ad plantandum, et marinis mercibus plerique sustentantur." does not specifically mention Julian in the Hyrcanian Forest, we get this relation in the Second Syriac Romance of Julian's Death. I shall give here such additional material² as bears upon our subject. King Sapor sent his Mobed Arimihr to Jovian, asking the latter to spare the Persians, since he had a prophetic knowledge that Jovian would ultimately be conquered by the Persians. The Möbed (chief magistrate) formed a fast friendship with Jovian and told him of Sapor's preparation for war. Arimihr became a Christian. Julian waged war upon Sapor and conquered all the country from the Tigris to Bet Aramaye. Sapor sent a delegation to Julian, asking him to give up all the land between the Tigris and the sea, but Julian answered that, had it not been for the pagan holidays, he would even then be in Hurcania.

¹ XXIII. 6. 50-51.

²Th. Nöldeke, Ueber den syrischen Roman von Kaiser Julian, in Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XXVIII, p. 270 ff.; see my Contributions, vol. III, p. 144 ff.

Hyrcania was originally a country in the Caucasus and etymologically identical with 'Ιδήρια.1 This was known in antiquity, and so in the Liber Generationum the Hyrcanians are derived from Heber.2 But in the minds of the ancients Hyrcania was any wild country in Asia. Hence Pliny mentioned Hyrcani in Macedonia:3 Strabo places some Hyrcanians in Lydia;4 Servius identifies Hyrcania with Arabia;5 Hurcanius is to the right of the Taurus mountain.6 If Julian himself says that he roamed through the Hercynian Forest, we unquestionably have a textual corruption for Hyrcanian Forest: "But as for me. I had to do with Celts and Germans and the Hercynian Forest (δουμός Έρχύνιος) from the moment that I was reckoned a grown man."7 That he had in mind the Alanians in the Caucasus follows from his reference to the Hercynian Forest as far worse than Thessalian Tempe or Thermopylae or Taurus: την Έσχυνίαν ύλην έθέσμεν, καὶ είδον έγω χρημα έξαίσιον ίδου γοῦν σοι θαρρών έγω έγγυωμαι, μήποτε ὧφθαι τοιοῦτον μηδέν, ὅσα γε ἡμεῖς ἴσμεν, ἐν τῆ Ῥωμαίων ἀλλ' εἴτε τὰ Θετταλικὰ Τέμπη δύσβατα νομίζει τις εἴτε τὰς Θεομοπύλας είτε τὸν μέγαν καὶ διωλύγιον Ταῦρον, ἐλάχιστα ίστω χαλεπότητος ένεκα ποὸς τὸ 'Εοκύνιον ὄντα».8

In Strabo the account of Germany begins with the settlement of the Marsi in Germany. I have already shown how this interpolation arose.9 Then we hear of the Hercunian Forest and the Suevi and Quadi who

¹ J. Marquart, Beiträge zur Geschichte und Sage von Eran, in Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. XLIX, p. 632 f.

² Force lini, sub Hyrcani.

³ V. 120.

⁴ XIII. 4. 13. ⁵ Aen. IV. 367. ⁶ Pliny, V. 99.

⁷ Μισοπώγων, p. 359. F. C. Hertlein, Iuliani Imperatoris quae supersunt . . . omnia, Lipsiae 1875, vol. I, p. 608 f.

⁹ See my Contributions, vol. III, p. 161.

There is also Buiaemum, the realm of live there. Maroboduus, who took his Marcomanni there and subjugated the Lui, Zumi, Butones, Mugilones, Sibini, and the Suevian Semnones. According to him the inhabitants of the Hercynian Forest are Germanic Suevi. They do not cultivate the ground, and live from day to day, like nomads. The Hercynian Forest is dense and impenetrable, but in the inside there is an inhabitable place. Here the Danube begins. is also another large forest, Gabreta, beyond which is the Hercynian Forest, held by the Suevi: « Eou δὲ τὰ μὲν ποῶτα μέρη τῆς χώρας ταύτης τὰ πρὸς τῷ 'Ρήνῳ μέχρι των έκβολων από της πηγης αρξαμένοις σχεδόν δέ τι καὶ τοῦτ' ἔστι τὸ ἐσπέριον τῆς χώρας πλάτος, ἡ ποταμία πασα ταύτης δὲ τὰ μὲν είς τὴν Κελτικὴν μετήγαγον Ῥώμαΐοι, τὰ δ' ἔφθη μεταστάντα είς τὴν ἐν βάθει χώραν, καθάπες Μαςσοί λοιποί δ' είσιν όλίγοι και των Σουγάμδοων μέρος· μετά δὲ τοὺς παραποταμίους τάλλά ἐστιν ἔθνη τὰ μεταξύ τοῦ 'Ρήνου καὶ τοῦ Αλβιος ποταμοῦ, δς παράλληλός πως έκείνω ρεῖ πρὸς τὸν ώκεανόν, οὐκ έλάττω χώραν διεξιών ήπερ έχεινος είσι δε μεταξύ χαι άλλοι ποταμοί πλωτοί (ὧν ἐν τῷ ᾿Αμασία Δροῦσος Βρουκτέρους κατεναυμάγησε). οέοντες ώσαύτως από νότου πρός βορραν και τὸν ώκεανόν έξῆρται γὰρ ἡ χώρα πρὸς νότον καὶ συνεχῆ ταῖς Αλπεσι ποιεί ράχιν τινὰ πρὸς ἕω τεταμένην, ὡς ἄν μέρος οὖσαν τῶν "Αλπεων καὶ δὴ καὶ ἀπεφήναντό τινες οὕτως διά τε την λεχθεισαν θέσιν καὶ διὰ τὸ μην αὐτην ύλην ἐκφέρειν. ού μην έπὶ τοσοῦτό γε ύψος ἀνίσχει τὰ ταύτη μέρη ένταῦθα δ' έστὶ καὶ ὁ Έρκύνιος δρυμὸς καὶ τὰ τῶν Σοήδων ἔθνη, τὰ μὲν οἰκοῦντα ἐντὸς τοῦ δουμοῦ, καθάπεο τὰ τῶν Κοαδούων ἐν οίς έστι καὶ τὸ Βουίαιμον, τὸ τοῦ Μαροβόδου βασίλειον, είς δν έχεινος τόπον άλλους τε μετανέστησε πλείους και δή καὶ τοὺς όμοεθνεῖς έαυτῷ Μαρκομμάνους ἐπέστη γὰο τοῖς πράγμασιν οὖτος ἐξ ἰδιώτου μετὰ τὴν ἐκ Ῥώμης ἐπάνοδον νέος γὰο ἦν ἐνθάδε καὶ εὐεργετεῖτο ὑπὸ τοῦ Σεβαστοῦ, έπανελθών δε έδυνάστευσε και κατεκτήσατο πρός οίς είπον

Λουίους τε, μέγα ἔθνος, καὶ Ζούμους καὶ Γούτωνας καὶ Μουγίλωνας καὶ Σιβινούς καὶ τῶν Σοήβων αὐτῶν μέγα έθνος, Σέμνωνας πλην τά γε των Σοήβων, ώς έφην, έθνη τὰ μεν εντός οἰκεῖ, τὰ δὲ ἐκτὸς τοῦ δουμοῦ, ὅμορα τοῖς Γέταις· μέγιστον μεν οὖν τὸ τῶν Σοήδων ἔθνος· διήκει γὰο ἀπὸ τοῦ Ὑρήνου μέχοι τοῦ Ἄλδιος· μέρος δέ τι αὐτῶν καὶ πέραν τοῦ "Αλβιος νέμεται, καθάπες Εομόνδοςοι καὶ Λαγκό-βαρδοι νυνὶ δὲ καὶ τελέως εἰς τὴν περαίαν οὖτοί γε ἐκπε-πτώκασι φεύγοντες κοινὸν δ' ἐστὶν ἄπασι τοῖς ταύτη τὸ περὶ τὰς μεταναστάσεις εὐμαρὲς διὰ τὴν λιτότητα τοῦ βίου καί διὰ τὸ μὴ γεωργεῖν μηδὲ θησαυρίζειν, άλλ' έν καλυδίοις οἰκεῖν, ἐφήμερον ἔχουσι παρασκευήν τροφὴ δ' ἀπὸ τῶν θρεμμάτων ἡ πλείστη, καθάπερ τοῖς Νομάσιν, ὥστ' έκείνους μιμούμενοι τὰ οἰκεῖα ταῖς άρμαμάξαις ἐπάραντες, όπη αν δόξη, τρέπονται μετά των βοσκημάτων». 1 «'Ο δὲ 'Ερχύνιος δουμός πυχνότερός τέ ἐστι καὶ μεγα-λόδενδρος ἐν χωρίοις ἐρυμνοῖς, χύκλον περιλαμβάνων μέγαν, ἐν μέσω δὲ ἴδουται χώρα καλῶς οἰκεῖσθαι δυναμένη, περί ής εἰρήκαμεν έστι δὲ πλησίον αὐτῆς ή τε τοῦ "Ιστρου πηγή καὶ ἡ τοῦ 'Ρήνου, καὶ ἡ μεταξὸ ἀμφοῖν λίμνη καὶ τὰ ἔλη τὰ ἐκ τοῦ 'Ρήνου διαχεόμενα· ἔστι δ' ἡ λίμνη τὴν μὲν μερίμετρον σταδίων πλειόνων ή τριακοσίων, δίαρμα δὲ έγγις διακοσίων έχει δε και νησον, ή έχρησατο δομητηρίω Τιβέριος ναυμαχῶν πρὸς Οὐινδολικούς νοτιωτέρα δ' έστι τῶν τοῦ "Ιστρου πηγῶν και αὕτη, (και ὁ Ἑρκύνιος δουμός,) ώστ' ἀνάγκη τῷ ἐκ τῆς Κελτικῆς ἐπὶ τὸν Ἑοκύνον δουμὸν ἰόντι ποῶτον μὲν διαπερᾶσαι τὴν λίμνην, ἔπειτα τὸν Ἰστρον, εἶτ' ἤδη δι' εὐπετεστέρων χωρίων ἐπὶ τὸν δουμὸν τὰς ποοβάσεις ποιεῖσθαι δι' ὀροπεδίων ἡμερήσιον δ' ἀπὸ τῆς λίμνης προελθών ὁδὸν Τιβέριος εἶδε τὰς τοῦ "Ιστοου πηγάς ποοσάπτονται δὲ τῆς λίμνης ἐπ' ὀλίγον μὲν οἱ 'Ραιτοί, τὸ δὲ πλέον 'Ελουήττιοι καὶ Οὐινδολικοὶ καὶ ή Βοΐων ἐρημία· μέχρι Παννονίων πάντες, τὸ πλέον δ' Ἑλουήττιοι καὶ Οὐινδολικοί, οἰκοῦσιν ὀροπέδια. 'Ραιτοὶ

¹ VII. 1. 3.

δὲ καὶ Νωρικοὶ μέχρι τῶν 'Αλπίων ὑπερβολῶν ἀνίσχουσι καὶ πρὸς τὴν Ἰταλίαν περινεύουσιν, οἱ μὲν Ἰνσούβροις συνάπτοντες, οί δὲ Κάρνοις καὶ τοῖς περί τὴν 'Ακυληίαν χωρίοις ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἄλλη ὕλη μεγάλη Γαβρῆτα ἐπὶ τάδε τῶν Σοήβων, ἐπέκεινα δ' ὁ Ἑρκύνιος δουμός ἔκεται δὲ

κάκείνος ὑπ' αὐτῶν».1

The description of the Hercynian Forest is identical with that of Hyrcania by Ammianus. The story of Maroboduus is not given in detail in Strabo, any more than in Velleius Paterculus.2 But we get a full account in Tacitus' Annales and Germania, from which it appears clearly that we are dealing here with the romance of Julian's death: "sic Sugambros in deditionem acceptos, sic Suebos regemque Maroboduum pace obstrictum."3 "Nec multo post Drusus in Illyricum missus est, ut suesceret militiae studiaque exercitus pararet; simul iuvenem urbano luxu lascivientem melius in castris haberi Tiberius seque tutiorem rebatur utroque filio legiones obtinente. Sed Suebi praetendebantur auxilium adversus Cheruscos orantes. Nam discessu Romanorum vacui externo metu, gentis adsuetudine et tum aemulatione gloriae arma in se verterant. Vis nationum, virtus ducum in aequo: set Maroboduum regis nomen invisum aput populares, Arminium pro libertate bellantem favor habebat. Igitur non modo Cherusci sociique eorum, vetus Arminii miles, sumpsere bellum; sed e regno etiam Marobodui Suebae gentes, Semnones ac Langobardi. defecere ad eum. Quibus additis praepollebat, ni Inguiomerus cum manu clientium ad Maroboduum perfugisset, non aliam ob causam quam quia fratris filio iuveni patruus senex parere dedignabatur. Deriguntur acies, pari utrimque spe, nec, ut olim aput

³ Ann. II. 26.

¹ VII. 1. 5. ² II. 109, 119, 129.

Germanos, vagis incursibus aut disiectas per catervas: quippe longa adversum nos militia insueverant sequi signa, subsidiis firmari, dicta imperatorum accipere. Ac tunc Arminius equo confustrans cuncta, ut quosque advectus erat, reciperatam libertatem, trucidatas legiones, spolia adhuc et tela Romanis derepta in manibus multorum ostentabat; contra fugacem Maroboduum appellans, proeliorum expertem, Hercyniae latebris defensum, ac mox per dona et legationes petivisse foedus, proditorem patriae, satellitem Caesaris, haud minus infensis animis exturbandum quam Varum Quintilium interfecerint. Meminissent modo tot proeliorum; quorum eventu et ad postremum eiectis Romanis satis probatum, penes utros summa belli fuerit. Neque Maroboduus iactantia sui aut probris in hostem abstinebat, sed Inguiomerum tenens, illo in corpore decus omne Cheruscorum, illius consiliis gesta quae prospere ceciderint, testabatur: vaecordem Arminium et rerum nescium alienam gloriam in se trahere. Quoniam tres vacuas legiones et ducem fraudis ignarum perfidia deceperit, magna cum clade Germaniae et ignominia sua, cum coniunx, cum filius eius servitium adhuc At se duodecim legionibus petitum duce Tiberio inlibatam Germanorum gloriam servavisse, mox condicionibus aequis discessum; neque paenitere quod ipsorum in manu sit, integrum adversum Romanos bellum an pacem incruentam malint. His vocibus instinctos exercitus propriae quoque causae stimulabant, cum a Cheruscis Langobardisque pro antiquo decore aut recenti libertate et contra augendae dominationi certaretur. Non alias maiore mole concursum neque ambiguo magis eventu, fusis utrimque dextris cornibus. Sperabaturque rursum pugna, ni Maroboduus castra in colles subduxisset. Id signum perculsi fuit: et transfugiis paulatim nudatus in Marcomanos concessit misitque legatos ad Tiberium oraturos auxilia.

Responsum est non iure eum adversus Cheruscos arma Romana invocare, qui pugnantis in eundem hostem Romanos nulla ope iuvisset. Missus tamen Drusus, ut rettulimus, paci firmator."1 "Dum ea aestas Germanico plures per provincias transigitur, haud leve decus Drusus quaesivit inliciens Germanos ad discordias utque fracto iam Maroboduo usque in exitium insisteretur. Erat inter Gotones nobilis iuvenis nomine Catualda, profugus olim vi Marobodui et tunc dubiis rebus eius ultionem ausus. Is valida manu fines Marcomanorum ingreditur corruptisque primoribus ad societatem inrumpit regiam castellumque iuxta situm. Veteres illic Sueborum praedae et nostris e provinciis lixae ac negotiatores reperti, quos ius commercii, dein cupido augendi pecuniam, postremum oblivio patriae suis quemque ab sedibus hostilem in agrum transtulerat. Maroboduo undique deserto non aliut subsidium quam misericordia Caesaris fuit. Transgressus Danuvium, qua Noricam provinciam praefluit, scripsit Tiberio non ut profugus aut supplex, sed ex memoria prioris fortunae: nam multis nationibus clarissimum quondam regem ad se vocantibus Romanam amicitiam praetulisse. Responsum a Caesare tutam ei honoratamque sedem in Italia fore, si maneret: sin rebus eius aliut conduceret, abiturum fide qua venisset. Ceterum aput senatum disseruit non Philippum Atheniensibus, non Pyrrhum aut Antiochum populo Romano perinde metuendos fuisse. Extat oratio qua magnitudinem viri, violentiam subiectarum ei gentium, et quam propinquus Italiae hostis, suaque in destruendo eo consilia extulit. Et Maroboduus quidem Ravennae habitus, si quando insolescerent Suebi, quasi rediturus in regnum ostentabatur."2 "Igitur inter Hercyniam silvam Rhenumque et Moenum amnes Helvetii, ulteriora Boii, Gallica utraque

¹ II. 44-46.

² II. 62-63.

gens, tenuere. Manet adhuc Boihemi nomen, signatque loci veterem memoriam, quamvis mutatis cultoribus." "Iuxta Hermunduros Varisti, ac deinde Marcomani et Quadi agunt. Praecipua Marcomanorum gloria viresque, atque ipsa etiam sedes, pulsis olim Boiis, virtute parta."2

Arminius is Julian, and as the Möbed Arimihr united with Jovian, so Maroboduus unites with Inguiomerus, the uncle of Arminius, against Arminius. Mobed is written in Syriac مندوريا mūptå, المناسبة mūhbtå, in Arabic $m\bar{u}b\bar{i}dz$. One of these suggested Maroboduus, which was possibly a German name. larly, اعمد Bet Garma produced Boihemum, through احرمة Bagarma, which was read احرمة Baharma. No doubt Arimihr, the name of the Möbed. is responsible for Inquiomerus.

The ultimate aim of Julian is Hyrcania, and Arminius besieges the last stronghold of Maroboduus in the Hercynian Forest. Boilemum suggested that the Gallic Boi had settled there before, and thus arose the Gauls in the Hercynian Forest. The Periegesis, which is wrongly ascribed to Priscian, has the following passage: "Saltibus Hercyniis Germania subiacet atrox. | Haec tergo similis taurino dicitur esse, | Et pascit volucres, mirum fulgentibus alis, | Queis ducibus noctu cernuntur flexa viarum." Here the forger not only got his account of the fire-birds out of Aethicus, Isidore, and Pliny, but from Pliny's reference to Hyrcania near the Taurus, which we found also correlated in the same way in Julian, came to the absurd conclusion that Germany resembled a bull's hide. It may be he thought of the "atrox bubalus" in the Hercynian Forest.

¹ Ger. XXVIII. ² XLII.

³ 275-278.

In a considerable number of cases it is not possible to ascertain whether the *Hercynian Forest* is the one in Germany, hence of late origin, or the generalized Hyrcanian Forest, somewhere in the East, at the head of the Tanais River. The latter is certainly the case in Dionysius of Halicarnassus, who says that Germany extends "as far as" the *Hercynian Forest*. The same is true of many of the other passages given in Holder, and in some of the cases the *Hercynian Forest* may very early have been located somewhere in Germany, as seems to be the case in Ptolemy, if the references are not interpolations. But the elaboration of the *Hercynian Forest* with its fabulous beasts and the Arminian episode all unquestionably belong to the VIII. century.

¹ XIV. 1. 2. ² Op. cit.

	*	

WORD INDEX

Alb. = Albanian. — Alt. = Altaic. — Annam. = Annamese. — Arab. = Arabic. — Aram. = Aramaic. — Armen. = Armenian. — Armor. = Armoric. — AS. = Anglo Saxon. — Assyr. = Assyrian. — Ares. = Avestan. — Babil. = Babilonian. — Bos. = Basque. — Behar = Behar. — Bel. = Belujistan. — Berber = Berber. — Bil. = Billin. — Boh. = Bohemian. — Bret. = Breton. — Čag. = Čagatay. — Cant. = Cantonese. — Car. = Carib. — Catal. = Catalan. — Čech = Čech. — Chald. = Chaldaic. — Chin. = Chinese. — Copt. = Coptic. — Corn. = Cornish. — Dan. = Danish. — Djeb. Djebel Nefusa. — Drav. = Dravidian. — Dutch = Dutch. — Egyp. = Egyptian. — Eng. = English. — Esth. = Esthonian. — Eth. = Ethiopic. — Fin. = Finnish. — Fr. = French. — Fuch. = Fuchau. — Gacl. = Gaelic. — Gal. = Galihi. — Geor. = Georgian. — Ger. = German. — Gipsy = Gipsy. — Gondi = Gondi. — Goth. = Gothic. — Gr. = Greek. — Guar. = Guarani. — Guj. = Gujarati. — Heb. = Heb. =

Heb.	aalim, 40.
Assyr.	a $\bar{a}lu$, 45.
Copt.	$ab\chi r \delta m$, 345.
LLat.	abelindea, 307.
Kab.	aberkan, 298.
Kab.	aberguq, 298.
Gr.	άβερτή, 27.
Arab.	' $ab\bar{\imath}r$, 221.
Arab.	'abkār, 212.
Arab.	'ablaqu, 297, 298.
LLat.	ablinda, 77, 307.
Arab.	abnus, 181.
Arab.	' $abq\bar{a}hu$, 330.
Arab.	' $ab\bar{u}$ ģi' $r\bar{a}n$, 345.
Kab.	aburiul, 54.
OSpan.	acabdar, 216.
LLat.	accaptare, 216.
Ital.	accattare, 216.
Gr.	dχalvηs, 63.
	•

l Gr.	άχαιν, άχιν, 62.
Gr.	dχαιίνη, 63.
OFr.	achapter, 216.
LLat.	achasium, 215.
Fr.	achat, 216.
OPort.	achatar, 216.
Copt.	achates, 131.
Fr.	acheter, 216.
LLat.	achlin, 62.
OHG.	achsel, 308.
WRuss.	$a\chi vicer$, xxxii.
Arab.	' $ad\bar{a}f\bar{\imath}t$, 308.
Span.	adaragadante, 32.
Span.	adarga, 21.
Span.	adarga de ante, 32.
AS.	adexa, 308.
AS.	adexe, 308.
OHG.	adexta, 308.
LLat.	adis, 77.
131366.	aa <i>t</i> 0,

LLat.	adquisitum, 216.	Copt.
Arab.	'adrafūt, 308.	Arab.
Arab.	adzāfīt, 308.	Eth.
OHG.	aedehse, 308.	LLat.
Gr.	'Αειαμείν, 40.	LLat.
Gr.	άφέλετρον, 37.	Syr.
AS.	aferian, 30.	Gr.
Russ.	Afiny, xxxii.	OPro
Copt.	afložf, 327.	Catal
Kann.	agalte, 112.	LLat.
Kann.	agarte, 112. agate, 112. age, 113.	Span.
Kann.	agate, 112.	LLat.
Drav.	age, 113.	LLat.
Tuar.	agezzeram, 309.	Catal
Tom.	agezzerana, 309.	Aram Arab.
Drav.	agi, 113.	
AS.	aglāc, 327.	Lang.
AS.	aglāēca, 327. aglais, 325. aglaitei, 326.	Heb.
OFr.	agiais, 525.	
Goth.	agianiei, 520.	Arab.
Prov.	aglay, 325.	Arab. Gr.
Span.	agiayo, 520.	Fr.
AS.	aglayo, 326. aglēca, 327. aglipa, 326.	
Goth.	aglō, 326.	Span.
Goth.	agive 326	Ger. (Span.
Goth.	aglus, 326. ἀγρῶσται, 63.	Eng.
Gr.	ah, 4.	LLat.
Egyp.	ahe, ahau, 4.	Gr.
Copt. Goth.	aibr, 31.	Prov.
Gr.	αίναστοις 41	Span.
OHG.	aľγαστρος, 41. aigilaihi, 327.	Rum.
Tat.	ak-as, 316.	Ger. (
Eth.	$Ak\bar{u}t\bar{i}s$, 144.	Fr.
Fr.	alabranda, 307.	Ger.
Arab.	a'lāń 327	Eng.
Arab.	'alāá 327.	LLat.
Copt.	a'lāģ, 327. 'alāģ, 327. alak, 192.	Arab.
Juk.	alatschawò, 315.	Chald
Arab.	alazward, 118.	Arab.
Lat.	alce, 59.	LLat.
LLat.	alcinus, 65.	OPers
Ital.	Algarre, li.	LLat.
Tat.	älik, 45.	LLat.
Arab.	älik, 45. alnīl, 335. alok, 327.	LLat.
Copt.	alok, 327.	Lat.
Ger.	Altar, xxxv.	Arab.
Assyr.	$\bar{a}lu$, 45.	Arab.
LLat.	alumen jacmini, 191.	Arab.
LLat.	amaricare, 234.	Arab.
LLat.	amaricatio, 235.	Egyp.
Slav.	ambar, 237.	Tat.
LLat.	amparum, 251.	Arab.
LLat.	ambarrum, 237.	± Egyp.

ameri, 306. 'amina, 233. 'amīre, 306. ammaricare, 237. ammaricatio, 234. āmqatā, 303. ἀμπαατῶ, 330. ampar, 234. ampara, 236. v. amparamentum, 233. amparar, 234. amparare, 234. amparicare, 234. amparo, 236. 'amr 'iza, lvii. 'amūn, 233. amund, 233. 'anaq, 231. anāqā, 303. 'anbār, 220. anbar, 221. \dot{a} νθόλοψ, 72. andouiller, 72. añil, 335. (dial.) anke, 231. ante, 32. antler, 72. antula, 72. άδρτης, 27. apara, 236. aparcjo, 31. apěra, 237. . apera, 231.
(dial.) Apetāk, xxxviii.
appareil, 32.
Appetit, xxxviii.
apricot, 298.
. aptalops, 72.
. 'aqhbain, 62.
dl. aqmětā, 303.
. 'aqrāt, 193.
arconus, 77. arcomus, 77. ariz, 243. armenius, 318. armerinae, 320. arminiae, 320. arnoglossa, 338. 'arsah, 313. 'arūs, 312. 'arūsah, 313. 'arūsāllah, 313. āš, 309. as, 315.āsa**d**, 286. asem, 305.

Djeb.	ašcrmešan, 309.	LLat.	baros, 29.
Copt.	ašira, 309.	Gr.	βάρος, 27.
Gr.	ἀσκάλαβος, 303.	LLat.	barra, 237.
Gr.	άσκαλαβώτης, 303.	LLat.	barrare, 237.
Gr.	ασκίτης, 173.	LLat.	barrium, 237.
Gr.	άσπιδόεσσα, 239.	Boh. (Am	
Gr.	άστερία, 307.	LLat.	bascalda, 201.
Gr.	άστερίων, 307.	Lat.	bascauda, 200.
LLat.	atalos, 72.	LLat.	bascaula, 201.
OProv.	atieirar, 31.	Fr.	bassin, 202.
OFr.	atir, 31.	LLat.	bastauda, 201.
OFr.	atirance, 31.	Gr.	βατράχιος, 175.
OFr.	atourer, 31.	Boh.(Am.) batrflaj, lxxix.
Arab.	'ātsā', 309.	LLat.	battulus, 98.
LLat.	attula, 72.	MHG.	bederich, xxxviii.
OProv.	aturar, 31.	OFr.	belette, 312.
Arab.	'atwār, 31.	Sing.	belisippiya, 141.
LLat.	austulapsa, 72.	Sing.	bellā, 141.
LLat.	autala, 72.	Sing.	bello, 141.
LLat.	autalops, 70.	Syr.	belūrā, 118.
LLat.	autula, 71, 72.	Welsh	benn, 206.
Aves.	avaretā, 27.	LLat.	benna, 203, 205.
OFr.	avarie, 30.	OFr.	bennastre, 205.
OFr.	aver de pois, lxxvi.	Taino	beori, 35.
LLat.	avere, 28.	OHG.	berala, 119.
Ital.	avere di peso, lxxvi.	Gr. OHG.	βέρβερι, 141.
AS.	averian, 30.	Eth.	berelon, 119.
LLat.	averium ponderis, lxxvi.	OFr.	Bergānā, 143. beric, 120.
Lat.	averta, 27.	OFr.	bericle, 120.
LLat.	axin, 63. azermum, 309.	ŎНĠ.	beriil, 120.
Tamaz.	'azlam, 333.	ŏHG.	beriillis, 120.
Arab.	agiam, soo.	LLat.	berillus, 118.
Lat.	bacalusiae, 197.	OFr.	berique, 120.
Port.	bacia, 202.	OHG.	berle, 119.
Span.	bacin, 202.	OHG.	berlin, 119.
Arab.	Bagarma, 355.	LLat.	berolus, 118.
Pers.	bāḥah, 219.	Kab.	bergec, 298.
Arab.	bakr, 140.	OHG.	berre, 119.
Boh. (Am		Kab.	berrik, 298.
Sulu	bālan, xlii.	Syr.	berūlḥå, 118.
Arab.	balaqun, 297.	Gr.	βήρυλλος, 117.
Arab.	balbal, 140.	OHG.	berulon, 119.
Arab.	ballūr, 118.	LLat.	berulus, 118.
Arab.	balqa'u, 297.	Lat.	beryllus, 114.
Span.	banasta, 205.	MLG.	beschudden, 223.
OFr.	banastre, 205.	MLG.	beschutten, 223.
Annam.	bang, xliv.	Fr.	bésicles, 120.
Fr.	banne, 205.	AS.	besparrian, 237.
LLat.	barcanda, 201.	Syr.	Bet Garma, 355.
LLat.	Bardaicus, 200.	OFr.	bezique, 120. bianco, 298.
LLat.	bardocucullus, 200.	Ital.	biler 140
OFr.	baricle, 120.	Arab.	bikr, 140. bilaikan, 328.
Yak.	barin, 110.	Goth.	onaman, 020.

WORD INDEX

Arab.	billaur, 118.
AS.	binn, 205.
LLat. Chald. LLat.	birillus, 118.
Chald.	birla, 118.
LLat.	bisentus, 99.
Slav.	bisir, 178. bisito, 99.
LLat.	bisito, 99.
Gr.	βισκίνα, 201.
LLat.	biso, 99.
Gr.	βίσοντες, 99.
LLat.	bisontus, 99.
LLat.	bissontus, 99. Bistones, 99.
Lat. Gr.	Blain 00
LLat.	βlσων, 99. bitrus, 333.
AS.	blac 298
AS.	blac, 298. blaec, 298.
AS.	blāēcba. 298.
ONorse	blakkr. 298.
OHG.	blanc, 298.
Span.	blanco, 298.
Fr.	blanda, 307.
OHG.	blaec, 298. blāēcþa, 298. blakr, 298. blanco, 298. blanda, 307. bleih, 298. bleikr, 298. boisos, 99. βόλυθος, 86, 99.
ONorse	bleikr, 298.
LLat.	boisos, 99.
Gr.	
Mong.	bolot, xli.
Gr.	βόνασος, 86.
LLat.	bonassus, 99.
Tat. AS.	bör, 35.
Ab.	bord deaca, 220.
Gipsy	bori, 312. börk, 35.
Osm. AS.	borohaca, 220.
Čag.	
Cag.	börük, 35.
Čag. MGr.	borun, 110.
MGr.	βοστερίτζα, 308.
MGr.	βόστερος, 308.
Gr.	βουβάλως, 8. βούβαλις, 101.
Gr.	βουποσίνος 90
Boh (Am) braidl lxxix
Ital.	βουτραγος, 99) brajdl, lxxix. brillare, 120.
OHG.	Brille, 120. briller, 120, 330. brillo, 120.
Fr.	briller, 120, 330.
Ital.	brillo, 120.
Berber	brk, 298.
Dan.	brud, 311.
Fr.	brune, 307.
Lat.	huhalus 4
Lat.	bubulus, 4.
Boh. (Am	.) bučr, lxxix.
Koib.	bubulus, 4) bučr, lxxix. bulan, 47. bulat, xli.
Russ.	outat, XII.

bülàt, xli. Mong. bulqun, 297. bulūr, 118. būr, 47. bür, 35. burdah, 200. Arab. Arab. Karag. Tat. Arab. Eng. burel, xlv. Mong. buriát, xli. Chald. būrlā, 118. Eng. burnet, xlv. Čag. burun, 110. burun, 110. Osm. Pers. busad, 178. busadz, 178. busrah, 178. Arab. Arab. LLat. byrillus, 118.

Gael. cabrach, 46. Catal. cabrites, lvi. Catal. cabrits, lvi. Prov. cabritz, lvi. cabrum, lvi. LLat. caepulla, xxxvii. Lat. Armor. caerell, 312. caerobolim, 290. caladrius, 291. calapag, 220. camara, 92. LLat. LLat. Arab. Lat. Catal. camelot, lviii. LLat. camerus, 92. LLat. camirus, 93. çamorza, 94. Lad. Ital. camoscio, 94. camoss, 94. çamouć, 94. Pied. Lad. Prov. camous, 94. LLat. camox, 94. Ital. camozza, 94. Port. camuça, 94. Lad. camuotsch, 94. Port. camurça, 94. LLat. camurus, 92. OFr. camus, 94. Ital. camuso, 94. camusus, 93. LLat. camuza, 94. Span. Annam. can, xliv. OProv. canabes, liv. cantaro, lxxvi. Ital. Annam. can-thang-bang, xliv. Ital. capretto, Ivi. LLat. capricium, lv. Gael. car, 46.

car, 22.

Sansk.

Bel.	Tot	čar, 23.	OProv.
Bel. carak, 22. Lat. carbasus, lv. OProv. carbe, liv. Armor. Gael. carbh, 46. Lap. Aves. careman, 22. LLat. Cosset. carm, 22. LLat. Kurd. éarm, 22. Lat. Sansk. carma, 22. Lat. Rae. Rom. carmun, 318. LLat. Corn. carow, 46. LLat. Prov. carp, lvii. Ital. Rot. Carm, d6. LLat. Corn. <td< td=""><td>Tat.</td><td></td><td>Lat.</td></td<>	Tat.		Lat.
Lat. carbasus, Iv. Armor. Gael. carbh, 46. Lap. Aves. éareman, 22. LLat. Osset. carm, 22. LLat. Sansk. carma, 22. Lat. Sansk. carma, 22. Eng. Rae. Rom. carow, 46. LLat. Prov. carp, lvii. Ital. Prov. carpita, lvii. LLat. Prov. carpita, lvii. LLat. Prov. carpita, lvii. LLat. Prov. carpita, lvii. LLat. Prov. carp, lvii. Ital. Lat. Carp, lvii. Ital. Prov. caru, 46. LLat. Welsh caru, 46. LLat. Span. Caraabel, 114. Arab. Lat. Centurius, 38.<		caray, 22.	
OProv. carbe, liv. Gael. carbh, 46. Aves. čareman, 22. Lap. Osset. carm, 22. Lat. Kurd. čarm, 22. Lat. Sansk. carma, 22. Lat. Rae.Rom. carmun, 318. Lat. Corn. carow, 46. Lat. Prov. carp, lvii. Ital. Prov. carpida, lvii. LLat. Bret. caru, 46. LLat. Osset. carun, 22. Arab. Corn. caruu, 46. LLat. Welsh carw, 46. Heb. Span. cascabel, 114. Arab. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Syr. Lat. centunclum, 38. Syr. Lat. cervarius, 66. Arab. Gr. χαλφότης, 303. Heb. Gr. χαλφότης, 303. Heb. Gr. χαλφότης, 31ii. Pers. Gr. χαλφότης, 31ii. Pers. Gr. χαλφότης, 31ii. Pers. Gr. χαλφότης, 31ii. Pers. Gr. χαλφότης, 31. Pers. Gr. χαλφότης, 31. Pers. Gr. χαμαιλέως, 32. Egyp.	-	carak, 22.	LLat.
Gael. carbh, 46. Aves. carman, 22. Osset. carm, 22. Kurd. carm, 22. Sansk. carma, 22. Rae.Rom. carmun, 318. Corn. carow, 46. Prov. carp, lvii. Prov. carpita, lvii. Bret. caru, 46. Osset. carun, 22. Corn. carow, 46. Welsh carw, 46. Welsh carw, 46. Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. centunclum, 38. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervus, 46. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Gr. χαλνψ, xliii. Gr. χαλνψ, xliii. Arab. Cor. χαλωφότης, 303. Gr. χαμαιλέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χat, 174. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χemt, 306. Copt. χόπς, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Egyp. xrm, 306. Copt. χόπς, 196. Russ. γνεστινία, χαχii. WRuss. χνεστινία, χαχii. Fr. ciboule, χαχxii. WRuss. χνεστινία, χαχii. Fr. ciboule, χαχxii. Gr. Cafos, 45. ULat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. Unter that. LLat. Llat. LLat. clafos, 45. Dutch			Armor.
Aves.	~ .	carbe, IIV.	
Osset. carm, 22. LLat. Kurd. ćarm, 22. Lat. Sansk. carma, 22. Lat. Rae. Rom. carwan, 318. LLat. Corn. carow, 46. LLat. Prov. carpita, lvii. Ltat. Bret. caru, 46. LLat. Osset. carun, 22. Arab. Corn. caruu, 46. LLat. Welsh carw, 46. Heb. Span. cascabel, 114. Arab. LLat. cendatum, l. Arab. LLat. centancius, xlv. Syr. Lat. centancius, slv. Syr. Lat. centancius, slv. Syr. Lat. centancius, slv. Syr. Lat. certunelum, 38. Syr. Lat. cervarius, 66. Arab. Lat. cervarius, 66. Arab. Gr. xaλαβάτης, 303. Heb. Gr. xaλαβάτης, 303. Pers. Gr. xalan		caron, 40.	
Rurd. carm, 22. Sansk. carma, 22. Rae.Rom. carmun, 318. Corn. carow, 46. Prov. carp, lvii. Prov. carpita, lvii. Bret. caru, 46. Osset. carun, 22. Corn. caruu, 46. Welsh carw, 46. Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. centunclum, 38. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervus, 46. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλωβόδον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβόδον, xliii. Arab. LLat. chama, 53. Gr. χαλωβόδον, xliii. Arab. LLat. chama, 53. Gr. χαλωβώτης, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaα, 53. Egyp. χet, 174. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Fr. Copt. χδης, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. chamostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. chamostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. chamostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. Copt. χδης, 196. Russ. χνοστυπα, xxxii. WRuss. χνοστυπα, xxxii. WRuss. χνοστυπα, xxxii. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. WRuss. χνοστυπα, xxxii. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. Gr. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. Dutch		careman, 22.	LLat.
Sansk. carma, 22. Rae.Rom. carmun, 318. Corn. carow, 46. Prov. carp, lvii. Prov. carpita, lvii. Bret. caru, 46. Osset. carun, 22. Corn. cascabel, 114. LLat. LLat. LLat. cendatum, l. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. cervuis, 46. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Gr. χαλωψ, xliii. Gr. χαμαιλώνη, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χat, 174. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χemt, 306. Egyp. χemt, 306. Copt. χόπs, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Egyp. xrm, 306. Copt. χόπs, 196. Russ. χνατιμ, χαχii. WRuss. χνατιμ, χαχii. WRuss. χνατιμα, χαχii. Fr. ciboule, χαχii. Gr. Cafos, 45. ULat. clafos, 45. ULat. Clat. OFr. claf, 326.		carm, 22.	LLat.
Rae.Rom. carmin, 318. Corn. carow, 46. Prov. carp, lvii. Bret. caru, 46. Osset. carun, 22. Corn. carow, 46. Welsh carw, 46. Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. centanius, xlv. Lat. centunclum, 38. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervus, 46. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλοβδου, xliii. Gr. χαλοβδου, xliii. Gr. χαλομέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χδης, 196. Russ. chorik, 317. Russ. chorik, 317. Russ. χνατιμk, xxxii. WRuss. χνατιμk, xxxii. WRuss. χνατιμα, xxxii. WR		carm, 22.	Lat.
Corn. carp, 46. Prov. carp, lvii. Prov. carpita, lvii. Bret. caru, 46. Osset. carun, 22. Corn. caruu, 46. Welsh carw, 46. Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. cendatum, l. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. cervarius, 66. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. charmostyl, 317. Egyp. χπη, 306. Copt. χδης, 196. Copt. γδης, 196. Co		carma, 22.	Eng.
Prov. $carp, 1v11$. Prov. $carpita, 1v1i$. Bret. $caru, 46$. Osset. $carun, 22$. Corn. $caruu, 46$. Welsh $carw, 46$. Span. $cascabel, 114$. LLat. $cendalum, 1$. Arab. LLat. $centenarius, xlv$. Lat. $centunclum, 38$. Lat. $cervarius, 66$. Gr. $\chi a \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \tau \eta s, 303$. Gr. $\chi a \lambda b \dot{\beta} \delta i \omega r, \chi \delta i ii$. LLat. $chama, 53$. Gr. $\chi a \lambda \omega \dot{\beta} \dot{\omega} \omega r, \chi \delta ii$. LLat. $chama, 53$. Gr. $\chi a \lambda \omega \dot{\beta} \dot{\omega} \omega r, \chi \delta ii$. Arab. LLat. $chama, 53$. Gr. $\chi a \lambda \omega \dot{\beta} \dot{\omega} \omega r, \chi \delta ii$. Arab. LLat. $chama, 53$. Gr. $\chi a \mu a \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} r, \chi \delta ii$. Arab. LLat. $chama, 53$. Gr. $\chi a \mu a \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} r, \chi \delta ii$. Arab. LLat. $chama, 53$. Gr. $\chi a \mu a \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} r, \chi \delta ii$. Arab. LLat. $chamois, 94$. OHG. $charmo, 320$. Egyp. $\chi at, 174$. Arab. Egyp. $\chi at, 174$. Arab. Egyp. $\chi at, 174$. Chin. $ch' e - tseu, \chi t i x$. LLat. $chiroboli, 290$. Goth. Tat. LLat. $chiroboli, 290$. Goth. Tat. LLat. $chiroboli, 317$. Syr. Russ. $chorik, 317$. Syr. Cech. $chamostyl, 317$. Egyp. $\chi rm, 306$. Gr. WRuss. $\chi vartuk, \chi x x i i$. WRuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x i i$. WRuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x i i$. WRuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. WRuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. WRuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. WRuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URuss. $\chi vest, \chi x x x i i$. URus. $\chi vest, \chi x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x $. carmun, 516.	LLat.
Prov. carpida, Ivii. LLat. Bret. caru, 46. LLat. Osset. carun, 22. Arab. Corn. carun, 46. Heb. Welsh carw, 46. Heb. Span. cascabel, 114. Arab. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Syr. Lat. certunclum, 38. Syr. Lat. cervurius, 66. Lat. Lat. cervurius, 66. Lat. Lat. cervurius, 66. Lat. Lat. cervurius, 66. Lat. Lat. Arab. Heb. Gr. χαλφβότης, 303. Heb. Gr. χαλωβότης, 303. Heb. Gr. χαλωβότης, 303. Pers. Gr. χαλωβότης, 303. Pers. Gr. χαλωβότης, 303. Pers. Gr. χαλωβότης, 303. Pers. Gr. χαμαλωβώτης, 307. Span. Fr. chamois, 94. Arab. OHG. chamois, 94		carow, 40.	
Bret. caru, 46. Osset. carun, 22. Corn. caruu, 46. Welsh carw, 46. Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. cendatum, l. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. centunclum, 38. Lat. cervus, 46. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλωβώτος, xliii. Gr. χαλωβώτος, xliii. Gr. χαλωβώτος, xliii. Gr. καμαιλέως, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. Chat. chard, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Egyp. χet, 174. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χο̄ns, 196. Russ. chorel, 317. Russ. chorel, 317. Cech. chramostyl, 317. Cech. chramostyl, 317. Cech. chamostyl, 317. Cech. chamosty		carp, ivii.	
Osset. carún, 22. Arab. Corn. caruu, 46. Heb. Welsh carw, 46. Heb. Span. cascabel, 114. Arab. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Syr. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. Lat. cervarius, 66. Arab. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Heb. Gr. χαλωβότων, xliii. Pers. Span. Fers. Span. Egyp. χατ. 174. Karab. Chd. charmo, 320. Egyp. Egyp. χet. 174. Ger. Chin.			
Corn. caruu, 46. Welsh carw, 46. Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. centunclum, 38. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervus, 46. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Arab. LLat. chama, 53. Gr. χαμαιλέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaû, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Fr. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Copt. χο̄ns, 196. Copt. γο̄ns, 196. Copt.		caran 99	
Welsh carw, 40. Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. centantum, l. Arab. LLat. centunclum, 38. Syr. Lat. cervus, 46. Lat. cervus, 46. Lat. cervus, 46. CGr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Heb. Pers. Arab. LLat. chama, 53. Pers. Gr. χαλωβόιον, xliii. Arab. Pers. Arab. Pers. Arab. Arab. Pers.		ogram 46	Arab.
Span. cascabel, 114. LLat. cendatum, l. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. cerving, 66. Lat. cerving, 66. Lat. cerving, 66. Lat. cerving, 303. Gr. χαλαβάτης, 303. Gr. χαλαβάτης, 303. Gr. χαλωψ, xliii. Gr. χαλωψ, xliii. Gr. χαλωψ, xliii. Gr. χαμαιλών, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaα, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Egyp. χemt, 306. Fr. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χῶπς, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνατιν, xxxii. WRuss. χνατιν, xxxii. WRuss. χνατινη, xxxii. WRuss. χνατινη, xxxii. WRuss. χνατινη, xxxii. ULat. cirema, 194. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. ULat. clafos, 45. OFr. claf, 326. Arab. Syr. Lat. Arab. Heb. Ger. Ger. LLat. cirema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. Dutch		carn 46	** ,
LLat. centatum, l. LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. centunclum, 38. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervus, 46. Gr. χαλαβότης, 303. Gr. χαλνβδίον, xliii. Gr. χαλνβδίον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβότης, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaŭ, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Egyp. χet, 174. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χōns, 196. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνατιν, xxxii. UGer. LLat. cirema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. clai, 326. Arab. Syr. Syr. Fers. Arab. Heb. Ger. Ltat. Cger. Tat. Heb. Ger. Lat. LLat. Ciceria, 194. LLat. LLat. Ciceria, 194. LLat. Cofr. Coft. Coft. Coft. Carioule, xxxvii. Cor. Cor. Cor. Cor. Cor. Cor. Cor. Cor	~	cascabel 114	1
LLat. centenarius, xlv. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervarius, 46. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλλήδιον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβόιον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβόιον, xliii. LLat. chama, 53. Gr. χαμαλέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaū, 53. Egyp. χet, 174. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χο̄ns, 196. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνεστιν, xxxii. ULat. cirema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. claf, 326. Syr. Lat. Arab. Heb. Fr. Ger. Fr. Ger. Ltat. cizeria, 194. LLat. Cizeria, 194. LLat. Clafos, 45. Dutch			
Lat. certunctum, 38. Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervary, 46. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. Gr. χαλωβότον, xliii. LLat. chama, 53. Gr. χαμαλέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaū, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Fr. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χōns, 196. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνατινέ, χαχιί. ULat. cirema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. claf, 326. Syr. Lat. Arab. Heb. Fr. Ger. Ger. LLat. Corena, 194. LLat. LLat. Cizeria, 194. LLat. Cofr. Coft. Carbotic Gr. LLat. Clafos, 45. Dutch		contenarius xlv.	
Lat. cervarius, 66. Lat. cervus, 46. Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλνής χιίii. Gr. χάλνψ, χιίii. Llat. chama, 53. Gr. χαμαιλέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. Llat. chaŭ, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Egyp. χet, 174. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. Llat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χῶπs, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνατιν, χαχιί. WRuss. χνατιν, χαχιί. WRuss. χνατιν, χαχιί. WRuss. χνατινη, χαχιί. Fr. ciboule, χαχνίι. Fr. ciboule, χαχνίι. Llat. cirema, 194. Llat. cizema, 194. Llat. clafos, 45. OFr. claf, 326.		centunclum, 38.	1 ~
Lat.			
Gr. χαλαβώτης, 303. Gr. χαλύβδιον, xliii. Gr. χάλνψ, xliii. Gr. χάλνψ, xliii. LLat. chama, 53. Gr. χαμαιλέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaū, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Egyp. χet, 174. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. χmm, 306. Copt. χōns, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. WRuss. χνατιν, xxxii. WRuss. χνεεt, xxxii. WRuss. χνεεt, xxxii. WRuss. χνετινα, xxxii. WRuss. χνετινα, xxxii. WRuss. χνοτινα, xxxii. WRuss. χνοτινα, xxxii. UGer. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. claī, 326. Heb. Pers. Arab. Pers. Arab. Begyp. Fr. Ger. LLat. Ger. Tr. Spyn. Ger. Heb. Ger. LLat. Criema, 194. LLat. LLat. Clafos, 45. Dutch			
Gr. χάλνψ, xliii. Pers. Gr. χάλνψ, xliii. Pers. Gr. χάλνψ, xliii. Pers. Gr. χαμαιλέων, 307. Span. Fr. chamois, 94. Arab. CHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. Egyp. χαt, 174. Egyp. χemt, 306. Fr. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. Ital. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Goth. Copt. χōns, 196. Heb. Copt. χōns, 196. Heb. Russ. chorek, 317. Syr. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Syr. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Syr. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Heb. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνατtuk, xxxii. Heb. WRuss. χνατtuk, xxxii. LGer. WRuss. χνοτυπα, xxxii. LGer. WRuss. χνοτυπα, xxxii. Tat. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. Gr. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. claf, 326. Dutch		ναλαβώτης, 303.	
Gr. χάλνψ, xliii. Arab. LLat. chama, 53. Pers. Gr. χαμαιλών, 307. Span. Fr. chamois, 94. Arab. CHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χat, 174. Arab. Egyp. χemt, 306. Fr. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. Ital. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Goth. Egyp. χmm, 306. Tat. Copt. χῶπs, 196. Heb. Russ. chorek, 317. Syr. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Syr. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνατιν, χαχιί. Heb. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χνατιν, χαχιί. Lamut WRuss. χνεst, χαχιί. Lamut WRuss. χνεst, χαχιί. LGer. WRuss. χνοτιπα, χαχιί. Tat. Fr. ciboule, χαχνίι. Gr. LLat. cirema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. CFr. clafi, 326. Dutch		γαλύβδιον, χΙΙΙΙ.	
LLat. chama, 53. Pers. Gr. χαμαλέων, 307. Span. Fr. chamois, 94. Arab. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. Egyp. χαt, 174. Arab. LLat. chaū, 53. Span. Egyp. χemt, 306. Fr. Egyp. χet, 174. Ger. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. Ital. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Goth. Egyp. χmm, 306. Tat. Copt. χōns, 196. Heb. Russ. chorek, 317. Syr. Cech. chramostyl, 317. Syr. Egyp. χrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. χvartuk, xxxii. Heb. Gyr. Lamut WRuss. χvartuk, xxxii. LGer. WRuss. χvortuna, xxxii. Ital. WR. Gr. Gr. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
Gr. χαμαιλέων, 307. Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. χαt, 174. LLat. chaū, 53. Egyp. χemt, 306. Egyp. χet, 174. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Goth. Copt. χōns, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Syr. Cech. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. χrm, 306. WRuss. χνατιν, χαχίι. WRuss. χνατιν, χαχίι. WRuss. χνοτιν, χαχίι. WRuss. χνοτινη, χαχίι. Fr. ciboule, χαχνίι. WRus. χνοτινη, χαχίι. Fr. ciboule, χαχνίι. Gr. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. claf, 326. Span. Arab. Egyp. Arab. Span. Arab. Egyp. Arab. Span. Arab. Span. Arab. Span. Arab. Egyp. Arab. Span. Arab. Egyp. Arab. Span. Arab. Egyp. Arab. Span. Fr. Ger. Htal. Ger. Htal. Goth. Gyr. Heb. Gr. Gr. Gr. LGer. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. Cofr. claf, 326.			
Fr. chamois, 94. OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. xat, 174. LLat. chaû, 53. Egyp. xemt, 306. Egyp. xet, 174. Chin. ch'e-tseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. xmm, 306. Tat. Copt. xōns, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. xrm, 306. WRuss. xvartuk, xxxii. WRuss. xvest, xxxii. WRuss. xvortuna, xxxii. WRuss. xvortuna, xxxii. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. URus. cirema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. claf, 326. Arab. Egyp. Gor. Ltal. Lemut Heb. Gr. Gr. Gr. Gr. Gr. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. clafos, 45. Dutch		χαμαιλέων, 307.	
OHG. charmo, 320. Egyp. Egyp. χat, 174. Arab. LLat. chaû, 53. Span. Egyp. χet, 174. Ger. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. Ital. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Goth. Egyp. χmm, 306. Tat. Copt. χōns, 196. Heb. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Syr. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Syr. Egyp. xrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. xveartuk, xxxii. Heb. Egyp. xrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. xvest, xxxii. MHG. WRuss. xvest, xxxii. LGer. WRuss. xvortuna, xxxii. Tat. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. Gr. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. Fr. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. claf, 326. Dutch	Fr.	chamois, 94.	
Egyp. \(\chia_{a} \) (1/4. \\ \text{LLat.} \) \(\chia_{a} \) (53. \\ \text{Egyp.} \(\chia_{e} \) (306. \\ \text{Egyp.} \(\chia_{e} \) (306. \\ \text{Egyp.} \(\chia_{e} \) (1/4. \\ \text{Chin.} \(\chia_{e} \) (5/2 (80.) \\ \text{LLat.} \(\chia_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{Egyp.} \(\chim_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{Copt.} \(\chia_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{Copt.} \(\chia_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{Russ.} \(\chia_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{WRuss.} \(\chia_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{ULat.} \(\chi_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{Ulat.} \(\chia_{e} \) (3/2 (80.) \\ \text{Ulat.}	OHG.	charmo, 320.	73
LLat. chaū, 53. Egyp. xemt, 306. Egyp. xet, 174. Chin. ch'e-lseu, xlix. LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. xmm, 306. Copt. xōns, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. xrm, 306. WRuss. xvartuk, xxxii. WRuss. xvest, xxxii. WRuss. xvest, xxxii. WRuss. xvonar, xxxii. WRuss. xvonar, xxxii. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. LGer. WRus. cizema, 194. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. clai, 326. Span. Fr. Span. Fr. Ger. Hall dat. Hall dat. Ger. Tat. Heb. Gr. Heb. Gr. Gr. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. Dutch	\mathbf{Egyp} .	χat , 174.	
Egyp. \(\chi_{ed} \) \(\chi_	LLat.	$cha\bar{u}, 53.$	Span.
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		χemt , 306.	Fr.
LLat. chiroboli, 290. Egyp. xmm, 306. Copt. xōns, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. xrm, 306. WRuss. xvartuk, xxxii. WRuss. xvest, xxxii. WRuss. xvorar, xxxii. WRuss. xvorar, xxxii. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. LGer. WRus. cirema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. clai, 326. Goth. Tat. Goth. Tat. Heb. Gr. Heb. Gr. Lamut MHG. Hyb. Gr. Car. Lamut LGer. Tat. Fr. Ciboule, xxxvii. Fr. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. Dutch	Egyp.	χet , 174.	Ger.
Egyp.	Chin.	ch'e-tseu, XIIX.	Ital.
Copt. xōns, 196. Russ. chorek, 317. Syr. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Egyp. xrm, 306. WRuss. xvartuk, xxxii. WRuss. xvest, xxxii. WRuss. xvortuna, xxxii. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. Lat. cizema, 194. LLat. cizeria, 194. LLat. clafos, 45. OFr. clai, 326. Heb. Syr. Heb. Gr. LHeb. Gr. Lamut MHG. Lamut MHG. LGer. Tat. Ger. LGer. LLat. Fr. LLat. LLat. Clafos, 45. Dutch		chiroboli, 290.	
Russ. chorek, 317. Syr. Russ. chorjuk, 317. Syr. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Heb. Egyp. xrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. xvartuk, xxxii. Lamut WRuss. xvost, xxxii. LGer. WRuss. xvortuna, xxxii. Tat. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. Gr. LLat. cirema, 194. Ger. LLat. cizeria, 194. Fr. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. claf, 326. Dutch		χmm , 300.	
Russ. chorjuk, 317. Syr. Čech. chramostyl, 317. Heb. Egyp. xrm, 306. Gr. WRuss. xvartuk, xxxii. Lamut WRuss. xvest, xxxii. MHG. WRuss. xvonar, xxxii. LGer. WRuss. xvortuna, xxxii. Gr. Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. Gr. LLat. cirema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. Fr. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. clai, 326. Dutch		$\chi ons, 190.$	
Čech. chramostyl, 317. Heb. Egyp. \(\chi rm, 306. \) Gr. WRuss. \(\chi vertuk, xxxii. \) Lamut WRuss. \(\chi vertuk, xxxii. \) MHG. WRuss. \(\chi vortuna, xxxii. \) LGer. WRuss. \(\chi vortuna, xxxii. \) Gr. Fr. \(ciboule, xxxvii. \) Gr. LLat. \(cizema, 194. \) LLat. LLat. \(cizema, 194. \) Fr. LLat. \(cizemia, 194. \) LLat. OFr. \(clai, 326. \) Dutch			Syr.
Egyp. χrm , 306. Gr. WRuss. $\chi vartuk$, $\chi xxii$. Lamut WRuss. $\chi vest$, $\chi xxii$. MHG. WRuss. $\chi vonar$, $\chi xxii$. LGer. WRuss. $\chi vortuna$, $\chi xxii$. Gr. LLat. $cirema$, 194. Ger. LLat. $cizema$, 194. LLat. $cizeria$, 194. Fr. LLat. $cizeria$, 194. Fr. LLat. $clafos$, 45. LLat. OFr. $clai$, 326. Dutch		• ,	Syr.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
WRuss. \(\chi vest, \) \(\chi xxii. \) MHG. WRuss. \(\chi vortuna, \) \(\chi xxii. \) Tat. WRuss. \(\chi vortuna, \) \(\chi xxii. \) Tat. Fr. \(ciboule, \) \(\chi xxvii. \) Gr. LLat. \(cizema, 194. \) LLat. LLat. \(cizeria, 194. \) Fr. LLat. \(cizeria, 194. \) Fr. LLat. \(cizeria, 326. \) LLat. OFr. \(clai, 326. \) Dutch			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	WRuss.		
Fr. ciboule, xxxvii. Gr. LLat. cirema, 194. Ger. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. Fr. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. clai, 326. Dutch			
LLat. cirema, 194. Ger. LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. Fr. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. clai, 326. Dutch			Gr.
LLat. cizema, 194. LLat. LLat. cizeria, 194. Fr. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. clai, 326. Dutch			
LLat. cizeria, 194. Fr. LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. clai, 326. Dutch		cizema, 194.	
LLat. clafos, 45. LLat. OFr. clai, 326. LLat. Dutch		cizeria, 194.	
OFr. clai, 326. Dutch		clasos, 45.	
OProv. clas, 326. LLat.	OFr.	clai, 326.	
- '	OProv.	clas, 326.	LLat.

classa, 325.
classicum, 326.
classium, 326.
clauos, 45.
coantic, 312.
čoarve, 46.
conbenno, 203.
conchus, 171.
conuinna, 205.
cornu, 46.
court, 25.
covinnarius, 204.
covinnus, 202.
craueto, lvi.
cristo, lxxvi.
crotalia, 193.
culfāka, 219.

 $d\bar{a}b\bar{a}r$, 25. dabara, 25. dabr, 25. dabra, 25. dabråyå, 25. dactylus, xxxvii. dair, 25. dajoth, 240. dala, 314. dalaq, 314. dalla, 314. danta, dante, 32. dar, 25. darana, 22. daraqah, 21, 32. dargadante, 32. datte, xxxvii. Dattel, xxxvii. dattilo, xxxvii. daur, 24. davar, 24. dayyah, 240. dbar, 25. dbåråta, 25. deber, 25. δείρω, 24. deliki, 315. deppich, xxxvi. derdendei, xlix. deri, 24. δέρμα, 24. dermentey, xlix. destallagium, lxi. détail, lxi detallium, lxi. dierteyn, xlviii.

dili, 335.

0	2:2 21')	OHC	anidahan 200
Ger.	dilmedey, xlix.	OHG.	egidehse, 308.
OHG.	dior, 25.	OHG.	egidesse, 308.
Arab.	dir', 21.	AS.	eglan, 326.
Ger.	diradey, xlviii.	AS.	egle, 326.
Ger.	dirdenday, xlviii.	Ger.	Eidechse, 77, 308.
Ger.	dirdumdey, xlix.	OHG.	eidechsun, 308.
Ger.	dirledey, xlviii.	OHG.	eidochso, 308.
Ger.	dirmadey, xlix.	Gr.	elδos, lxxiv.
Lith.	dirti, 24.	ŏHG.	eikileihhi, 327.
Ger.	dirtmedey, xlix.	Goth.	eils, 228.
		OHG.	eingilihi, 327.
Heb.	dober, 25.	OHG.	eithesa, 308.
Lat.	Doberus, 26.	Gr.	
Ger.	Dom, xxxv.	OHG.	ἔλαφος, 45. elaho, 47, 98.
Sard.	dona de muru, 311.	OHG.	elaho, elahun, 45.
Port.	doninha, 311.	AS.	
Ital.	donnola, 311.		elch, 45.
Gr.	δωρεά, 198.	Ger.	Elen, 48.
Gr.	$\delta \delta \rho \xi$, 3.	Russ.	elen', 47.
Syr.	drđ ² đ, 20. drati, 24. driti, 24.	LLat.	elenchus, 191.
OBulg.	drati, 24.	AS.	elh, 45.
Sansk.	driti, 24.	AS.	elha, 47.
Tung.	dsölőki, 315. dūlfīn, 287.	Tat.	elik, 45.
Syr.	$d\bar{u}lf\bar{\imath}n, 287.$	Lat.	Elisa, 227.
Syr.	dura, 198.	Lat.	Elisaeus, 227.
Russ.	durak, xlix.	Lat.	Elisue, 227.
Russ.	duraley, xlix.	Lith.	elnas, 47.
Russ.	duranday, durandas, xlix.	LLat.	elogia, 328.
Russ.	durašman, xlix.	$\mathbf{OProv}.$	embarc, 234.
Russ.	duren', xlix.	LLat.	embargare, 238.
Ger. (dial.	.) durendei, xlix.	Catal.	embarger, 236.
Russ.	durnoy, xlix.	Span.	embargo, 234.
Arab.	durrah, 113, 191.	OProv.	embarguier, 234.
Russ.	durynda, xlix.	LLat.	embargum, 234.
Lith.	dùrys, 24.	OProv.	embarrar, 234.
OPers.	duvarayā, 25.	Fr.	embarras, 234.
Sansk.	dvār, 25.	Catal.	embrassar, 236.
Lith.	dvāras, 24.	Gr.	ἡμέρα, 306.
OBulg.	dvĭri, 25.	OProv.	empara, 234.
OBulg.	dvorŭ, 25.	OProv.	emparamen, 234.
Boh. (Am		LLat.	emparamentum, 225.
Boh. (Am		LLat.	emparanza, 225.
Arab.	dzar' 21	Fr.	emnarer 234
Arab.	dzar', 21. dzāri', 21.	LLat.	emparo, 225.
Tung.	džeeleki, 315.	OProv.	emparo, 225. emparo, 234.
Copt.	džek, 130.	Copt.	emsah, 302.
Tung.	dželaki, 315.	OHG.	endechse, 308.
Arab.	dzimmah, 224.	OProv.	englaziar, 326.
Arab.	dzirā', 21.	Bas.	enparantza, 236.
Alab.	azira , 21.	Bas.	enparau, 236.
OHG.	adache 308	Bas.	enparü, 236.
	edechs, 308.	AS.	
OHG.	edehsa, 308.	and the second s	eola, 47. ἐρεικίνα, 70.
AS.	efete, 308, 309.	Gr.	
OHG.	egedehsa, 309.	Gr.	έρικίνη, 70.
OHG.	egidehsa, 308.	Lett.	ermelihns, 321.

Ital.	ermellino, 318.] OSpan.
Copt.	eršōn, 36.	MHG.
OFr.	esclace, 326.	Goth.
OFr.	esclache, 326.	Goth.
OProv.	esclat, 326.	Goth.
OFr.	esclice, 326.	Ger.
ÖSpan.	escotus, 226.	OHG.
Span.	escudar, 226.	AS.
OProv.	esglai, 326.	Lang.
OProv.	esglat, 326.	Goth.
Catal.	esglay, 326	Goth.
OProv.	esglayer, 326.	AS.
OProv.	esglaziar, 326.	AS.
Catal.	eslay, 326.	OHG.
OFr.	esparre, 237.	OHG.
Syr.	'espes, 238	ONorse
Ger.	Essig, xxxviii.	OHG.
Pruss.	estureyto, 308.	OHG.
OHG.	eudechs, 308.	OHG.
Gr.	εὐρυκέρωs, 65, 106.	AS.
OHG.	euuidehsa, 308.	Lang.
$_{ m OHG.}$	euuithessa, 308.	LLat.
OFr.	evenchine, 72.	Boh. (Am.
Lat.	exaluminatum, 191.	WRuss.
LLat.	exhebenum, 181.	Fr.
. ~	4 000	LLat.
AS.	faenucae, 220.	Arab.
Boh. (A	Am.) fajtuju, lxxix.	LLat.
WRuss.		MHG.
Arab.	farīd, 130.	Anob
Arab.	fasqiyyat, 201.	Arab.
WRuss.	fefer, xxxii.	Arab.
Ger.	Feige, xxxvii.	ONorse
AS.	felt, 39.	
AS.	$fen\bar{y}ce, 220.$	Sumer.
Lat. Lat.	fera, 25. ficus, xxxvii.	Syr. Span.
Late.	ILCUS. XXXVII.	i izuan.

Lat. ficus, xxxvii. Fr. figue, xxxvii. WRuss. figura, xxxii. Dutch Filander, xxvii. LLat. filtril, 39. LLat. filtrum, 37. Boh. (Am.) filuju, lxxix. filz, 38. OHG. LLat. filzalii, 39. LLat. finocreta, 220. Arab. firsād, 334. Gr. φισκίνα, 201. fisqiyyat, 201. Arab. fo-lim, xlii. Cant. Fomá, xxxii. foras, 24. foris, 24. fork, 36. Russ. Lat. Lat. Copt.

forro, 226, 230. forzaichen, xxxviii. frahin þan, 196. frauja, 229. fraujinon, 229. fräulein, 311. frawa, 230. freá, 229. frea, 231. frei, 230. frei-hals, 230. freo, 229. freols, 230. $fr\bar{\imath}$, 230. $fr\bar{\imath}$ -hals, 230. frjals, 230. fro, 230. fron, frono, 230. fronisc, 230. frowe, 230. fulcfrea, 231. fulfrealis, 232. .) fůlovat, lxxix. fura, xxxii. furet, 314. furetus, 314. furr, 230. furuncus, 314. furzog, xxxviii.

gabas, 324. gabas, 324. gad, 74. gaddr, 74. gal, 301. gålå, 218. galapago, 219. Span. galas, 324. Arab. γαλεός, 308 Gr. γαλεώτης, 303. gallacia, 219. Gr. LLat. galşamah, 192. Arab. Arab. galşamun, 331. Goth. gamalwjan, 300. gammus, 92. ģāmūs, 2, 92. gamussa, 94. LLat. Arab. Catal. gamuza, 94.Span. OHG. gamz, 94. OPers. gāomēs, 2. garadi, 112. garādi, 112. Kann. Tul. LLat. garbesa, lv. garbexa, lv. Ital.

Ital.	garbitta, lv.
	garba li
Ital.	garbo, li.
Span.	$gardu\~na,\ 320.$
Prov.	Garp, liv. garta, 112.
Sansk.	garta, 112.
Kann.	garudi 112
Arab.	antaea 144
	garuḍi, 112. gatasa, 144. gattās, 144.
Arab.	gailas, 144.
Arab.	gauhar, 113.
OPers.	gav, 2. gazds, 74.
Goth.	gazds, 74.
Arab.	gaziyyah, 224.
Goth.	geba, 213.
OFr.	gebecier, 197.
AS.	gehentan, 196. gelindžik, 311.
Turk.	gelindžik, 311.
LLat.	gemini 191
LLat.	gemme 191
	Campa 01
Ger.	Gemse, 31.
MHG.	genick, 251.
LLat.	gemini, 191. gemme, 191. Gemse, 91. genick, 231. gequal 195.
Eth.	gĕrāb, 297. gerten, 74.
OHG.	gerten, 74.
LLat.	geseria, 196.
Fr.	geseria, 196. gésier, 195.
Mar.	gharatā, 112.
OFr.	gibecier, 197. gibeciere, 197. gibelet, 197.
OFr.	aibeciere, 197.
OFr.	aihelet 197
ŎFr.	aihesser 197
LLat.	gibicara 107
	gibiem 107
OFr.	gibesser, 197. gibicere, 197. gibier, 197. gibier, 197. giblet, 197
OFr.	giorez, 197.
$\operatorname*{Eng}_{\widetilde{\alpha}}$.	giolet, 197.
43.00	giblet, 197. gifeđe, 214.
AS.	mtte 214.
LLat.	gigeria, 194, 214.
LLat.	queria, 195.
OFr.	ginsier, 195.
LLat.	ainzeria, 194.
ONorse	ainta 214
Eng.	gizzard, 195. glaed, 330.
AS.	alaed. 330.
AS.	alāēm 331
AS.	alaer 325
AS.	alass 325
	glass 226
OFr.	glage, 520.
OFr.	giai, 320.
OFr.	giais, 325.
OHG.	glanz, 331.
OHG.	glas, 324.
OHG.	glāēm, 330. glāēm, 331. glaer, 325. glaes, 325. glai, 326. glai, 326. glais, 325. glanz, 331. glas, 324. glasa, 325.
OProv.	gradou, oras.
LLat.	glassum, 326.
	- '

LLat. glastum, 338. OHG. glat, 331. OFr. (ad un) glat, 326. OFr. glatir, 326. glatt, 331. Ger. glaumr, 332. glay, 326. ONorse OFr. $\begin{array}{c} gle\bar{a}m,\ 331. \\ gleimo,\ 331. \end{array}$ AS. OHG. gleóman, 331. AS. OHG. gler, 325. LLat. glesum, 340.gleyma, 332. gleymr, 332. glig, 331. ONorse ONorse AS. ONorse $gl\bar{\imath}ma$, 332. AS. glīman, 331. ONorse $gl\bar{\imath}minn, 332.$ glimo, 331.OHG. $glimo,\,332.$ AS. glisi, 325. Bret. AS. glisian, 330. AS. glitinian, 330. glitmunjan, 330. Goth. AS. gliu, 331. gliw, 331. AS. OHG. gliz, 331. glīzemo, 331. OHG. OHG. glīzzo, 331. OFr. gloi, 326. AS. $gl\bar{o}m$, 331. Serb. glumac, 332. glumě, 332. glumě, 332. glumě, 332. glumě, 332. Rum. Russ. OBulg. OBulg. gly, 332. glyjari, 332. gōhar, 113. gōhr, 113. ONorse ONorse Pers. Pehl. golaia, 219. gorgia, 183. LLat. Lat. Lat. gorgonia, 183. gornostaj, 317. gornostal, 317. Russ. Russ. gótra, 113. Sansk. MGr. γούστερα, 308. γουστερίτζα, 308. MGr. grossarius, lxxi. LLat. grosser, lxviii. Eng. OFr. grossier, lxvii. LLat. (in)grosso, lxiii. grossour, Ixviii. OFr. Arab. quabra, lvii.

LLat.	guastum, 333.	OHG.	harmo, 318.
Goth.	guisdil, 333.	Talm.	hašal, 114.
OFr.	guisier, 195.	Assyr.	hašālu, 114.
LLat.	guleia, 219.	Arab.	hašl, 114.
LLat.	yateta, 219.	Heb.	
	guolatia, 220.		hašmal, 114.
Arab.	gumān, 130.	Copt.	hat, 175.
Arab.	gurāb 'ablaqu, 297.	Copt. LLat.	hath, 175. hatubus, 98.
OBulg.	gušteru, 308.		
LLat.	gyzeria, 194.	Syr. AS.	hawān, 173. heals-baec, 226.
Arab.	haban 174	AS.	hearma, 318.
Arab.	haban, 174.	OHG.	heidehsse, 308.
Bil.	habba, 174.	OHG.	heil, 227.
LLat.	habbā, 174. habere, 28.	OHG.	heilisod, 227.
Bil.	habhab, 174.	OHG.	heilison, 227.
AS.	$h\bar{a}\bar{e}l$, 227 .	Chin.	heng-ping, xlv.
AS.	hāēlend, 227.	AS.	hentan, 196.
AS.		AS.	heorot, heorut, 46.
AS.	haelsere, 227.	LLat.	hereminae, 320.
AS.	haelsian, 227.	LLat.	hericina 70
Goth.	haelsung, 227.	OFr.	hericina, 70. héricine, 72.
Goth.	$Haileisaius, 227. \ hails, 227.$	OHG.	herihunta, 197.
Boh. (An		Ger.	hermelin 318
~ .	hahalf 207	Fr.	hermelin, 318. hermine, 318.
Copt.	hakelf, 307. hāl, 227.	1	hešlįå, 114.
AS.	halala 202	Syr.	
Assyr. Arab.	halalu, 303.	Copt.	hēt, 175.
Chin.	halaşa, 227. ha-liem, xlii.	LLat.	hiameo, 130.
Arab.	hālīş, 227.	LLat. LLat.	hiamio, 130.
Arab.	hālisat, 227.		hianio, 130.
	hallūlāia, 303.	Copt.	hibōi, 90.
Assyr. AS.	hālor 227	Arab.	hiḍa'ah, 240. hiġamānah, 130.
Arab.	hālor, 227. ḥalq, 192.	AS.	
Arab.	halqah, 192.	LLat.	hind, 47. hinio, 130.
Arab.	halqamah, 192.	OHG.	
Arab.	halqūm, 193.	OHG.	hinna, 47. hinta, 47.
AS.	halqum, 193. $hals, 227.$	OHG.	hintcalb, 47.
OHG.	hals, 230.	LLat.	hircaniae aves, 342.
Goth.	hals-agga, 231 .	Arab.	hirdaun, 54.
AS.	halsbec, 226.	Ger.	Hirsch, 46.
ONorse	hāls-boc 226	OHG.	hiruz, hirz, 46.
AS.	hāls-boc, 226. halsere, 227.	OHG.	hiruz, 47.
AS.	halsian, 227.	Fin.	hirvi, 46.
Chald.	haltāā, 303.	Esth.	
Chald.	hāltaṭā, 303.	Esth.	hirwe, 46.
Assyr.	halia, 303.	ONorse	hiržwe, 46. hjörtr, 46.
Assyr.	hamatu, 303.	Egyp.	hlq, 192.
Copt.		OHG.	hnac, 231.
Assyr.	hamkelf, 307. hamtu, 303, 304.	AS.	hnecca, 231.
Arab.		1	hölgy-menyét, 311.
Copt.	hanaš, 196. hankelf, 307.	Magy. Chin.	ho-liem, xlii.
OHG.	haramo, 318.	Copt.	holk, 192.
Arab.	haraa 345	Heb.	hōmet, 303.
Arab.	ḥaraq, 345. ḥarīš, 243.	OSpan.	horra, 230.
*******	10, 210.	1 Ospan.	

Manch.

jelken, 315.

Span. Copt.	horro, 230. hot, 175. hran, 48. hranostaj, 317.
Copt.	hot, 175. hran, 48.
AS.	hranastai, 317.
Čech.	
ONorse	hreinn, 48.
Egyp. Copt.	hsmn, 114.
Copt.	hthai, 175.
Copt.	hthai, 175. hthē, 175.
Copt.	hthē, 175.
Arab.	hubārā, 90.
AS.	hūđe, 197.
Arab.	hulkat, 303. hullu, 303.
Assyr. Syr.	$h\bar{u}lmdtd=303$
Assyr.	hūlmata, 303. hulmittu, 303.
Kott.	hulup, 317.
Heb.	humtāh, 303.
AS.	hunt, 196.
Goth.	hunbs, 196.
Chin.	huo shie, 306 .
Arab.	hurr, 226.
Arab.	hurrah, 230.
Arab.	hurriyyah, 230.
Arab. Chin.	hurş, 193. hwo-liem, xlii.
Chin.	nwo-ttem, xm.
LLat.	iace, 178.
Arab.	libny tirein 319
Arab.	'iḥlāṣ, 226.'
Arab.	'ihlāş, 226. 'ilaģ, 327. 'ilģ, 327.
Arab.	'ilģ, 327.
LLat.	imparamentum, 234.
LLat.	imparcamentum, 237.
LLat.	imparcare, 237.
LLat. LLat.	indicum, 335.
LLat.	ingluuiae, 331. Inguiomerus, 355.
LLat.	insallim, 336.
LLat.	insallum, 336.
Gr.	lopкos, 65.
Lett.	irzis, 46.
Arab.	'istisqā, 173. 'iswāḍḍ, 308.
Arab	ʻiswāḍḍ, 308.

τυρκες, 66.

'iyyalah, 47.

jacmini, 191.

jašterŭ, 308.

jašturů, 308. jaszczur, 308. jebier, 197. jelek, 315.

jaščerica, 308.

'izlim, 333.

Gr.

Arab.

Arab.

LLat.

Russ.

Pol.

OFr.

Tung.

OBulg.

OBulg.

```
Tung.
            jeloky, 315.
Tung.
            jeluky, 315.
Čech.
            ještěr, 308.
LLat.
            jocalia, 113.
Tat.
            jon, 26.
OFr.
            juisier, 195.
T∕₄t.
            j\ddot{u}n, 26.
Ger.
            jungferchen, 311.
Vot.
            jürmäk, 317.
Russ.
            Kadet, lxxx.
            Käfig, xxxviii.
Ger.
Syr.
            kåkūštå, 316.
Ğr.
            καλαβώτης, 303.
Gr.
            καλαμώτης, 303.
Arab.
            kalkusa, 317.
Boh. (Am.)
               kampañ, lxxix.
Gr.
            καμπανός, xlv.
Alb.
            kandar, xliv.
Hind.
            kāntā, xliv.
Gr.
            καντάρι, xliv.
Pers.
            kapān, xlv.
Tat.
            kara-kuijerok, 316.
Tat.
            kara-kuzuruk, 316.
Esth.
            käŕbi, 317.
            kardi, 317.
kärk, 317.
Esth.
Esth.
Talm. karkūštā, 316.
Rae.Rom. karmun, 320.
Esth.
            k\ddot{a}fp, 317.
Fin.
            kärppä, 317.
Esth.
            kärt, 317.
Sansk.
            karta, 112.
Lith.
            karve, 46.
Copt.
            kašabel, 114.
            καθαρός, xxxi.
) kejk, lxxix.
Gr.
Boh. (Am.)
Tat.
            kelas, 304.
Tat.
            kelŭskä, 304.
Ger.
            Kelch, xxxviii.
            keler, 304.
Tat.
Chin.
            keng-pang, xlv.
Gr.
            κεντανάριον, xlv.
OHG.
            kepo, 214.
Egyp.
            kerker, 193.
Gr.
            κέρκος, 316.
Boh. (Am.)
               kerpet, lxxix.
Ger.
            Ketzer, xxxi.
Chin.
            keuen-hăng, xlv.
Mar.
            khalagā, 112.
Russ.
            khuligan, lxxx.
            khürö, 317.
Kamass.
            kin, xliv.
Chin.
```

Ger. (dial	l.) Klapfer, xxxiii.
Boh. (An	
Ger. (dial	
	,
Copt.	knos, 196.
Gr.	κοιβινος, 205.
Tat.	köjörök, 316.
Gr.	κωλώτης, 303.
Copt.	kons, 196.
Ost.	kor, 317.
Russ.	Korinf, xxxii.
Copt.	korks, 193.
OBulg.	krava, 46.
Slav.	Kren, xxxvii.
Ger.	Kreuz, xxxv.
Sansk.	$krikal\bar{a}sa,304.$
Sansk.	krikulāsa, 304.
Boh. (Am	
Gr.	κροκόδειλος, 303.
Copt.	kros, 193.
Vogul	küińus, 317.
Tat.	kujruk, 316.
Y. Ost.	kulan, 317.
Pol.	kuna, 317.
Vogul	kunasi, 317.
Russ.	kunica, 317.
Ost.	kur, 317 .
Syr.	kūrcå, 193.
Ost.	kurru, 317.
Tat.	kūruk, 316.
Tat.	kuzuruk, 316.
Koib.	kyrrol, 317.
Yak.	kyrynas, 316.
	0 0

AS. laac, 328. Talm. lābad, 35. Arab. labida, 35. Copt. labo, 36.labreno, 307. lāc, 328. Fr. AS. AS. $l\bar{a}can, 328.$ lace, 178. LLat. lacerna, 222. lacerta, 303. Lat. Lat. lacinia, 222. lāēce, 327. Lat. AS. laeknari, 327. lahabun, 330. ONorse Arab. lāhhi, 327. lahits, 315. OHG. Esth. Kaz. Tat. lahtschae, 315. laikan, 328. laiks, 328. Goth. Goth. OFr. laitisse, 316. Esth. laits, 315.

Behar lajhurud, 118. Pers. lajwārd, 118. lamt, 32. Arab. Syr. lamţå, 35, 37. Syry. laschiza, 315. OBulg. lasica, 315. lassicz, 316. MLG. MLG. lassiczin, 316. Perm. lassiza, 315. MLG. lasteken, 316. MLG. lasten, 316. Russ. lastka, 315. lau, 36. Copt. Goth. lauhmuni, 330. lazits, 315. Esth. Esth. laznits, 315. Copt. lazte, 327. lazuli, 118. lbad, 35, 37. lebed, 35. LLat. Syr. Heb. LLat. lebetes, 37. Gr. λεβητών, 37. Gr. λεβητωνάριον, 37. Copt. lebiton, 36. Fr. lebrando, 307. OFr. lectice, 316. Boh. (Am.) lejk, lxxix. lek, 326. Egyp. lēkeis, 327. lěkŭ, 327, 328. Goth. OBulg. OFr. lestote, 316. lĕtāā, 303. Heb. Chin. le-tăng, xliv. OFr. letice, 316. letisse, 315. liaig, 327. libd, 35. OFr. OIr. Arab. Talm. libda, 35. libitu, 36. Copt. līl, 335. Arab. lilac, 335. likŭ, 328. lo, 326. Eng. OBulg. Copt. lođa, 222. AS. lok, 45. loki, 45. Chin. Tung. Gr. $\lambda \hat{\omega} \mu a$, 36. λώματα, 37. Gr. lōž, 326. Copt. ložf, 327. loži, 326. Copt. Copt. Copt. ložt, 327. Chin. luh, 45. Arab. luhakat, 303.

Arab.	lu'lu', 113.	Talm.	margānīţā, 113.
Lat.	lupus cervarius, 66.	Gr.	μάργαρα, 113.
	·	Gr.	μαργαρίς, 113.
Sumer.	ma, lxxvii.	Lat.	margarita, 113.
OIr.	macc, lxxviii.	_	μαργαρίτης, 113.
Drav.	maga, lxxviii.	Gr.	
Goth.	magus, lxxviii.	Gr.	μάργαρου, 113.
Chin.	mah, 109.	Gr.	μάργαρος, 113.
Polyn.	maha, lxxvii.	LLat.	maricatio, 235.
Arab.	maḥār, 140, 141.	Nam.	marimense, 238.
Heb.	māĥar, 111.	Nam.	marir, 238.
Syr.	mahar, 111.	Nam.	marissement, 238.
Arab.	mahara, 111.	Nam.	marisson, 238.
Assyr.	maḥāru, 111.	Arab.	mar'izā', lvii.
Assyr.	maḥīru, 111.	Wall.	marmense, 238.
Sansk.	mahisha, 2.	Nam.	marrement, 238.
Arab.	mahr, 112.	LLat.	marricio, 235.
Syr.	mahrå, 111.	LLat.	maricio, 238.
Arab.	mahr'al-mur ģ ān, 213.	LLat.	marrire, 238.
	maḥru, 111.	LLat.	marritio, 236.
Assyr. Car.	mai piure, 35.	онG.	marrjan, 238.
Gal.	maipuri, 35.	LLat.	marsio, 312.
Gr.	μάλαγμα, 301.	LLat.	marsus, 312.
OHG.	malan, 301.	Span.	marta, 313.
OHG.	malm, 301.	LLat.	martalus, 314.
Goth.	malma, 301.	LLat.	martarus, 314.
Goth.	malo, 300.	LLat.	martha, 314.
OHG.	malon, 300.	LLat.	martira, 314.
~		LLat.	martola, 312.
Span. Tat.	$mamparar, 234.$ $ma\tilde{n}, 110.$	LLat.	martra, 314.
Kir.		LLat.	martrina, 314.
~	manap, 110.	LLat.	martures, 314. marzjan, 238.
Cag.	mañlai, 110.	Goth.	marzjan, 238.
Bret.	mann, 206.	Assyr.	mašahu, 301. masårå, 287.
Yak.	mañnai, 110.	Syr.	masara, 287.
LLat.	maptola, 312.	LLat.	mascauda, 201.
Eng.	mar, 238.	Assyr.	maši, 301 .
Nam.	mar, 238.	Gondi	massor, 111.
LLat.	maracio, 236.	Assyr.	maššu, 301.
OFr.	marance, 238.	Assyr.	ma s \bar{u} , 301.
Ital.	marasandola, 307.	Annam.	mat, 110.
LLat.	maratio, 238.	M.Pol.	mata, 110.
OHG.	mardero, 314.	Lat.	matutinale donum, 214.
LLat.	mardolum, 314.	Goth.	maurgins, 213.
LLat.	mardores, 314.	$\mathbf{Eth}.$	măzrā'e, 20.
LLat.	mardrinus, 314.	Guar.	mborobi, 35.
LLat.	mardubina, 314.	AS.	meard, 314.
OFr.	maremance, 238.	AS.	mearth, 314.
Nam.	marement, 238.	Copt.	meere, 306.
Talm.	$marg\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}, 113.$	Ger.	Meerrettig, xxxvii.
Talm.	margāliţ, 113.	OHG.	melo, 301.
Syr.	margalītā, 113.	AS.	melu, 301.
Talm.	$marg\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}s,113.$	Tat.	$me\tilde{n},~110.$
Arab.	marģān, 113.	Welsh	men, 206.
Syr.	margånīṭå, 113.	LLat.	merĥazi, lvii.
29			

Copt. meri, 306. LLat. mermecolion, 144. OHG. merran, 238. AS. merrian, 238. Assyr. mcšhu, 301. Ital. mezzalana, xlviii. Heb. midbār, 25. Nah. miec, lxxvii. Chin. mien, 109. migu, lxxvii. Drav. Assyr. mihirtu, 111. Assyr. mihru, 111. mikku, lxxvii. Drav. AS. miln, 301.minbar, 220. miqrad, 313. modal, 108. Arab. Arab. Kann. Chin. moh, 109. mohar, 111. mohra, 111. moko, 110. mol, 301. Heb. Hind. Bas. OHG. Lat. mola, 301. OHG. molm, 301. molt, 301. OHG. Gr. μόναπος, 86. Ger. Mönch, xxxviii. Kann. mone, 108. Kann. monne, 108. Gr. μόνωψ, 89. Gr. μόνωτος, 89. OHG. morgan, 213. LLat. morganegyba, 214. AS. morgen, 213. Lang. morginca pud, 214. Goth. morgingeba, 213. Kann. mōri, 108. Kann. mosale, 301. msaḥ, 302. Egyp. Kann. $m\bar{u}, 108.$ Maor. mua, 109. mūbīdz, 355. mucus, 111. mudal, 109. Arab. Lat. Malayal. Malaval. mudale, 301. Assyr. mudbaru, 25. Malayal. mudiruga, 109. Sansk. mudrā, 112. Malayal. mudu, 109. Tul. muduru, 109. LLat. mufrius, muftius, 197. Gr. μυγάλη, 314. Tul. muganu, 109. Kann. $m\bar{u}gu$, 108.

Chin. muh, 109. Prak. muhallao, 111. muḥallīs, 228. muḥaššal, 114. Arab. Arab. Syr. mūhbtå, 355. Pers. muhr, 112. muhra, 111. Hind. Pers. muhra, 112, 141. Pers. muhur, 112. Kann. muk, 108, 111. Tul. $m\bar{u}ku$, 109. Jap. mukaba, 109. Jap. mukaeru, 109. Jap. mukai, 109. Jap. mukamomo, 109. Jap. mukashi, 109. Jap. mukau, 109. Tul. mūke, 109. Sansk. mukha, 110. Jap. muki, 109. Malayal. $m\bar{u}kka$, 109. mūkku, 109. mukta, 112. Malayal. Sansk. Sansk. muktāgāra, 112. Gr. μυκτήρ, 111. Jap. muku, 109. Tul. mūla, 109. OHG. muli, 301. OHG. muljan, 301. Kann. mum, 108. Tul. mum, 109. Kann. mumbu, 108. Kann. muk, 108. Kann. mun, 108. Tam. mun, 109.Malayal. muna, 109. Sansk. muncati, 111. Kann. muńce, 108. Kann. muńču, 108. Tul. munda, 109. Tul. mundana, 109. mundu, 108. mungei, 109. Kann. Tul. Lat. mungere, 111. Kann. muńkanisu, 108. Kann. munna, 108. muńńi, 109. munta, 109. Malayal. Tam. Tul. muppu, 109. Svr. $m\bar{u}pta$, 355. Tah. muraa, 109.Arab. murģān, 113. Malayal. muru, 112. Čag. murun, 110.

Sumer.	muš, 301.
Sansk.	musala, 301.
Kann.	musali, 301.
Assyr.	muš- $gallu$, 301.
Sumer.	mushuš, 302.
Sumer.	mušmah, 302.
Kann.	musudu, 108.
Assyr.	muššu, 301.
Lat.	mustela, 314.
Kann.	musuli, 108.
Tam.	mutal, 108.
Kann.	mūti, 108.
Tam.	mutir, 108.
Tam.	mutta, 112.
Tam.	mutte, 109.
Kann.	muttu, 112.
Tam.	mutu, 108.
Sansk.	mutya, 112.
ONorse	mylna, 301.
Arab.	nabara, 220.

nabrah', 220. Arab. LLat. nabun, 50.OHG. nac, 231. OFr. nacaire, 141. nacara, 141, 190. LLat. OFr. nacle, 141. OFr. nacre, 141. Pers. namad, 35, 37. namat, 35, 37. Arab. Arab. namir, 52. Aram. namtā, 35. namūr, 2, 82. Arab. Arab. nagr, 141. Arab. našara, 288 Eng. neck, 231. Magy. nemez, 37. Ger. nerz, 317. Rum. nevastuica, 311. Bulg. nevestulka, 311. nibr, 220. Arab. AS. nicer, 302 OHG. nichus, 302.nick, 302. Eng. AS. nicor, 302. nidwa, 300. nihus, 302. Gr. OHG. $n\bar{\imath}l$, $3\bar{3}\bar{5}$. Arab. nifgi, 317. nifk, 317. nixe, 302. Esth. Esth. Ger. nokka, 110. norka, 317. norok, 317. Fin. Russ.

Russ.

```
Ger.
           norz, 317.
           nörz, 317.
Ger.
           nsar, 287.
Syr.
MGr.
           ντορβαs, 29.
MGr.
           νυμφίτζα, 311.
Esth.
           nürk, 317.
Pol.
           nurka, 317.
Ger.
           nürz, 317.
Alb.
           nus e ljaljese, 312.
ONorse
           nykr, 302.
```

olen', 47. olm, 301. Russ. OHG. OHG. olmoht, 301. Tah. omua, 109. Tat. $\ddot{o}\tilde{n}$, 26. Tat. $\ddot{o}ng$, 26. OHG. ougmale, 301. OHG. ouuedehssa, 308. Heb. 'ōr, 27. Tat. ör, 26. Bas. oreñ, 47. AS. orlaeg, 327. orlag, 327. AS. AS. orleg, 327. ONorse örlög, 328. Tung. oron, 47. Tat. ös, 26. Lat. osanna, 228. Goth. ovisdelem, 333.

Ger. Paar, xxx. Ger. Pacht, xxx. MHG. packt, xxxvi. Aves. paitimaoc, 111. Turk. pala, xli. Eth. palkān, 297. Ger. Palme, xxx. MHG. panzier, panzer, xxxvi. LLat. papa, xxxv. LLat. papades, xxxv. Gr. παπᾶς, ΧΧΧΥ. Ger. Papst, xxxi, xxxv. Eng. (dial.) par, parr, 237. Pol. parafia, xxxv. Ital. para-occhi, 236. παραπετάδια, 236. para-petto, 236. para-sole, 236. Gr. Ital. Ital. LLat. parcus, 237. LLat. paricus, 237. Prov. parofi, perofia, xxxv. LLat. parofia, xxxv. Prov. paropi, paropia, xxxv.

LLat. parricus, 237. Ger. (dial.)	
	hur, xxxiv. niadze, xxxiii.
	, xli.
part of part of an interest	μα, 36.
Cont mil	eon, 36.
pascalaria, 201.	208, 36.
pastip, Alli.	us, 35.
Gr. (diai.) I dian, AAVIII.	76s, 36.
Gr will	ν, 36.
parata, xxxviii.	eus, 35.
peach, xxxvii.	, 35.
Gamoy. peak, 41.	ω, 35.
Cr will	s, 35.
Ling. pearly, 114.	ρτόs, 35.
Pourioc, 201.	ou, 36.
a lat mala	s, 36.
Syr mile	ţā, 37.
Talm mile	$\bar{o}n, 36.$
Tolm mile	õs, 36.
petantali, petantali, ANII. Chin	, xliv.
OHC mla	h, 298.
Pla Pla	ge, xxxv.
MHC mlay	nzen, xxxvi.
LLat plat	ocerus, 45, 92.
LLat plat	oceruus, 45, 92.
Distanti perount, 001.	υκερως, 92.
portion, 115.	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·, 47.
	, xli.
	ad, xlii.
Pehl nole	wat, xli.
	vat, xli.
Ger. Pfarrer, xxxi. Gr. woke	παταξ, xlii.
Ger. Pfirsich, xxxvii, xxxviii. Bulg. pop	idijka, 311.
MHG. pflåge, xxxv, xxxvi. Fin. pore	, 47.
Ger. Pflanze, xxx. Lat. port	a, xxx.
MHG. pflôge, xxxv, xxxvi. Ger. pred	igen, xxxi, xxxv,
Ger. Pfosten, xxx, xxxvi. Ger Pri	xviii.
MHC phacht etc yyyii Ger. Price	ster, xxxv.
Ger. (dial.) Phak, xxxiv. MHG. pris	ilig, xxxviii.
MHG. phar. etc., xxxvi Armor. prog	nc, 312.
MHG. pharreherre, pharragre Pers. pulc	id, xli.
XXXV. Soy. pule	n, 47.
MHG. pharrhof, xxxv. Alt. pür	ik, 35.
MHG. phatene, etc., xxxvi.	
O (1:1) 12 (1: 1)	, 174.
C (3:-1) D1 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	m, 174.
BATTO 1	$\bar{a}n$, xlv.
	, 129.
357701 14 1	ş, 196.
C (1: 1) D1:	şah, 196.
NETTO 11	ār, xliv.
	, 295.
	m, 317.

Arab.	$q\bar{a}q\bar{u}n$, 317.
Arab.	qard, 313, 317.
Arab.	qarqadun, 317.
Arab.	qarqadzaun, 317.
Geor.	qarqumi, 318.
Arab.	qarts, 317.
Arab.	qaunişah, 196.
Syr.	$q\bar{e}t\bar{e},238.$
Syr.	grågså, 297.
Ital. (dial	
LLat.	quartaronus, İxxvi.
Vogul	quinus, 317.
LLat.	quovenna, 203.
Arab.	qurt, 193.
Lat.	rachina, 38.
OFr.	radis, xxxvii.
OProv.	raditz, rais, xxxvii.
Guj.	rājāvaral, 118.
OHG.	rangleih, 327.
LLat.	raphium, 53.
Egyp.	rek, 326.
LLat.	retaglum, lxii.
Eng.	retail, lxii.
Ger.	Rettig, xxxvii, xxxviii.
Ital.	riparo, 237.
Ital.	ritaglio, lxi.
LLat.	rubus, lxxvi.
LLat.	rufium, 53.
Egyp.	$s\dot{a}b$, 66.
Sansk.	śabara, 26.
Arab.	šabb, 191.
Gr.	sabea, 144.
Arah	sahiyyah 141 148

Arab. sabiyyah, 141, 148. saga, 38. šagarat, 289. Lat. Arab. šaģaraţan, 69. šāhēn, 292. sahliyyah, 309. sahraţ, 289. Arab. Arab. Arab. Arab. sāhwār, 126. sairah, 22. Pers. Arab. Arab. sairah, 22.
Boh. (Am.) sajboch, lxxix.
Boh. (Am.) sajn, lxxix.
Gr. σαλαμάνδρα, 307.
Fr. salamandro, 307.
Ital. salamita, 307.
LLat. sal gemme, 191.
LLat. salsim, 336.
Egyp sain 306 sam, 306. sam, 305. sam, 305. šamaḥa, 305. samām, 305. Egyp. Talm. Syr. Arab. Arab.

Syr.	samåmīṭå, 304.
Pers.	samandal, 307.
Pers.	samandar, 307.
Pers.	samandir, 307.
Pers.	samandail 207
Dana	samand $\bar{u}k$, 307. samand $\bar{u}l$, 307.
Pers.	samanaui, 307.
Pers.	$samand\bar{u}n, 307.$
Pers.	samandūn, 307. samandur, 307.
Assyr.	šamaš, 304.
Sansk.	śambara, 26.
Arab.	čamām 205
	šamīm, 305. samm, 305. šamma, 305.
Arab.	samm, 305.
Arab.	\$amma, 305.
Talm.	$samm\bar{a}n,305.$
Talm.	$samm\bar{a}n\dot{a},~305.$
Pers.	sāmmi abras, 307.
	agmandin 20°
Heb.	$samm\bar{u}m, 305.$
Arab.	šams, 305 .
Arab.	samsar, xlv.
Assyr.	samsar, xlv. šamšu, 304.
Assyr.	$\check{s}am\bar{u}, 304.$
Arab.	sanawwar, 19.
	sandwar, 15.
OHG.	sancleih, 327.
Arab.	šanf, 208.
Syr.	sanūrā, 19.
Syr.	sanwarţā, 19. sanwarţā, 19.
Chald.	sanwartā. 19.
Arab.	sagrat 202
Tat.	sagrat, 292. šar, 23.
	sar, 23.
Arab.	sārā, 22. šārīr, 22.
Heb.	$\dot{s} \bar{a} r \bar{i} r$, 22.
Lett.	sarma, 321.
Ital.	sarmandola, 307.
Lett.	sarmulinsch, 321.
Assyr.	šāru, 22.
Lap.	sarva, 46.
Esth.	sarwe, 46. sarwi, 46. σαύρα, 309.
Fin.	sarwi, 46.
Gr.	σαύρα, 309.
Gr.	σαύρα ήλιακή, 306.
Sansk.	kavara 26
Ital.	sbarra, 237.
	shannan 927
Ital.	sbarrare, 237.
AS.	sceat, 223.
AS.	sceatline, 223.
AS.	sceldreda, 220.
AS.	scēte, 222.
Ger. (dial.) Schepper, xxxiii.
Ger.	schöndinglein 319
Gor.	schöndinglein, 312.
Ger.	schönthierlein, 312.
онс.	schuta, 222.
Ger.	schuta, 222. schütt, 223.
MDut.	schutten, 223.
MHG.	schützen, 223.
	,

AS.	scildan,221.
	- : 14: 000
OHG.	scitti, 222.
Slav.	scilti, 222. ščit, 222. scot, 226.
AS.	scot 226
	220.
OHG.	scōza, 223. scūdan, 223. scutel, 223.
AS.	$sc\bar{u}dan$, 223.
AS.	scutel. 223.
LLot (au	scute, 223. m) scute, 224. scute, 223. scyte, 222. scytel, 223. scyttel, 223.
Mat. (cui	m) scuto, 224.
MDut.	scutte, 223.
AS.	scūte, 222.
AS	scutel 223
A C	3cytet, 220.
AS.	scyttan, 223.
AS.	scyttel, 223.
Egyp.	
Heb.	sěmāmīţ, 305. šěmāmīţ, 305. šemem, 306. šemt, 306.
m i	semamu, sos.
Talm.	semamit, 305.
Egyp.	\$emem, 306.
Egyp.	šemt 306
Capp.	-tt 200
Gr.	$v\eta \psi$, $\sigma 00$.
Gr.	σῆψις, 300.
Egyp.	šemu, 306.
Sur	čerá 22
Syr.	σηψίς, 300. šemu, 306. šerå, 22.
Gr.	$2\eta\rho\epsilon s$, XIIII.
Lett.	Σηρες, xliii. sermulis, 321.
LLat.	serra, 77.
C	čerudna 00
Syr.	šeryana, 22.
Copt.	ses, 7.
Syr. Copt. Gr.	šeš, 7. σήs, 300. Siberia, 26.
Eng.	Siberia 26
Dugo	Sibir 96
Russ.	Sioir, 20.
AS.	siđe, 204.
AS.	siade, 204.
Arab.	Sibir, 26. siđe, 204. sigde, 204. silda, 219.
	50,00 CO
Talm.	STRUT. ZVI.
Assyr.	siriam, 22. širiam, 22. širta, 22.
Babil.	širiam 22
Palm.	šinta 22
aim.	siria, 22.
OPruss.	sirwis, 46.
Heb.	$\dot{s}iry\ddot{a},22.$
Heb.	širuon. 22.
	sirwis, 46. širyā, 22. širyōn, 22. sisu, 97.
LLat.	3134, 91.
Goth.	skauts, 223. šlīḥå, 150.
Syr.	šlīḥå, 150.
Syr.	šmaya, 305. šmeš, 305.
Syr.	žmοž 205
Syr.	smes, 505.
Manch.	soloki, 314.
Mong.	solongga, 315.
	Q 16: 21 E
Vogul	DOIST, 515.
Sansk.	Śolśi, 315. soma, 304. šōnf, 208. šōr, 22.
Copt.	šonf, 208.
Heb.	šor. 22
	šōr, 22. šoš, 7.
Copt.	δυδ, 1.
OHG.	sparro, 237. species, lxxiv.
LLat.	species, lxxiv.

OHG. sperran, 237. LLat. spola, lxxvi. Ital. stadera, lxxvi. Boh. (Am.) štapuju, lxxix. Lat. statera, lxxvi. steelyard, lxxvi. Eng. stellere, lxxvi. stellio, 307. Stier, 23. MEng. Lat. Ger. MEng. stillere, lxxvi. štit, 222. Slav. stoat, 316. Eng. Eng. stote, 316. Lith. stumbras, 19. Lett. stumbrs, 19. Assyr. subartu, 26. suhlafā, 219. Arab. sulahfa, 219. Arab. Arab. sulhāfah, 219. šumū, 304. συναφή, 208. Assyr. Gr. šunf, 208. Arab. Ger. (dial.) Supfe, xxxiii. Heb. šūr, 22. surr, 22. šuru, 23. Arab. Assyr. Tat. syr, 23. szarma, 321.Lith. Lith. szarmonys, 321. Magy. szarv, 46. Magy. szarvas, 46. Chin. sze, xliii. Lith. szermű, 318. Ger. Tabak, xxxiii. MHG. Ger.

tabûr, xxxvi. Tafel, xxxi. Arab. tagallus, 325. MGr. ταγάριον, 29. τάγιστρον, 30. MGr. MGr. ταγίζω, 30. Arab. ţāla ţūlūka, 330. talīq, 233. Arab. LLat. tallium, lxi. talq, 233. tambûr, etc., xxxvi. Arab. MHG. tāmur, 2. Arab. τάνη, τάνος, τάνυς, 69. Gr. Chin. tăng, xliv. Lat. tangomena, 197. MHG. tapeiz, xxxvi. tapié, 35. Guar. MHG. tapît, xxxvi. Tupi tapy'ra, 35.

Anab	tām 29	AS.	tifer, 31.
Arab.	tār, 32.	Mal.	timbang, xliv.
Egyp.	tarayna, 22.		
Pol.	tar za, 21.	Arab.	timsāh, 302.
Pers.	targ, 21.		tins, XXXIV.
OFr.	targe, 21.		tinteino, xlviii.
Prov.	tarlantano, xlviii.	Prov.	tirangèino, xlviii.
Prov.	tarlatano, xiviii.	Prov.	tirantèino, xlviii.
OFr.	tarletan, xlvii.	Prov.	tiratagno, xlviii.
OFr.	tartaine, xlvi.	OFr. Fr.	tire, 31. tiretaine, xlv.
Eng.	tartan, xlvi.	OFr.	tiretier, xlviii.
OFr.	tartar, tartarin, xlvi.		
OFr.	tartareus, xlvi.	LLat.	tiretum, 1. 1.) tirintin, xlviii.
OFr.	tartarin, xlvii.		timitaina vluii
LLat.	tartariscus, xlvi.	Span.	tiritaina, xlvii.
LLat.	tartarium, xlvi.	Span.	tiritaña, xlvi.
OFr.	tartarne, xIVI.	Prov. LGer.	tirlanteino, xlvin. tirletei, xlix.
OFr.	tarterne, xlvi.		
MHG.	tartsche, 21.	Prov.	tirlintèino, xlviii.
Mzab	tašimšeramt, 309.	LGer.	tirumtei, xlıx.
Syr.	taură, 23.	Chin.	t'o, 23.
Gr.	ταῦρος, 23.	Ger. (diai.) Tôbich, xxxiii, xxxviii.
Arab.	tavr, 23.	Turk.	tobra, 29.
Jap.	tawara, 24.	Kann.	togal, 24.
Arab.	tawr, 31.	Tul.	togalu, 24.
Cech	tchoř, 317.	OFr.	toivre, 31.
Pol.	tchórz, 317.	Cant.	t'ok, 23.
Tat.	tearie, 24.	Kann.	tokku, 24.
MHG.	tebich, xxxvi.	Tam.	tol, 24.
Chin.	<i>tëen-ping</i> , xliv.	Rum.	tolbă, 29.
Copt.	telfi, 307.	Malayal.	toli, 24.
Jap.	tembin, xliv.	Tel.	tōlu, 24.
Jap.	tempin, xliv.	MGr.	τομάρι, 27.
MHG.	tepit, tept, etc., xxxvi.	Tat.	ton, 26.
Ger.	Teppich, xxxvi, xxxviii.	MHG.	töppich, xxxvi.
Arab.	terbul, 290.	Uig.	tör, 20.
LLat.	terebolim, 290.	Rum.	torba, 29.
Tat.	teri, 24.	Alb.	torbe, 29.
LLat.	terrobuli, 290.	Čag.	töre, 20.
OFr.	tertaine, xlvi.	Ger.	Tornister, 30.
Egyp.	tešer, 4.	Toda	torra, 24.
MHG.	tewich, xxxvi.	Copt.	torš, 3.
Annam.	thang, xliv.	Alb.	torve, 29.
Copt.	tharmi, 22.	MGr.	τουβραs, 29.
Wars.	thazer mumith, 309.	MGr.	τουρβάs, 29.
Gr.	$\theta\dot{\eta}\rho$, 25.	Kann.	toval, 24.
LLat.	thereboleni, 290.	Ruth.	tovar, 27.
Annam.	thicn-binh, xliv.	OBulg.	tovarină, 27.
Gr.	$\theta\omega\rho$, 23.	OBulg.	tovarŭ, 27.
Copt.	thôrš, 3.	Copt.	tragalafo, 7.
AS.	tiber, 31.		tredaina, xlviii.
Goth.	byahan, 202.		tredan, tredon, xlv111.
Ger.	Tiegel, xxxv1.	Fr. (dial.)	trépelanna, xlviii.
OProv.	tieira, 31.) Trepfe, xxx, xxxiii.
Dutch	tiereteyn, xlviii.	Ger.	Treppe, xxx.

Port.	tricana, xlvii.	OHG.	urlac, 328.
Fr.	tricot, xlviii.	OHG.	urliugi, 328.
Prov.	tridagno, xlviii.	AS.	urum, 98.
Prov.	tridaino, xlviii.	LLat.	urus, 68, 76.
Prov.	tridèino, xlviii.	Tat.	üs, 26.
LGer.	trittendei, xlix.	LLat.	uuas dus, 334.
Eng.	trone, lxxvi.	OHG.	uueith, 332.
	n.) trublovat, lxxix.	AS.	uuetma, 210.
$\underline{\mathbf{Yen}}$.	tschoel-ass, 315.	OHG.	uuimstun, 98.
Pers.	tubra, 29.	OHG.	uuinta, 47.
LLat.	tuctalani, xlviii.	Goth.	uuisdile, 333.
Tul .	tugalu, 24.	OHG.	uuistun, 99.
Arab.	tuhallisnā, 228.	AS.	uuituma, 210.
Egyp.	tuïrana, 22.	AS.	uusend, 98.
Goth.	tulgiþa, 233.		•
Goth.	tulgjan, 233.	Ital (die	1) vanila 202
OS.	tulgo, 233.		I.) vacile, 202.
Goth.	tulgus, 233.	Mar.	vaidugra, 118.
Goth.	tul tul 320	Hind.	vaidūrya, 118.
	tul tul, 329.	Sansk.	vaidurya, 117.
Arab.	tuluq, 233.	Ital.	vasca, 202.
Tat.	tün, 26.		1.)vaschetta, 202.
m Russ.	tur, 20.	Ital. (dia	l.)vasillo, 202.
Čag.	tür, 20.	Kann.	$v\bar{a}yaja$, 118.
Ger .	Turm, xxxi, xxxviii.	LLat.	veia, 203.
MHG.	turm, turn, xxxvi.	LLat.	venna, 205.
OHG.	turri, turra, xxxi, xxxvi.	LLat.	vesonius, 99.
Lat.	turris, xxxvi.	LLat.	visallin, 336.
Mong.	turtum, xlix.	LLat.	visalsin, 336.
Manch.	turtun, xlix.	LLat.	visontus, 99.
Ital.	tuttalana, xlviii.	LLat.	visuntus, 97, 99.
Kota	tuval, 24.	LLat.	vitrum, 336.
Tat.	tuvar, 24.	LLat.	vitulus, 97.
PP 1	tuwarš, 24.	MHG.	vörzich, xxxviii.
Toda Sanalr		OHG.	
Sansk.	tvac, 24.		vrim, 98.
Kann.	tvakku, 24.	OHG.	vuarca, 333.
Fuch.	tw'ak, 23.	OHG.	vuarencibla, 333.
AS.	tylg, 233.	OHG.	vuistun, 98.
Lat.	tympanis margaritis, 190.	OHG.	vvehte, 332.
		OHG.	vveuth, 332.
LLat.	ualuus, 98.		
OHG.	uarix, 334.	Arab.	wabar, lvii.
LLat.	uattuus, 98.	AS.	waescan, 202.
Copt.	ubahse nterš, 4.	AS.	waescern, 202.
Egyp.	$u \chi e t \bar{\imath}$, 174.	AS.	waid, waisd, 335.
	$u\chi e t u'$, 174.	OHG.	waido, 332.
Egyp.	$u\chi tu'$, 174.	Arab.	wa'l, 54.
Egyp. Arab.	'udfūt, 308.	Arab.	waral, 54.
		Arab.	
Arab.	'ūdzfūt, 308.	1	waran, 54.
LLat.	uescada, 201.	Arab.	warar, 54. Ware, 29.
Redam.	ujizzam, 309.	Ger.	vv are, 25.
Arab.	'umm-hubain, 175.	Eng.	wares, 29. waru, 29.
Arab.	'unq, 231.	AS.	waru, 29.
Arab.	'unsah, 47.	OHG.	wascan, 202.
Tat.	ür, 26.	OHG.	wasd, 334.

WORD INDEX

AS.	waxan, 202.
AS.	waxsan, 202.
Arab.	wazal, 54.
Arab.	wazan, 54.
AS.	weard, 332.
Chin.	weilei, lxxiv.
Sing.	weļūriya, 118.
OHG.	wend, 332.
AS.	we send, 98 .
AS.	we otuma, 210.
Ger.	Wisent, 19.
	.) wiska, lxxix.
Pruss.	wissambris, 19.
Burg.	wittimo, 212.
OHG.	wurmmelo, 301.

Heb. yaḥmūr, 2.
Syr. yaḥmūr, 2.
Arab. yāmūr, 2, 69.
Arab. yāqūt, 131.
Arab. yaṣr, 178.
Arab. yaṭma, 140.
Arab. yaṭmah, 138, 210.
Arab. yuṣr, 178.

WRuss. Xadór, xxxii. WRuss. Xadós, xxxii. WRuss. Xvedós, xxxii. WRuss. Xvjódor, xxxii.

LLat. Zabadia, 213. Ger. Zabel, xxxi. Zabel, XXXI.
zabrů, 19.
zambůr, XXXVI.
zarájah, 53.
zartānē, 69.
zebar, 31.
Zebdi, 213.
zebed, 214.
Zebedaeus, 213.
žebris 19. OBulg. MHG. Arab. Eth. OHG. LLat. Heb. LLat. Lith. žebris, 19. Heb. zĕēb, 66. zeppet, xxxvi. zero'a, 20. Ziegel, xxxi. MHG. Heb. Ger. Rum. zîmbru, 19. Ger. Zins, xxxiv. LLat. zizeria, 194. ζόμβρος, 19. Gr. ζωνάριον, 20. Gr. zumbrs, 19. zurū'u, 20. zvěrĭ, 25. Lett. Assyr. OBulg. Lith. zvèris, 25. Lett. zvērs, 25.

Pruss. zvērs, 25. Ger. zvērins, 25. Zwiebel, xxxvii.

SUBJECT INDEX

Achaine, in Antigonus of Carystia, Apollonius, Aristotle, 63; in Anthologia Palatina, Babrius, 64; in Oppian, 65.

Achasium, in Salic law, 215 f.

Achates, and the pearl, 131; not in Ethiopic version of the pearl, 144; and John the Baptist, 144; and the dropsy stone, 175; and fishing for pearls, 183, 216.

Achlin, in Pliny, 61 f.

Ada MS., and Rufinus' Benedic-

tions compared, 280.

 $Ad-Dam\bar{\imath}r\bar{\imath}$, and the giraffe, 59; and the yahmūr, 68; and the kite, 240 f.; and the unicorn, 243 f.; and the lion, 285.

Aelian, and the tarandus, 55; and the monops, 89; and the pearl, 123; and Arabic pearl names, 130.

Aeschylus, and bubalis, 102.

Aethicus, and Francus, 11; and the Hercynian Forest, 342.

Affrication, in German, still going

on, xxxii. Agate, and pearl, 131; see Achates. Agriculture, and Second Sound

Shifting, xxxvi f. ³Αγρῶσται, in Apollonius, 63.

ALCE, THE, 59-67.

Alce, in Caesar, 60; in Pausanias, 60 f.; in Pliny, 61; in Solinus, 62; in Isidore and Calpurnius, 64; in Augustan histories, 64 f.; in an early document, 65.

Alcuin, and the Benedictions of the Patriarchs, 267, 272 ff.

Alexander, and the Paeonians, 13.

Al-Qazwīnī, and the yaḥmūr, 68. Alumen, in mediaeval alchemy, 191. Amber, its etymological history, 221. Ambrose, his Benedictions of the Patriarchs, 261 f.

American influence on Bohemian, lxxix.

Amiens, and the fishmongers, lxvi.

Ammianus, and the bubalus, 9, 102; and unio, 207 f.; and Hyrcania, 347 f.

Anglo-Saxon laws, and dower, 212. Anthologia graeca, and the Paeonian bull, 17.

ANTHOLOPS, THE, 68-74.

Antholops, in the Liber glossarum, 70; in Berne MS. 233, 70 f.; in eleventh century MS., 71; various forms of the word, 72; leads to Eng. antler, 72; development of Esopic stag story, 72 ff.

Antigonus of Carystia, and tarandus, 57; and achaine, 63; and monops,

Antiquitas, and Hermeneumata leidensia, 74; and urus, 82 f.

Apicius Caelius, and the "gizzard" words, 194.

Apollonius, and achaine, 63.

Aqhabain, Arabic name for buffalo and elephant, 2, 62; leads to Pliny's achlin, axin, 62 f.

Arabic, in foreign languages, and the Germanic Ixxviii; languages, lxxxi; genealogies, 11; origin of pearl story in Physiologus, 148; magic in Germanic laws, 227; Morris dance and the Goths, 328 ff.

Architectural terms, and the Second

Sound Shifting, xxxvi.

Aristotle, bonasus in, an interpolation, 42 f., 85 ff.; and tarandus, 56; and achaine, 63; and monapos, 85 f.; and camurus, 95 f.; and bubalis, 102; and the vulture, 295 f.

Ascites, dropsy stone, translation of

Egyptian hat, 175.

Aspidochelone, and the whale, 238; in Basil, 238 f.; in Oppian, 239. Aspiration, and Upper German

peculiarity, xxxi.

Athenaeus, and the Paeonian bull, 15 ff.; on Oppian, an interpolation, 104; and the pearl, 126 ff.; and "berberi," 141.

Atharva-veda, and the pearl, 121. Augustan History, and alce, 64 f.; and bardocucullus, 200.

Autolops, its etymology, 74; see Antholops.

Avienus, and camurus, 94 f.

constituted, Avoir-du-pois, what lxxi.

Axin, in Pliny, 63.

Bardaicus, in Juvenal and Augustan

History, 200.

Bardocucullus, in Martial and Au-

gustan History, 200.

Bascauda, in Martial, 200 f.; its further history and etymology, 201 f.

Basil, De contubernalibus ascribed to, of late origin, 239. Bede, and the One Pearl, 157.

Benedictions of the Patriarchs, and the lion in Ambrose, 253 ff.; in St. Augustine, 259 ff., 266; St. Augustine's based on Ambrose, 261; and Jerome, 262; and Cyril of Alexandria, 262; and Theodoret, 263 f.; and Epiphanius of Cyprus, 264 ff.; in Ildefonsus, 266; in Isidore, 266 ff.; in Alcuin, 267, 272 ff.; in Rufinus, a forgery, 270 f., 279 ff.; in Paulinus, 271 f.;

and the Cub.

Benna, in Flodoardus, 205. Beryl, its history, 114 ff.; in Pliny, 114 ff.; in Sanskrit and the Semitic languages, 117 f.; in the Latin glosses, 118; in the Germanic glosses, 119; in the Romance languages, 119 f.; confused with the crystal, 120.

and Ad-Damīrī, 285; see Lion

the crystal, 120.

BISON, THE, 97-107.

Bison, the, not the Paeonian bull,
18; the word due to a misread
vitulus, 97, 99; in the GraecoLatin glosses, 97 f.; in the Germanic glosses, 98 f.; in Dio Cassius an interpolation, 99 f.; meateating, in Timotheus of Gaza,

taken from Strabo, 100; in Pliny, an interpolation, 100 f.; in Seneca, 102; in Martial, 102; in Pausanias, 103; in Oppian, 103; Oppian's, based on Strabo, 106.

Black, history of the word, 297 ff.

Bloomfield, as a critic, xxiv.

Bohemian, in America, its Anglicisms, Ixxix.

Boihemum, its etymology, 355.

Bolsheviks, and linguistic abbreviations, lxxx.

Bonasus, in Aristotle, 42 ff.; its etvmology, 99.

Bos cervi figura, 59; in Caesar, 60. Bradley, Henry, as a critic and philologist, xxv ff.

Brevis Expositio in Verg. Georg., and urus, 77.

Bubalis, in Herodotus, 101; in Eustathius. 101; due to "in Eustathius, 101; due to bubalis" in the Bible, 102; in Oppian, 107.

BUBALUS, THE, INTHEBIBLE, 1-10.

Bubalus, the, in the Bible, translation of Heb. yaḥmūr, 2; animal raised for slaughter, 4; translation of Heb. bāqār, 4; understood as "bos silvester," 5 f.; in Jerome, 5; in Lausiae History, 6; not the antelope, 6; in the Life of Abbot Paul, 7; in Palaephatus, 8; in Diodorus Siculus, 8; in Dioscorides, 8; in Leo, 8 f.; in Ammianus, 9; in Gregory, 10; in Pseudo-Rufinus, 10; identified with gazelle, 75; in Martial, 75; in Isidore, 75; introduced into Italy in 595 A.D., 82; in the glosses, 97; in Aristotle and Ammianus, 102; in Oppian, 106; see Bison, Buffalo, Urus. BUFFALO HIDES, 19-39.

Buffalo, in Ad-Damīrī, 3; -skins, their influence upon early civilizations, 21; and transportation, 23 ff.; substitutes in Africa, 32 f.; in the Congo, 33; in America, 33f. BULL OF PAEONIA, THE, 11-

18.

Burgundians, and Dispargum, 15; their laws and the dower, 212.

Bustard, in Aelian, 89; in Ad-Damīrī, 90; its excrement as a weapon, 90 f.; confused with monops, 91; see Monops.

Caesar, and alce, 60; and urus, 84; and woad, 338; and the Hercynian Forest, 342 f., 346 f.

Calpurnius, and alce, 64.

Cam, in Pliny, 53. Camelopard, 49 ff.; in Solinus called nabun, 50; in Pausanias, 60.

Camlet, its history, lviii.
Camurus, in Vergil, 78; in Macrobius, 78 f.; in Isidore, 78, 92 f.; not in Codex Bernensis XVI, 83 f.; in Paulus Diaconus, 92; in Nonius, 92; in the glosses, 93; in Servius, 93; stands for camusus, 93 f.; produces the "chamois" words, 94; in Prudentius, 94; in Avienus, 94 f.; in Aristotle, 95.

Cantarus, not the same as cen-

tenarius, lxxvi.

Caravan route, and philology, 23 ff. Cassiodorus, and Tacitus, 340.

Cerda, an interpolation in Vergil, 76. Chameleon, in Isidore, 49; confused with pardus and tragelaphus, 54. Chamois, its etymology, 94; see Camurus.

CHARADRIUS, THE, 291-293.

Charadrius, in the Liber glossarum, 291 f.; from Arabic sagrat, 292. China, and philology, xli; and its Greece, relations with xliii; and European cloth, xlv.

Church, and philology, xxxi; and retarded Sound Shifting, xxxiv.

Claudianus, and tattooing, 339. Clement of Alexandria, and the One Pearl, 150 f.

Cloth, and philology, xlv, at retail, lxii.

Codex Alexandrinus, and the tragelaphus, 40.

Codex Cassinensis 402, and camurus, 93.

Codex Florentinus, of the century, 164 f.

Cod. Reg. 2 C. XII., and the pearl, 144 ff.

Conington, his dating of Mediceus,

Coral, the, and its properties, 176 ff.; in Isidore, 179; in Solinus, 180; in Zoroaster, 180; and ebony, 180 ff.; in the Arabic writers, 182 f.; and the gorgonia, 183 f.

Covinnarius, in Tacitus, 204 f. Covinnus, its history, 202 ff.; in Martial, 202, in Lucan, 202, 205; arose from misunderstanding of passage in Pseudo-Berosus, 203; in Silius Italicus, 205; in Mela, 205.

Critics, and the author, the difference between them, lxxxi.

Crotalia, in Pliny, 193; in Petronius, 193 f.

Crystal, and beryl, 120; and glaesum,

Cunningham, and the grocer, lx. Cyprian's Liber de habitu virginum, basis for Tertullian's forgery, 162 ff.

Cyril of Alexandria, and the lion and the cub, 262 ff.

Darius, and the Paeonians, 13 f. Davidsohn, and garbo wool, li f. De Candolle, and plums, xxxvi f. De cultu foeminarum, of Tertullian, a forgery, 161 ff.

De Nolhac, dates Romanus as of

VIII. century, 80. Détail, not the same as retail, lxiv.

Digest of Justinian, interpolated, 164 ff.; and unio, 165 f.; and tympanis margaritis, 190; and elenchi, 191 ff.

Diocletian's Edict, and lynx, 66. Dio Cassius, and the bison, 100. Diodorus Siculus, and bubalus, 8. Dioscorides, and bubalus, 8.

Dispargum, and the Burgundians, 14 f.

Doberus, its etymology, 26. Do item, in Isidore, 198 f. Doren, and garbo wool, lii.

Dos, in Pliny, 197 f.; in Hilary, 198;

see Dower.

Dower, and the pearl, 198 ff.; in Arabic law, 199; in Anglo-Saxon law, 210; in Burgundian law, 210 ff.; in Gothic law, 213; in Gregory of Tours, 214; in Salic law, 214 ff.; see Achasium, Pearl, Morgingeba.

Dropsy stone, in Physiologus, 172 ff.; in Syriac version, 173; in Arabic version, 173 f.; in Greek version, 174; in Greek version based on Arabic source, 175.

Ebony, and coral, 180 ff.; in Pliny, 181 f.

ECONOMIC HISTORY AND PHILOLOGY, xxxix-lxxvii.

Elenchus, in the Digest, 191; in Juvenal, 192; its etymology, 192 f. Elk, its etymology, 45 f.

Elk horns, in an early document, 65. Emancipated slave, in Langebard law, 231 ff.

Emparamentum, its meaning and etymology, 225, 233 ff.

Engrossing, not cause for naming grocers, lxxii.

Ephraem, his Rhythm of The Pearl,

149 f.; his Third Rhythm, 166 f.; his Second Rhythm, 167 f.; and the One Pearl, 169 ff.; and the pelican, 294 f.

Epiphanius of Cyprus, and the lion and the cub, 264 f.

Ermine, its philological history, 318 ff.

Esop, and the stag, 72 ff.

Ethiopic version of the pearl story,

Eucherius, and the tragelaphus, 45. Euryceros, in Oppian, 65; = platyceros, 106.

Eusebius-Jerome, on Oppian, an interpolation, 104. Eustathius, and the bubalis, 101 f.

F in Slavic languages, xxxii f.

Felt, its history, 35 ff.
FIREBEARING STONES, THE, 289-290.

Firebearing Stones, in Pliny and Origen, 289; in the Physiologus,

Fireflies, in Pliny, 344; see Hercynian Birds.

Flodoardus, and benna, 205. France, and agriculture, xxxvii. Francus, in Aethicus, 11.

Franks, no history of, before VIII. century, 11; story of their origin, 11 ff.; their genealogy, 14.
Fredegar, and the Franks, 11 ff.;
and the unicorn, 243. Friga, and the Paeonians, 13. Fulcfreal, in Langebard law, 231 ff.

Galaad, in Jerome, 217 ff.

Ġāmūs, see Gammus. GAMMUS, THE, 92-96.

Gammus, in the glosses, 92; leads to "chamois" words, 94.

Garb, of the Arabians, lii.

Garbitta paper, lv. Garbo, wool, li ff.; and wool from Garb not the same, liii; paper, lv; cloth originally made from goat's hair, lix.

Garp, cloth, defined, liv.

Gennadius, and Rufinus' Benedictions of the Patriarchs, 271.

Geoponica, and coral, 180 f. GERMAN LOAN-WORDS AND THE SECOND SOUND SHIFT-ING, xxix-xxxix.

Germanic languages, and Arabic, lxxviii.

Giraffe, in Pliny, 53; in Ad-Dam $\bar{i}r\bar{i}$,

59 f.; see Camelopard. Gizeria, in Apicius Caelius, 195; in Petronius, 195.

Gizzard, its etymology, 195 ff. GLAESUM, 322-341.
Glaesum, in Solinus, 322; in Pliny, 322 ff.; in Arabic, 324 f.; in the Germanic and Celtic vocabularies, 324 f.; the "terror" words derived from it in Arabic, 325; in the Romance languages, 325 f.; in the Germanic languages, 326; the "shine" words derived from it in the Germanic languages, 330 f.; originally leads to "yellow" words, 332; and the "woad" words, 332 ff.; in Pliny and Solinus, interpolations, 338; in Tacitus, 340; in Cassiodorus, 340 f.

Goats, abhorred in the Middle Ages, lviii; -hair, in the Middle Ages, lv ff.

Gorgia, in Solinus, 183. Gorgonia, in Pliny, 183. Gothic Bible, translated from Graeco-Arabic originally, 228.

Goths, and the Morris dance, 329. Greek relations with China, xliii ff. Gregory of Tours, and the Franks,

Gregory the Great, and the bubalus, 10; and the ibex, 42; and the One Pearl, 157; and the unicorn, 244 ff.; his Moralia interpolated, 248 ff.

Grial, and the Benedictions of the

Patriarchs, 266 f.

Grocer, his history, lx ff.; an iron worker, lxi; not a wholesale dealer but a commission merchant, lxv ff.; fishmongers, lxvi; wine merchant who sells to "goodmen", lxviii; who sells grosses, lxxi f.; not so called from engrossing, lxxii.

Gross goods, defined, lxiii; not the same as "wholesale," lxiv; the undivided mass as received in

commission, lxvii.

Grossier, see Grocer.

Harz Murgānah, 141 f., 152.

Hermeneumata leidensia, and German Antiquitas, 74.

Hermeneumata montepessulana, and sisu, 97.

Hercynian Birds, in Aethicus, 342; in Pliny, 342; in Solinus, 343 f.; in Isidore, 344; an adaptation of the Arabic word for "firefly," 345.

HERCYNIAN FOREST, THE,

342**-**356.

Hercynian Forest, in Aethicus, 342; in Pliny, 342 f.; in Solinus, 343; in Isidore, 344; and Hyrcania, 345; in Caesar, 346 f.; in Livy, 347; confused with Hyrcanian Forest of Julian, 347f.; in Strabo, 349 f.; in Tacitus, 352 ff.; in the Periegesis, 355; confusion with Hyrcanian Forest very common, 356.

Herodianus, and tattooing, 339. Herodotus, and Paeonia, 13; and the

bubalis, 101.

Hesychius, and bubalis, 101. Hexaemeron, and tarandus, 56. Hilary, and the One Pearl, 151 ff.; and the harz Murganah, 152; and the dos, 198.

Hind, its etymology, 46 f.

Hippalector, 40.

Hippelaphus, and interpolation in Aristotle, 43 f.; 88.

History, and Philology, xl f.

Historia tripartita, an interpolation in the, 104 f.

Hoffmann's dating of Mediceus, 79. Horapollo, and the vulture, 296.

Huart, as a critic, xxv.

Hyrcania, origin of Hercynian Forest, 345; and Ammianus, 347 f.; and Julian, 348; and Strabo, 349; see Hercynian Forest.

Ibex, the same as tragelaphus, and confused with ibis, 42; and tragelaphus, 54; and yaḥmūr, 68; and gāmūs confused, 91.

Iberia, and Hyrcania, 349.

Ibis, confused with ibex, 42; and monops, 90 f.

Ildefonsus, and the lion and the cub, 266.

Indian ink, and indigo, confused, 337 f.

Indian stones, see Dropsy stones.

Indigo, its etymological history, 335 ff.; in Pliny, 336; confused with Indian ink, 337; in Oribasius, 337.

Indo-European philology, its faults, lxxvii ff.

Indo-Germanic civilization, never existed, xl.

Iorcos, in Oppian, 65.

Isidore, and ibex and ibis, 42; and tragelaphus, 44; and lynx, 52; and alce, 64; and bubalus, 75; and urus, 78; and coral, 179; and do item, 198 f.; and lacerna, 222; and unicorn, 240; and the Benedictions of the Patriarchs, 266.

Jerome, and tragelaphus, 42; on Oppian, an interpolation, 103; and the pearl, 137 f.; to Rusticus Monachus, a forgery, 160 f.; and Galaad, 217 f.

John the Baptist, and achates, 144.

Jordanes, and scythed chariot, 206;

and tattooing, 339.

Julian, and the Hyrcanian Forest,
348 f.

Juvenal, and elenchus, 192; and Bardaicus, 200.

K, Gothic, and the Second Sound Shifting, xxxiv.

Karpūra-Mañjarī, and the pearl, 121 f.

Kite, in Ad-Damīrī, 240 f.; in Septuagint, changed to a stag and unicorn, 241 f.; in the Arabic version of the Physiologus, 242.

Kluge, and Second Sound Shifting, XXX.

Lämmergeier, see Vulture. Lana di garbo, defined, liii. Lana francesca, defined, liii. Langobard law, and fulcfreal, 231 f. Laterculus, and urus, 76; and other animals, 77; and ablinda, 307. Latin, in European languages, lxxx. Lausiac History, and bubalus, 6 f. Leo the Wise, and bubalus, 8 f. Lex Alamannorum, and bison, 99. Liber glossarum, and autolops, 70; and urus, 83; and camurus, 93; and bubalus, 97; and the pearl, 171 f.; and charadrius, 291 f.; and

pelican, 294 f.
LION, THE, 253-286.
Lion and the Cub, in St. Augustine, 253, 259 ff., 266; in Ambrose, 253 ff.; Augustine's based on Ambrose's, 261 f.; in Cyril of Alexandria, 262 f.; in Theodoret, 263; in Procopius of Gaza, 263; of the Physiologus, not in patristic literature, 264; in Epiphanius, 264 ff.; in Ildefonsus, 266; in Isidore, 266, 269 f.; in Pseudo-Eucherius, 270; in Isidore taken from Rufinus, 270; in Isidore's Etymologiae, an insertion, 278; in Rufinus, analyzed, 282 ff.; in Ad-Damīrī, very old, 285 f.; occupies first place on account of the Arabic word, 286.

Livy, and the Herevnian Forest, 347.

LIZARD, THE, 300-310.

Lizard words, in OHGerman, 301; Sanskrit musala and related words, 305; the "sun lizard" of the words, 305; the "sun lizard" of the Physiologus, 306; "salamander" words in Romance languages, 307; related to Lat. stellio, 307 f.; and German Eidechse, 308f.; and AS. efete, 309.

Lucan, and covinnus, 202.

Lycaon, 52.

Lynx, 50 ff.; and lycaon, 52; and iorcos, 66; in Diocletian, 66.

Macrobius, and urus, 78; and camurus, 92.

Madder words, in the Romance languages, 333 f.

Marcellus, and woad, 336.

 $Mar\hat{g}an = coral, 182.$

Margarita soluta, in Digest, 165 f.

Marsi, and the weasel, 311 f.

Martial, and bubalus, 75, 102; and bison, 102; and unio, 200 ff., 206 ff.; and bardocucullus, 200; and bascauda, 200 f.; and covinnus, 202 ff. Marvels of India, and the pearl, 138.

Mediceus, dating of, 79. Megasthenes, and the pearl, 122 f.

Meillet, as a critic, xxiv.

Mela, and lycaon, 52.

Mermecolion, a misreading of Arabic margān, 148. Meroveus, its etymology, 243.

Merovingians, and their eponymous hero, 11; their origin from the unicorn, 243.

Milyukov, and Russian abbreviations, lxxx.

Morgain, see Morgan, Harz Murģānah.

Morgan, talisman, 141 f.

Morgingeba, its history, 213 ff. MONOPS, THE, 85-91.

Monops, in Aristotle, 85; not a bison, 89.

Monotos, its etymology, 91; see Monops.

Morris' Austral English, and philander, xxvii.

Morris dance, of Arabic origin, 328.

Murganah, in Hilary, 152 ff.; see Morgan.

Mut of the Egyptian religion the mother par excellence, 296.

Nabun, in Solinus and Pliny, another name for camelopard, 50; its etymology, 52. Nonius, and camerus, 92.

Olympiodorus, and the pearl and

tragelaphus, 41. One, the, and Ephraem, 150; in Clement of Alexandria, 150; in Hilary, 151 ff.; in St. Augustine, 156 f.; in Gregory, 157; in Bede, 157; in Paschasius, 158 f.; in Jerome, 159; in his letter to Rusticus Monachus, 160.

Oppian, and the achaine, 65; and the iorcos, 65 f.; a forgery, 66; in Jerome, an interpolation, 103 f.; in Athenaeus, 104; in Sozomenus, 104; in Historia tripartita, 104; in Syncellus, 104; and the bison, 105; and euryceros, 106; and the bubalis, 107; and aspidochelone, 239.

Oribasius, and woad, 333; and indigo, 337.

Origen, and the tragelaphus, 41; and the pearl, 131 ff.; and firebearing stones, 289 f.

Otis, and bubalus confused, 91. Oxford Dictionary, and Bradley, xxvi; and its philology, xxvii; and philander, xxvii; its philological blunders, xlvi; confuses history of the grocer, lx; and the broker, lxxii.

Paeonia, Bull of, 11 ff.; and Herodotus, 13; mistaken for Pannonia, 14; and Athenaeus, 15; in Anthologia graeca, 17; not the bison, 18. Palaeography, of manuscripts of Georgica, 79 f.; of Rufinus' Benedictions of the Patriarchs, 280 f. Palaephatus, and the bubalus, 8. Palatinus, its dating, 80.

Pannonia, and Paeonia, 14. Paper, garbo, garbexa, lv.

Parandrus, in Solinus, 54; see Tarandus.

Pardus, and chameleon, confused, 54. Paschasius, and the One Pearl, 158 f. Paul, Abbot, and the bubalus, 7. Paulus' Festus, and gizeria, 195; and

benna, 203

Paulinus of Milan, and the lion and the cub, 274 ff.; his letter to Rufinus, 277 f.; confused by Isi-dore with Paulinus of Nola, 278.

Pausanias, and the camelopard, 60 f.; and the bison, 103.

Peaches, and the Second Sound

Shifting, xxxvii.
PEARL IN ARABIC LITERA-

TURE, THE, 129-142.
PEARL IN GREEK LITERATURE, THE, 121-128.
PEARL IN PLINY, THE, 176-

199.

PEARL IN THE PHYSIOLOGUS,

THE, 143-175.

Pearl, the, its philological history, 108 ff.; derived in Indian lan-guages from an "in front" word, 112 f.; derived in European languages from beryllus, 114 ff.; in the Atharva-veda, 121; in the Karpūra-Mañjarī, 121 f.; in Arrian, 122; in Megasthenes, 122 f.; in Aelian, 123; called "royal", 125 f.; 128; in Athenaeus, 126 ff.; in IX. century, Arabic author, 129 f.; called "the separated," 129 f.; as the Virgin, 130; in Coptic literature, 130 f.; connected with the agate, 131; in Origen, 131 ff.; in Pseudo-Athanasius and Theophylactus, 136; in Jerome, 137 f.; in The Book of the Marvels of India, 138; its relation to the Virgin and its birth in the morning due to an Arabic pun, 140; in Mas ūdi, 140 f.; as the Morgan talisman, 141 f.; and the Fée Morgain, 142; in the Ethiopic Physiologus, 143 f.; the oyster shell and John the Baptist, 144; in the Physiologus originally in Arabic, 144 f.; and the Virgin Mary and the birth of Christ, 144 ff.; in the Latin version based on a Syriac source, 148 f.; in Ephraem's Fifth Rhythm, 149f.;

conceived as "the One," 150 ff.; in Clement of Alexandria, 150 f.; in Hilary, 151 ff.; in Jerome, 159; in St. Augustine, 159 f.; in Jerome's letter to Rusticus Monachus, 160 f.; in Tertullian, 161 ff.; in Cyprian, 162 ff.; in the Digest, 164 ff.; and the agate, in Ephraem's Third Rhythm, 166 f.; in the Coptic version, 167; in Ephraem's Second Rhythm, 167 ff.; in the Latin version of the Physiologus, 171 ff.; in the Syriac version, 173; and the dropsy stone, 173 f.; in the Greek version, 174 f.; in Pliny, 176 ff.; and the coral, 178 ff.; in Solinus, 180; in Zoroaster, 180; in Idrīsi and Qazwini, 183; in Solinus, 183 f.; and unio, in Pliny, 184 ff.; and elenchus, in the *Digest*, 191; in Pliny, 193; and crotalia, in Pliny, 193; in Patronius, 103; in Patroniu 193; in Petronius, 193 f.; and dos, in Pliny, 197; in Hilary, 198; in Isidore, 198; and uniones, in Sulla, 199; in Martial, 200, 206 f.; in Ammianus, 207; in Spanish inscription, 208; and Arab. yatīmah, in Anglo-Saxon, 210; in the Burgundian laws, 210 ff.; in the Arabic Exodus, 212 f.; as morgingeba, in Gothic, 213; in Gregory of Tours, 214; in the Germanic laws, 214; in the Lex salica, 214 ff.

Peerlkamp, on an interpolation in Vergil, 76.

PELICAN, THE, 294-299.

Pelican, the, in the Liber glossarum, 294; as told by Ephraem, 294 f.; and the vulture, 295 ff.; confused with the vulture, 297; in the Arabic and the vulture, 298 f.

Pepperers, and grocers, lx.

Periegesis, and the Hercynian Forest, 355. Petronius, his Cena, a forgery, 194;

and gizeria, 195 ff.

Pf in Silesian dialects, xxxiii.

Ph and pf in German dialects, xxxiii.

Philanderer, as a term for Old School Philologists, xxvii. Philocalia, and zombros, 19.

PHILOLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE PEARL, THE, 108-120. Philology, Old School, criticized, xxv; and the World War, xxv f.; and the philanderers, xxvii; and the Oxford Dictionary, xxvii; and Economic History, xxxix; cannot dissociate itself from History, xl; cannot succeed with literary references, lxxvii; exclusive Indo-European, a crime, lxxvii; and the element of time, lxxviii f.; and caravan route, 23.

Photius, and the tarandus, 57 f.

Physiologus scribit, in Rufinus, a proof of forgery, 284.

Pliny, and the tragelaphus, 44; and the giraffe, 53; and the alec, 61; and the achlin, 61 f.; and the axin, 63; and the bison, 100 f.; and the beryl, 114 ff.; and the pearl, 176 ff.; and the coral, 179 ff.; and the ebony, 181 f.; and the gorgonia, 183 f.; and unio, 184 ff.; and the elenchi and crotalia, 193; and dos, 197 f.; and the fire-bearing stones, 289; and glaesum, 322 ff.; and indigo, 335 ff.; and the Hercynian birds, 342; and fireflies, 344.

Pomponius Mela, and woad, 338.

Pondus, history of, lxxv.

Pretium, nuptiale, 212; puellae, 212; see Dower.

Procopius of Gaza, and the lion and the cub, 263.

Protective armor from Tatar sources,

Prudentius, and camurus, 94 f. Pseudo-Athanasius, and the pearl, **1**36.

Pseudo-Berosus, and covinnus, 203 f. Pseudo-Epiphanius, and the urus,

68 f., 75. Pseudo-Eucherius, 270.

Pseudo-Rufinus, and the bubalus, 10.

Qazwīnī, and the coral, 183.

Rabanus Maurus, his etymology of migale, 318 f. Radishes, probably from France, xxxvii.

Raf', in Pliny, 53.

Regrater, in Byzantium, lxxiii. Red Heifer, its etymology, 4 ff.

Retail, its history, lxi ff.; the term originated in the cloth trade, lxii; meaning of 'in minuto," lxiii; dealer at an advantage, lxiv; and the fishmongers, lxv.

Ribbeck, on an interpolation in Vergil, 76; places MSS. of Georgica in V.

century, 79.

Romanus, its dating, 80.

Rufinus, and the bubalus, 10; his Benedictions of the Patriarchs a forgery, 270 ff.; and Gennadius, 271; the Benedictions based on Ambrose, 282.

Russian Loan-Words, and Sound

Substitution, xxxii.

Rusticus Monachus, Letter to, in Jerome, a forgery, 160.

Σαδδαειμ, in $_{
m the}$ Septuagint, a corruption of Σαλαειμ, 41.

Saint Augustine, and the tragelaphus, 42; and the One Pearl, 159 f.; and the Benedictions of the Patriarchs, 259 ff.; his Benediction of Judah a restatement of Ambrose, 261.

Salic Law, and achasium 215 f.; and dower, 214 ff.; and morgangeba,

Sangallensis 912, 83, 93, 224. SAW, THE, 287-288.

Schedae Veronenses, dating of, 80.

Schulte, and garbo wool, ii.

Scutum, in Langebard law, 221; in Anglo-Saxon law, 221 f.

Scythed chariot, its history, 204; in

Jordanes, 206.

Second Sound Shifting, and German loan-words, xxix ff.; affricata pf not sign of old borrowing, xxx; change of t to z not sign of antiquity, xxxi; and Upper German aspiration, xxxi; still operative, xxxii; due to locality, not time, xxxii; in Silesian dialects, xxxiii; in the Kerenz dialects, xxxiii; retrogressive in OSaxon tins, xxxiv; still active in loan-words, xxxiv f.; and the Church, xxxiv; not consistent in MHGerman, xxxvi; and architectural terms, xxxvi; and agriculture, xxxvi f.; inapplicable in finals, xxxviii.

Segovesus, in Livy, 347.

Seneca, and the urus, 76; and the bison, 102; and unio, 206 f.

Sequestration, its relation to protection in Spanish law, 233 f.

Servius, and urus, 77; and camurus.

Shoot, its relation to "shield," 224. Siberia, its etymology, 26.

Silesian dialects, and treatment of pf, xxxiii.

Silius Italicus, and covinnus, 205.

Solinus, and the tragelaphus, 44; and the parandrus, 50, 54; and nabun, 50; and the lycaon, 52; and the alce, 62; and the urus, 81; and ebony, 181; and gorgia, 183; and unio, 199; and glaesum, 322; and the Hercynian birds, 343 f.

Soluta margarita, in the Digest, translation of Arab. qala', 166.

Soma, Vedic, its origin, 304. Sophocles, and bubalis, 101.

Sound Substitution, in Russian loanwords, xxxii; retrogressive in Silesia, xxxiii; see Second Sound Shifting.

Sozomenus, on Oppian, an interpolation, 104.

Specie grosse, lxxv; see Gross goods. Spice, its etymology, lxxiv. Spicerer, at Byzantium, lxxiii.

Stag, Esopic, the, and the unicorn, 252.

Steel, its philological history, xli f.; its chief use in China, xlii.

Steelyard, its philological history,

Strabo, and the Hyrcanian Forest,

Syncellus, on Oppian, an interpolation, 104.

Tacitus, and covinnarius, 204 f.; and glaesum, 340; in Cassiodorus, 340 f.; and Hercynian Forest, 352 ff.

TARANDUS, THE, 49-58.

Tarandus, the, in Solinus, 50 f., 54 f.; in Pliny, 52; in Aelian, 55 f.; in Aristotle, 56; in Eustathius of Antioch, 56; in Antigonus of Carystia, 57; in Theophrastus, 57; in Philo, 58 f.; grew out of the camelopard, 59.

Tartan, its history, xlvi ff.; ultimately derived from Chinese,

Tattooing, in Claudianus, Jordanes, and Strabo, 339; in Britain, based on misunderstanding of a passage in Herodianus, 339 f.

Telas primas, defined, liv.

Tertullian, and unio, 161 f.; his De cultu foeminarum a forgery, 161 ff. Th, and the Russian f, xxxii.

Theodoret, and the lion and the cub,

Theophrastus, and the tarandus, 57. Theophylactus, and the pearl, 136. Thuringi, and the Paeonians, 13 f. Timotheus of Gaza, and the bison,

Tiretaine, its etymology, xlv ff. Torci, and the Paeonians, 13 f. Torquotus, and the Paeonians, 13 f. TRAGELAPHUS, THE, 40-48. Tragelaphus, the, in the Bible, 1; in

the Coptic Bible, 7; in Diodorus Siculus, 8; in Greek literature, 40; got into the Bible by mistake, 40; based on the Coptic reading of the Greek for "rock deer," 41; in Origen, 41; in Olympiodorus, 41 f.; in St. Augustine, 42; in Aristotle, 42 f.; in Pliny, 44; in Isidore, 44; in the glossaries, 45; in Eucherius, 45.

Traube, his dating of Romanus, 80. Troy, and the Franks, 11. Turkish looms, in Europe, lix. Turile, the, in the glosses, 219.

UNICORN, THE, 240-252. Unicorn, the, in Isidore, 240; in the *Physiologus*, 241 f.; confused with the kite, 242 ff.; and the Merovingians, 243; in Ad-Damīrī, 243 f.; in Fredegar, 244; in Gregory, 244 ff.; and the Virgin, in Gregory, an interpolation, 247 ff.; and the Esopic stag story, 252.

UNIONES IN MARTIAL, 200-209.

Uniones, not in Jerome and St. Augustine, 159; in Jerome's Letter to Rusticus Monachus, 160 f.; in Tertullian, a forgery, 161 ff.; in the Digest, 164 ff.; in Pliny, 184 ff.; in Solinus, 199; in Martial, 200 ff., 206; in Seneca, 206; in Ammianus, 207; in a Spanish inscription, 208; from the Coptic and Greek, 208 f. Upper German aspiration, and Second Sound Shifting, xxxi.

URUS, THE, 75-84.
Urus, the, in Pseudo-Epiphanius, 68 f., 75; in Vergil, 75 f.; in the Laterculus of Polemius Silvius, 76; in Servius, 77 f.; in Macrobius, 78 f.; in Solinus, 81 f.; in Pliny, 81; in the Liber glossarum, 83; in the Graeco-Latin glosses, 83; not in the Glossae Vergilianae, 83; in Caesar, 84.

Utrecht Psalter, its palaeography compared with Romanus, 80 f.; and Codex Florentinus, 164 f.

Vassus, in Aethicus, 11. Vergil, and urus, 75; the Georgics interpolated, 76.

Virgin, Mary, and the pearl, 130 f.; in the Cod. Reg. 2 C. XII, 144 ff.; based on an Arabic text, 148.

Virgin, the virtuous, and the unicorn, developed from the virtuous female kite, 243; in Gregory, an interpolation, 246 ff.; due to confusion with the Esopic stag story,

Visuntus, a misread vitulus, 97.

Vitrum, see Woad.

Vitruvius, and woad, 336. Vulture, the, and Aristotle, 295; and the pelican, 295 ff.; and Horapollo, 296; in Egyptian religion, 296 f.; Arabic original of the pelican story, 297 ff.

Walafrid Strabo, and haramo, 318. Waregang, in Spain, 226. WEASEL, THE, 311-321.

Weasel, the, and the Marsi, 311; "virgin" words for, 311 ff.; as a young woman, 312; derived from Marsus, 312 f.; its "bride" words from the Arabic, 313; its "mustela" words from the North Asiatic languages, 314 f.; in the Tatar and the European languages, 315 ff.; and the "ermine" words, 318 ff.

Weighing, in the Middle Ages,

lxix.

WHALE, THE, 217-239.

Whale, the, and ambergris, 221; in the Physiologus, 239.

Wholesale, and retail, clothdealers, lxii f.; meanings of "in grosso, lxiii; foreigners permitted to sell only at, lxiv; and the fishmongers,

Wittimo, in Burgundian law, 212; a misread Arab. wa-yatīmah, 213. Woad, its etymological history, 332 f.; in Oribasius, 333; in ASaxon, 333 f.; in Capitulare de villis, 334; in Arabic, 334; in Vitruvius, 336; in Pliny, 336, 338; in Marcellus, 336; in Dioscorides, 336 f.; in Caesar, 338; in Mela, 339.

Yaḥmūr, translated by bubalus, 4; taken from a Syriac source, 72.

Zombros, in Philocalia, 19.

•			
	•		
~			
· &			
•		7 1	
-4			

THE LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Santa Barbara

THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE STAMPED BELOW.

Series 9482

AA 000 286 156 5

